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## S1. General considerations

The 2-methyl-quinolin-8-ylamine, 2,5-dibromopyridine (Acros Organics) and benzyl azide (Alfa Aesar) were purchased from Acros Organics and Alfa Aesar and used as received. All solvents and other reagents were of reagent grade quality and purchased commercially. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance III- 400 MHz spectrometer at 400 and 100 MHz , respectively, using the residual solvent peak as an internal standard for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ HSQC, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NOESY spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance III-500 MHz TCI cryoprobe spectrometer. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance III-500 MHz HD Smart Probe Spectrometer.

UV-Vis measurements were performed on a Cary 100 UV-Vis spectrophotometer with a 1 cm path length cuvette at 298 K . Fluorescence measurements were performed on a Cary Eclipse fluorescence spectrophotometer at 298 K .

Low resolution electrospray ionisation mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) was undertaken on a Micromass Quattro LC mass spectrometer (cone voltage $5-30 \mathrm{eV}$; desolvation temperature 313 K ; ionisation temperature 313 K ) infused from a Harvard syringe pump at a rate of $10 \mu \mathrm{l} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}$.

## S2. Synthesis and characterization

### 2.1 Synthesis of subcomponent A



Scheme S1. Synthetic route for the preparation of subcomponent A. The NMR numbering scheme for $\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{A}$ is shown.

## Compound 2

Compound 2 was synthesized according to literature procedures. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta 8.651(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.790(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.362$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.216(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.


Figure S1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of compound 2.

## Compound 3

Compound $2(0.72 \mathrm{~g}, 3.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and benzyl azide $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 4 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in a methanol/water mixture ( $3: 1$ ratio, 16 mL ), using a round bottomed flask ( 50 mL ). Then copper(II) sulfate $(99.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$, dissolved in 4 mL water) and sodium ascorbate ( $364.5 \mathrm{mg}, 1.84 \mathrm{mmol}$, dissolved in 4 mL water) were added. The reaction mixture turned yellow after a while and was stirred for 72 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The yellow precipitate was filtered and washed with methanol. The crude product was then dissolved in 20 mL dichloromethane and 20 mL 0.25 M aqueous EDTA solution was added to remove residual metal ions. The dichloromethane phase was collected and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporator and dried under vacuum to give compound $3\left(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 95 \%\right.$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta 8.581(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.068(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.020(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.874(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.323-7.396(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.583(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.


Figure S2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of compound 3.

## Compound $6^{2}$

Compound $5(1.58 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and di-tert-butyl decarbonate $(5.4 \mathrm{~g}, 25 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to 20 mL 1,4-dioxane and the reaction mixture was heated at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 days. After completion of the reaction, the solvent was removed via rotary evaporator. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using DCM/hexane (1:1) as eluent to yield compound $6(2.11 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%$ yield $)$ as white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta 9.052(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.363(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.998(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.412(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.356(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.285(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.740(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.593(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.


Figure S3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of compound 6 .

## Compound 7

Compound 7 was synthesized according to the literature procedures. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ : $\delta 9.012(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.323(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.248(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.655(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.357(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.752(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.590(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.


Figure S4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of compound 7.

## Compound 8

A suspension of compound $3(0.63 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$, bis(pinacolato)diboron ( $0.61 \mathrm{~g}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(81.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{KOAc}(0.39 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1,4-dioxane $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was degassed by bubbling with nitrogen for 10 mins. The suspension was heated under microwave conditions at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . Then a black solution was obtained and transferred to a 50 mL three-necked flask. $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(0.23$ $\mathrm{g}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.55 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \mathrm{mmol})$, compound $7(0.67 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and a 1,4-dioxane/water mixture (4:1 ratio, 10 mL ) were added to the solution. The solution was then degassed with nitrogen for 30 mins and heated at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . After completion of the reaction, the solvent was removed via rotary evaporator. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using ethyl acetate/hexane (1:2) as eluent to yield compound $\mathbf{8}(0.39 \mathrm{~g}, 39 \%$ yield $)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta 9.159$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHa}$ ), $8.615(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 9), 8.446(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3), 8.287(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 7)$, 8.098 (s, 1H, H6), 8.069 (d, J = $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5$ ), 7.846 (dd, J = 8.4 Hz, J = $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 8$ ), $7.418(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4), 7.345-7.407(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 11-\mathrm{H} 13), 7.280(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2), 5.621$ (s, 2H, H10), 2.757 (s, 3H, H1), $1.608(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHBoc}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta 157.3,153.1,150.3,149.1,148.7$, $138.4,137.8,135.0,134.6,134.5,134.0,129.4,129.1,128.6,128.5,127.6,124.5,122.9,122.1,120.0,114.1$,
80.7, 54.6, 28.6, 25.2.


