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## Chemical synthesis of coumarin derivatives

General procedures for the preparation of coumarin derivatives
Under an atmosphere of argon, 1.00 eq. of substituted salicylaldehyde, 1.20 eq. of potassium carbonate, 2.50 eq. of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated aldehyde and 1.20 eq. of 1,3-dimethylimidazolium dimethylphosphate were suspended in toluene ( $3.3 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ salicylaldehyde). The reaction vessel was subjected to microwave irradiation to keep a constant temperature at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 50 min (max. 200 watt) while being stirred. After cooling to rt the reaction was quenched by addition of water. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc, the combined organic phases were dried over sodium sulfate and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The products were purified by flash column chromatography.

## Analytical data of coumarin derivatives



Figure S1. Crystal structure of $\mathbf{1 4}$ (displacement parameters are drawn at 50\% probability level).

## NMR spectral data

NMR spectral data of previously published compounds can be found in references 1 and 2 .


Figure S2. Antagonistic activity of $\mathbf{3 5}$ in $\beta$-arrestin recruitment assays performed with CHO cells stably expressing the human GPR55. (A) Concentration-dependent $\beta$-arrestin recruitment by the agonist LPI in absence and presence of $\mathbf{3 5}$ in different concentrations. $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values: LPI: $2.47 \pm 0.89 \mu \mathrm{M}$; LPI + $35(10 \mu \mathrm{M}): 21.8 \pm 1.8 \mu \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{LPI}+35(3 \mu \mathrm{M}): 8.11 \pm 2.15 \mu \mathrm{M}$; LPI $+35(1 \mu \mathrm{M}): 3.51 \pm 0.46 \mu \mathrm{M}$. (B) Concentration-dependent inhibition of LPI $(1 \mu \mathrm{M})$ effect on $\beta$-arrestin recruitment by 35. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}: 0.981 \pm$ $0.140 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Data points represent means $\pm$ SEMs of three independent experiments, performed in duplicates.


Figure S3. Schild regression for 35 antagonism of LPI-induced $\beta$-arrestin recruitment to human GPR55. The regression is linear ( $\mathrm{r}^{2}: 0.99$ ) with a slope of $1.270 \pm 0.121$. A $K_{\mathrm{B}}$-value of $1.87 \mu \mathrm{M}$ was determined.


Figure S4. Gaddum plot for 49. [A] and [A"] represent equiactive concentrations of the agonist LPI in the presence and absence of the allosteric modulator NV-435 (10 $\mu \mathrm{M})$. The regression is linear $\left(\mathrm{r}^{2}: 0.99\right)$ with a slope of $1.605 \pm 0.023$. A $K_{\mathrm{B}}$-value of $16.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ could be determined by application of the following equation: $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{B}}=[$ Antagonist $] /($ slope -1$)$.


Figure S5. (A) Gaddum plot for 69. [A] and [ A "] represent equiactive concentrations of the agonist LPI in the presence and absence of the allosteric modulator $\mathbf{6 9}(1 \mu \mathrm{M})$. The regression is linear $\left(\mathrm{r}^{2}: 0.99\right)$ with a slope of $2.782 \pm 0.123$. A $K_{\mathrm{B}}$-value of $0.561 \mu \mathrm{M}$ was determined for $\mathbf{6 9}$ by application of the following equation: $K_{B}=[$ Antagonist $] /($ slope -1$)$. (B) Concentration response curve of the agonist LPI in the absence and presence of the allosteric modulator $69(1 \mu \mathrm{M})$. The determined $\mathrm{pA}_{2}$-value was 0.483 $\pm 0.198 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The $\mathrm{pA}_{2}$ value was determined using equiactive agonist concentrations at a level of $30 \%$ of the maximal response of the depressed concentration-response curve. Data points represent means $\pm$ SEMs of three independent experiments, performed in duplicates.


