Supporting Information

Light-Mediated Reductive Debromination of Unactivated Alkyl and Aryl Bromides.

James J. Devery, III,^a John D. Nguyen,^a Chunhui Dai,^b Corey R. J. Stephenson.^{a*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Michigan, 930 N University Drive, Ann Arbor,

MI 48109.

crjsteph@umich.edu

^bDepartment of Chemistry, Boston University, 590 Commonwealth Avenue, Boston, MA 02215.

Table of Contents:

- 1. General information: S2
- 2. Reaction Optimization: S3
- 3. Procedure for Kinetic Analysis: S3
- 4. Kinetic Data: S4-S13
- 5. General Procedure A for aryl and alkyl bromides: S13
- 6. General Procedure B for dibromocyclopropanes: S13
- 7. Characterization Data: S14-S20
- 8. References: S20
- 9. ¹H and ¹³C Spectra: S22-S42

General Information:

Chemicals were either used as received or purified according to the procedures outlined in *Purification of Common Laboratory Chemicals*. Reactions were monitored by TLC and visualized by a dual short wave/long wave UV lamp and stained with an ethanolic solution of potassium permanganate, ceric ammonium molybdate, or anisaldehyde. Column flash chromatography was performed using Teledyne ISCO Combiflash® Rf. Yields refer to chromatographically and spectroscopically pure compounds, unless otherwise noted. 4W LED lights (CL-FRS5050-12WP-12V) and 12 V DC power supplies (CL-PS94670-25W) were purchased from Creative Lighting Solutions (http://www.creativelightings.com).

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded using an internal deuterium lock on Varian Unity Plus 400, Varian 500, or Varian 700 spectrometers. All signals are reported in ppm with the internal reference of the specified solvent. J couplings are reported in Hz. Data are presented as follows: integration, multiplicity (s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet, br = broad, app = apparent, dd = doublet of doublet, dt = doublet of triplet, etc) and coupling constant (J/Hz).

Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer BX FT-IR fitted with an ATR accessory. Absorptions are given in wavenumbers (cm⁻¹). High resolution mass spectra were obtained on a Waters® Micromass® AutoSpec Ultima*TM* high resolution mass spectrometer. UPLC analysis was conducted on a Waters® Acuity H-Class UPLC with a Acquity UPLC BEH C18 column 1.7 μ m 2.1 x 50mm, using a photodiode array detector.

	Br Br DIPEA (2 e TTMSS (1	mol%) equiv) equiv)	Me
~	N MeCN (0. Ts 60 °C oil bai	.1 M) th, CFL	Ts
Entry	Catalyst	Alteration	SM:PDT^a
1	$[Ir(ppy)_2(dtbbpy)]PF_6(2)$	None	$0:1 (88\%)^b$
2	2	no TTMSS	1:trace
3	2	no DIPEA	1:1
4	2	sparged with N ₂	1:2
5	2	freeze-pump-thaw	1:4
6	2	no light	1:trace
7	None	None	2:1
8	Ir(ppy) ₃	None	1:2.5
9	[Ir(dF(CF ₃)ppy) ₂ (dtbbpy)]PF ₆	None	1:1.1
10	$[Ru(bpy)_3]Cl_2$	None	4:1
11	$[Cu(dap)_2]Cl_2$	None	NR
12	rose bengal	None	19:1
13	methylene blue	None	NR
14	eosin Y	None	14:1
15	fluoroscein	None	>19:1

Table S1. Reaction Optimization and Catalyst Selection.

^{*a*}Calculated by ¹H NMR. ^{*b*}Isolated yield.

Procedure for Kinetic Analysis:

A 20 mL vial equipped with a magnetic stir bar was charged with 2 and solid substrate. MeCN (5 mL) was added to the vial followed by 1, DIPEA, and liquid substrate. It was sealed with a septum cap. An 18 G x 1.5" needle was pierced through the septum and left open to air. The solution was allowed to stir for 5 min. The reaction was then illuminated with a 4W blue LED strip and stirred open to air. 0.05 mL aliquots were sampled via syringe. 10 μ L reaction solution was dissolved 990 μ L 2:1 MeCN:H₂O containing 10 μ L of 5 mg/mL benzophenone in 2:1 MeCN:H₂O. Samples were analyzed via reverse-phase UPLC.

Rate orders

Rate orders in Table 3, unless otherwise noted, were determined using equation S1.

$$ln\left(\frac{(\text{slope})_a}{(\text{slope})_b}\right) = y \, ln\left(\frac{(\text{concentration})_a}{(\text{concentration})_b}\right) \tag{S1}$$

where y = order.

Kinetic Studies of 5.



Scheme S1. Hydrodebromination of 5.

 Table S2. Raw data for impact of [2] on reductive debromination of 5.