Figure S5. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of compound $\mathbf{8}$.


Figure S6. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of compound 8.

## Compound 9

Compound $\mathbf{8}(0.3 \mathrm{~g}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in dry dioxane $(12 \mathrm{~mL}) . \mathrm{SeO}_{2}(0.4 \mathrm{~g}, 3.66 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the mixture which was subsequently left to stir at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . The reaction mixture was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using ethyl acetate/hexane (1:2) as eluent to yield compound $9(0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%$ yield $)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta 10.287(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 1), 9.099(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHa}), 8.619(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, H9), $8.601(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3), 8.357(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4), 8.328(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 7), 8.112(\mathrm{~s}$, 1H, H6), 8.024 (d, J = $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5$ ), $7.859(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 8), 7.647(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, H2), 7.350-7.433 (m, 5H, H11-H13), 5.627 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 10$ ), $1.629(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHBoc}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, ppm): $\delta 193.0,152.8,150.3,150.2,149.7,148.5,138.4,137.9,136.5,135.5,134.4,133.8,131.5,129.4$, $129.1,128.9,128.5,128.4,122.3,120.2,118.1,115.1,81.5,54.6,28.5$. HR-MS $m / z$ : found 507.2145 , calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 507.2139$.


Figure S7. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of compound 9 .


Figure S8. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of compound 9.

## Subcomponent A

Compound $9(0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in a mixture of dichloromethane and trifluoroacetic acid $(3: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 24 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred at room temperature for 1 h . The dark red solution was then poured over ice and brought to pH 7 by the addition of sodium bicarbonate. The organic phase was extracted with dichloromethane, washed with brine $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and finally concentrated in vacuo. The product was isolated as a dark orange crystalline solid, $\mathbf{A}(0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%$ yield $) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, ppm): $\delta 10.205$ (s, 1H, H1), 8.614 (s, 1H, H9), 8.296 (d, J = $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3$ ), 8.288 (d, J = $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 7$ ), 8.098 (s, 1H, H6), 7.959 (d, J = $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4), 7.840(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 8), 7.467(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, H5), 7.371-7.409 (m, 5H, H11-H13), $7.055(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2), 5.623(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 10), 5.358(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHa})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): ~ \delta 193.4,150.3,149.9,149.1,148.7,145.5,138.3,137.7,134.8,134.5$, $131.9,129.4,129.1,129.0,128.5,124.3,122.1,120.1,118.0,110.1,54.6$. HR-MS $m / z$ : found 407.1620 , calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 407.1615$


Figure S9. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of subcomponent $\mathbf{A}$.


Figure S10. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of subcomponent $\mathbf{A}$.

### 2.2 Preparation of tetrahedral capsule 1



Scheme S2. Subcomponent self-assembly of $\mathrm{Zn}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{La}^{\text {III }}$ heterobimetallic 1.

## $1 \cdot(\mathrm{OTf})_{\mathbf{2 0}}$

Subcomponent A $(2.44 \mathrm{mg}, 6 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was added to acetonitrile $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ together with $\mathrm{La}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}(1.20 \mathrm{mg}$, $2.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}(0.82 \mathrm{mg}, 2.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$. The reaction was kept at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . Centrifugation was used to remove the precipitate from the solution. Diethyl ether $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the solution. The orange powder was collected by centrifugation and dried under reduced pressure ( $3 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, CD $\left.{ }_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta 9.22$ (s, 12H, H1); 8.90 (s, 12H, H9); 8.70 (d, J = $\left.10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3\right) ; 8.49$ (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 12H, H2), $8.40(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 7) 8.35-8.42(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6 / \mathrm{H} 8 / \mathrm{H} 4), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5)$; 7.40-7.48 (m, 60H, H11-H13); 5.74 (s, 24H, H10).


$$
\begin{aligned}
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$$



Figure S11. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1} \cdot(\mathrm{OTf})_{20}$.

