

Supplementary Material

1 Supplementary Methods

1.1 Aerosol collection

Aerosols – in the form of total suspended particles (TSP) – were sampled in Pico de la Gorra (Canary Islands; 1930 m above sea level; 27° 56'N, 15° 33'W) and onboard the FLUXES I cruise (Mauritanian-Senegalese upwelling; 17-23° N, 18-25° W). TSP concentrations were estimated a few days before and during the FLUXES I cruise by a dust deposition model predictor (provided by AEMET Barcelona Dust Forecast Center). As not enough TSP concentration was predicted to arrive on board, aerosol filter samples from Pico de la Gorra were used for the microcosm experiments.

At Pico de la Gorra, a time-series record of TSP concentration has been recorded from 1 December 1996 to 31 December 1998 (Torres-Padrón et al., 2002) and 1 December 2001 to the present (Gelado-Caballero et al., 2012; unpublished data). Thereby, glass fiber filters (Whatman GF/A) were loaded on high-volume aerosol samplers to obtain a continuous data set of daily gravimetric measurements over each 7-day period. Simultaneous acid-washed cellulose filters (Whatman 41) for chemical composition analysis were collected one day per week. Filters were selected based on TSP concentrations and the forecast presence of African dust intrusions (see Aerosol Classification). In-detail, aerosol samples from Pico de la Gorra were collected on different seasons between July 11th (2014) and March 09th (2017), while FLUXES I filters were sampled from July 15th to 17th (2017).

Trace metals (Al, Co, Fe, Cu, and Mn) and ions (nitrate, phosphate, and silicate) concentrations on Pico de la Gorra aerosol filters have been previously published at Gelado-Caballero et al. (2012), while element and nutrient solubility values at López-García et al. (2017). Trace-metal clean techniques were strictly followed throughout the aerosol collection and manipulation.

1.2 Aerosol classification

The origin and route of the air masses contributing to each dust sample were tracked by calculating 5day isentropic back trajectories at 6:00 p.m. UTC using the NOAA HYSPLIT model (Stein et al., 2015) and GDAS meteorological dataset. The chosen altitude levels for the interpretation were 200, 750, 1500, 2000, and 2500 m in 6 h steps. According to Gelado-Caballero et al. (2012), three geographic sectors were identified based on source region mineralogy aerosol type: AD (African dust, trajectories over the African continent), MAR (maritime aerosol, trajectories over the Atlantic Ocean), and EUR (European and maritime aerosol, trajectories that cross the European continent and the Atlantic Ocean). Differences between African sectors were not taken into account since chemical variability found in previous studies across the region remains negligible (López-García et al., 2017). As the average of the filters exceeded 300 μ g TSP m⁻³, AD was taken as the main source of dust in the present study.

1.3 Dust stock solution preparation

A dust stock solution was prepared a few hours before the start of each experiment to preserve the concentration of nutrients. Under a laminar flow cabinet, dry deposited material was extracted from a 1/4 Whatman 41 filter piece into 100 mL of aged, low nutrient surface seawater by sonication for 2 x 15 min in an ultrasonic bath. Aerosol extractions were performed on different Whatman 41 filter pieces

and transferred together. At each experiment, the number of filter samples was selected to obtain a dust stock solution of average \sim 525 mg l⁻¹ concentration. The same procedure was performed on identical acid washed blank Whatman 41 filters to assess contamination and/or fertilization effects due to the filter themselves. No particles were detected in this blank solution.

1.4 Particle flux simulation

Dust concentrations used to enrich our bioassays were determined based on a maximum dust deposition of ~5500 μ g TSP m⁻³ in the Canary Islands (Gelado-Caballero et al., 2012), an average residence time of 20-days and a 30-m mixed layer depth in the region of study. The maximum dust particle concentration was then estimated in 6336 mg m⁻³, categorized as intense dust deposition. Our dust additions ranged between 4.2 and 6.7 mg of dust per liter of seawater, thus in agreement with the previously simulated intense event.