Figure S6. Antagonistic activity of 47 in $\beta$-arrestin recruitment assays performed with CHO cells stably expressing the human GPR55. (A) Concentration-dependent $\beta$-arrestin recruitment by the agonist LPI in the absence and presence of $\mathbf{4 7}(10 \mu \mathrm{M}) . \mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values: LPI: $0.769 \pm 0.056 \mu \mathrm{M}$; LPI $+47(10$ $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ): $1.51 \pm 0.12 \mu \mathrm{M}$. A pA $2_{2}$ value of $11.2 \pm 2.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ could be determined. (B) Concentration-dependent inhibition of LPI $(1 \mu \mathrm{M})$ effect on $\beta$-arrestin recruitment by $47 . \mathrm{IC}_{50}: 6.35 \pm 2.66 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Data points represent means $\pm$ SEMs of three independent experiments, performed in duplicates.


Figure S7. Antagonistic activity of 71 in $\beta$-arrestin recruitment assays performed with CHO cells stably expressing the human GPR55. (A) Concentration-dependent $\beta$-arrestin recruitment by the agonist LPI in the absence and presence of 71 (different concentrations). $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values: LPI: $1.59 \pm 0.24 \mu \mathrm{M}$; LPI $+71(3 \mu \mathrm{M}): 5.22 \pm 2.01 \mu \mathrm{M}$; LPI $+71(1 \mu \mathrm{M}): 2.23 \pm 0.09 \mu \mathrm{M}$; LPI $+71(0.3 \mu \mathrm{M}): 1.56 \pm 0.24 \mu \mathrm{M}$. A $\mathrm{pA}_{2}$ value of $0.340 \pm 0.071 \mu \mathrm{M}$ could be determined. (B) Concentration-dependent inhibition of LPI (1 $\mu \mathrm{M})$ effect on $\beta$-arrestin recruitment by $71 . \mathrm{IC}_{50}: 0.854 \pm 0.454 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Data points represent means $\pm$ SEMs of three independent experiments, performed in duplicates.

Table S1. Intrinsic activities of selected coumarin derivatives at $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$ receptors ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$


| Compd | $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{5}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{6}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{7}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{8}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { human } \\ \mathbf{C B}_{1} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { human } \\ \mathbf{C B}_{2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | cAMP <br> EC | nulation <br> EM |


| Standard agonists and antagonists |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CP55,940 |  | $0.00228^{1,23}$ <br> $(100)$ | $0.00100^{1,23}$ <br> $(100)$ |
| rimonabant |  | $(0)$ | $(0)$ |
| $\Delta^{9}-\mathbf{T H C}$ |  | 0.00676 <br> $\pm 0.00361$ <br> $(67)$ | 0.0140 <br> $\pm 0.0068$ <br> $(34)$ |

Coumarin derivatives I: with small 7-substituents

| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 2-methoxy- <br> benzyl | methyl | H | H | methyl | n.d. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ <br> $(7)$ | n.d. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ <br> $(22)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3 1}$ | 3-methoxy- <br> benzyl | methoxy | H | Br | H | n.d. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ <br> $(0)$ | n.d. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ <br> $(0)$ |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ <br> (PSB-SB- <br> $258)$ | 2-methoxy- <br> benzyl | methyl | methoxy | methyl | methyl | n.d. $^{\text {c }}$ <br> $(0)$ | n.d. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ <br> $(55)$ |
| $\mathbf{4 3}$ | 3-methoxy- <br> benzyl | methoxy | H | bromomethyl | H | n.d. $^{\text {c }}$ <br> $(23)$ | n.d. $^{\text {c }}$ <br> $(0)$ |

