Supplementary Tables and Figures

S1: Frequency table for items in the AAG questionnaire (n = 711)

A	AG item (and score)	4 = Strongly agree n (%)	3 = Agree n (%)	2= Neither agree or disagree n (%)	1= Disagree n (%)	0 = Strongly disagree n (%)	Missing n (%)	Mean (95% Cl)	Median
Quartukalmad	 For me, it is difficult to switch off thoughts about the person I have lost. 	309 (43.5)	217 (30.5)	95 (13.4)	58 (8.2)	27 (3.8)	5 (0.7)	3.02 (2.93 to 3.1)	3
Overwhelmed items	5. I feel that I will always carry the pain of grief with me.	330 (46.4)	230 (32.3)	79 (11.1)	47 (6.6)	18 (2.5)	7 (1)	3.15 (3.07 to 3.23)	3
	7. Life has less meaning for me after this loss.	174 (24.5)	172 (24.2)	157 (22.1)	129 (18.1)	69 (9.7)	10 (1.4)	2.36 (2.26 to 2.45)	2
	4. I believe that I must be brave in the face of loss.	102 (14.3)	266 (37.4)	151 (21.2)	126 (17.7)	57 (8)	9 (1.3)	2.33 (2.24 to 2.42)	3
Controlled items	 For me, it is important to keep my grief under control. 	93 (13.1)	284 (39.9)	164 (23.1)	107 (15)	52 (7.3)	11 (1.5)	2.37 (2.28 to 2.45)	3
	 8. I think it's best just to get on with life in spite of this loss. 	44 (6.2)	200 (28.1)	203 (28.6)	153 (21.5)	97 (13.6)	14 (2)	1.92 (1.82 to 1.99)	2
A	AG item (and score)	0 = Strongly agree n (%)	1 = Agree n (%)	2 = Neither agree or disagree n (%)	3 = Disagree n (%)	4 Strongly disagree n (%)	Missing n (%)	Mean (95% CI)	Median
	 I feel able to face the pain which comes with loss. 	55 (7.7)	228 (32.1)	126 (17.7)	173 (24.3)	116 (16.3)	13 (1.8)	2.1 (2.00 to 2.19)	2
Reversed	 I feel very aware of my inner strength when faced with grief. 	88 (12.4)	225 (31.6)	202 (28.4)	141 (19.8)	45 (6.3)	10 (1.4)	1.76 (1.67 to 1.84)	2
Resilience Items	 It may not always feel like it but I do believe that I will come through this experience of grief. 	111 (15.6)	333 (46.8)	145 (20.4)	73 (10.3)	39 (5.5)	10 (1.4)	1.42 (1.35 to 1.51)	1

Table S2: Descriptive statistics for the AAG questionnaire

Subscales/ Total score	п	% Missing	Mean (95% CI) [Median]	SD
Overwhelmed	705	0.8%	8.53 (8.31 to 8.72) [9.00]	2.79
Controlled	700	1.5%	6.61 (6.41 to 6.82) [7.00]	2.71
Reversed Resilience	701	1.4%	5.28 (5.07 to 5.49) [5.00]	2.82
IOV	698	1.8%	20.41 (20.06 to 20.77) [21.00]	4.77
IOV Categories	n (%)			
Low (IOV = 0-20)	338 (48.4)			
High (IOV = 21-23)	163 (23.4)			
Severe (IOV = 24-36)	197 (28.2)			