1.5 References

- Gelado-Caballero, M. D., López-García, P., Prieto, S., Patey, M. D., Collado, C., and Hérnández-Brito, J. J. (2012). Long-term aerosol measurements in Gran Canaria, Canary Islands: Particle concentration, sources and elemental composition. J. Geophys. Res. 117, n/a-n/a. doi: 10.1029/2011JD016646.
- López-García, P., Gelado-Caballero, M. D., Collado-Sánchez, C., and Hernández-Brito, J. J. (2017). Solubility of aerosol trace elements: Sources and deposition fluxes in the Canary Region. *Atmos. Environ.* 148, 167–174. doi: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.10.035.
- Stein, A. F., Draxler, R. R., Rolph, G. D., Stunder, B. J. B., Cohen, M. D., and Ngan, F. (2015). Noaa's hysplit atmospheric transport and dispersion modeling system. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.* 96, 2059–2077. doi: 10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00110.1.
- Torres-Padrón, M. E., Gelado-Caballero, M. D., Collado-Sánchez, C., Siruela-Matos, V. F., Cardona-Castellano, P. J., and Hernández-Brito, J. J. (2002). Variability of dust inputs to the CANIGO zone. *Deep Sea Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr.* 49, 3455–3464. doi: 10.1016/S0967-0645(02)00091-7.

2 Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Figure 1. Picophytoplankton abundance (cells mL⁻¹) by identified groups: *Synechococcus* and *Prochlorococcus* cyanobacteria, and picoeukaryotes, in the control (white bars) and dust-treated microcosms (black bars). The vertical line indicates the dust addition time. Each data point represents the average and standard deviation of three replicated microcosms. The asterisk denotes where the value of dust triplicates was significantly greater than control (p < 0.05, Wilcoxon test). Note that different scales were used.



Supplementary Figure 2. Microphytoplankton abundance (cells mL⁻¹) by groups: diatoms, dinoflagellates, haptophytes, and silicoflagellates, in the control (white bars) and dust-treated microcosms (black bars). The vertical line indicates the dust addition time. Each data point represents the average and standard deviation of three replicated microcosms. The asterisk denotes where the value of dust triplicates was significantly greater than control (p < 0.05, Wilcoxon test). The abbreviation n.d. (no-data) indicate the absence of data at that time of the experimental incubation. Note that different scales were used.



4

Supplementary Figure 3. Inorganic nutrient concentration (μ mol L⁻¹) in the control (white points) and dust-treated microcosms (black points). The orange circle indicates the dust addition time. Each data point represents the average and standard deviation of three replicated microcosms. The asterisk denotes where the value of dust triplicates was significantly greater than control (p < 0.05, Wilcoxon test). Note that different scales were used.



Supplementary Figure 4. NMDS (Non-metric Multidimensional scaling) plots based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarities of eukaryotic diversity samples from FLUXES experiments. Point colors were assigned by (A) experiment and (B) treatment.



Supplementary Figure 5. NMDS (Non-metric Multidimensional scaling) plots based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarities of prokaryotic diversity samples from FLUXES experiments. Point colors were assigned by (A) experiment and (B) treatment.



Supplementary Figure 6. Hierarchical clustering and relative abundance of class-classified (A) eukaryotes and (B) prokaryotes. Groups are ordered by decreasing median relative abundances. Histograms colours were assigned for all phyla detected with a relative abundance $\geq 0.5\%$. Data represent the initial time (SW) of the incubations, and control (C) and dust-treated (D) triplicate microcosms at the end of the experiments.



Supplementary Figure 7. One-way ANOVA and post-hoc Tukey HSD test comparing (A) eukaryotic and (B) prokaryotic Chao1 richness and Shannon diversity estimates across the four experiments. Data correspond to the end of the experiments. Significance level of 0.05 (*), 0.01 (**), 0.001 (***) and 0.0001 (****).



Experiment 🖨 FL01 🛱 FL02 🛱 FL03 🛱 FL04



3 Supplementary Tables

Supplementary Table 1. Results from Wilcoxon Signed-Rank test on inorganic nutrients, phytoplankton size-groups and bacteria abundance and production differences between control and dust-treated microcosms for each experiment. The abbreviation n.d. (no-data) indicate the absence of data at that time of the experimental incubation. Significance level of 0.05 (*), 0.005 (**) and 0.001 (***).