Coumarin derivatives II: 7-pentyl-substitution

| 46 | 4-methoxy- <br> 3,5- <br> dimethyl- <br> benzyl | methoxy | H | pentyl | H | n.d. $^{\text {c }}$ <br> $(39)$ | n.d. $^{\text {c }}$ <br> $(74)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4 7}$ | 2-methoxy- <br> benzyl | methoxy | H | pentyl | H | $0.0561^{1}$ <br> $(93)$ | $0.0139^{1}$ <br> $(106)$ |
| $\mathbf{4 8}$ | 2-hydroxy- <br> benzyl | hydroxy | H | pentyl | H | n.d. $^{\text {c }}$ <br> $(109)$ | n.d. <br> $(0)$ |
| 49 <br> (PSB-SB- <br> 435) | 3-methoxy- <br> benzyl | methoxy | H | pentyl | H | $0.430^{1}$ <br> $(58)$ | $0.112^{1}$ <br> $(93)$ |
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| 50 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3-hydroxy- } \\ & \text { benzyl } \end{aligned}$ | hydroxy | H | pentyl | H | $\begin{gathered} \text { n.d. }^{\text {c }} \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | n.d. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ <br> (0) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51 | benzyl | methoxy | H | pentyl | H | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n.d. }^{\text {c }} \\ & \text { (58) } \end{aligned}$ | n.d. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ <br> (4) |
| 52 | benzyl | hydroxy | H | pentyl | H | n.d. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ <br> (0) | n.d. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ <br> (0) |
| 53 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2-methyl- } \\ & \text { benzyl } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | methoxy | H | pentyl | H | $\begin{gathered} \text { n.d. }^{\mathrm{c}} \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n.d. }^{\text {c }} \\ & \text { (57) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 54 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2-methyl- } \\ & \text { benzyl } \end{aligned}$ | hydroxy | H | pentyl | H | $\begin{gathered} \text { n.d. }^{\text {c }} \\ \text { (9) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n.d. }^{\text {c }} \\ & \text { (12) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 55 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3-methyl- } \\ & \text { benzyl } \end{aligned}$ | methoxy | H | pentyl | H | $\begin{gathered} \text { n.d. }^{\text {c }} \\ \text { (0) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n.d. }{ }^{\text {c }} \\ & \text { (50) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 56 | 3-methyl- benzyl | hydroxy | H | pentyl | H | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n.d. }{ }^{\text {n }} \\ & \text { (42) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n.d. }{ }^{\text {c }} \\ & \text { (10) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 57 | 2-chlorobenzyl | methoxy | H | pentyl | H | n.d. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ <br> (6) | n.d. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ <br> (47) |
| 58 | 2-chlorobenzyl | hydroxy | H | pentyl | H | n.d. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ <br> (60) | n.d. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ <br> (2) |
| 59 | 3-chlorobenzyl | methoxy | H | pentyl | H | $\begin{gathered} \text { n.d. }^{\text {c }} \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n.d. }{ }^{\text {c }} \\ & \text { (40) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 60 | 3-chlorobenzyl | hydroxy | H | pentyl | H | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n.d. }{ }^{\text {c }} \\ & \text { (70) } \end{aligned}$ | n.d. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ <br> (0) |
| 61 | 4-chlorobenzyl | methoxy | H | pentyl | H | n.d. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ (11) | n.d. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ <br> (47) |
| 62 | 4-fluorobenzyl | methoxy | H | pentyl | H | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n.d. }^{\text {c }} \\ & (98) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n.d. }^{\text {c }} \\ & (91) \end{aligned}$ |
| 63 | 4-bromobenzyl | methoxy | H | pentyl | H | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n.d. }^{\text {c }} \\ & \text { (17) } \end{aligned}$ | n.d. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ <br> (0) |