## $1 \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{20}$

Subcomponent A $(30 \mathrm{mg}, 73.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was added to acetonitrile $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ together with $\mathrm{La}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(14.59 \mathrm{mg}, 26.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(9.94 \mathrm{mg}, 26.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$. The reaction was kept at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . Centrifugation was used to remove the precipitate from the solution. Diethyl ether $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added
to the solution. The orange powder was collected by centrifugation and dried under reduced pressure ( 39 mg , $85 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta 9.21$ (s, 12H, H1); 8.89 (s, 12H, H9); 8.74 (d, J =10.0 Hz, $12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3) ; 8.57(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2), 8.45(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4) 8.43(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 7)$, 8.41 (s, 12H, H6); 8.35 (d, J = $10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 8$ ); 7.72 (d, J = $10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5$ ); 7.43-7.53 (m, 60H, H11H13); 5.75 (s, 24H, H10).


Figure S12. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{20}$.


Figure S13. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{20}$ and $\mathbf{1} \cdot(\mathrm{OTf})_{20}$.
a)


Figure S14. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of a) subcomponent $\mathbf{A}$; b) subcomponent $\mathbf{A}(12$ equiv), $\mathrm{La}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}\left(4\right.$ equiv) and $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}(4$ equiv); c$)$ subcomponent $\mathbf{A}$ (12 equiv) and $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ (8 equiv); d) subcomponent $\mathbf{A}$ (12 equiv) and $\mathrm{La}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ (8 equiv).


Figure S15. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{COSY}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1} \cdot(\mathrm{OTf})_{20}$.


Figure S16. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NOESY $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1} \cdot(\mathrm{OTf})_{20}$.


Figure S17. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ HSQC $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1} \cdot(\mathrm{OTf})_{20}$.


Figure S18. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ DOSY spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1} \cdot(\mathrm{OTf})_{20}$. The diffusion coefficient of $\mathbf{1} \cdot(\mathrm{OTf})_{20}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ was measured to be $3.2 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.


Figure S19. VT- ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 470.4 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1} \cdot(\mathrm{OTf})_{20}$.


Figure S20. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{COSY}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{20}$


Figure S21. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NOESY}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{20}$


Figure S22. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ HSQC $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{20}$


Figure S23. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ DOSY spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{20}$. The diffusion coefficient of $\mathbf{1} \cdot(\mathrm{OTf})_{20}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ was measured to be $3.2 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.


Figure S24. Low-resolution ESI-mass spectrometry analysis of $\mathbf{1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{20}$.


Figure S25. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of subcomponent $\mathbf{A}$, and $\mathbf{1} \cdot(\mathrm{OTf})_{20}(\mathrm{c}=62 \mu \mathrm{M})$ upon addition of $0-8$ equiv. of $\mathrm{Na}_{2}$ EDTA. Guest equivalents with respect to the host are given on the left. The phenyl peak of the internal standard 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene is indicated by an asterisk.

## Attempts to construct of $\mathbf{1}$ using other counter anions

Several larger anions, such as tetrakis(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)borate ( $\mathrm{BArF}^{-}$) and bis(trifluoromethanesulfon)imide $\left(\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{-}\right)$, were employed as counter ions in order to prepare an 'empty' capsule. Only broad signals were observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra, however. In the case of $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{-}$, the spectrum of $\mathbf{1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{NTf}_{2}\right)_{20}$ sharpened when $\mathrm{TfO}^{-}$was added. We infer that anions such as $\mathrm{TfO}^{-}$act as templates during the formation of capsule 1.


Figure S26. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{NTf}_{2}\right)_{20}(0.5 \mathrm{mM}), \mathbf{1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{NTf}_{2}\right)_{20}$ with addition of 12 equiv of TBAOTf and $\mathbf{1} \cdot(\mathrm{OTf})_{20}$.