 0.0005 M 2 0.00025 M 2 Time (s) Time (s) [**5**] (M) ln[5] [**5**] (M) ln[5] 0 0.025 -3.688879454 0 0.025 -3.688879454 120 0.023333 -3.757886611 180 0.026524 -3.629705295 0.023153 0.023582 240 -3.765630917 240 -3.74727157 0.025862 360 0.020456 -3.889479041 360 -3.654980569 480 0.018819 -3.972888281 480 0.023589 -3.746974777 600 0.015777 -4.149202096 600 0.022983 -3.773000467 1200 0.009847 -4.620588439 1200 0.013969 -4.27091469 1800 0.007053 -4.954302221 2400 0.00649 -5.037492748 2400 0.004837 -5.331460585 3600 0.003737 -5.589472128 3600 4800 0.002485 0.002939 -5.829685892 -5.997482619 4800 -6.861826347 6000 0.00201 -6.209620557 0.001047 6000 0.000361 -7.9266326 7200 0.000072 -9.538844439 0.03-0 0.0005 M 0.0005 M -2-0.02 0.00025 M 0.00025 M [5] (M) ln[5] 0.01 -6 0.00| 0 -8+ 0 4000 6000 8000 4000 1000 2000 2000 3000 Time (s) Time (s)

Figure S1. Impact of [2] on reductive debromination of 5. [5] vs time (left). Ln[5] vs time (right).

Table S3.	Trendline	data for	ln[5]	vs time	for e	effect o	of 2 .
-----------	-----------	----------	-------	---------	-------	----------	---------------

	0.0005 M 2	0.00025 M 2
Slope	$-0.0006321 \pm 2.956e-005$	$-0.0005808 \pm 2.861e-005$
Y-intercept	-3.713 ± 0.04597	-3.542 ± 0.04367
R square	0.9828	0.9833

	0.03 M DII	PEA	0.06 M DIPEA		PEA
Time (s)	[5] (M)	ln[5]	Time (s)	[5] (M)	ln[5]
0	0.025	-3.688879454	0	0.025	-3.688879454
120	0.023333	-3.757886611	120	0.024178	-3.72231215
240	0.023153	-3.765630917	240	0.02247	-3.795574193
360	0.020456	-3.889479041	360	0.017686	-4.034981913
480	0.018819	-3.972888281	480	0.014952	-4.202910209
600	0.015777	-4.149202096	600	0.012417	-4.388688778
1200	0.009847	-4.620588439	1200	0.007899	-4.84101911
1800	0.007053	-4.954302221	2400	0.002878	-5.850659671
2400	0.004837	-5.331460585	3600	0.00092	-6.991136888
3600	0.002939	-5.829685892	4800	0.000344	-7.974868901
4800	0.001047	-6.861826347	6000	0.000214	-8.449534543
6000	0.000361	-7.9266326	7200	0.0002	-8.517193191
7200	0.000072	-9.538844439			
0.03 -					
			°٦		
0.02-	•	0.085 M	-2-	• 0	005 M

Table S4. Raw data for impact of [DIPEA] on reductive debromination of 5.



Figure S2. Impact of [DIPEA] on reductive debromination of **5**. [**5**] vs time (left). Ln[**5**] vs time (right).

Table S5. Trendline data for ln[5] vs time for effect of DIPEA.

	0.085 M DIPEA	0.17 M DIPEA
Slope	$-0.0006475 \pm 2.034e-005$	$-0.0007885 \pm 1.890e-005$
Y-intercept	-3.702 ± 0.04215	-3.575 ± 0.03916
R square	0.9912	0.9949

Table S6. Raw	data for im	pact of [1]	l on reductive	debromination	of 5
	autu 101 IIII		1 on readen ve	acoronnation	010

0.03 M 1			0.06 M 1		
Time (s)	[5] (M)	ln[5]	Time (s)	[5] (M)	ln[5]
0	0.025	-3.688879454	0	0.025	-3.688879454
120	0.023333	-3.757886611	120	0.024178	-3.72231215

240	0.023153	-3.765630917	240	0.02247	-3.795574193
360	0.020456	-3.889479041	360	0.017686	-4.034981913
480	0.018819	-3.972888281	480	0.014952	-4.202910209
600	0.015777	-4.149202096	600	0.012417	-4.388688778
1200	0.009847	-4.620588439	1200	0.007899	-4.84101911
1800	0.007053	-4.954302221	2400	0.002878	-5.850659671
2400	0.004837	-5.331460585	3600	0.00092	-6.991136888
3600	0.002939	-5.829685892	4800	0.000344	-7.974868901
4800	0.001047	-6.861826347	6000	0.000214	-8.449534543
6000	0.000361	-7.9266326	7200	0.0002	-8.517193191
7200	0.000072	-9.538844439			



Figure S3. Impact of [1] on reductive debromination of 5. [5] vs time (left). Ln[5] vs time (right).