Coumarin derivatives III: long, branched 7-substituent

| 64 | benzyl | methoxy | H | $\begin{array}{\|l} 1,1- \\ \text { dimethylheptyl } \end{array}$ | H | n.d. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ <br> (27) | n.d. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ <br> (0) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65 | benzyl | hydroxy | H | $\begin{aligned} & 1,1- \\ & \text { dimethylheptyl } \end{aligned}$ | H | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n.d. }^{\text {c }} \\ & \text { (84) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n.d. }^{\text {c }} \\ & \text { (32) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 66 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2-methoxy- } \\ & \text { benzyl } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | methoxy | H | $\begin{aligned} & 1,1- \\ & \text { dimethylheptyl } \end{aligned}$ | H | n.d. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ <br> (0) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n.d. }{ }^{\text {c }} \\ & \text { (30) } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \mathbf{6 7} \\ \text { (PSB-SB- } \\ \hline 1203) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2-hydroxy- } \\ & \text { benzyl } \end{aligned}$ | hydroxy | H | $\begin{aligned} & 1,1- \\ & \text { dimethylheptyl } \end{aligned}$ | H | n.d. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ <br> (0) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0542^{1} \\ (76) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \mathbf{6 9} \\ \text { (PSB-SB- } \\ 487) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2-hydroxy- } \\ & \text { benzyl } \end{aligned}$ | hydroxy | H | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1,1- } \\ & \text { dimethyloctyl } \end{aligned}$ | H | n.d. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ <br> (0) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n.d. }{ }^{\text {c }} \\ & \text { (52) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 70 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2-methoxy- } \\ & \text { benzyl } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | methoxy | H | 1-butylcylopentyl | H | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n.d. }^{\text {c }} \\ & (110) \end{aligned}$ | n.d. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ <br> (3) |
| 71 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2-hydroxy- } \\ & \text { benzyl } \end{aligned}$ | hydroxy | H | 1-butylcylopentyl | H | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n.d. }{ }^{\text {c }} \\ & \text { (37) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0480^{1} \\ (106) \end{gathered}$ |
| 73 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2-hydroxy- } \\ & \text { benzyl } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | hydroxy | H | 1-butylcylcohexyl | H | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n.d. }{ }^{\text {c }} \\ & \text { (81) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.179 \\ (76) \end{gathered}$ |

[^0]${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ effect of test compounds $(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ on inhibition of forskolin $(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$-stimulated cAMP production was related to the effect of the full agonist CP55,940 ( $=100 \%$ ). CP55,940 was used in a concentration of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$.
${ }^{c}$ n.d. not determined