Figure S27. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of subcomponent $\mathbf{A}$ (12 equiv), $\mathrm{La}\left(\mathrm{BArF}^{-}\right)_{3}(4$ equiv) and $\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{BArF}^{-}\right)_{2}$ (4 equiv), ${ }^{4}$ where $\mathrm{BArF}^{-}$is tetrakis( $3,5-\mathrm{bis}($ trifluoromethyl)phenyl)borate.

## S3. Host-guest chemistry of 1

## S3.1 Characterisation data for host-guest complexes

## S3.1.1 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR



Figure S28. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{20}(\mathrm{c}=11 \mu \mathrm{M})$ and $\mathbf{1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{20}$ following addition of 20 equiv. of TBAReO 4 . The phenyl peak of the internal standard $1,3,5$-trimethoxybenzene is indicated by an asterisk.


Figure S29. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1} \cdot(\mathrm{OTf})_{20}(\mathrm{c}=71 \mu \mathrm{M})$ and $\mathbf{1} \cdot(\mathrm{OTf})_{20}$ with addition of 14 equiv. of TBAReO 4 . The phenyl peak of the internal standard $1,3,5$-trimethoxybenzene is indicated by an asterisk.


Figure S30. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 470.4 \mathrm{MHz}, 243 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1} \cdot(\mathrm{OTf})_{20}(\mathrm{c}=75 \mu \mathrm{M})$ and $\mathbf{1} \cdot(\mathrm{OTf})_{20}$ with addition of 20 equiv. of $\mathrm{TBAReO}_{4}$.


Figure S31. Partial ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{20}$ and $\mathbf{1}(12 \mu \mathrm{M})$ with addition of 20 equiv. different guest anions (added as their tetrabutylammonium $\left(\mathrm{TBA}^{+}\right)$salts). The phenyl peak of the internal standard 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene is indicated by an asterisk.

Table S1. Chemical shifts of imine (H1) and phenyl (H2-H5) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR peaks of $\mathbf{1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}\right)_{20}(12 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in acetonitrile) with different prospective anion guests (20 equiv per 1).

| Guest | H 1 | H 2 | H 3 | H 4 | H 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$ | 9.223 | 8.571 | 8.737 | 8.452 | 7.727 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{-}$ | 9.222 | 8.570 | 8.736 | 8.451 | 7.726 |
| $\mathrm{NC}^{-}$ | 9.221 | 8.569 | 8.735 | 8.450 | 7.726 |
| $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$ | 9.216 | 8.561 | 8.736 | 8.447 | 7.726 |
| $\mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}$ | 9.221 | 8.570 | 8.737 | 8.452 | 7.726 |
| $\mathrm{ReO}_{4}^{-}$ | 9.702 | $8.880^{*}$ | $8.880^{*}$ | 8.250 | 7.886 |
| $\mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$ | 9.221 | 8.571 | 8.734 | 8.449 | 7.726 |
| $\mathrm{TfO}^{-}$ | 9.194 | 8.536 | 8.707 | 8.423 | 7.704 |

* The peaks could not be distinguished due to signal overlap.


## S3.1.2 2D NMR



Figure S32. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{COSY}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ReO}_{4}\right)_{20}$


Figure S33. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NOESY $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ReO}_{4}\right)_{20}$


Figure S34. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ HSQC $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ReO}_{4}\right)_{20}$


Figure S35. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ DOSY spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ReO}_{4}\right)_{20}$. The diffusion coefficient of $\mathbf{1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ReO}_{4}\right)_{20}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ was measured to be $3.9 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

## S3.1.3 ESI-mass spectrum of 1 with $\mathrm{ReO}_{4}{ }^{-}$anions



Figure S36. Low-resolution ESI-mass spectrometry analysis of $\mathbf{1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{20}$ with $\mathrm{TBAReO}_{4}$.

