Supplemental Figures



Figure S1. Beta cell GLP-1R versus GIPR trafficking – extra data. (A) SNAP-GLP-1R vs SNAP-GIPR cell surface levels in in INS-1 832/3 SNAP-GLP-1R vs SNAP-GIPR cells. (B) Level of internalization of GLP-1R compared to GIPR, measured by DERET assay in INS-1 832/3 SNAP-GLP-1R vs SNAP-GIPR cells following 1 hr stimulation with 100 nM GLP-1, GIP or a combination of GLP-1 and GIP and expressed as response AUC; n=3. (C) TR-FRET traces from (B). (D) TR-FRET traces from Figure 1A. (E) Amino acid sequences indicating the position of the modifications in the FITC-labelled agonists.

SNAP-GIPR HALO-GLP-1R



Figure S2. SNAP-GIPR / HALO-GLP-1R internalization in beta cells. Time frame montage with the indicated time points of an INS-1 832/3 WT cell co-expressing SNAP-GIPR + HALO-GLP-1R prior to labeling with SNAP-Surface 549 + HaloTag AlexaFluor 660 impermeable probes and imaging at 10 sec/frame for 10 min post-stimulation with a mixture of 100 nM GLP-1 + GIP; size bar: 10 µm.



В

SNAP-GLP-1R GLP-1-TMR



SNAP-GIPR GIP-TMR





Figure S3. GLP-1- *versus* GIP-TMR uptake in beta cells. (A) Amino acid sequences indicating the position of the modifications in the TMR-labelled agonists. (B) Time frame montage with the indicated time points from INS-1 832/3 SNAP-GLP-1R (top) *vs* -GIPR (bottom) cells labeled with SNAP-Surface 649 and stimulated with 100 nM GLP-1- or GIP-TMR for 5 min; size bars: 10 μ m. (C) Quantification of TMR uptake in INS-1 832/3 WT cells treated with vehicle or 100 nM GLP-1- *vs* GIP-TMR +/- blocking with the corresponding unlabeled agonist at 10 μ M.

Α

SNAP-GLP-1R Rab5-Venus



В

SNAP-GIPR Rab5-Venus



Figure S4. GLP-1R *versus* **GIPR localization to early endosomes in beta cells.** (**A**) Time frame montage with the indicated time points from INS-1 832/3 SNAP-GLP-1R cells transfected with Rab5-Venus prior to labeling with SNAP-Surface 549 and stimulation with 100 nM GLP-1 for 5 min. (**B**) As in (A) but from INS-1 832/3 SNAP-GIPR cells transfected with Rab5-Venus prior to labeling with SNAP-Surface 549 and stimulation with SNAP-Surface 549 and stimulation with Sab5-Venus prior to labeling with SNAP-Surface 549 and stimulation with 100 nM GIP for 5 min. Size bars: 10 μm.

Α

SNAP-GLP-1R Lysotracker



В

SNAP-GIPR Lysotracker



Figure S5. GLP-1R *versus* **GIPR localization to lysosomes in beta cells.** (**A**) Time frame montage with the indicated time points from INS-1 832/3 SNAP-GLP-1R cells labeled with Lysotracker Green + SNAP-Surface 649 and stimulation with 100 nM GLP-1 for 10 min. (**B**) As in (A) but from INS-1 832/3 SNAP-GIPR cells labeled with Lysotracker Green + SNAP-Surface 649 and stimulation with 100 nM GIP for 15 min. Size bars: 10 µm.





Figure S6. GLP-1R *versus* **GIPR localization to recycling endosomes in beta cells.** (**A**) Time frame montage with the indicated time points from INS-1 832/3 SNAP-GLP-1R cells transfected with Rab11-Venus prior to labeling with SNAP-Surface 549 and stimulation with 100 nM GLP-1 for 5 min. (**B**) As in (A) but from INS-1 832/3 SNAP-GIPR cells transfected with Rab11-Venus prior to labeling with SNAP-Surface 549 and stimulation with 100 nM GIP for 5 min. Size bars: 10 μm.



Figure S7. GLP-1R *versus* **GIPR endosomal** *versus* **plasma membrane activity in beta cells** – **extra data.** (**A**) Bystander NanoBiT plasma membrane response curves for each agonist concentration from dose response curves shown in Figure 6A. (**B**) Bystander NanoBiT endosomal response curves for each agonist concentration from dose response curves shown in Figure 6B. Data are mean ± SEM.