# **Do Patient-Experience Publications Have Authors with Patient Experience?**

Dawn Lobban<sup>a</sup> (ORCID: 0000-0002-3312-4857), Amanda Boughey<sup>a</sup>, Richard Stephens<sup>b,c</sup> (ORCID: 0000-0002-5943-1310), Karen Woolley<sup>d,e,f</sup> (ORCID: 0000-0003-4626-7723) <sup>a</sup>Envision Pharma Group, Wilmslow, UK; <sup>b</sup>Patient author; <sup>c</sup>Research Involvement and Engagement journal; <sup>d</sup>Caregiver author; <sup>e</sup>University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia; <sup>f</sup>University of the Sunshine Coast, Sippy Downs, Australia

# Background

- patients' experiences, perspectives, needs, and technology assessment (HTA)<sup>1</sup>
- patient-experience research, patients should be involved in:
- communities using accessible formats (eg, plain language summaries)<sup>1</sup>
- adhere to the values for patient involvement in HTA (relevance, fairness, equity, legitimacy, capacity access to new treatments







Presented at the 19th Annual Meeting of the International Society for Medical Publication Professionals (April 24-26, 2023; Washington DC, USA)

honorarium in recognition of his expertise and KW was compensated for medical writing tasks.

## **Results (continued)**

### **B** Publication Accessibility





Plain language summaries: patient-experience publications with patient authors were significantly more likely to include a plain language summary than those without patient authors (OR, 95% CI: 97.02, 2.04–837.02; P = 0.0124)

### **C** Patient Authorship and HTA Patient Involvement Values

Value	Demonstrated with patient authorship	Author quote
1 Relevance	$\bigcirc$	"Valuable lived experience and unique perspectives of patients are captured in the medical literature for use in HTA assessments"
2 Fairness	$\bigcirc$	"Patients have the same right to publish and have their important contributions captured in the literature as other key stakeholders"
3 Equity		"Patient-experience publications should include diverse voices; the voice of patients should help identify areas where health systems are not distributing resources fairly"
4 Legitimacy	$(\checkmark)$	"By co-authoring publications, patient authors can contribute to the body of evidence and participate in the HTA assessment process, adding to the credibility of the decision-making process"
5 Capacity building		"Patient authors <b>are</b> the experts on the patient experience so authoring publications in this area draws on their expertise – this is a highly relevant and credible way to start building authorship capacity among patients who want to contribute as authors to the peer-reviewed literature"

### Limitations

# Conclusions

- Patient authorship was significantly associated with increased publication accessibility and exemplified HTA patient involvement values
- Patients should be involved in planning and conducting patient-experience research, as well as authoring patient-experience publications





### • Patient authorship was significantly associated with increased accessibility

Open access: patient-experience publications **with** patient authors were significantly more likely to be published open access than those without patient authors (OR, 95% CI: 16.58, 4.16–143.88; P < 0.0001)

• Patient authorship exemplified all HTA patient involvement values

• Study limitations include PubMed searchability (eg, variable author affiliation and article tagging practices, especially for patient-experience research and plain language summaries)

• Patient-experience publications rarely included authors with patient experience

Please scan this QR code to hear an audio summary of the poster



Please scan this QR code to view a digital version of the poster