	Wilcoxon Signed-Rank test (p-value)													
Experim ent	Time	Nitrate	Phospha te	Silicate	Chl a	Micro- phytopl ankton abunda nce	Nano- phytopl ankton abunda nce	Pico- phytopl ankton abunda nce	Phytopl ankton producti on (PPdoc)	Phytopl ankton producti on (PP _{POC})	Phytopl ankton producti on (PP _{TOC})	Bacteria l abunda nce	Bacteria l producti on	
	24	0.04*	0.94	0.04*	0.33	0.04*	0.09	0.98	0.98	0.81	0.98	0.67	0.04*	
FL01	48	0.04*	0.99	0.04*	n.d.	n.d.	0.04*	0.33	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.5	n.d.	
	71	0.89	0.98	0.39	0.09	0.19	0.04*	0.5	0.27	0.04*	0.27	0.19	0.19	
	24	0.04*	0.91	0.33	0.5	0.99	0.99	0.98	0.61	0.81	0.39	0.09	0.33	
FL02	48	0.13	0.99	0.03*	n.d.	n.d.	0.19	0.04*	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.5	n.d.	
	71	0.99	0.99	0.04*	0.04*	0.04*	0.09	0.98	0.04*	0.67	0.5	0.98	0.09	
	24	0.33	0.88	0.04*	n.d.	n.d.	0.04*	0.98	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.09	n.d.	
FL03	47	0.68	0.99	0.19	0.04*	0.33	0.04*	0.04*	0.04*	0.04*	0.04*	0.04*	0.33	
	71	0.91	0.99	0.5	0.33	0.04*	0.04*	0.19	0.88	0.12	0.12	0.81	0.04*	
FL04	24	0.19	0.82	0.33	0.19	0.04*	0.33	0.98	0. 98	0.07	0.07	0.33	0.90	
	48	0.02*	0.87	0.94	n.d.	n.d.	0.81	0.98	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.67	n.d.	
	71	0.68	0.96	0.99	0.19	0.33	0.09	0.98	0.04*	0.07	0.07	0.5	0.81	

	Kruskal-Wallis test (p-value)		Post hoc Conover test (p-value)									
-	Sampling time		Experiment pair									
	24 h	1 – 2	1 – 3	2 - 3	1 – 4	2 - 4	3 – 4					
Nitrate	0.02*	0.02*	0.15	0.001**	0.04*	1	0.002**					
Phosphate	0.01*	2.97e-05***	0.0036**	0.004**	1.35e-06***	0.0036**	2.97e-05***					
Silicate	0.02*	0.0007***	1	0.002**	0.01*	0.14	0.04*					
Chl a	0.03*	0.01*	n.d.	n.d.	0.0005***	0.01*	n.d.					
Micro-phytoplankton abundance	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.					
Nano-phytoplankton abundance	0.01*	0.02*	2.40e-04***	0.02*	1.22e-05***	2.40e-04***	0.02*					
Pico-phytoplankton abundance	0.01*	2.40e-04***	1.22e-05***	0.02*	0.02*	0.02*	2.40e-04***					
Phytoplankton production (PP _{DOC})	0.04*	0.02*	n.d.	n.d.	0.002**	0.05	n.d.					
Phytoplankton production (PP _{POC})	0.04*	0.02*	n.d.	n.d.	0.002**	0.05	n.d.					
Phytoplankton production (PP _{TOC})	0.04*	0.02*	n.d.	n.d.	0.002**	0.05	n.d.					
Bacterial abundance	0.01*	0.02*	1.22e-05***	2.40e-04***	2.40e-04***	0.02*	0.02*					
Bacterial production	0.04*	0.12	n.d.	n.d.	0.003**	0.04*	n.d.					

Supplementary Table 2. Results from Kruskal-Wallis and *post hoc* Conover tests inorganic nutrients, and phytoplankton and bacterial abundance and production differences between the dust-treated microcosms of all four experiments at 24-hour sampling time. The abbreviation n.d. (no-data) indicate the absence of data at that time of the experimental incubation. Bonferroni adjusted p-values. Significance level of 0.05 (*), 0.005 (**) and 0.001 (***).

Supplementary Table 3. Eukaryote and bacteria indicator species (p < 0.05 and stat > 0.75) for the control microcosms. The parameter *str* indicates the strength of the association between a species and the site-group (seawater used to fill the microcosms, SW; control microcosms, C; dust-treated microcosms, D). The abbreviation *stat* indicates the association value and p the degree of statistical significance of the association (p-values are based on 999 permutations). Significance level of 0.05 (*), 0.005 (**) and 0.001 (***).