 Table S7. Trendline data for ln[5] vs time for effect of 1.

	0.03 M 1	0.06 M 1
Slope	$-0.0007477 \pm 2.982e-005$	$-0.0007491 \pm 4.124e-005$
Y-intercept	-3.596 ± 0.09611	-3.853 ± 0.1367
R square	0.9828	0.9706

Kinetic Studies of 6.





Table S8. Raw data for impact of [2] on reductive debromination of 6.

0.0005 M 2			0.00025 M 2		
Time (s)	[6] (M)	ln[6]	Time (s)	[6] (M)	ln[6]
240	0.044294	-3.116906051	360	0.045613	-3.087562515
360	0.035893	-3.327212989	480	0.03566	-3.333725667



Figure S4. Impact of [2] on reductive debromination of 6. [6] vs time (left). Ln[6] vs time (right).

 Table S9. Trendline data for ln[6] vs time for effect of 2.

	0.005 M 2	0.0025 M 2
Slope	$-0.001594 \pm 5.122e-005$	$-0.001133 \pm 6.799e-005$
Y-intercept	-2.744 ± 0.03638	-2.792 ± 0.07474
R square	0.9828	0.9833

 Table S10. Raw data for impact of [DIPEA] on reductive debromination of 6.

 0.172 M DIPEA

0.1/2 M DIFEA		0.080 M	DIFEA
Time (s)	[6] (M)	Time (s)	[6] (M)
360	0.035893	360	0.035596
480	0.031238	480	0.028569
600	0.024018	600	0.022913
900	0.014559	900	0.013972
1200	0.009851	1200	0.008215



Figure S5. Impact of [DIPEA] on reductive debromination of 6. [6] vs time.

Table S11. Raw data for impact of [1] on reductive debromination of 6.					
0.095 M 1				0.127 M	1
Time (s)	[6] (M)	ln[6]	Time (s)	[6] (M)	ln[6]
600	0.041794	-3.17500249	360	0.042514	-3.157921846
900	0.033143	-3.406923746	480	0.041118	-3.191309297
1200	0.028557	-3.555853189	600	0.03765	-3.279422325
1500	0.023198	-3.763689211	900	0.029762	-3.514522867
1800	0.02304	-3.770523443	1200	0.02429	-3.717690536
2400	0.019356	-3.94475283	1500	0.018954	-3.965740288
3000	0.014549	-4.230233016	1800	0.01558	-4.161767239
			2400	0.011132	-4.497931435

	0.16 M	1		0.192 M	1
Time (s)	[6] (M)	ln[6]	Time (s)	[6] (M)	ln[6]
360	0.040324	-3.210808454	360	0.043188	-3.1421926
480	0.037584	-3.281176851	480	0.032778	-3.41799772
600	0.03319	-3.405506653	600	0.027574	-3.590881979
900	0.022608	-3.789451453	900	0.01695	-4.077487445
1200	0.015823	-4.146290701	1200	0.008358	-4.784536115
1500	0.010533	-4.553242093			



Figure S6. Impact of [1] on reductive debromination of 6. [6] vs time (left). Ln[6] vs time (right).

	0.095 M 1	0.127 M 1
Slope	$-0.0004041 \pm 3.790e-0$	$05 \qquad -0.0006874 \pm 1.776e-005$
Y-intercept	-3.034 ± 0.068	$48 -2.892 \pm 0.02368$
R square	0.95	79 0.996
	0.16 M 1	0.192 M 1
Slope	$-0.001202 \pm 3.968e-0$	$05 \qquad -0.001890 \pm 9.541e{-}005$
Y-intercept	-2.722 ± 0.037	01 -2.465 ± 0.07353
R square	0.99	57 0.9924
	-5.5 -6.0 -6.5- -6.5- -7.0- -7.5- -8.0- -8.5 -2.6 -2.4 -2	2 -2.0 -1.8 -1.6 -1.4 ln[1]

Figure S7. $ln(k_{obs})$ vs ln[1] for the reductive debromination of 6.

Table S13. Trendline data for $Ln(k_{obs})$ vs ln[1] for the reductive debromination of 6.Slope 2.199 ± 0.1172 Y-intercept -2.680 ± 0.2335 R square0.9944Kinetic Studies of 7.



Scheme S3. Hydrodebromination of 7.

 Table S14. Raw data for impact of [2] on reductive debromination of 7.