Table S3: Support needs ranked by mean level of need

. I ()	NA I I	The second second			
-				Median	
••	support		(95% CI)	incular	
needed	needed	needed			
	21 50/	10 70/	3.71	4	
59.8%	21.5%	18.7%	(3.62 to 3.80)	4	
	2 .2.2.4	a a a a í	3.48	2	
49.9%	29.3%	20.8%	(3.39 to 3.57)	3	
53%	23.9%	23%		4	
51.8%	26.7%	21.6%		4	
52.8%	21.1%	26.1%		4	
52.0%	19.1%	29%		4	
01070		_0,0	• •	<u> </u>	
15 0%	27.9%	27%		3	
45.0%	27.970	21/0	(3.20 to 3.39)	5	
AC 70/	21 (0/	21 70/	3.26	2	
46.7%	21.0%	31.7%	(3.15 to 3.36)	3	
		0 - 404	2.98	•	
36.2%	26.4%	37.4%	(2.88 to 3.08)	3	
22.8%	23.9%	42 1%		3	
55.070	23.570	42.170	(2.76 to 2.97)	5	
24 20/	77 20/	F2 20/	2.51	2	
24.3%	22.3%	JJ.J%	(2.41 to 2.61)	2	
			2.48		
23.5%	21.7%	54.7%		2	
15 7%	22 00/	62%	2.25	2	
13.270	22.070	0270	(2.16 to 2.34)	2	
		gh level of support neededlevel of support needed59.8%21.5%49.9%29.3%53%23.9%51.8%26.7%52.8%21.1%52.0%19.1%45.0%27.9%46.7%21.6%36.2%26.4%33.8%23.9%24.3%22.3%	gh level of support neededno support needed59.8%21.5%18.7%49.9%29.3%20.8%53%23.9%23%51.8%26.7%21.6%52.8%21.1%26.1%52.0%19.1%29%45.0%27.9%27%46.7%21.6%31.7%36.2%26.4%37.4%24.3%22.3%53.3%23.5%21.7%54.7%	gh level of support neededlevel of support neededno support neededMean (95% Cl) 59.8% 21.5% 18.7% 3.71 ($3.62 to 3.80$) 49.9% 29.3% 20.8% 3.48 ($3.39 to 3.57$) 53% 23.9% 23% 3.48 ($3.39 to 3.57$) 53% 23.9% 23% 3.48 ($3.38 to 3.57$) 51.8% 26.7% 21.6% 3.46 ($3.37 to 3.55$) 52.8% 21.1% 26.1% 3.45 ($3.26 to 3.46$) 45.0% 27.9% 27% 3.29 ($3.20 to 3.39$) 46.7% 21.6% 31.7% 3.26 ($3.15 to 3.36$) 36.2% 26.4% 37.4% 2.98 ($2.88 to 3.08$) 33.8% 23.9% 42.1% 2.87 ($2.76 to 2.97$) 24.3% 22.3% 53.3% 2.51 ($2.41 to 2.61$) 23.5% 21.7% 54.7% 2.48 ($2.38 to 2.58$) 15.2% 22.8% 62% 2.25	

Note to interpret means and medians: no support = 1; little support = 2; moderate support = 3; fairly high support = 4; and high support = 5

Table S4: Results for the three IOV groups (i.e., low, high, & extreme) by relationship of bereaved person to the deceased. (Overall association between IOV and relationship: Chi-squared test: P < 0.001; "row" percentages shown are shown below, i.e., with respect to *n* quoted in each row for each type of relationship to the deceased.)

	n	Low	High	Severe
Partners	148	34.5%	28.4%	37.2%
Parents	390	50.3%	21.0%	28.7%
Grandparents	54	57.4%	27.8%	14.8%
Sibling	22	36.4%	40.9%	22.7%
Child	15	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%
Other family member	45	68.9%	15.6%	15.6%
Colleague or friend	24	75.0%	8.3%	16.7%

			AAG				Support Neede	ed
		Overwhelmed	Controlled	Reversed Resilience	IOV	Practical	Emotional	Overall
	п	95	95	95	95	93	93	93
Very well	Mean	8.21	6.06	4.42	18.69	2.40	3.06	2.90
supported	SD	2.58	2.74	2.66	4.50	1.00	1.04	0.96
	Median	8	6	4	20	2.33	3.1	2.92
	Ν	105	103	103	103	105	104	104
Fairly well	Mean	8.72	6.93	5.14	20.73	2.43	3.31	3.10
supported	SD	2.88	2.72	2.56	4.78	1.06	1.05	0.96
	Median	9	7	5	21	2.33	3.35	3.08
	n	138	137	139	137	136	136	136
A little bit	Mean	8.77	6.63	5.1	20.46	2.54	3.39	3.20
supported	SD	2.60	2.54	2.71	4.55	1.07	1.02	0.94
	Median	9	7	5	21	2.5	3.55	3.23
	п	250	248	247	246	251	249	249
Not at all	Mean	9.11	6.41	5.93	21.46	2.61	3.59	3.36
supported	SD	2.71	2.77	2.95	4.62	1.12	1.05	0.96
-	Median	10	7	6	22	2.33	3.7	3.38
Maximum C	ohen's d	0.33	0.32	0.56	0.60	0.20	0.50	0.47
One-way A	NOVA: P =	0.05	0.13	<0.001	<0.001	0.28	<0.001	0.001

Table S5: Results for subscales and overall scale scores for the AAG questionnaire and support needed as a function of "Did you feel well supported by the healthcare professionals immediately after the death of your loved one?"

