# 1 SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

- 2 Antibacterial and herbicidal properties of secondary metabolites from
- 3 fungi

## 4 Mona M. G. Saad<sup>a</sup>, Samir A. M. Abdelgaleil<sup>b,\*</sup>, Yoshihito Shiono<sup>b</sup>

- <sup>a</sup>Department of Pesticide Chemistry and Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, El-Shatby, Alexandria University,
- 6 Alexandria 21545, Egypt
- 7 <sup>2</sup>Department of Food, Life, and Environmental Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Yamagata University,
- 8 Tsuruoka, Yamagata, 997-8555, Japan
- 9 \* Correspondence: samirabdelgaleil@gmail.com (S.A.M. Abdelgaleil)
- 10 Abstract

11 Twenty eight compounds were isolated from endophytic, soil and marine fungi and their 12 structures were elucidated through spectroscopic methods. The isolated compounds were 13 tested for their antibacterial and herbicidal activities against phytopathogenic bacteria and 14 barnyard grass weed for the first time. Methyleurotinone (14) was the most potent compound 15 against Pectobacterium carotovorum subsp. carotovorum, Pseudomonas syringae pv. 16 syringae, Rhizobium radiobacter and Ralstonia solanacearum with minimum inhibitory 17 concentration (MIC) values of 31.3, 125, 31.3 and 125 mg/L, respectively. Compounds 18 13-15 were highly effective in reducing the development of potato tuber soft rot disease 19 caused by *P. carotovorum* subsp. *carotovorum*. Furthermore, twelve of the tested compounds 20 induced significant reduction in seed germination of Echinochloa crus-galli at 2mM with 21 compounds 8 and 26 causing complete inhibition of seed germination. Also, compounds 4, 22 22, 5, 8, 18 and 25-27 induced remarkable reduction of root and shoot growth of E. crus-galli 23 at 2mM.

24 Keywords: Fungi; Bioactive compounds; Antibacterial effects; Herbicidal activity

- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- 29

## 30 **3. Experimental**

## 31 *3.1. Test bacteria*

32 Four phytopathogenic bacterial strains, Pectobacterium carotovorum subsp. carotovorum 33 (Jones, 1901) Hauben et al. 1999 (EMCC 1687), Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae Van 34 Hall, 1904 (EMCC 1739), Rhizobium radiobacter (Beijerinck & van Delden 1902) Young et 35 al. 2001 (ATCC 19358) and Ralstonia solanacearum (Smith 1896) Yabuuchi et al. 1996 36 (EMCC 1274) were obtained from Microbiological Resource Centre (Cairo MIRCEN), 37 Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt. The bacterial strains were 38 grown and maintained on nutrient agar (NA) medium (NA: peptone 10 g, meat extract 5 g, 39 sodium chloride 2.5 g and agar 10 g in one liter of distilled water).

### 40 *3.2. Test Weed*

Field biotype seeds of barnyard grass, *Echinochloa crus-galli* (L.) Beauv. (Poaceae), were collected from Faculty of Agriculture Farm, Alexandria, Egypt. Uniform and undamaged seeds were used for the germination and seedling growth tests. Seeds were examined for their germination before experiments. The germination was 60% after 12 days of sowing.

# 45 3.3. Fungal strains, isolation and structure elucidation of secondary metabolites

46 Strains of different endophytic fungi were isolated from different sources, identified by BEX 47 Co. Ltd., Japan, using a DNA analysis of the 18S rDNA regions and had been deposited at 48 our laboratory in the Faculty of Agriculture of Yamagata University. The fungal strains 49 isolation, identification and fermentation procedures were described in previous reports as 50 indicated in Table S1. Twenty eight compounds have been isolated from different 51 endophytic, soil and marine fungi by using combination of chromatographic separation 52 techniques, including column chromatography (CC), preparative high performance liquid 53 chromatography (HPLC) and preparative thin layer chromatography (PTLC). The chemical structures of the isolated compounds were elucidated by using UV, IR, MS, <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C 54

- 55 NMR spectra as well as 2D NMR spectra of COSY, HMQC, HMBC, DEPT and NOESY.
- 56 The structures of isolated compounds are shown in Figure 1.