ΟΤυ	Kingdom	Supergroup	Division	Class	Order	Family	Genus	Species	<i>str</i> sw	<i>str</i> _C	<i>str</i> _D	stat	р
ASV_35	Eukaryota	Alveolata	Ciliophor a	Spirotriche a	Tintinnida	Tintinnida e	Unclassifie d_Tintinni dae	Unclassifie d_Tintinni dae	0.161	0.827	0.307	0.83	0.008 *
ASV_17	Eukaryota	Alveolata	Ciliophor a	Spirotriche a	Choreotric hida	Strobilidiid ae_I	Unclassifie d_Strobilid iidae I	Unclassifie d_Strobilid iidae I	0	0.825	0.319	0.82	0.01*
ASV_73	Eukaryota	Alveolata	Ciliophor a	Spirotriche a	Choreotric hida	Strobilidiid ae_I	Pelagostro bilidium	Pelagostro bilidium paraepacr um	0.084	0.752	0.069	0.75	0.04*
ASV_10	Eukaryota	Alveolata	Ciliophor a	Spirotriche a	Tintinnida	Tintinnida e	Unclassifie d_Tintinni dae	Unclassifie d_Tintinni dae	0.223	0.836	0.341	0.84	0.05*
ASV_47	Bacteria	-	Proteobac teria	Gammapro teobacteria	Enterobact erales	Alteromon adaceae	Salinimona s	Unclassifie d_Salinim onas	0.168	0.798	0.486	0.80	0.001 ***
ASV_266	Bacteria	-	Bacteroid ota	Bacteroidi a	Flavobacte riales	NS7 marine group	Unclassifie d_NS7 marine group	Unclassifie d_NS7 marine group	0.285	0.865	0.155	0.86	0.001 ***
ASV_88	Bacteria	-	Planctom ycetota	Phycisphae rae	Phycisphae rales	Phycispha eraceae	Urania- 1B-19 marine sediment group	Unclassifie d_Urania- 1B-19 marine sediment group	0.468	0.789	0.198	0.79	0.004 **
ASV_3	Bacteria	-	Proteobac teria	Gammapro teobacteria	Enterobact erales	Vibrionace ae	Vibrio	artabroru m/atlanticu s/celticus/c hagasii/cra ssostreae/c yclitrophic	0.04	0.824	0.535	0.82	0.005 **

								us/gallaeci cus/giganti s/harveyi/k analoae/le ntus/pomer oyi/splendi dus/tasman iensis/tora nzoniae					
ASV_469	Bacteria	-	Planctom ycetota	Phycisphae rae	Phycisphae rales	Phycispha eraceae	CL500-3	Unclassifie d_CL500- 3	0.226	0.787	0	0.79	0.009 **
ASV_185	Bacteria	-	Proteobac teria	Alphaprote obacteria	Puniceispir illales	SAR116 clade	Unclassifie d_SAR116 clade	Unclassifie d_SAR116 clade	0.308	0.782	0.403	0.78	0.01*
ASV_258	Bacteria	-	Proteobac teria	Alphaprote obacteria	SAR11 clade	Clade IV	Unclassifie d_Clade IV	Unclassifie d_Clade IV	0.161	0.783	0.274	0.78	0.02*
ASV_144	Bacteria	-	Proteobac teria	Alphaprote obacteria	Rhizobiale s	Stappiacea e	Labrenzia	marina	0	0.762	0.617	0.76	0.02*
ASV_410	Bacteria	-	Bacteroid ota	Bacteroidi a	Flavobacte riales	Flavobacte riaceae	Aureicoccu s	marinus	0	0.771	0.327	0.77	0.03*

Supplementary Table 4. Eukaryote and bacteria indicator species (p < 0.05 and stat > 0.75) for the dust-treated microcosms. The parameter *str* indicates the strength of the association between a species and the site-group (seawater used to fill the microcosms, SW; control microcosms, C; dust-treated microcosms, D). The abbreviation *stat* indicates the association value and *p* the degree of statistical significance of the association (p-values are based on 999 permutations). Significance level of 0.05 (*), 0.005 (**) and 0.001 (***).

