Supporting Information

Synthesis, Photo-, and Electrochemistry of Ruthenium Tris(bipyridine) Complexes Comprising a N-heterocyclic Carbene Ligand

Vivienne Leigh, Wadih Ghattas, Ralte Lalrempuia, Helge Müller-Bunz, Mary T. Pryce, and Martin Albrecht*



Figure S1 UV-vis spectra of complexes 3a-e and $[Ru(bpy)_3](PF_6)_2$ recorded in MeCN solution, inset shows an expansion of the MLCT region.

Table S1. Maximum emission wavelengths of complexes 3a-e upon irradiation at 355 nm.

complex	λ_{max}
3 a	620 nm
3 b	617 nm
3c	618 nm
3d	610 nm
3e	648 nm



Figure S2 Cyclic voltammogram of complex **3c** in MeCN with internal standard ferrocene/ ferrocenium ($E_{1/2} = 0.46$ V vs. SCE).



Figure S3 Superimposition of geometry-optimized structures (mm2) of $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$ and complex cation of **3a**, indicating the similar steric demand of the bpy and the carbene-pyridyl ligand with a methyl wingtip group. Panel A: view orthogonal to the carbene plane, with the carbene/pyridine superimposition at the bottom. Panel B: in-plane view with the carbene/pyridine superimposition on the left, clearly indicating a very similar steric impact of both ligands. The calculated bite angles of the pyridyl-carbene ligand ($\beta = 77.7^{\circ}$) is only marginally different from the bite angle of the bpy ligand ($\beta = 77.3^{\circ}$).

	2a	5
CCDC number	922584	922585
Empirical formula	C ₁₉ H ₂₃ ClF ₆ N ₃ PRu	$C_{25}H_{30}F_6N_6O_8RuS_4$
Formula weight	574.89	885.86
Temperature	100(2) K	100(2) K
Wavelength	0.71073 Å	0.71073 Å
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	P2 ₁ /c (#14)	P2 ₁ (#4)
Unit cell dimensions	a = 11.9352(4) Å	a = 9.3866(3) Å
	b = 10.9933(3) Å	b = 10.9572(3) Å
	c = 16.9445(4) Å	c = 16.3408(5) Å
	$\beta = 96.926(2)^{\circ}$	$\beta = 91.475(3)^{\circ}$
Volume	2206.99(10) Å ³	$1680.11(9) \text{ Å}^3$
Ζ	4	2
Density (calculated)	1.730 Mg m^{-3}	1.751 Mg m^{-3}
Absorption coefficient	0.966 mm^{-1}	0.805 mm^{-1}
F(000)	1152	896
Crystal size	$0.132 \times 0.096 \times 0.061 \text{ mm}^3$	$0.149 \times 0.096 \times 0.087 \text{ mm}^3$
θ range	3.35–33.15°	3.35–29.81°
Index ranges	$-18 \le h \le 17, -16 \le k \le 16, -25 \le l \le 25$	−12≤h≤12, −15≤k≤15, −22≤l≤21
Reflections collected	113230	30148
Independent reflections	8059 [R(int) = 0.0591]	8421 [R(int) = 0.0291]
Completeness	99.9 % (θ = 31.00°)	99.3 % (θ = 28.00°)
Absorption correction	Analytical	Analytical
Max., min. transmission	0.954, 0.912	0.942, 0.911
Data	8059	8421
Restraints, parameters	0, 284	1, 457
Goodness-of-fit	1.062	1.063
Final R indices [I>20(I)]	R1 = 0.0386, $wR2 = 0.1009$	R1 = 0.0335, $wR2 = 0.0814$
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0493, wR2 = 0.1055	R1 = 0.0357, wR2 = 0.0829
Flack parameter	n.a.	-0.01(2)
Largest diff. peak, hole	3.692, -1.334 e Å ⁻³	1.922, -0.602 e Å ⁻³

Table S2. Crystal data and structure refinement for complexes 2a and 5.