## 57 3.4. Preparation of bacterial inoculums

58 A loopful of bacterial colonies was taken from bacterial strains grown on slant nutrient agar

and transferred to a tube containing 5 ml of nutrient broth. The suspension was incubated at  $30^{\circ}$ C for 18 h to give approximately  $1.0 \times 10^{8}$  CFU/ml.

61 3.5. Determination of minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) of compounds

62 The antibacterial activity of isolated compounds was determined by using a microdilution 63 method. Nutrient broth was used as culture media for bacterial strains. The inoculums of 64 bacteria were prepared as described previously. Stock solutions of isolated compounds were 65 first prepared in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO). Appropriate volumes from stock solutions were 66 transferred to 96-well plates containing culture broth ranged between 164 and 178 µL. The 67 highest concentration of DMSO in microplate wells was 8% which had no effect on growth 68 of bacteria. Each well was inoculated with 20 µL of a bacterial suspension. The total volume 69 of each well was 200 µL. Control wells received culture broth, inoculum suspension and 70 DMSO without test compounds. The isolated compounds were tested at final concentrations 71 of 1000, 500, 250, 125, 62.5, 31.3 and 15.6 µg/mL. The microdilution trays were incubated at 72 30°C for 24 h. The growth of bacteria was detected by adding 20 µl (5 mg/ml) of 73 2,3,5-triphenyltetrazolium chloride (TTC) and incubating for 30 min under appropriate 74 cultivation conditions in the dark (Ellof 1998). The viable bacterial cells changed the 75 colorless TTC to pink. MIC value was taken as the lowest concentration of isolated 76 compound, which caused complete inhibition of bacterial growth.

77 *3.6. Evaluation the effect of selected compounds on potato soft rot disease control* 

78 Three compounds (13-15), which showed the highest antibacterial activity *in vitro*, were 79 tested *in vivo* for their effect on the development and control soft rot disease caused by *P*. 80 *carotovorum* subsp. *carotovorum* in potato tubers. Potato tubers were thoroughly washed 81 with water then with chloride solution (1%), followed by sterilized distilled water. The 82 tubers were cut into 1-Cm cubes. The cubes were placed in in 90-mm petri dishes with moist 83 sterile filter paper (Whatman No. 2). Two concentrations (31.3 and 62.5 µg/mL) of the tested 84 compounds were prepared in distilled water containing 0.05% Triton-X 100. Potato cubes 85 were dipped in the solutions of compounds for 60 min. After dry of compound solutions, each cube was inoculated by spray 20  $\mu$ l (1.0  $\times$  10<sup>8</sup> CFU/ml) of bacterial suspension. Three 86 87 replicates with 8 cubes in each one were used for each concentration. Control cubes were 88 treated with 0.05% Triton-X 100 only. Ampicillin was used as reference antibiotic at 89 similar concentrations. The treated cubes were kept in sealed petri dishes at 30°C for 4 days. 90 Observations on the visible development of soft rot were recorded and expressed as disease 91 severity. The disease severity was estimated based on a 0 - 5 ranking scale, where  $0 = n_0$ 92 decay (0%), 1 = 1-20%, 2 = 21-40%, 3 = 41-60%, 4 = 61-80%, 5 = 81-100%. The severity 93 percent was calculated using the following formula:

94 Severity percent =  $(\Sigma nv/5N) \times 100$ 

where n = number of cubes in each replicate, v = numerical values of each ranking scale, N = total number of the tuber cubes in each treatment, and 5 = highest score on the severity scale. Also, percent soft rot control was calculated using the formula:

98 Percent of soft rot control =  $[(C-T)/C] \times 100$ 

99 where C = soft rot severity percent in control and T = soft rot severity percent in treatment.

