## Supporting Information

Marginal Emissions Factors for Electricity Generation in the Midcontinent ISO

Maninder Pal Singh Thind, Elizabeth J. Wilson, Inês L. Azevedo, Julian D. Marshall\*

## Sections:

- 1) Regional MISO analysis
- 2) State analysis
- 3) Utility analysis
- 4) Generator analysis
- 5) Variation of share of average and average marginal generation and AEFs and AMEFs by system demand
- 6) Temporal analysis
- No. of pages = 16
- No. of figures = 21

No. of tables = 7

\*Corresponding: Julian D. Marshall, jdmarsh@uw.edu, Phone: (206) 685-2591



# 1. Regional MISO analysis

Figure S1. Generation statistics of MISO (Other generation includes nuclear, hydro and other renewables. For the year 2007, 'Other generation' includes wind as well because MISO wind data for year 2007 is not available).



## Figure S2. Midcontinent ISO footprint (Source:

https://www.misoenergy.org/Planning/InterregionalCoordination/PublishingImages/IPSAC\_MIS O\_Map.png)



Figure S3. Linear regression for hourly changes in power generation and pollutant emissions, for Midcontinent ISO, years-2014 through 2016, after south region was integrated to Midwest ISO.

Table S1. Comparison between	AEF and AMEF	at regional scale	for years 2014-2016

Pollutant	AEF	AMEF	EFs %
	(Kg/MWh)	(Kg/MWh)	Difference
CO <sub>2</sub>	704	659	-6.4%
$SO_2$	0.953	0.984	3%
NO <sub>x</sub>	0.521	0.567	9%

Year	CO <sub>2</sub> AEF	CO <sub>2</sub> AMEF	$CO_2$ AMEF $R^2$	CO <sub>2</sub> AMEF -AEF	SO <sub>2</sub> AEF	SO <sub>2</sub> AMEF	$SO_2$ AMEF $R^2$	SO <sub>2</sub> AMEF -AEF	NO <sub>x</sub> AEF	NO <sub>x</sub> AMEF	NO <sub>x</sub> AMEF R <sup>2</sup>	NO <sub>x</sub> AMEF -AEF
2007	747	576	0.87	-23%	2.71	2.18	0.71	-20%	0.963	0.691	0.63	-28%
2008	756	590	0.84	-22%	2.48	1.96	0.70	-21%	0.936	0.691	0.74	-26%
2009	722	585	0.89	-19%	2.06	1.82	0.80	-12%	0.678	0.565	0.76	-17%
2010	703	545	0.88	-22%	1.83	1.44	0.73	-21%	0.618	0.481	0.77	-22%
2011	732	602	0.88	-18%	1.68	1.49	0.70	-11%	0.626	0.537	0.76	-14%
2012	745	659	0.90	-12%	1.44	1.38	0.78	-4%	0.616	0.547	0.77	-11%
2013	772	628	0.88	-19%	1.43	1.28	0.72	-10%	0.628	0.513	0.73	-18%
All years	739	597	0.88	-19%	1.97	1.63	0.71	-17%	0.727	0.567	0.72	-22%

Table S2. MISO Regional AEF and AMEFs differences by year

Table S3. MISO Regional AEF and AMEFs by fuel type for years 2007 through 2013

Coal-fired EGUs									
	AEF	AMEF	AMEF R <sup>2</sup>	AMEF - AEF					
CO <sub>2</sub>	712	$511 \pm 1.085$	0.78	-28%					
SO <sub>2</sub>	1.96	$1.61 \pm 0.0042$	0.70	-18%					
NO <sub>x</sub>	0.708	$0.518 \pm 0.0015$	0.67	-27%					
	Na	tural gas-fired EG	Us						
	AEF	AMEF	AMEF R <sup>2</sup>	AMEF - AEF					
CO2	22.9	85.7 ± 0.391	0.44	274%					
SO2	0.0096	$0.017 \pm 0.0003$	0.06	78%					
NOx	0.016	$0.046 \pm 0.0003$	0.24	182%					

\*% difference is calculated as ((AMEF – AEF)/AEF) \*100

Year	CO <sub>2</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>	$SO_2$	SO <sub>2</sub>	$SO_2$	SO <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>
	AEF	AMEF	AMEF	AMEF	AEF	AMEF	AMEF	AMEF	AEF	AMEF	AMEF	AMEF
			$R^2$	-AEF			$R^2$	-AEF			$R^2$	-AEF
2007	720	450	0.71	-37%	2.68	2.10	0.68	-21%	0.927	0.619	0.56	-33%
2008	737	507	0.73	-31%	2.46	1.91	0.69	-22%	0.908	0.632	0.70	-30%
2009	704	530	0.83	-25%	2.06	1.81	0.79	-12%	0.668	0.533	0.73	-20%
2010	680	467	0.78	-31%	1.83	1.43	0.73	-22%	0.605	0.432	0.71	-29%
2011	706	526	0.80	-25%	1.68	1.49	0.70	-11%	0.612	0.494	0.71	-19%
2012	702	557	0.83	-21%	1.44	1.38	0.78	-4%	0.596	0.496	0.72	-17%
2013	740	538	0.79	-27%	1.42	1.28	0.72	-10%	0.614	0.471	0.68	-23%
All												
years	712	511	0.78	-28%	1.96	1.61	0.70	-18%	0.708	0.518	0.67	-27%