 0.005 M 2 0.0025 M 2 Time (s) [**7**] (M) Time (s) [7] (M) 0.05 0 660 0.049724 300 900 0.046465 0.04618 600 0.043239 1260 0.042241 900 0.039876 1500 0.038972 1200 0.038426 1800 0.035815 1500 0.038024 2100 0.031947 1800 2700 0.033693 0.028732 2100 0.031452 3000 0.025373 2400 0.028607 3300 0.019487 2700 3600 0.016922 0.025503 3000 0.021721 3300 0.02026 3600 0.017875 0.06 0.0050 M 0.0025 M 0.04 (W) [_] 0.02-

> 0.00 0 1000 2000 3000 4000 Time (s)

Figure S8. Impact of [2] on reductive debromination of 7. [7] vs time (left). Ln[7] vs time (right).

Table S15. Trendline data for ln[7] vs time for effect of 2.

	0.0050 M 2	0.0025 M 2
Slope	$-8.776e-006 \pm 2.270e-007$	$-1.068e-005 \pm 3.845e-007$
Y-intercept	0.04927 ± 0.0004815	0.05578 ± 0.0008842
R square	0.9927	0.9897

Table S16. Raw data for impact of [DIPEA] on reductive debromination of 7.



Figure S9. Impact of [DIPEA] on reductive debromination of 7. [7] vs time.

 Table S17. Trendline data for ln[7] vs time for effect of DIPEA.

	0.0050 M 2	0.0025 M 2
Slope	$-8.776e-006 \pm 2.270e-007$	$-1.068e-005 \pm 3.845e-007$
Y-intercept	0.04927 ± 0.0004815	0.05578 ± 0.0008842
R square	0.9927	0.9897

Table S18. Raw data for impact of [1] on reductive debromination of 7

0.071 M 1		0.12	0.128 M 1	
ne (s)	[7] (M)	Time (s)	[7] (M)	
0	0.05	0	0.05	
5400	0.042475	300	0.046633	
9000	0.037413	600	0.045246	
14400	0.03282	900	0.04413	
21600	0.026227	1500	0.042514	
		2400	0.040853	
		3300	0.037318	
		3600	0.035885	
		2160	0.040769	
		1800	0.041217	

0.190 M 1

[**7**] (M)

0.05

0.043628

0.036512

0.030691 0.024022

0.1	50 M 1	0.16
Time (s)	[7] (M)	Time (s)
5 0	0.05	0
7 900	0.047787	300
3 1800	0.04733	600
5 2700	0.044696	900
3600	0.041881	1200
7	0.040747	1500
5	0.038855	1800
7	0.037347	2100
3	0.036023	2400
1	0.034801	2700
1	0.033504	3000
3	0.033523	3300
5	0.032125	3600

Time (s)



Figure S10. Impact of [1] on reductive debromination of 7. [7] vs time.

Table S19. Trendline data for [7] vs time for effect of 1.

	0.095 M 1	0.127 M 1
Slope	$-1.084e-006 \pm 7.871e-008$	$-3.369e-006 \pm 2.560e-007$
Y-intercept	0.04872 ± 0.0009856	0.04803 ± 0.0005185
R square	0.9844	0.9558
	0.16 M 1	0.192 M 1
Slope	$-5.104e-006 \pm 2.474e-007$	$-7.210e-006 \pm 1.085e-007$
Y-intercept	0.04908 ± 0.0005248	0.04995 ± 0.0002392
R square	0.9748	0.9993
	-11-	
	-11-	



Figure S11. $Ln(k_{obs})$ vs ln[1] for the reductive debromination of 7.

Table S20. Trendline data for $Ln(k_{obs})$ vs ln[1]

Slope	1.919 ± 0.01122
Y-intercept	-8.659 ± 0.02337
R square	0.9999

General Procedure A for aryl and alkyl bromides:

A 20 mL vial equipped with a magnetic stir bar was charged with 2 (2.3 mg, 0.0025 mmol, 0.50 mol%). Solid bromide substrate (0.500 mmol) was added at this time. MeCN (5 mL) was added to the vial followed by 1 (340 μ L, 274 mg, 1.10 mmol, 2.2 equiv), DIPEA (192 μ L, 142 mg, 1.10 mmol, 2.2 equiv). Liquid bromide substrate was added at this time. The vial was sealed with a septum cap. An 18 G x 1.5" needle was pierced through the septum and left open to air. The solution was allowed to stir for 5 min at 100 rpm. The reaction was then illuminated with a 4W blue LED strip and stirred open to air for 10 h at 100 rpm. Upon completion, turn off LEDs and add 3 g of 37 wt% KF on Alumina. Stir for 5 min. Filter off solid. Concentrate via rotary evaporation. The residue was purified via chromatography, using hexanes/ethyl acetate gradient.

