

Machine Learning for Accelerator Physics and Engineering

HELMHOLTZ

Peter Steinbach, Helene Hoffmann, Steve Schmerler, Sebastian Starke HZDR / ARD Workshop, Sep 24, 2021

www.helmholtz.ai

Your Help Needed 📣

P. Steinbach et al | Machine Learning for Accelerator Physics and Engineering

Please open this online spreadsheet (PW: 1234)

In the spreadsheet, enter the following about yourself there:

- your gender (as 0 if female, 1 else)
- your shoesize
- your weight

Т

your height

If you feel uncomfortable, feel free to ...

make some of the numbers up or modify by +1 or -1 so that data cannot be tracked back to you!

AI?

A short (recent) history of AI ...



Figure: from Sebastian Schuchmann History of the first Al Winter

✤ failure (Al winters) and ♠ success (Al Boom) alternate

in mostly connected to high expectations



taken from Wikipedia:ImageNet

- curated database of "images" and labels
- 15M images in 21k synonym classes
- 2017 (last): 2.25% classification error

5/31

AlphaFold2 [4]



Figure: CASP14 results 2020



Where are we today?



Figure: adopted from I. Goodfellow, Deep Learning, MIT Press [3]



Helmholtz Al

P. Steinbach et al | Machine Learning for Accelerator Physics and Engineering



initiative by President of the Helmholtz Association, Prof. Otmar D. Wiestler





 initiative by President of the Helmholtz Association, Prof. Otmar D. Wiestler
 running over 7 years, 2019 - 2026

9/31



- initiative by President of the Helmholtz Association, Prof. Otmar D. Wiestler
- running over 7 years, 2019 2026
- 12 M€ per year
 (people + projects)





- initiative by President of the Helmholtz Association, Prof. Otmar D. Wiestler
- running over 7 years, 2019 2026
- I2 M€ per year (people + projects)
- central installation in Munich (universities and Helmholtz center)

9/31

Two Funding Lines

Helmholtz Al Projects



unsplash.com:Glenn Carstens-Peters

Helmholtz AI Vouchers



unsplash.com:Dominik Scythe

- current call open until Dec 1, 2021
- max. 3 years, max. 200k € (must be matched)

- voucher submissions open anytime
- get in touch first: consultant-helmholtz.ai@ hzdr.de

Helmholtz AI Local Unit For Matter At HZDR



Figure: Nico Hoffmann, YIG Lead



Figure: Peter Steinbach, Consultant Lead

Helmholtz AI Consultant Team at HZDR





- reproducible automated (ML) pipelines
- inverse problems & generative modelling
- (image) denoising
- anomaly detection
- regression & pattern recognition (object localisation, image segmentation)
- aspects of trustworthy ML (uncertainties, robustness and interpretability)

Past and Present Vouchers

P. Steinbach et al | Machine Learning for Accelerator Physics and Engineering

Can we automatically detect when damage happens to the synchronization laser?

Data:

- 10 s time snippets
- sensor output of healthy laser (training data)
- sensor output of damaged laser of same type (for testing)



HELMHOLTZA

Our method: Feature extraction & Clustering

Feature extraction:

- requirement: low dimensional representation of time-courses
- here: used tsfresh-package
 - simple features: mean, min, max, ...
 - more sophisticated features: fft-coefficients, entropy, absolute energy, ...

Clustering:

- Principal Component Analysis
- kNN-Clustering



time-courses

Results:

COSY simulation: MAD-X package @ FZJ [1]



Figure 1: COSY lattice diagram. 184m circumference, split and extended 6-segment symmetry.