ΟΤυ	Kingdom	Supergroup	Division	Class	Order	Family	Genus	Species	str _{sw}	<i>str</i> _C	<i>str</i> _D	stat	р
ASV_5	Eukaryota	Stramenopil es	Ochrophy ta	Bacillariop hyta	Bacillariop hyta_X	Raphid- pennate	Unclassifie d_Raphid- pennate	Unclassifie d_Raphid- pennate	0.231	0.529	0.786	0.79	0.01*
ASV_258	Eukaryota	Hacrobia	Haptophy ta	Prymnesio phyceae	Prymnesial es	Chrysochr omulinace ae	Chrysochr omulina	Chrysochr omulina_r otalis	0	0.302	0.798	0.80	0.02*
ASV_57	Eukaryota	Stramenopil es	Sagenista	Labyrinthu lomycetes	Labyrinthu lomycetes_ X	Labyrinthu lomycetes_ X_LAB7	Labyrinthu lomycetes_ X_LAB7_ X	Labyrinthu lomycetes_ X_LAB7_X sp.	0.22	0.317	0.821	0.82	0.03*
ASV_118	Eukaryota	Stramenopil es	Unclassif ied_Stra menopile s	Unclassifie d_Stramen opiles	Unclassifie d_Stramen opiles	Unclassifie d_Stramen opiles	Unclassifie d_Stramen opiles	Unclassifie d_Stramen opiles	0	0.07	0.754	0.75	0.04*
ASV_5	Bacteria	-	Proteobac teria	Gammapro teobacteria	Enterobact erales	Alteromon adaceae	Alteromon as	alvinellae/ australica/ gracilis/lit orea/macle odii/marin a/mediterr anea/simid uii/tagae	0.163	0.5	0.85	0.85	0.001 ***
ASV_13	Bacteria	-	Proteobac teria	Alphaprote obacteria	Caulobacte rales	Hyphomon adaceae	Hyphomon as	atlantica/j ohnsonii	0	0.18	0.979	0.98	0.001 ***
ASV_109	Bacteria	-	Proteobac teria	Gammapro teobacteria	Enterobact erales	Alteromon adaceae	Alteromon as	Unclassifie d_Alterom onas	0.15	0.573	0.801	0.80	0.005 **
ASV_43	Bacteria	-	Proteobac teria	Gammapro teobacteria	Enterobact erales	Alteromon adaceae	Unclassifie d_Alterom onadaceae	Unclassifie d_Alterom onadaceae	0.03	0.531	0.824	0.82	0.01*

ASV_254	Bacteria	-	Proteobac teria	Alphaprote obacteria	Sphingom onadales	Sphingomo nadaceae	Erythrobac ter	citreus	0	0.146	0.875	0.87	0.01*
ASV_269	Bacteria	-	Proteobac teria	Gammapro teobacteria	Enterobact erales	Colwelliac eae	Colwellia	Unclassifie d_Colwelli a	0.232	0.261	0.777	0.78	0.03*
ASV_16	Bacteria	-	Proteobac teria	Gammapro teobacteria	Enterobact erales	Alteromon adaceae	Alteromon as	australica	0.019	0.627	0.778	0.78	0.03*
ASV_444	Bacteria	-	Proteobac teria	Gammapro teobacteria	Enterobact erales	Alteromon adaceae	Aestuariib acter	Unclassifie d_Aestuari ibacter	0	0.328	0.766	0.77	0.03*
ASV_76	Bacteria	-	Proteobac teria	Alphaprote obacteria	Rhodobact erales	Rhodobact eraceae	Thalassobi us	Unclassifie d_Thalasso bius	0	0.439	0.801	0.80	0.05*

Supplementary Table 5. Two-way ANOVA test comparing eukaryotic and prokaryotic Chao1 richness and Shannon diversity estimates on the interaction between Treatment and Experiment. Data correspond to the end of the experiments. Significance level of 0.05 (*), 0.005 (**) and 0.001 (***).

				Т	wo-way ANO	VA at time 7	71 h				
		Chao1	richness								
Dataset	Effect	DFn	DFd	F	р	ges	DFn	DFd	F	р	ges
Eukaryotic	Experiment	3	14	8.778	0.002**	0.653	3	14	24.485	7.85e- 06***	0.840
	Treatment	1	14	0.004	0.949	0.0003	1	14	0.019	0.892	0.001
	Experiment:Treatment	3	14	1.588	0.237	0.254	3	14	5.116	0.013*	0.523
Prokaryotic	Experiment	3	13	7.829	0.003**	0.644	3	13	17.296	0.00008** *	0.800
	Treatment	1	13	1.059	0.322	0.075	1	13	1.871	0.195	0.126
	Experiment:Treatment	2	13	1.053	0.377	0.139	2	13	0.884	0.436	0.120