			AA	٩G		Su	upport Neede	d
		Overwhel med	Controlle d	Reversed Resilience	IOV	Practical	Emotional	Overall
	п	406	404	406	403	395	400	400
In	Mean	8.84	6.45	5.52	20.79	2.51	3.44	3.23
hospital	SD	2.76	2.76	2.92	4.64	1.14	1.05	0.97
	Median	9	7	5	21	2.33	3.55	3.23
	Ν	157	156	156	156	152	154	154
In their	Mean	8.31	7.01	5.04	20.34	2.40	3.24	3.05
home	SD	2.74	2.50	2.81	4.85	1.10	1.15	1.06
	Median	9	7	5	21	2.33	3.2	3
	n	37	35	35	35	37	37	37
In a	Mean	8.86	6.94	5.20	20.83	2.24	3.36	3.10
hospice	SD	2.69	2.86	2.56	5.46	0.95	0.89	0.81
	Median	8	8	5	21	2	3.3	3
	n	90	90	89	89	91	90	90
In a care	Mean	7.34	6.68	4.65	18.70	2.08	2.96	2.75
home	SD	2.85	2.67	2.35	4.78	0.88	1.06	0.96
	Median	7.5	7	4	19	2	3	2.77
	n	13	13	13	13	13	13	13
Other / Do not	Mean	9.08	5.31	5.08	19.46	2.51	3.57	3.32
Know	SD	2.47	3.04	2.75	3.80	1.16	1.37	1.22
	Median	9	6	5	19	2.33	4	3.62
Coh	Cohen's d		0.61	0.32	0.45	0.41	0.55	0.57
Maximum	Cohen's d	<0.001	0.10	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.003
One-way	ANOVA: P =	<0.001	0.158	0.11	0.002	0.036	0.002	0.001

Table S6: Results for subscales and scale scores for the AAG questionnaire and support needed as a function of place of death

			AA	٨G		Support Needed		
		Overwhel med	Controlle d	Reversed Resilience	IOV	Practical	Emotional	Overall
	n	103	101	102	100	104	104	104
None / GCSEs	Mean	9.48	5.94	6.42	21.77	2.63	3.52	3.32
	SD	3.00	2.83	2.94	4.1	1.19	1.07	1.02
	Median	10	6	6.5	22	2.67	3.68	3.40
A-level/	n	132	132	131	131	127	129	129
apprenticeship /	Mean	9.29	6.61	5.55	21.48	2.53	3.57	3.33
ONC	SD	2.56	2.67	2.84	4.52	1.08	1.06	0.98
	Median	10	7	6	22	2.33	3.8	3.38
HND / University	n	467	464	465	464	456	460	460
Degree /	Mean	8.11	6.76	4.94	19.8	2.32	3.22	3.02
Postgraduate	SD	2.71	2.68	2.70	4.86	1.06	1.07	0.98
(etc)	Median	8	7	5	20	2	3.25	3
Maximum Coh	en's <i>d</i>	0.50	0.30	0.52	0.44	0.28	0.33	0.32
One-way ANC)VA: <i>P</i> =	<0.001	0.03	<0.001	<0.001	0.02	0.001	0.001

Table S7: Results for subscales and scale scores for the AAG questionnaire and support needed as a function of highest qualification.