General Procedure B for dibromocyclopropanes:

A 20 mL vial equipped with a magnetic stir bar was charged with 2 (2.3 mg, 0.0025 mmol, 0.50 mol%). Solid bromide substrate (0.500 mmol) was added at this time. MeCN

(5 mL) was added to the vial followed by **1** (680 μ L, 274 mg, 1.10 mmol, 4.4 equiv), DIPEA (192 μ L, 142 mg, 1.10 mmol, 2.2 equiv). Liquid bromide substrate was added at this time. The vial was sealed with a septum cap. An 18 G x 1.5" needle was pierced through the septum and left open to air. The solution was allowed to stir for 5 min at 100 rpm. The reaction was then illuminated with a 4W blue LED strip and stirred open to air for 10 h at 100 rpm. Upon completion, turn off LEDs and add 3 g of 37 wt% KF on Alumina. Stir for 5 min. Filter off solid. Concentrate via rotary evaporation. The residue was purified via chromatography, using hexanes/ethyl acetate gradient.

Compound Characterization:



Acetophenone (Table 1, Entry 1): According to General Procedure A, 1-(4bromophenyl)ethan-1-one (99 mg, 0.5 mmol) afforded acetophenone in 99% yield by UPLC (PDA). Spectroscopic properties consistent with commercial sample.

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 7.98 (d, J=7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.60-7.54 (m, 1H), 7.50-7.47 (m, 2H), 2.63 (s, 3H).

(Benzyloxy)benzene (Table 1, Entry 2): According to General Procedure A, 1-(benzyloxy)-4-bromobenzene¹ (131 mg, 0.5 mmol) afforded (benzyloxy)benzene (86 mg, 94%) as a yellow oil. Spectroscopic properties consistent with those reported in the literature.²

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 7.54-7.33 (m, 7H) 7.08-7.03 (m, 3H) 5.16 (s, 2H).



3-methyl-1-tosylindoline (Table 1, Entry 3): According to General Procedure A, *N*-allyl-*N*-(2-bromophenyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide³ (183 mg, 0.5 mmol) afforded 3-methyl-1-tosylindoline (118 mg, 82%). Spectroscopic properties consistent with those reported in the literature.⁴

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 7.68 (d, J=8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.64 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H) 7.22 (d, J=8.3 Hz, 2H) 7.19 (d, J=7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.05 (d, J=7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (t, J=6.6 Hz, 1H), 4.08

(dd, J=10.4, 9.2 Hz, 1H), 3.42 (dd, J=10.5, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 3.19 (m, 1H) 2.36 (s, 3H), 1.11 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H).



2-Phenethoxytetrahydro-2*H***-pyran (Table 1, Entry 4):** According to General Procedure A, 2-(2-bromophenethoxy)tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran⁵ (142 mg, 0.5 mmol) afforded 2-phenethoxytetrahydro-2*H*-pyran in (87 mg, 84%) as a colorless oil. Spectroscopic properties consistent with those reported in the literature.⁶

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 7.32-7.20 (m, 5H), 4.61 (t, J=3.5 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (dt, J=9.6, 7.4 Hz, 1H) 3.77 (ddd, J=11.2, 8.3, 3.2 Hz, 1H) 3.64 (dt, J=9.6, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 3.49-3.45 (m, 1H), 2.93 (t, J=7.3 Hz, 2H), 1.87-1.79 (m, 1H), 1.74-1.69 (m, 1H) 1.63-1.48 (m, 4H).



tert-Butyl 1*H*-indole-1-carboxylate (Table 1, Entry 5): According to General Procedure A, *tert*-butyl 5-bromo-1*H*-indole-1-carboxylate (148 mg, 0.5 mmol) afforded *tert*-butyl 1*H*-indole-1-carboxylate in (103 mg, 83%) as a colorless oil. Spectroscopic properties consistent with those reported in the literature.⁷

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 8.15 (d, J=7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (d, J=3.4 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (d, J=7.8 Hz, 1H) 7.33-7.30 (m, 1H), 7.24-7.21 (m, 1H), 6.57 (d, J=3.4 Hz, 1H), 1.68 (s, 9H)



N-(*m*-Tolyl)acetamide (Table 1, Entry 6): According to General Procedure A, *N*-(4-bromo-3-methylphenyl)acetamide (114 mg, 0.5 mmol) afforded *N*-(*m*-tolyl)acetamide in (62 mg, 83%) as a colorless oil. Spectroscopic properties consistent with those reported in the literature.⁸

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 7.36 (bs, 1H), 7.27 (m, 1H), 7.22-7.19 (m, 1H), 7.14 (bs, 1H), 6.93 (d, J=7.3 Hz, 1H), 2.35 (s, 3H), 2.18 (s, 3H).



