

## Supplementary data

Quality rating of studies by Journal endorsement, author stated compliance and field of nursing

| Quality of reporting        | Published in COREQ endorsing Journal (n=81) | Published in a journal that did not endorse COREQ (n=116) |
|-----------------------------|---|---|
| Good/moderate (17-32 items) | 56  | 2   |
| Very poor/poor (0-16 items) | 25  | 59  |

$\chi^2(1, n = 197) = 5.42, p = 0.02$

| Quality of reporting        | Authors reported complying with COREQ (n=11) | Authors did not report complying with COREQ (n=186) |
|-----------------------------|--|---|
| Good/moderate (17-32 items) | 10   | 107   |
| Very poor/poor (0-16 items) | 1  | 79  |

$\chi^2(1, n = 197) = 4.80, p = 0.03$

| Quality of reporting        | Field of Nursing (adult) (n=81) | Field of Nursing (Mental health, Child, Learning Disability, Midwifery) (n=116) |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| Good/moderate (17-32 items) | 75                              | 42  |
| Very poor/poor (0-16 items) | 55                              | 25  |

$\chi^2(1, n = 197) = 0.46, p = 0.50$