## Table 2: Document Extraction Results

Questions	AB Fire One Year Report Canadian Red Cross	RMWB 2016 Recovery Plan	KPMG Post- Incident Assessment Report for AB Emergency Management Agency	RMWB Lessons Learned and Recommen- dations Report	Wildfire Funding Partnership s Red Cross (webpage)	Wildfire Partnershi p Decision Table Terms of Reference (TOR) Red Cross	ATC Health & Wellness Report	Rebuilding Resilient Indigenous Communities in RMWB Report	CARE Wood Buffalo Report
Where did the funding come from? How much funding was provided to organizations for communities t o respond to the wildfire?	\$323 million raised by public and governments . \$244 million spent at time of publication.	\$615 million from Federal Disaster Financial Assistance Arrangeme nts and provincial Disaster Recovery Program. \$319 million from CRC. \$3.6 billion from Insurance Bureau of Canada. "Significan t" money from "individual s, businesses,	~\$319 million from CRC.	\$30.3 million from Government of Alberta in donation matching. Of that: \$14.3m to local charitable, non- governmenta l and Indigenous organization s; \$15m for small business owners; \$1m for health research and initiatives. \$3.853m from CRC and \$10.5m from Government of Alberta	N/A	\$299m raised CRC, \$50m of which allocated to Communit y Partnership s Program. United Way of Fort McMurray also received ~\$3m.	Funding supplied by Canadian Red Cross, dollar amount not stated.	Funding supplied by Canadian Red Cross, dollar amount not stated.	Funding supplied by Canadian Red Cross, dollar amount not stated.

		and other NGOs"		for FireSmart.					
Was any of this funding for Indigenous specific initiatives/acti vities/program s/service?	Yes; Nistawoyou Friendship Centre.	Unclear.	Unclear. Recommended continuing to fund FireSmart programming for interested Indigenous communities.	Yes. Creation of Indigenous Art Piece project, and other unspecified grants for Indigenous organization s.	Yes. Funded initiatives with various Indigenous organization s and governments . Also provided financial assistance for Indigenous People's Day in RMWB in 2018.	N/A	Yes, all was used for the ATC Health & Wellness Project.	Yes, all of it was used for focus groups, interviews, a survey, and a review of secondary data.	No, however encouraged to include Indigenous communities in events and outreach
What was the decision- making process for how funding was distributed to different service providers/org anizations/sta keholders?	Unclear.	Unclear.	Unclear.	Unclear.	N/A.	Applicatio ns and decisions for funding were reviewed by the Communit y Partnership s Table, made up of representat ives from Canadian Red Cross	N/A	N/A	Application submitted by AHS in collaboration with YMCA, Waypoints, CMHA, Athabasca Tribal Council, Centre of Hope and other local service providers. Decision to

	(1, co- chair); United Way of Fort McMurray (1, co- chair); Indigenous leadership (1); RMWB Administra tion (1); Governme nt of Alberta (1); Industry Recovery Working Group (1); Communit y Wellness and Resiliency Committee (1) and the Social Recovery Task Force	fund made by Canadian Red Cross
	(2).	

What was the duration of this funding?	Unclear. Document was produced one year after the fire and some projects were receiving continued funding.	Unclear.	Unclear.	Unclear.	Unclear.	N/A	Unclear, appeared to have lasted from 2018- 2019.	Research lasted for nearly two years.	2017-2019
Was there a sustainability plan implemented? If so, what does this look like?	Unclear. \$2.8 million of funds raised were allocated towards future disaster planning and preparation.	The recovery plan extends for 3 years. Key action areas are: people, environme nt, economy, rebuild, and mitigate.	A variety of recommendati ons are made but is is unclear whether a specific sustainability plan was created.	Sustainabilit y of FireSmart was discussed and progress of recovery plan from 2016 document was also discussed.	N/A	N/A	Yes; 5-year plan was created and will be partnering with communities and local leaders and champions to receive input and to access sustainable funding.	The intent of the project was to develop recommendati ons to ensure that "reconstructio n and future- risk-mitigation plans contribute to enhancing the resilience and adaptive capacity of Indigenous communities" (p.7)	No

How many positions were created to respond to the wildfire? Were any of these positions to address Indigenous specific initiatives/acti vities/program s/services?	Unclear.	Unclear.	AEMA added 6 Regional Field Officers to its Field Operations Unit and 2 Field Officers for First Nations communities.	2 positions created by partnership between RMWB, McMurray Métis, and ATC to help First Nations and Métis communities in Wood Buffalo with recovery. Also, two engagement strategists representing the communities	N/A	12 volunteer positions for Communit y Partnership s Table.	12 volunteers at Health & Wellness Conference. Unclear if any others; most work done by ATC staff.	Unclear.	N/A
				Also, two engagement					
				representing the					
				were embedded within the					
				Stakeholder Engagement Team of the					
				Recovery Task Force.					