Biphenyl (Table 1, Entry 7): According to General Procedure A, 4-bromo-1,1'-biphenyl (116 mg, 0.5 mmol) afforded biphenyl in (70 mg, 91%) as a white solid. Spectroscopic properties consistent with commercial sample.

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 7.62 (d, J=7.1 Hz, 4H), 7.46 (t, J=7.7 Hz, 4H), 7.37 (t, J=7.6 Hz, 2H).



Ethylbenzene (Table 2, Entry 1): According to General Procedure A, (2-bromoethyl)benzene (92 mg, 0.5 mmol) afforded ethylbenzene in in 99% yield by UPLC (PDA). Spectroscopic properties consistent with commercial sample.

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 7.32-7.29, (m, 2H), 7.23-7.18 (m, 3H); 2.67 (q, J=7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.26 (t, J=7.6 Hz, 3H).



3-Ethyl-1*H***-indole (Table 2, Entry 2):** According to General Procedure A, 3-(2-bromoethyl)-1*H*-indole (112 mg, 0.5 mmol) afforded 3-ethyl-1*H*-indole in (62 mg, 85%) as a white solid. Spectroscopic properties consistent with those reported in the literature.⁹

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 7.90 (bs, 1H), 7.65 (d, J=8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (d, J=8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (t, J=7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.14 (t, J=7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (s, 1H), 2.82 (q, J=7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.36 (t, J=7.6 Hz, 3H).



3-Methyl-1-tosylpyrrolidine (Table 2, Entry 3): According to General Procedure A, *N*-allyl-*N*-(2-bromoethyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide¹⁰ (158 mg, 0.5 mmol) afforded 3-methyl-1-tosylpyrrolidine in (102 mg, 85%) as a white solid. Spectroscopic properties consistent with those reported in the literature.¹¹

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 7.71, d, 8.3, 2h; 7.32, d, 8.1, 2H; 3.42, dd, 9.7, 7.2, 1H; 3.34, ddd, 9.8, 8.2, 4, 1H; 3.22, dt, 9.5, 7.9, 1H; 2.75, dd, 9.8, 7.8, 1h; 2.43, s, 3h; 2.12, dq, 14.8, 7.3, 1H, 1.87-1.93, m, 1H; 1.35, dq, 12.3, 8.4, 1H; 0.92, d, 6.6, 3H.



Benzyl piperidine-1-carboxylate (Table 2, Entry 4): According to General Procedure A, benzyl 4-bromopiperidine-1-carboxylate (149 mg, 0.5 mmol) afforded benzyl piperidine-1-carboxylate in (100 mg, 91%) as a colorless oil. Spectroscopic properties consistent with those reported in the literature.¹²

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 7.36 (d, J=4.4 Hz, 4H), 7.34-7.29 (m, 1H), 5.13 (s, 2H), 3.45 (m, 4H), 1.62-1.56 (m, 2H), 1.56-1.50 (m, 4H).



Diethyl 2-(3-((*tert***-butoxycarbonyl)amino)propyl)malonate (Table 2, Entry 5):** According to General Procedure A, diethyl 2-(2-bromo-3-((*tert*-butoxycarbonyl)amino)propyl)malonate¹³ (198 mg, 0.5 mmol) afforded diethyl 2-(3-((*tert*-butoxycarbonyl)amino)propyl)malonate in (141 mg, 89%) as a colorless oil. Spectroscopic properties consistent with those reported in the literature.¹⁴

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 4.19 (overlapping q, J=7.1 Hz, 4H), 3.33 (t, J=7.5 Hz, 1H) 3.14 (m, 2H), 1.91 (m, 2H) 1.52 (m, 2H), 1.43 (s, 9H), 1.23 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 6H).



Triethyl undecane-1,1,11-tricarboxylate (Table 2, Entry 6): According to General Procedure A, triethyl 3-bromoundecane-1,1,11-tricarboxylate¹⁵ (225 mg, 0.5 mmol) afforded triethyl undecane-1,1,11-tricarboxylate in (171 mg, 92%) as a colorless oil. Spectroscopic properties consistent with those reported in the literature.¹⁶

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 4.20 (overlapping q, J=7.1 Hz, 4H), 4.13 (q, J=7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.32 (t, J=7.6 Hz, 1H), 2.29 (t, J=7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.89 (q, J=7.3 Hz, 2H), 1.62 (m, 2H) 1.33-1.25 (bm, 21H, 3 -CH₃, 6 -CH₂-).



Cyclopropane-1,1-diyldibenzene (Table 2, Entry 7): According to General Procedure B, (2,2-dibromocyclopropane-1,1-diyl)dibenzene¹⁷ (175 mg, 0.5 mmol) afforded cyclopropane-1,1-diyldibenzene in (86 mg, 89%) as a colorless oil. Spectroscopic properties consistent with those reported in the literature.¹⁸

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 7.29-7.23 (m, 8H), 7.20-7.17 (m, 2H), 1.31 (s, 4H).