		n –		IOV Group)	Cohen's <i>h</i>	P =	
		n =	Low	High	Severe	Conen s n	Ρ-	
Unable to visit them	No	320	49.7%	24.4%	25.9%	0.088	0.459	
prior to their death	Yes	378	47.4%	22.5%	30.2%	0.088	0.459	
Limited contact with	No	293	47.1%	25.3%	27.6%			
them in last days of their life	Yes	405	49.4%	22.0%	28.6%	0.068	0.596	
Unable to say goodbye	No	253	49.8%	23.3%	26.9%		0.811	
as I would have liked	Yes	445	47.6%	23.4%	29.0%	0.050		
Restricted funeral	No	45	66.7%	8.9%	24.4%	0.477	0.019	
$\operatorname{arrangements}^{\dagger}$	Yes	653	47.2%	24.3%	28.5%	0.477	0.018	
Social isolation and	No	229	61.6%	19.7%	18.8%	0.460	<0.001	
loneliness	Yes	469	42.0%	25.2%	32.8%	0.460	<0.001	
Limited contact with	No	131	48.9%	23.7%	27.5%			
other close relatives or friends	Yes	567	48.3%	23.3%	28.4%	0.019	0.994	

Table S8: Percentages for the 3 groups with respect to IOV (low, high, severe) for pandemic-related problems.

Note: The same sizes for the responses "no" and "yes" are shown for each question. Results for Cohen's *h* measure of effect size between two proportions indicates zero to small effect sizes only. *P*-values are from chi-squared analysis. "Row" percentages shown are shown below, i.e., with respect to *n* quoted in each row for yes / no answers for each type of pandemic related problem. [†]Sample sizes are a little low here for some groups (low, high, severe) for "no" to "restricted funeral arrangements", which is possibly why *P* = 0.018 (rather than, say, *P* < 0.001 as for social isolation and loneliness).

			AA	٨G		Su	upport Neede	ed
		Overwhel med	Controlled	Reversed Resilience	IOV	Practical	Emotional	Overall
	n	306	305	305	303	302	304	304
	Mean	8.95	6.40	5.70	21.07	2.53	3.55	3.32
	SD	2.73	2.84	2.96	4.49	1.09	0.98	0.92
COVID	<i>Q</i> ₁	7	4.5	3	18	1.67	3	2.79
	Q ₂ (Median)	9	6	5	22	2.33	3.65	3.31
	<i>Q</i> ₃	11	8	8	24	3.33	4.3	4
	n	398	394	395	394	387	391	391
	Mean	8.21	6.78	4.97	19.91	2.32	3.17	2.97
Non-	SD	2.81	2.6	2.66	4.93	1.09	1.13	1.03
Covid	<i>Q</i> ₁	6	5	3	17	1.33	2.30	2.23
	Q ₂ (Median)	8	7	5	20	2	3.2	3
	<i>Q</i> ₃	11	9	7	23	3	4	3.69
Cohen's d		0.27	0.14	0.26	0.25	0.19	0.36	0.35
<i>t</i> -te	st: <i>P</i> =	<0.001	0.068	0.001	0.001	0.012	<0.001	<0.001

Table S9: Results for subscales and scale scores for the AAG questionnaire and support needed as a function of cause of death, i.e., COVID-19 (confirmed or suspected) or non-COVID-19

Table S10: Results for subscales and scale scores for the AAG questionnaire and support needed as
a function of the question "Did you expect your loved one to die around this time? (e.g. if they had
a terminal illness)"

			AA	٨G		Support Needed			
		Overwhel med	Controlled	Reversed Resilience	IOV	Practical	Emotional	Overall	
	п	113	113	113	113	108	110	110	
Yes	Mean	7.56	6.91	4.35	18.81	2.15	2.98	2.787	
res	SD	2.75	2.31	2.58	4.83	1.01	1.03	0.96	
	Median	8	7	4	19	2	3	2.82	
	n	547	543	543	541	538	541	541	
No	Mean	8.83	6.5	5.56	20.88	2.49	3.45	3.23	
NO	SD	2.74	2.79	2.84	4.72	1.11	1.07	0.99	
	Median	9	7	5	21	2.33	3.6	3.25	
Cohe	Cohen's d		0.36	0.46	0.46	0.33	0.58	0.57	
P =		<0.001	0.032	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	<0.001	<0.001	

Figure S1: Scatter plots showing the IOV and overall support score as a function of the age of the bereaved person. Quadratic line fits with associated 95% confidence intervals of the estimate have been added to these figures also in order to show the general trend of a minimum in the outcomes at about an age of 50 years old more clearly.