## S3.2 Apparent association constant of 1 with different anions

The binding behavior of capsule 1 was studied by competitive ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR titration of $\mathrm{ReO}_{4}^{-}, \mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$and $\mathrm{TfO}^{-}$into solutions of $\mathbf{1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{20}$ and $\mathbf{1} \cdot(\mathrm{OTf})_{20}$, respectively. Titration experiments of $\mathrm{ReO}_{4}^{-}, \mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$and $\mathrm{TfO}^{-}$were carried out in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. The $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ stock solution of $\mathbf{1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{20}$ and $\mathbf{1} \cdot(\mathrm{OTf})_{20}$ with an internal standard of 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene $(0.25 \mathrm{mM})$ were prepared. Stock solutions of TBAReO $4(0.015 \mathrm{M})$, $\mathrm{TBAClO}_{4}(0.75 \mathrm{M}$ and 0.075 M$)$ and $\operatorname{TBAOTf}(0.15 \mathrm{M})$ were also prepared and used for the titrations. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR titration were performed by adding aliquots of guest stock solution to $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathbf{1}$ stock solution. It was found that $\mathrm{ReO}_{4}^{-}$was bound by $\mathbf{1}$ in slow-exchange on the NMR time scale at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, while $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$and $\mathrm{TfO}^{-}$interacted with $\mathbf{1}$ in a fast-exchange process.

The binding constant ( $K_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) and coefficient value ( $n$ ) were calculated using the Hill equation. ${ }^{5}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\theta=\frac{\left[\mathrm{HG}_{n}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HG}_{n}\right]+[\mathrm{H}]}  \tag{S1}\\
\log \frac{\theta}{1-\theta}=n \log [\mathrm{G}]+n \log K_{a} \tag{S2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Where $\theta$ is the fraction of binding sites occupied by the guest, [G] is the guest concentration, $n$ is the Hill coefficient describing cooperativity, and $K_{\mathrm{a}}$ is the apparent association constant.

In the Hill equation, the value of $\theta$ was obtained using Equation S 1 . For the slow-exchange bound guest $\left(\mathrm{ReO}_{4}^{-}\right)$, according to the integration of the NMR peak of H 1 , the concentrations of the free host $[\mathrm{H}]$ and host-guest complex [HG] were determined, respectively. For the fast-exchange bound guests $\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}\right.$and $\mathrm{TfO}^{-}$), the value of $\theta$ was obtained by using $\Delta \delta_{\text {observed }}$ as compared against the maximum change of chemical shift $\Delta \delta$ of H 3 or H 2 in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra.

Cooperativity is quantified by the Hill coefficient $n$, where $n>1$ indicates positively cooperative binding, $n<1$ indicates negatively cooperative binding, and $n=1$ indicates noncooperative binding.


Figure S37. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{20}(\mathrm{c}=11 \mu \mathrm{M})$ upon addition of $0-$ 19.4 equiv of TBAReO 4 . Imine protons H 1 are highlighted in the red box. Guest equivalents with respect to the host are given on the left. The phenyl peak of the internal standard 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene is indicated by an asterisk.


Figure S38. Titration curves of $\mathbf{1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{20}$ vs $\mathrm{TBAReO}_{4}$ with Hill function. (a) [Capsule] vs $\left[\mathrm{ReO}_{4}{ }^{-}\right]$and (b) $\log \frac{\theta}{1-\theta}$ vs $\log \left[\mathrm{ReO}_{4}^{-}\right]$.


Figure S39. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1} \cdot(\mathrm{OTf})_{20}(\mathrm{c}=70 \mu \mathrm{M})$ upon progressive addition of 13.9 equiv of $\mathrm{TBAReO}_{4}$. Imine protons H 1 are highlighted in red box. Guest equivalents with respect to the complete host are given on the left. The phenyl peak of the internal standard 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene is indicated by an asterisk.


Figure S40. Titration curves of $\mathbf{1} \cdot(\mathrm{OTf})_{20}$ vs $\mathrm{TBAReO}_{4}$ with Hill function. (a) [Capsule] vs [ $\mathrm{ReO}_{4}{ }^{-}$] and (b) $\log \frac{\theta}{1-\theta}$ vs $\log \left[\mathrm{ReO}_{4}^{-}\right]$.


Figure S41. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{20}(\mathrm{c}=16 \mu \mathrm{M})$ upon addition of $0-$ 102.5 equiv of TBAOTf. Guest equivalents with respect to the complete host are given on the left. The phenyl peak of the internal standard 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene is indicated by an asterisk.