4,4'-(Cyclopropane-1,1-diyl)bis(methylbenzene) (Table 2, Entry 8): According to General Procedure B, 4,4'-(2,2-dibromocyclopropane-1,1-diyl)bis(methylbenzene)¹⁹ (189 mg, 0.5 mmol) afforded 4,4'-(cyclopropane-1,1-diyl)bis(methylbenzene) in (94 mg, 85%) as a colorless oil. Spectroscopic properties consistent with those reported in the literature.²⁰

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 7.25 (d, J=8.1 Hz, 4H), 7.20 (d, J=8.1 Hz, 4H), 2.43 (s, 6H), 1.38 (s, 4H).



1-Cyclopropyl-4-methoxybenzene (Table 2, Entry 9): According to General Procedure B, 1-(2,2-dibromocyclopropyl)-4-methoxybenzene²¹ (198 mg, 0.5 mmol) afforded 1-cyclopropyl-4-methoxybenzene in (141 mg, 89%) as a colorless oil. Spectroscopic properties consistent with those reported in the literature.²²

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 7.03 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.82 (d, J=8.6 Hz, 2H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 1.86 (m, 1H), 0.91 (m, 2H) 0.62 (m, 2H).



((3-Cyclopropylpropoxy)methyl)benzene (Table 2, Entry 10): ((Pent-4-en-1yloxy)methyl)benzene²³ (1.76 g, 10 mmol), bromoform (1.3 mL, 15 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) and triethylbenzylammonium chloride (25 mg, 0.1 mmol, 0.01 equiv.) were dissolved in DCM and stirred vigorously. A 50% solution of sodium hydroxide (1.6 g, 40 mmol, 4 equiv.) in water (1.6 mL) was then added dropwise at room temperature. The reaction was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The reaction was quenched by addition of water (20 mL) and product was extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 50 mL). The combined organic fractions dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated via rotary evaporation. The residue was purified via chromatography, using hexanes/ethyl acetate gradient to afford ((3-(2,2-dibromocyclopropyl)propoxy)methyl)benzene (1.83 g, 52%) as a colorless oil.

IR (neat): 2942, 2854, 1703, 1453, 1363, 1204, 1102, 1028, 734, 696, 677 cm⁻¹;

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 7.37-7.35 (m, 4H), 7.28-7.33 (m, 1H), 4.51-4.56 (m, 2H), 3.6053-3.53 (m, 2H), 1.86-1.92 (m, 1H), 1.83-1.78 (m, 1H), 1.75 (dd, J=9.9, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 1.72-1.67 (m, 1H; 1.56-1.63, m, 2H; 1.23, t, 7.3, 1H.

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 175 MHz): δ 138.5, 128.4, 127.7, 127.6, 72.9, 69.6, 31.1, 29.5, 28.4.

HRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for $C_{13}H_{17}Br_2O^+$ ([M+H]+) 346.9646, found 346.9649.

According to General Procedure B, ((3-(2,2dibromocyclopropyl)propoxy)methyl)benzene (173 mg, 0.5 mmol) afforded ((3cyclopropylpropoxy)methyl)benzene in (84 mg, 88%) as a colorless oil.

IR (neat): 3074, 3031, 2998, 2933, 2853, 1721, 1496, 1453, 1363, 1274, 1100, 1013, 8542, 821, 732, 696 cm⁻¹;

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 7.37-7.34 (m, 4H), 7.32-7.27 (m, 1H), 4.52 (s, 2H), 3.52 (t, J=6.6 Hz, 2H), 1.74 (m, 2H), 1.30 (q, J=7.2 Hz, 2H), 0.67 (m, 1H), 0.41 (m, 2H), 0.03 (m, 2H).

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 175 MHz): 138.3, 127.9, 127.0, 72.4, 69.9, 30.8, 29.4, 10.2, 4.0.

HRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C₁₃H₁₉O⁺ ([M+H]+) 191.1436, found 191.1435.