Table S4. MISO Regional AEF and AMEFs for coal fleet by year

Table S5. MISO Regional AEF and AMEFs for natural gas fleet by year

Year	CO <sub>2</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>
	AEF	AMEF	AMEF	AMEF	AEF	AME	AMEF	AMEF	AEF	AME	AMEF	AMEF
			$R^2$	-AEF		F	$R^2$	-AEF		F	$R^2$	-AEF
2007	26.4	126	0.53	375%	0.034	0.075	0.22	118%	0.034	0.071	0.34	106%
2008	16.9	82.6	0.42	389%	0.024	0.044	0.15	84%	0.024	0.052	0.21	114%
2009	14.5	54.8	0.39	278%	0.002	0.007	0.05	220%	0.008	0.028	0.15	275%
2010	19.2	78.3	0.41	307%	0.002	0.006	0.08	219%	0.010	0.045	0.28	341%
2011	20.8	75.7	0.41	265%	0.001	0.002	0.03	52%	0.010	0.040	0.26	291%
2012	38.2	102	0.52	167%	0.0003	0.001	0.03	149%	0.016	0.048	0.31	208%
2013	26.6	89.3	0.47	235%	0.0002	0.001	0.14	256%	0.010	0.040	0.19	303%
All												
years	22.9	85.7	0.44	274%	0.0096	0.017	0.06	78%	0.016	0.046	0.24	182%

#### 2) State analysis



Figure S4. State wise AEF and AMEF for three pollutants from year 2007 through 2013. Percentages at top of bars show the difference between AEF and AMEF. States are sorted by increasing % share of total MISO generation (shown at bottom of x-axis for CO2 plot, sum of percentages ~83%). Bottommost plot shows % generation by fuel in each state.





Figure S5. (A) Plots showing inter-relation between three variables:  $CO_2$  AMEF,  $SO_2$  AMEF and  $NO_x$  AMEF. (B) Plot showing inter-relation between % difference between AEF and AMEF for three pollutants.

Plot (A) shows low correlation between  $\mathrm{NO}_x$  AMEF and  $\mathrm{SO}_2$  AMEF with insignificant change in  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  AMEF



### 3) Utility Analysis

Figure S6. AEFs and AMEFs for utilities bidding in MISO in the year 2012 (having generation share > 1%). Percentages shown at top of bars are difference between AEF and AMEF. Utilities are sorted by decreasing % generation share of total generation (shown in brackets on x-axis).







Figure S7. Figure showing significance of difference between AEF and AMEF for three pollutants among coal and natural gas units using  $\pm 5\%$  range for all years 2007 through 2013 combined



Figure S8. Figure showing significance of difference between AEF and AMEF for three pollutants among coal and natural gas units using  $\pm 10\%$  range for all years 2007 through 2013 combined



Figure S9. Significance of differences between EFs using  $\pm 5\%$  range by year



Figure S10. Significance of differences between EFs using  $\pm 10\%$  range by year



Figure S11. Scatterplot matrix showing correlation among % difference between AEF and AMEF for  $CO_2$ ,  $SO_2$  and  $NO_x$ , and Annual Generation (MWh) for coal generators. Numbers in each plot is correlation coefficient.



Figure S12. Scatterplot matrix showing correlation among % difference between AEF and AMEF for  $CO_2$ ,  $SO_2$  and  $NO_x$ , and Annual Generation (MWh) for natural gas generators. Numbers in each plot is correlation coefficient.



Figure S13. % difference between generator AEF and AMEF as a function of capacity factor for  $CO_2$ ,  $SO_2$  and  $NO_x$  emissions from coal units



Figure S14. % difference between generator AMEF and AEF as a function of capacity factor for  $CO_2$ ,  $SO_2$ , and  $NO_x$  emissions from natural gas units



Figure S15. (A,B,C) Dependence of average heat rate of coal units operating in year 2012 on capacity factor, age of coal units; and relation between %  $CO_2$  (AEF-AMEF) difference and average heat rate of coal units. (D,E,F) Dependence of average heat rate of natural gas units operating in year 2012 on capacity factor, age of natural gas units; and relation between %  $CO_2$  (AEF-AMEF) difference and average heat rate of natural gas units.