Figure S42. Titration curves of $1 \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{20} v s$ TBAOTf with Hill function. (a) $\Delta \delta v s\left[\mathrm{TfO}^{-}\right]$and (b) $\log \frac{\theta}{1-\theta}$ vs $\log \left[\mathrm{TfO}^{-}\right]$.


Figure S43. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1} \cdot(\mathrm{OTf})_{20}(\mathrm{c}=48 \mu \mathrm{M})$ upon addition of $0-$ 690.8 equiv of $\mathrm{TBAClO}_{4}$. Guest equivalents with respect to the complete host are given on the left.


Figure S44. Titration curves of $\mathbf{1} \cdot(\mathrm{OTf})_{20} v s \mathrm{TBAClO}_{4}\left(\Delta \delta\right.$ of $\left.\mathrm{H} 2 v s\left[\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}\right]\right)$, showing initial peripheral binding and subsequent binding within the cavity.


Figure S45. Titration data of $\mathbf{1} \cdot(\mathrm{OTf})_{20}$ vs $\mathrm{TBAClO}_{4}$ with Hill function $\left(\log \frac{\theta}{1-\theta} v s \log \left[\mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}\right]\right)$. (a) From 0 equiv to 15.7 equiv and (b). From 15.7 equiv to 690.8 equiv.


Figure S46. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 470.4 \mathrm{MHz}, 243 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1} \cdot(\mathrm{OTf})_{20}$ and $\mathbf{1} \cdot(\mathrm{OTf})_{20}$ upon addition of 20.5 and 601.7 equiv. of $\mathrm{TBAClO}_{4}$.

## Interpretation of titration of $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}$to $\left.\mathbf{1 \cdot ( O T f}\right)_{\mathbf{2 0}}$

As observed in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR titration of $\mathrm{OTf}^{-}$into $\mathbf{1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{20}$, replacement of $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$by $\mathrm{OTf}^{-}$occurs in a non-cooperative fashion (Figures $\mathrm{S} 41, \mathrm{~S} 42$ ). Hence, $\mathrm{OTf}^{-}$binds more strongly in the cage cavity than $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$. The stronger binding of $\mathrm{OTf}^{-}$compared to $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$indicates that the reverse process, i.e. the titration of $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$ to $\mathbf{1} \cdot(\mathrm{OTf})_{20}$, will require a large excess of $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$for displacement to occur, in line with LeChatelier's
principle. This outcome was observed when we added a large excess of $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$, as can be seen by the expected downfield shift of protons H 2 and H 3 (Figure S 13 ) at higher $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$concentrations (Figures S43, S44) as well as by low-temperature ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( 243 K , Figure S46). In low-temperature ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR, the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ signals corresponding to encapsulated $\mathrm{TfO}^{-}$disappeared only after the addition of a large excess ( 601.7 equiv) of $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}$.

The interesting part of the titration of $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$to $\mathbf{1} \cdot(\mathrm{OTf})_{20}$ (Figures $\mathrm{S} 43, \mathrm{~S} 44$ ) is the initially observed upfield shift of protons H 2 and H 3 . The chemical shifts of H 2 and H 3 in $\mathbf{1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{20}$ compared to $\mathbf{1} \cdot(\mathrm{OTf})_{20}$ are at slightly higher chemical shift values (Figure S 13 ). However, a replacement of $\mathrm{TfO}^{-}$by $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$inside the cage's cavity would be indicated by a downfield shift of these signals. The observed upfield shift, thus, indicated a different kind of interaction. As there are six $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}$anions located at the edges of $\mathbf{1}$ in the crystal structure (Figure S53), we hypothesize that the $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$interacts with the edges of the cage through electrostatic interactions and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonding in solution state.

## S4. Spectroscopic properties



Figure S47. a) Ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) spectra of $\mathbf{1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{20}(2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in MeCN$)$ and subcomponent $\mathbf{A}$ ( $24 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in MeCN ).


Figure S48. a) Ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) spectra of $\mathbf{1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{20}(2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in MeCN$)$ upon progressive addition of 19.5 equiv. of $\mathrm{TBAReO}_{4}$. b) Variation of absorbance at four different wavelengths.