Table S2. Intrinsic activities of coumarin derivatives at the orphan GPR18 and GPR55 receptors ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| compd | $\beta$-arrestin recruitment assay |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | human GPR18 | human GPR18 | human GPR55 | human GPR55 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{E C}_{50} \pm \text { SEM } \\ (\mu \mathrm{M}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{I C}_{50} \pm \text { SEM } \\ (\mu \mathrm{M}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{E C}_{50} \pm \text { SEM } \\ (\mu \mathrm{M}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{I C}_{50} \pm \text { SEM } \\ (\mu \mathrm{M}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Standard agonists and antagonists |  |  |  |  |
| LPI | > $10(44 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(15 \%){ }^{\text {c }}$ | $1.00 \pm 0.25$ | n.d. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| CP55,940 | > $10(0 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $5.99 \pm 1.88$ | > $10(4 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $1.89{ }^{3}$ |
| rimonabant | > $10(0 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $10.1 \pm 1.3$ | $2.01{ }^{3}$ | n.d. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| $\Delta^{9}$-THC | $4.61 \pm 0.50$ | > $10(0 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | > $10(0 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $14.2 \pm 5.4$ |
| Coumarin derivatives I: with small 7-substituents |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathbf{1 0} \\ \text { (PSB-SB-115) } \end{array}$ | > 10 (10\%) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(11 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(0 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $3.45 \pm 0.36$ |
| 11 | > $10(25 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | > $10(12 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | > $10(0 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $5.33 \pm 1.10$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1 2} \\ & \text { (PSB-SB-489) } \end{aligned}$ | > $10(10 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | > 10 (32\%) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | > $10(15 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $1.77 \pm 0.23$ |
| 13 | > 10 (7\%) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | > $10(0 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | > $10(0 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $7.14 \pm 2.66$ |
| 14 | > $10(12 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $11.3 \pm 2.0$ | > $10(0 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $5.70 \pm 1.62$ |
| 15 | > $10(27 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | > $10(0 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | > 10 (37\%) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $>10(46 \%)^{\text {f }}$ |
| 16 | > $10(19 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | > $10(0 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | > $10(20 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | > $10(40 \%)^{\text {f }}$ |
| 17 | > $10(11 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | > $10(7 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | > $10(0 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | > $10(28 \%)^{\text {f }}$ |
| 18 | > $10(9 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | > $10(9 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | > $10(0 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $>10(13 \%)^{\text {f }}$ |
| 19 | > 10 (3\%) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | > $10(9 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(18 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $\sim 10$ (54\%) ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| 20 | > $10(4 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | > $10(0 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | > $10(0 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | > $10(45 \%)^{\text {f }}$ |
| 21 | > $10(18 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | > $10(0 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | > 10 (11\%) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | > $10(16 \%)^{f}$ |
| 22 | > $10(8 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | > $10(0 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | > $10(10 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | > $10(7 \%)^{\text {f }}$ |
| 23 | > $10(1 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | > $10(0 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | > $10(18 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | > $10(5 \%)^{\text {f }}$ |
| 24 | n.d. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | n.d. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | $>10(0 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $2.81 \pm 1.16$ |
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| 25 | > $10(31 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | > 10 (9\%) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | > $10(0 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $>10(28 \%)^{\text {f }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | > $10(29 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(29 \%){ }^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(5 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $>10(43 \%)^{\text {f }}$ |
| 27 | $>10$ (3\%) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(34 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(4 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $>10(6 \%)^{\text {f }}$ |
| 28 | $>10(0 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(4 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(26 \%){ }^{\text {d }}$ | $>10(2 \%){ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| 29 | $>10(10 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(11 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(0 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $9.38 \pm 0.58$ |
| 30 | $>10(0 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(13 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(0 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | > $10(54 \%)^{\text {f }}$ |
| 31 | $>10(0 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $>10$ (31\%) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(0 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $3.99 \pm 0.75$ |
| 32 | $>10(3 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(18 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(0 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $>10(45 \%)^{\text {f }}$ |
| 33 | $>10(14 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(24 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(0 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $6.74 \pm 2.04$ |
| 34 | > $10(9 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(14 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | > 10 (39\%) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | > $10(42 \%)^{\text {f }}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & \text { (PSB-SB-258) } \end{aligned}$ | $>10(4 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(27 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(0 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $0.981 \pm 0.140$ |
| 36 | > $10(0 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $\geq 10(46 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | > $10(0 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $12.8 \pm 3.2$ |
| 37 | $>10(14 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(16 \%){ }^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(2 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $9.32 \pm 1.05$ |
| 38 | $>10(0 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(32 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(0 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $13.5 \pm 4.3$ |
| 39 | $>10(12 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $\geq 10(47 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(3 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $10.3 \pm 0.7$ |
| 40 | $>10$ (4\%) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(33 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(0 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $7.69 \pm 1.71$ |
| 41 | $>10(12 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(38 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(2 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $5.16 \pm 0.73$ |
| 42 | $>10(13 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(25 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(1 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | > $10(13 \%)^{\text {f }}$ |
| 43 | $>10(9 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(27 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(8 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | > $10(18 \%)^{\text {f }}$ |
| 44 | $>10(12 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(13 \%){ }^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(0 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $\sim 10$ (53\%) ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| 45 | $>10(17 \%){ }^{\text {b }}$ | > $10(0 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(23 \%){ }^{\text {d }}$ | $>10(25 \%)^{\text {f }}$ |
| Coumarin derivatives II: 7-pentyl-substitution |  |  |  |  |
| 46 | $>10$ (9\%) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(27 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(0 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $>10(44 \%)^{\text {f }}$ |
| 47 | $>10(1 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(20 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(10 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $6.35 \pm 2.66$ |
| 48 | n.d. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | n.d. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | $>10(0 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | > $10(37 \%)^{\text {f }}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{4 9} \\ & \text { (PSB-SB-435) } \end{aligned}$ | $>10(9 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(33 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(30 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $3.23 \pm 0.31$ |