- **goal**: improve simulation parameters
- simulation *f* with 1500 parameters \vec{x} : $\hat{\mathbb{Y}} = f(\vec{x})$
- simulation output Ŷ: 1 Orbit Response Matrix (ORM) with 3149 entries (only upper left+lower right used), 2 tune values, runtime ~ 1 sec (fast!)
- optimization: fix most of \vec{x} by measurements, **optimization goal: 249** free params $\vec{x}' \in \mathbb{R}^{249}$
- data set y: 5 ORMs, 5 × 2 tunes (very small data set, need strong physics-based model f = MAD-X)

Evolutionary algorithm optimization



 x'^* = best x' per generation during opt run (top), final top 3 x' from 6 runs

- deap framework
 https://github.com/DEAP/deap,
 uses "population" of possible x'
- $C^* = ||y f(x'^*)||$ not as low as expected
- many params x_i^{*} hit their allowed range limits
- repeat runs: very similar C* but different x'* (similar to neural network optimization!)
- our suggestion: improve population initialization, do run monitoring (convergence behavior), check simulation (not accurate enough?), check values of fixed params not contained in x', loss landscape analysis

17/31

💆 Prediction of spintune deviations at COSY synchrotron

- measurements at COSY of the spin tune over a period of several days showed unexpected deviations over time
- many monitoring variables are measured simultaneously with the spintune
- Goal: understand causes of the deviation
- Approach: build (interpretable) machine learning models to predict spintune deviation



Figure: Deviation of spintune measurements



Figure: Features to predict spintune from

18/31

P. Steinbach et al | Machine Learning for Accelerator Physics and Engineering

Challenges:

- data quality, outlier removal
- partition of data into training, validation and test (should model interpolate or extrapolate)

Approaches:

- simple models (Linear regression, LASSO) do not give satisfactory performance, no obvious cause for spintune deviation has been identified yet
- Kernel ridge regression with laplacian kernel on PCA features looks promising, however interpretatability is limited



Figure: Linear regression prediction



Figure: Kernel ridge regression with laplacian kernel on PCA features.

P. Steinbach et al | Machine Learning for Accelerator Physics and Engineering

19/31



UE112-PGM1 beamline for meV-RIXS



goal: given a beamline profile (knife-edge scan), which beam control properties would result in this profile

20/31

Lessons Learned

P. Steinbach et al | Machine Learning for Accelerator Physics and Engineering

Machine Learning needs a clear goal!

- narrow AI can solve/support many tasks
- needs mediation between domain experts and ML consultants
- started to use ML canvas [2]
- helped tremendously to structure projects



Same method, different field!

- ML is software that can be tested! (Open Reproducible Science)
- talking about methods across disciplines
 - Iearn from others
 - get (professional) perspectives
- reference datasets can help to check feasibility (expectations)





- sorted chronologically and by camera
- CSV files and images in camera directory



- sorted chronologically and by camera
- CSV files and images in camera directory
- important metadata encoded in the path



- sorted chronologically and by camera
- CSV files and images in camera directory
- important metadata encoded in the path
- estimated 3.5 million files, 2.8 TB



- sorted chronologically and by camera
- CSV files and images in camera directory
- important metadata encoded in the path
- estimated 3.5 million files, 2.8 TB
- most files follow a template, but are often named and moved manually



FAIR principles[6]



Findable Accessible Interoperable Reusable

BigData + FAIR = Necessity for ML

see also zenodo.org



Findable

- use a public repository
- obtain unique global ID
- enrich metadata

Interoperable

- document based on standards (SI, datacite, ...)
- use established machine-readable formats (yaml, json, hdf5, tiff, ...)

Accessible

nobody to ask

 automated retrieval: data and metadata can be obtained by a freely implemented protocol

Reusable

- Choose a license!
- data meets community standards (description, i/o libraries, ...)

HELMHOLTZA

Automate and document the above as soon as possible!

