1	Stability and aggregation behavior of metal oxide nanoparticles in natural aqueous
2	matrices
3	
4	Supporting Information
5	
6	
7	Arturo A. Keller ^{1,a} , Hongtao Wang ^{a,b} , Dongxu Zhou ^a , Hunter Lenihan ^a , Gary Cherr ^c , Brad
8	Cardinale ^a and Robert Miller ^a
9	
10	^a University of California, Santa Barbara
11	^b Tongji University, Shanghai, China
12	^c University of California, Davis
13	
14	
15	The supporting information section consists of six pages (A through 5) and includes five
16	figures.
17	
18	
19	

¹Corresponding author: 3420 Bren Hall, Bren School of Environmental Science & Management, Univ. of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93109. Tel: 805-453-1822. Email: keller@bren.ucsb.edu.



Figure S-1. Correlation between UV₂₅₄ and TOC. UV₂₅₄ was a good predictor for TOC
for most of these complex water matrices.



23



24



Figure S-2. Electrophoretic mobility of the three metal oxide nanoparticles as a function of (a) pH, normalized using pH - PZC; (b) ionic strength at a given pH; and (c) NOM, at the same pH as in S-2b and with an ionic strength of 10 mM.



39 Figure S-4. Dynamic light scattering studies of the aggregation of TiO₂ at 3 different



- 41 freshwater. The average index of polydispersity in seawater was 0.27 for 10 mg L^{-1} , 0.37
- 42 for 50 mg L^{-1} , and 0.62 for 100 mg L^{-1} . For freshwater, the average index of
- 43 polydispersity was 0.27 for 10 mg L^{-1} , 0.28 for 50 mg L^{-1} , and 0.30 for 100 mg L^{-1} .

44



45

46 Figure S-5. UV-Vis spectra for the three metal oxide nanoparticles. Left y-axis for CeO₂
47 and ZnO, right y-axis for TiO₂.

48

49 Attachment efficiency calculations

50 The attachment efficiency of two colloids can be determined from their rate of 51 aggregation [35, 36] or by measuring the effect of aggregation, which is the 52 sedimentation of the aggregates [41]. As the nanoparticles aggregate and settle out of the 53 suspension, the optical absorbance decreases. Thus, one can measure the change optical 54 absorbance with time, which can be related to the normalized nanoparticle concentration 55 C/C_o , where C is the concentration in time, and C_o is the initial concentration (i.e. the 56 initial absorbance at time 0). The sedimentation rate is then d $(C/C_0)/dt$. As can be 57 observed in Figure 2, under conditions that lead to fast sedimentation, there is an initial 58 high rate of sedimentation which decreases as the number of nanoparticles and small 59 aggregates in solution decreases. Thus, we consider only the initial rate up to a 5%

60 decrease in normalized concentration, within the first few minutes, as the rate of 61 sedimentation of interest for understanding nanoparticle attachment, just as is done using 62 the rate of aggregation of nanoparticle to doublets [6]. For the more stable conditions (e.g. 63 Figure 4, in freshwater), we use the initial rate of sedimentation up to 60 min, since in 64 some cases the dispersion is so stable that we do not observe any settling in the first 65 minutes. To determine the apparent attachment coefficients, α , we consider the rate of 66 sedimentation divided by:

$$67 \qquad \alpha = k_{sed,i}/k_{sed,seawater} \qquad (Equation S-1)$$

where $k_{sed,i}$ is the sedimentation for the ith given condition (e.g. freshwater, groundwater) 68 69 and $k_{sed,seawater}$ is the rate in the fastest sedimentation conditions (i.e. seawater in all cases). 70 By this definition, we assign an attachment coefficient of 1 to seawater, and all others 71 range from 0 to 1. The rate of aggregation in seawater is essentially the diffusion-limited 72 aggregation rate, k_{Smol} , also denominated the Smoluchowski aggregation rate [44], k_{Smol} = $8k_BT/3\mu$, where k_B is the Boltzmann constant = 1.38066 x 10⁻¹⁹ J K⁻¹; T is the absolute 73 temperature; and μ is the solution's viscosity. The sedimentation rate at 10 mg L⁻¹ was 74 75 chosen for calculating α since it is not as influenced by the collision frequency as at higher concentrations. 76

- 77
- 78