What was the mandate from organizat ions or stakeholders for communities t o address the health and wellness and/or mental health of RMWB residents following the wildfire?	A mandate is not discussed but the number of individuals provided with mental health and support programmin g and events is given.	Their mandate included needing to care for one another's mental and physical health.	A mandate is not discussed but the number of individuals seeking psychosocial supports after evacuation is given.	Unclear. There was a Psycho- Social Framework for recovery in Wood Buffalo supported by a Community Wellbeing and Resiliency Committee.	Unclear.	N/A	Intent was to understand how to improve health & wellness supports to be culturally appropriate for ATC's First Nation communities	Unclear.	A healthy community brought together through in- person connection and empathetic understanding - where every member feels supported in their own way and no one is left behind.
Does this report include findings from wildfire specifi c programing? Any health- related outcomes?	No.	No, but desired outcomes are listed.	The outcome of the Information Centres for community re- entry and what they provided was discussed.	The opening of the Indigenous Welcome Centre was an outcome.	N/A	N/A	The findings from the Health & Wellness Conference were grouped into 4 themes which were then connected to goals within the 5-year plan created.	Health effects were reported in interviews, focus groups, and the survey. Effects included respiratory illness, stress, anxiety, substance use, and other addictions. Negatives impacts on self-reported health were more significant within the communities	Through CARE WB there were 5,614 homes visited in the community of Fort McMurray. Out of these homes, a total of 1,514 (27%) residents were available to connect with a volunteer. There were a total of 70 follow up referrals that were provided by CARE Wood Buffalo

				that were evacuated.	volunteers.A total of 9 community engagement events were hosted within the RMWB. That included rural Indigenous communities

What were the	Unclear.	Unclear. It	Identified	Identified	N/A	N/A	Four themes	Identified a	(1) The door-
	Unclear.				IN/A	IN/A			
lessons		is outlined	lesson that	need to			were	lack of	to-door
learned?		as part of	stakeholders	prioritize			identified	preparedness	campaign and
		the plan to	needed to be	disaster			from the	from RMWB,	community
		conduct an	better	preparedness			conference.	inadequate	engagement
		analysis to	engaged,	and			1: Youth	support and	events were
		gather	earlier on, and	community			leadership –	communicatio	effective in
		lessons	that	resilience.			more	n breakdowns	improving the
		learned.	Indigenous	Suggested			programmin	from RMWB	wellbeing of
			stakeholders	the needs of			g and	and AEMA,	the residents
			needed to be	all RMWB			development	lack of	in the
			engaged in	communities			is needed,	coordination	Regional
			different ways	, including			and should	between	Municipality
			than other	Indigenous			be	different	of Wood
			stakeholders.	ones, should			sustainable	levels of	Buffalo
			Other lessons	be included			and	government, a	through
			learned were	in the			culturally	lack of clarity	sharing of
			not specific to	Municipal			appropriate.	of roles and	resources,
			Indigenous	Emergency			2: Land-	responsibilitie	referrals, and
			communities.	Management			based	s. Also found	conveying
				Plan or that			education	a lack of safe	messages of
				they should			and	and culturally	compassion
				have			language	appropriate	and
				community-			revitalization	evacuation	understanding.
				specific			- increase	sites.	(2) There is
				supplements.			programmin		direct
				Open lines			g for all ages		intervention
				of			3: Culturally		and
				communicati			appropriate		therapeutic
				on between			health care		value in
				RMWB and			accessibility		providing
				Indigenous			- access to		psychosocial
				communities			culturally		support and
				when			appropriate		listening to
				creating			health care		residents share
				emergency			related to all		their story.
				management			aspects of		(3) Residents
				plans and			the medicine		were able to
				during			wheel. Also		share their
				emergencies			identified		stories,
				entergenetes			lucilitieu		stories,

		1				1.11	
				was also		addictions as	express
				emphasized.		a growing	concerns, and
						issue. 4:	engage with
						Proactive	volunteers
						First Nation	from the
						communities	safety of their
						. Increasing	own homes
						engagement	and in a non-
						and events	clinical
						and planning	setting.
						to be	(4)
						proactive	Relationships
						rather than	among
						reactive.	community
							partners and
							services
							providers
							strengthened
							and
							collaboration
							increased(5)
							The CARE
							Wood Buffalo
							project
							required
							flexibility, in
							that reaching
							residents in
							the rural
							communities
							was more
							efficient
							through
							community
							engagement
							events instead
							of door-to-
							door.
							(6) The
							RMWB is a
L	1	1	1				

				very multicultural and linguistically diverse region, therefore, language barriers made it challenging for volunteers to engage with several residents. (7) required many volunteers in order to be
				residents.
				many
				successful, with high
				burnout rates
				after the fire it
				was difficult
				for volunteers
				to continue to
				commit to the
				project.