1-cyclopropyl-4-methoxybenzene (4, Scheme 3): A 20 mL vial equipped with a magnetic stir bar was charged with (2,2-dibromocyclopropane-1,1-diyl)dibenzene (**3**)²⁴ (189 mg, 0.5 mmol). MeCN (5 mL) was added to the vial followed by **1** (340 μ L, 274 mg, 1.10 mmol, 2.2 equiv), DIPEA (192 μ L, 142 mg, 1.10 mmol, 2.2 equiv). The vial was sealed with a septum cap. An 18 G x 1.5" needle was pierced through the septum and left open to air. The solution was allowed to stir for 5 min. The reaction was then illuminated with a 4W blue LED strip and stirred open to air for 30 min. Upon completion, the LEDs were turned off and 3 g of 37 wt% KF on Alumina was added. The slurry was stirred for 5 min. The solid was filtered off and the solution was concentrated via rotary evaporation. The residue was purified via chromatography, using hexanes/ethyl acetate gradient, affording 1-cyclopropyl-4-methoxybenzene (**4**) in (113 mg, 83%) as a white solid. Spectroscopic properties consistent with those reported in the literature.²⁵

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 7.41 (d, J=7.1 Hz, 2H), 7.35 (t, J=7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.22-7.30 (m, 5H), 7.20-7.16 (m, 1H), 3.69 (dd, J=7.8, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 1.89 (dd, J=7.8, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 1.83 (dd, J=6.6, 4.8 Hz, 1H).

References

- [1] Hu, W. J., Zhao, X. L., Ma, M. L., Guo, F., Mi, X. Q., Jiang, B. Wen, K. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, 1448.
- [2] Sather, A. C., Lee, H. G., De La Rosa, V. Y., Yang, Y., Müller, P., Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 13433.
- [3] Zhang, X.-L., Zhang, W.-H., Yang, H.-X. O. Asia-Pac. J. Chem. Eng 2009, 4, 821.
- [4] Kuwano, R.; Kaneda, K.; Ito, T.; Sato, K.; Kurokawa, T.; Ito, Y. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 2213.
- [5] Ikegashira, K.; Oka, T.; Hirashima, S.; Noji, S.; Yamanaka, H.; Hara, Y.; Adachi, T.; Tsuruha, J.-I.; Doi, S.; Hase, Y.; Noguchi, T.; Ando, I.; Ogura, N.; Ikeda, S.; Hashimoto, H. J. Med. Chem. 2006, 49, 6950.
- [6] Kumar, B., Aga, M. A., Mukherjee, D., Chimni, S. S., Taneja, S. C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2009**, *50*, 6236.
- [7] de Koning, C. B.; Michael, J. P.; Rousseau., A. L. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 2000, 1705.
- [8] Kim, B. S.; Jang, C.; Lee, D. J.; Youn, S. W. Chem. Asian J. 2010, 5, 2336.
- [9] Taddei, M.; Mura, M. G.; Rajamäki, S.; Luca, L. D.; Porcheddu, A. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2013**, *355*, 3002.
- [10] Dong, X.; Sang, R.; Wang, Q.; Tang, X.-Y.; Shi. M. Chem. Eur. J. 2013, 19, 16910.
- [11] Ueng, S.-H.; Fensterbank, L.; Lacôte, E.; Malacria, M.; Curran. D. P. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 3415.
- [12] Myers, E. L.; de Vries, J. G.; Aggarwal, V. K. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 2007, 46, 1893.
- [13] Wallentin, C.-J.; Nguyen, J. D.; Finkbeiner, P.; Stephenson, C. R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 8875.
- [14] Priet, S.; Zlatev, I.; Barvik Jr., I.; Geerts, K.; Leyssen, P.; Neyts, J.; Dutartre, H.; Canard, B.; Vasseur, J.-J.; Morvan, F.; Alvarez. K. J. Med. Chem. 2010, 53, 6608.
- [15] Wallentin, C.-J.; Nguyen, J. D.; Finkbeiner, P.; Stephenson, C. R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 8875.
- [16] Tsai, K. R.; Newman, M. S. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 4785.
- [17] Yamazaki, S.; Yamamoto, Y.; Fukushima, Y.; Takebayashi, M.; Ukai, T.; Mikata, Y. *J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, *75*, 5216.
- [18] Xiang, X.; Shen, Q.; Wang, J.; Zhu, Z.; Huang, W.; Zhou, X. Organometallics 2008, 27, 1959.
- [19] Yamazaki, S.; Yamamoto, Y.; Fukushima, Y.; Takebayashi, M.; Ukai, T.; Mikata, Y. *J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, *75*, 5216.
- [20] Traylor, T. G.; Goldberg. M. J. Organometallics 1987, 6, 2413.
- [21] Clavier, H.; Le Jeune, K.; de Riggi, I.; Tenaglia, A.; Buono, G. Org. Lett. 2010, 13, 308.

- [22] Arndt, M.; Hilt, G.; Khlebnikov, A. F.; Kozhushkov, S. I.; de Meijere, A. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, 3112.
- [23] Ginotra, S. K.; Friest, J. A.; Berkowitz, D. B. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 968.
- [24] Yamazaki, S.; Yamamoto, Y.; Fukushima, Y.; Takebayashi, M.; Ukai, T.; Mikata, Y. *J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, *75*, 5216.
- [25] Shintani, R.; Iino, R.; Nozaki. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 7849.









































