## SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR "Conduction Properties of Bipyridinium Functionalized Molecular Wires"

Alexei Bagrets<sup>1,2</sup>, Andreas Arnold<sup>2</sup>, and Ferdinand Evers<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Nanotechnology, Research Center Karlsruhe, PO Box 3640, D-76021, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Institut für Theorie der Kondensierten Materie,

Universität Karlsruhe, D-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany

Supplementary information on electron transport properties of alkanedithiol wires is presented.

Figure 1S illustrates electron tunneling through alkanedithiols. Since the Fermi energy  $(E_F)$  is placed inside the HOMO-LUMO gap, the transmission T(E) through a Au-alkanedithiol-Au junction drops rapidly around -2.2 eV below  $E_F$  (alkane HOMO,  $E_{\text{HOMO}}$ ) and a nearly insulating gap spreads up all the way until 5.3 eV (alkane LUMO). Expected with tunneling mechanism, the conductance through *n*-alkanedithiols decays exponentially (Fig.1Sb):  $G(n) = G_c \exp(-\beta_n n)$  with  $\beta_n \propto \sqrt{\Phi_A} d_0$ , where  $\Phi_A = E_F - E_{\text{HOMO}}$  is the potential barrier height,  $d_0 = 1.28$  Å is a unit length of alkane chain, and  $G_c$  is a contact conductance. Using the standard BP86 GGAfunctional [1], we obtained  $\beta_n = 0.83$  per CH<sub>2</sub> group.



FIG. 1S: (a) Energy dependent transmission through the alkanedithiol wire with n = 7 units (inset shows alkane's HOMO); (b) Chain length dependence of the single molecule conductance — experimental (gray circles, Ref. 4) vs calculated points (open circles, GGA functional) are shown; the dashed line with a slope  $\beta_n = 1.0$  is an extrapolation of calculated values accounting for the higher tunneling barrier obtained within the hybrid B3LYP functional; (c) Junction geometry used in calculations with both S atoms in "atop" position.

In order to estimate the uncertainty related to a local GGA functional, we have tested hybrid methods, based on the DFT and Hartree-Fock approaches. Calculation within the B3LYP functional [2] leads to a downward shift of the alkane-HOMO with respect to  $E_F$  that implies a higher tunneling barrier  $\Phi_A^* = 3.1$ eV. Such a behavior is due to a partially recovered (-1/r) asymptotic of the exact Kohn-Sham potential. Assuming the contact conductance  $G_c$  to be unchanged, and scaling the tunneling exponent as  $\beta_n^* \simeq \beta_n \sqrt{\Phi_A^*/\Phi_A}$ , we find a slightly higher value  $\beta_n^* \simeq 1.0$  (dashed line in Fig.1Sb) [3] even closer to the experimental data of Ref. 4.

The transport properties of alkanethiols and -dithiols were explored intensively: in particular, the data of Ref. 4 (Fig. 1Sb) are consistent with *low* values reported by Tao *et al.* [5]. However, different experimental groups observed different exponents. While most of researches found  $\beta_n \approx 1.0$  [5–7], absolute values of conductances attributed to single molecules are not unique, as well as sometimes smaller values for  $\beta_n$  ranging from ~ 0.8 down to ~ 0.5 have been observed [8]. We mention, that this puzzling controversy has been addressed in our recent study [9], where we proposed the different sulfur-gold couplings and trans/gauche conformations as a plausible explanation for several conductance traces G(n).

- a) Becke, A. D. Phys. Rev. A **1988**, 38, 3098-3100; b)
  Perdew, J. P. Phys. Rev. B **1986**, 33, 8822-8824.
- [2] Becke, A. D. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 5648-5652.
- [3] The value  $\beta_n = 1.0$  is close to estimates based on a complex band structure analysis: a) Tomfohr, J. K.; Sankey, O. F. *Phys. Stat. Sol.(b)* **2002**, *233*, 59-69; b) Picaud, F.; et al. *J. Phys.: Cond. Matter* **2003**, *15*, 3731-3740.
- [4] Li, Zh.; Pobelov, I.; Han, B.; Wandlowski Th., Błaszczyk, A.; Mayor, M. Nanotechnology 2007, 18, 044018-8.
- [5] Li, X.; He, J.; Hihath, J.; Xu, B.; Lindsay, S. M.; Tao, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 2135-2141.
- [6] Xu, B.; Tao N. J. Science 2003, 301, 1221-1223.
- [7] Jang, S.-Y.; Reddy, P.; Majumdar, A.; Segalman, R. A. Nano Lett. 2006, 6, 2362-2367.
- [8] a) Haiss, W.; et al. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2004, 6, 4330-4337; b) Wierzbinski E.; Slowinski, K. Langmuir 2006, 22, 5205-5208.
- [9] Li, Ch.; Pobelov, I.; Wandlowski, Th.; Bagrets, A.; Arnold, A.; Evers, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 318.