P. Steinbach et al | Machine Learning for Accelerator Physics and Engineering

26/31

Demo Notebook: Predicting Shoe Sizes

(doi:10.5281/zenodo.5541746)

1. share data publicly

(doi:10.5281/zenodo.5541145)

- 2. download
- 3. open & check

(pandas)

- 4. normalize, train and cross-validate (scikit-learn)
- 5. predict

Summary

P. Steinbach et al | Machine Learning for Accelerator Physics and Engineering



Helmholtz Al open for projects



- Helmholtz Al open for projects
- already learned a lot about ML consulting projects

- Helmholtz Al open for projects
- already learned a lot about ML consulting projects
- ML needs a concrete goal (expectations, testability, trustworthiness)

- Helmholtz Al open for projects
- already learned a lot about ML consulting projects
- ML needs a concrete goal (expectations, testability, trustworthiness)
- ML works well on a FAIR dataset

- Helmholtz Al open for projects
- already learned a lot about ML consulting projects
- ML needs a concrete goal (expectations, testability, trustworthiness)
- ML works well on a FAIR dataset

Questions, Comments, Feedback or Concerns are highly welcome!

References

P. Steinbach et al | Machine Learning for Accelerator Physics and Engineering



- [1] I. Bekman and J. H. Hetzel. Cosy machine-model optimization. In *presented at the 12th Int. Particle Accelerator Conf. (IPAC'21)*, page 3375. JACoW Publishing, May 2021.
- [2] Louis Dorard. Machine learning canvas, 2015.
- [3] Ian Goodfellow, Yoshua Bengio, and Aaron Courville. *Deep learning*. MIT press, 2016.
- [4] John Jumper, Richard Evans, Alexander Pritzel, Tim Green, Michael Figurnov, Olaf Ronneberger, Kathryn Tunyasuvunakool, Russ Bates, Augustin Žídek, Anna Potapenko, et al. Highly accurate protein structure prediction with alphafold. *Nature*, 596(7873):583–589, 2021.
- [5] Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey E Hinton. Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. *Communications of the ACM*, 60(6):84–90, 2017.

References II

[6] Mark D. Wilkinson, Michel Dumontier, IJsbrand Jan Aalbersberg, Gabrielle Appleton, Myles Axton, Arie Baak, Niklas Blomberg, Jan-Willem Boiten, Luiz Bonino da Silva Santos, Philip E. Bourne, Jildau Bouwman, Anthony J. Brookes, Tim Clark, Mercè Crosas, Ingrid Dillo, Olivier Dumon, Scott Edmunds, Chris T. Evelo, Richard Finkers, Alejandra Gonzalez-Beltran, Alasdair J. G. Gray, Paul Groth, Carole Goble, Jeffrey S. Grethe, Jaap Heringa, Peter A. C. 't Hoen, Rob Hooft, Tobias Kuhn, Ruben Kok, Joost Kok, Scott J. Lusher, Maryann E. Martone, Albert Mons, Abel L. Packer, Bengt Persson, Philippe Rocca-Serra, Marco Roos, Rene van Schaik, Susanna-Assunta Sansone, Erik Schultes, Thierry Sengstag, Ted Slater, George Strawn, Morris A. Swertz, Mark Thompson, Johan van der Lei, Erik van Mulligen, Jan Velterop, Andra Waagmeester, Peter Wittenburg, Katherine Wolstencroft, Jun Zhao, and Barend Mons. The FAIR guiding principles for

scientific data management and stewardship. 3(1):160018. Bandiera_abtest: a Cg_type: Nature Research Journals Number: 1 Primary_atype: Comments & Opinion Publisher: Nature Publishing Group Subject_term: Publication characteristics;Research data Subject_term_id: publication-characteristics;research-data.

Backup

P. Steinbach et al | Machine Learning for Accelerator Physics and Engineering

HELMHOLTZAI 3

Evolutionary algorithm optimization



- deap framework
 https://github.com/DEAP/deap,
 uses "population" of possible x'
- $C^* = ||y f(x'^*)||$ not as low as expected
- many params x_i^{*} hit their allowed range limits
- repeat runs: very similar C* but different x'* (similar to neural network optimization!)
- our suggestion: improve population initialization, do run monitoring (convergence behavior), check simulation (not accurate enough?), check values of fixed params not contained in x', loss landscape analysis
- possible: loss landscape analysis (many optima, tune EA exploration behavior based on that)