Figure S49. a) Fluorescence emission spectra of $\mathbf{1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{20}\left(2 \mu \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{MeCN}, \lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}=375 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$ upon addition of various anions (20 equiv); b) Variation of fluorescence intensity at $\lambda=543 \mathrm{~nm}$.

## S5. X-ray Crystallography

Data were collected at Beamline I19 of Diamond Light Source employing silicon double crystal monochromated synchrotron radiation $\left(0.6889 \AA\right.$ ) with $\omega$ and $\psi$ scans at $100(2) \mathrm{K} .{ }^{6}$ Data integration and reduction were undertaken with Xia2. ${ }^{7}$ Subsequent computations were carried out using the WinGX-32 graphical user interface. ${ }^{8}$ Multi-scan empirical absorption corrections were applied to the data using the AIMLESS ${ }^{9}$ tool in the CCP4 suite ${ }^{10}$ or DIALS. ${ }^{11}$ The structures were solved by direct methods using SHELXT ${ }^{12}$ then refined and extended with SHELXL. ${ }^{13}$ In general, non-hydrogen atoms with occupancies greater than 0.5 were refined anisotropically. Carbon-bound hydrogen atoms were included in idealised positions and refined using a riding model. Oxygen-bound hydrogen atoms were first located in the difference Fourier map before refinement. Disorder was modelled using standard crystallographic methods
including constraints and restraints where necessary. Crystallographic data have been deposited with the CCDC (CCDC 2024202).

Crystals of $\left[\mathrm{Zn}_{4} \mathrm{La}_{4} \mathrm{~L}_{4}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{12}\right] \cdot 20 \mathrm{ClO}_{4} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were grown by vapour diffusion of diethyl ether into an acetonitrile solution of the complex. The crystals employed in this study proved to be weakly diffracting and rapidly suffered solvent loss. Rapid handling prior to quenching in liquid nitrogen was required to collect data. Despite these measures and the use of synchrotron radiation the diffraction pattern was broad and the intensity of reflections dropped off rapidly past $1 \AA$. The tetrahedral assembly crystallised in the space group I23 and was refined as a merohedral twin. The absolute configuration of the crystal could not be determined reliably but we assume the bulk sample is racemic. The capsule shows a high degree of crystallographic symmetry with $1 / 12$ of the tetrahedron in the asymmetric unit.

Due to the less than ideal resolution and thermal motion present in the structure restraints were applied to the organic part of the structure to achieve a reasonable refinement. The GRADE program ${ }^{14}$ was employed using the GRADE Web Server ${ }^{15}$ to generate a full set of bond distance and angle restraints (DFIX, DANG, FLAT) for the ligand and thermal parameter restraints (SIMU, RIGU) were applied to all atoms except for zinc, lanthanum and chlorine.

The anions within the structure show evidence of substantial disorder. The encapsulated perchlorate anion was modelled over two locations, each located on a site of 3-fold symmetry. A further perchlorate anion was located outside the capsule and modelled with partial occupancy. Bond length restraints were applied to both located perchlorate anions. Hydrogen atoms were not applied to one water molecule located on a special position.

Further reflecting the solvent loss and poor diffraction properties there is a significant amount of void volume in the lattice containing smeared electron density from disordered solvent and 13 unresolved anions per $\mathrm{Zn}_{4} \mathrm{La}_{4} \mathrm{~L}_{4}$ tetrahedron (included as perchlorate in the formula). Consequently the SQUEEZE ${ }^{16}$ function of PLATON ${ }^{17}$ was employed to remove the contribution of the electron density associated with these remaining anions and further highly disordered solvent, which gave a potential solvent accessible void of $10406 \AA^{3}$ per unit cell (a total of approximately 3086 electrons). Diffuse solvent molecules could not be assigned to acetonitrile or diethyl ether and were therefore not included in the formula. Consequently, the molecular weight and density given above are underestimated.

CheckCIF gives 3 A level alerts for short inter D $\cdots$ A contact generated in error for oxygen atoms part
of a single perchlorate anion located on a special position. One B level alert for low bond precision on C-C bonds arises from the limited resolution of the data (and low intensity of high angle data) and two further B alerts for short inter $\mathrm{D} \cdots \mathrm{A}$ contacts arise from an the water molecule located on a special position for which hydrogen atoms were not modelled.