Figure S16. % of generation as a function of AMEFs for coal units





Figure S17. % of generation as a function of AMEFs for natural gas units

### 5. Variation of share of average and average marginal generation and AEFs and AMEFs





Figure S18. Results by total generation for MISO for year 2008 (I) and 2013 (II). (A) Average generation by fuel. (B) Average marginal generation by fuel. (C) AEFs as a function of total generation (D) AMEFs as a function of total generation. (E) Kernel density distribution for total generation.

### 6. Temporal analysis



Figure S19. Comparison of time of day, days of week, monthly and yearly trends in AEF and AMEFs for MISO from year 2007 through 2013

 $CO_2$  AMEF is ~64% higher at low demand hours than at high demand hours, because coal is the dominant marginal fuel at low demand hours. Similarly, AMEF for SO<sub>2</sub> is 84% higher (for NO<sub>x</sub>: 38% higher) at low demand hours than at high demand hours.



Figure S20. AMEFs by season for combined all years, 2007-2013



Figure S21. AMEF and AEFs by time of day and fuel source: coal and natural gas (2007-2013)

Table S6. Percent difference between system (MISO region) AEF and AMEF by time of	f day for
all fuels, coal-specific and natural-gas specific emissions	

	System (all fuel)			Coal-s	Coal-specific system			Natural gas-specific system		
Timo/Pollutonto	$\frac{AEF/AI}{CO}$		NO			NO	CO.	IEF 70 UL	NO	
	0.459/	$\frac{502}{110}$	NO <sub>X</sub>	0.49/	110/	NO <sub>X</sub>	709/	100/	$1NO_X$	
	0.43%	1170	-8%	-0.4%	1170	-8%	/9%	10% 520/	3170 740/	
2 AM	2%0	13%	-/%	3%	13%	-0%	-43%	-53%	-/4%	
3 AM	-4%	<u>۲%</u>	-12%	-3%	<u>۲%</u>	-12%	-13%	4%	-40%	
4 AM	-23%	-10%	-22%	-30%	-10%	-28%	401%	-20%	407%	
5 AM	-18%	-9%	-23%	-24%	-9%	-27%	310%	3%	209%	
6 AM	-17%	-12%	-23%	-23%	-13%	-26%	270%	75%	111%	
7 AM	-14%	-10%	-17%	-20%	-11%	-19%	235%	100%	70%	
8 AM	-3%	3%	-9%	-12%	2%	-12%	306%	100%	128%	
9 AM	-16%	-20%	-24%	-30%	-21%	-31%	448%	152%	253%	
10 AM	-24%	-27%	-31%	-43%	-28%	-41%	530%	162%	384%	
11 AM	-38%	-49%	-39%	-59%	-50%	-52%	523%	152%	424%	
12 PM	-46%	-56%	-43%	-67%	-58%	-57%	507%	190%	464%	
1 PM	-44%	-53%	-38%	-64%	-54%	-52%	447%	158%	457%	
2 PM	-41%	-42%	-29%	-54%	-42%	-40%	292%	98%	316%	
3 PM	-36%	-35%	-27%	-46%	-37%	-35%	209%	256%	254%	
4 PM	-44%	-44%	-37%	-59%	-45%	-49%	314%	149%	365%	
5 PM	-52%	-59%	-53%	-68%	-60%	-66%	315%	35%	363%	
6 PM	-45%	-48%	-40%	-57%	-49%	-48%	240%	66%	228%	
7 PM	-49%	-53%	-45%	-66%	-54%	-56%	355%	112%	304%	
8 PM	-43%	-52%	-40%	-65%	-53%	-56%	507%	109%	515%	
9 PM	-33%	-39%	-32%	-52%	-40%	-42%	489%	202%	375%	
10 PM	-24%	-28%	-26%	-38%	-28%	-33%	430%	90%	269%	
11 PM	-15%	-12%	-15%	-23%	-12%	-19%	319%	80%	185%	
12 AM	-9%	-4%	-14%	-13%	-4%	-15%	236%	62%	108%	

Table S7. MISO-wide daytime and nighttime AMEFs for years 2007 through 2013. Column 2 and 3 represents AMEF considering daytime (8am to 5pm everyday) and nighttime hours (7pm to 7am everyday). Column 4 and 5 represents AMEF and AEF not accounting for temporal differences. Daytime and Nighttime AMEFs are calculated by regressing hourly changes in emissions during respective times over hourly changes in generation during respective times.

	Daytime	Nighttime	System	System
	AMEF	AMEF	AMEF	AEF
CO <sub>2</sub> (Kg/MWh)	557	618	597	739
SO <sub>2</sub> (Kg/MWh)	1.44	1.71	1.63	1.97
NO <sub>x</sub> (Kg/MWh)	0.526	0.585	0.567	0.727