| 50 | > $10(0 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(37 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | > $10(25 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $10.6 \pm 4.9$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51 | $>10(0 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $\geq 10(46 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | > $10(22 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $>10(36 \%)^{\text {f }}$ |
| 52 | > $10(5 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(33 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | > $10(47 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | > $10(0 \%)^{\text {f }}$ |
| 53 | > $10(0 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(34 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(17 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $5.08 \pm 1.05$ |
| 54 | > $10(0 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(10 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(26 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $>10(19 \%)^{\text {f }}$ |
| 55 | $>10(0 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(27 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(39 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $>10(27 \%)^{\text {f }}$ |
| 56 | $>10(0 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(33 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(19 \%){ }^{\text {d }}$ | $>10(19 \%){ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| 57 | $>10(0 \%){ }^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(25 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(26 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $9.00 \pm 2.44$ |
| 58 | > $10(11 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | > $10(0 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(41 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $>10(0 \%)^{\text {f }}$ |
| 59 | $>10(0 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $\geq 10(47 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(20 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $>10(36 \%)^{\text {f }}$ |
| 60 | $>10(0 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(44 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(54 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $>10(30 \%)^{\text {f }}$ |
| 61 | $>10(0 \%){ }^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(50 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(10 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $3.29 \pm 1.30$ |
| 62 | $>10(0 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(35 \%){ }^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(0 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $\sim 10$ (57\%) ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| 63 | > $10(0 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(30 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | > $10(0 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $3.76 \pm 1.46$ |
| Coumarin derivatives III: long, branched 7-substituent |  |  |  |  |
| 64 | $>10(0 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(31 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(26 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $\sim 10$ (51\%) ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| 65 | $>10(0 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $8.10 \pm 0.58$ | $>10(5 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $0.358 \pm 0.089$ |
| 66 | $>10(1 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(26 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(57 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $>10(25 \%){ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 67 \\ & \text { (PSB-SB-1203) } \end{aligned}\right.$ | $>10(0 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $15.9 \pm 4.9$ | $>10(5 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $0.261 \pm 0.181$ |
| 68 | $>10(5 \%){ }^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(33 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(43 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $>10(31 \%)^{\text {f }}$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \mathbf{6 9} \\ \text { (PSB-SB-487) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | > $10(0 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $12.5 \pm 2.9$ | $>10(0 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $0.113 \pm 0.020$ |
| 70 | $>10(3 \%){ }^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(25 \%){ }^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(13 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $>10(30 \%)^{\text {f }}$ |
| 71 | $>10(0 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $\leq 10(57 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(25 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $0.759 \pm 0.415$ |
| 72 | $>10(0 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $>10(33 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | $>10(12 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | > $10(44 \%)^{\text {f }}$ |
| 73 | $>10(0 \%)^{\text {b }}$ | $\leq 10(59 \%){ }^{\text {c }}$ | > $10(7 \%)^{\text {d }}$ | $0.961 \pm 0.431$ |

a all data result from three independent experiments, performed in duplicates.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ effect of test compounds $(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ on $\beta$-arrestin recruitment to human GPR18 related to the effect of $\Delta^{9}$ - THC in a concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}(=100 \%)$.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}} \%$ inhibition of $\Delta^{9}$-THC $(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$-mediated $\beta$-arrestin recruitment by test compounds in a concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$.
${ }^{d}$ effect of test compounds $(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ on $\beta$-arrestin recruitment to human GPR55 related to the effect of LPI in a concentration of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}(=100 \%)$.
${ }^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{n}$.d. $=$ not determined.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}} \%$ inhibition of LPI $(1 \mu \mathrm{M})$-mediated $\beta$-arrestin recruitment by test compounds in a concentration of 10 $\mu \mathrm{M}$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ all data result from three independent experiments, performed in duplicates.