Table S2. Crystal data and refinement details of tetrahedral $\mathbf{1}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}\right)_{20}$

|  | 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{288} \mathrm{H}_{230} \mathrm{Cl}_{20} \mathrm{La}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{72} \mathrm{O}_{99} \mathrm{Zn}_{4}$ |
| Formula weight | 7809.55 |
| Crystal System | Cubic |
| Space group | I23 |
| $a(\AA)$ | 28.2940(2) |
| $b(\AA)$ | 28.2940(2) |
| $c(\AA)$ | 28.2940(2) |
| $\alpha$ (deg) | 90 |
| $\beta$ (deg) | 90 |
| $\gamma$ (deg) | 90 |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 22650.8(5) |
| $Z$ | 2 |
| $D_{\text {calc, }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.145 |
| No. of unique data | 7746 |
| $T$ (K) | 100(2) |
| Total no. of data | 75589 |
| Crystal size (mm) | $0.050 \times 0.050 \times 0.030$ |
| $\theta$ range | 0.986 to $25.494^{\circ}$ |
| Completeness to $\theta=24.415^{\circ}$ | 100 \% |
| Max. and min. transmission | 1.0 and 0.9744 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $F^{2}$ | 0.986 |
| R1 | 0.0736 |
| $w R 2$ | 0.1868 |

${ }^{*} R 1=\Sigma| | F_{\mathrm{o}}\left|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right| / \Sigma\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|$ for $F_{\mathrm{o}}>2 \sigma\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}\right) ; w R 2=\left(\Sigma \mathrm{w}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2} / \Sigma\left(\mathrm{w} F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ all reflections $\mathrm{w}=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.1484 \mathrm{P})^{2}\right]$ where $\mathrm{P}=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$

Table S3. Hydrogen bonds between capsules.

| $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{A}$ | $d(\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{H})$ | $d(\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{A})$ | $d(\mathrm{D} \cdots \mathrm{A})$ | $\angle(\mathrm{DHA})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A} \cdots \mathrm{~N} 2$ | 0.88 | 2.41 | $3.2662(1)$ | 165 |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~B} \cdots \mathrm{~N} 2$ | 0.88 | 2.44 | $2.8627(1)$ | 110 |



Figure S50. Structure of bowl-like central spacer of the $C_{3}$ symmetric ligand. The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{La}-\mathrm{N}$ angle between imine and quinoline donors which are opposite each other is marked to indicate the bent nature of the ligand. The top one of the water molecules is disordered over three sites by symmetry
a)

b)


Figure S51. a) Coordination environment of the $\mathrm{Zn}^{\mathrm{II}}$ cation. b) Side view of the coordination geometry of the $\mathrm{Zn}^{\mathrm{II}}$ cation.


Figure S52. a) Coordination environment of the $\mathrm{La}^{\text {III }}$ cation. b), c) and d) are the top, side and bottom views of coordination geometry of La ${ }^{\text {III }}$ cation.


Figure S53. Crystal structure of $\mathbf{1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{20}$. Space-filling view showing three of the six peripheral $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$ counter anions associated with 1.


Figure S54. Intermolecular hydrogen bonds of $\mathbf{1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{20}$. The water molecules which are located inside the cavity are disordered over three sites by symmetry. Hydrogen bonds were formed between capsules. The outward-facing $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecules coordinated to the $\mathrm{Ln}^{\text {III }}$ cations each were in hydrogen-bonding distance of the three triazole N atoms at a vertex of a neighboring capsule, with $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ distances of 3.27(2) and 2.86(2) Å.

## S6. Volume calculations

In order to determine the available void space within 1, VOIDOO calculations ${ }^{18}$ based on the crystal structure (with the encapsulated anions and bound water molecules removed) was performed. A virtual probe with a radius of $1.4 \AA$ (set by default, water-sized) was employed. The standard parameters tabulated below was used, following the previously published procedure. ${ }^{19}$

Maximum number of volume-refinement cycles: 30
Minimum size of secondary grid: 3
Grid for plot files: 0.2
Primary grid spacing: 0.1


Figure S55. VOIDOO-calculated void space (green mesh) within the cavity of $\mathbf{1}$ (volume: $617.3 \AA^{3}$ ).
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