100 3.7. Germination and seedling growth inhibition bioassay

101 The inhibitory effects of isolated compounds on the germination and subsequent seedling 102 growth of Echinochloa crus-galli were evaluated using a method described by Abdelgaleil et 103 al. (2009). The compounds were dissolved first in DMSO and diluted with distilled water 104 containing Triton-X 100 (0.02%) as an emulsifying agent. The test compounds were first 105 evaluated at concentration of 2mM. Each treatment was replicated three times with 20 seeds 106 in each replicate. Six milliliters of compound solution were added in each Petri dish (9 cm) 107 lined with Whatman No. 2 filter paper. Then, Petri dishes were put in the bottom of 108 polyethylene bags (0.1mm thick). The bags were expanded to contain air and closed with

rubber bands to avoid the moisture loss. Control seeds were treated with distilled water containing DMSO (0.5% v/v) and Triton-X 100 (0.02%) only and these concentrations of DMSO and Triton-X 100 had no effect on germination or seedling growth of weed. The dishes were kept in a growth cabinet at  $26 \pm 2$  °C with a 12-h photoperiod. The seed germination was determined by counting the number of germinated seeds and the lengths of root and shoot were recorded after 12 days of sowing. The growth reduction percentages (RP) of root and shoot lengths were calculated from the following equation:

116 RP (%) = 
$$[1 - T/C] \times 100$$

where T is the root or shoot length of treatment (cm) and C is the root or shoot length of control (cm). Moreover, four compounds (8, 18, 22 and 26) which showed the highest phytotoxic effect were further evaluated on germination and seeding growth at a series of concentrations, 1.0, 0.5, 0.25 and 0.125 mM.

## 121 **4. Statistical analysis**

122 Germination percentages, and root and shoot lengths were subjected to one-way analysis of

123 variance followed by Student-Newman- Keuls test (SPSS 21.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) to

124 determine significant differences among mean values at the probability level of 0.05.

#### 125 Acknowledgments:

- 126 The work was supported by Alexandria University Research Fund (ALEX-REP, 2010- 2013)
- 127 and Japan Society for Promotion of Science (JSPS).
- 128 **References**
- Abdelgaleil SAM, Abdel-Razeek N, Soliman SA. 2009. Herbicidal activity of three
  sesquiterpene lactones on wild oat (*Avena fatua*) and their possible mode of action.
  Weed Sci. 57:6-9.
- Ellof JN. 1998. A sensitive and quick microplate method to determine the minimal inhibitory
  concentration of plant extracts for bacteria. Planta Med. 64:711–713.
- 134 Elsaesser B, Krohn K, Floerke U, Root N, Aust HJ, Draeger S, Schulz B, Antus S, Kurtán T.
- 2005. X-ray structure determination absolute configuration and biological activity of
  phomoxanthone. Eur J Org Chem. 21:4563–4570.

- Hatakeyama T, Koseki T, Murayama T, Shiono Y. 2010. Eremophilane sesquiterpenes
  from the endophyte *Microdiplodia sp.* KS 75-1 and revision of the stereochemistries
  of phomadecalins C and D. Phytochem Lett. 3:148–151.
- Hayasaka S, Koseki T, Murayama T, Kwon E, Shiono Y. 2011. Phenylisobenzofuranones
  from *Fungicolous nodulisporium* sp. SH-1. Z Naturforsch. 66b:961–964.
- 142 Hutchinson CR, Shu-Wee L, Mcinnes AG, Walter JA. 1983. Comparative biochemistry of
- fatty acid and macrolide antibiotic (Brefeldin A) formation in *Penicilluim brefeldianum*. Tetrahedron. 39:3507-3513.
- 145 Ikeda M, Niwa, G-I, Tohyama K, Sassa T, Miura Y. 1977c. Structures of Fasciculol C and its
  146 depsipeptides, new biologically active substances from *Neamatoloma fasciculare*.
  147 Agric Biol Chem.41:1803-1805.
- Ikeda M, Sato Y, Izawa M, Sassa T, Miura Y. 1977a. Isolation and structure of Fasciculol
  A, a new plant growth inhibitor from *Neamatoloma fasciculare*. Agric Biol Chem.
  41: 1539-1541.
- Ikeda M, Watanabe H, Hayakawa A, Sato K, Sassa T, Miura Y. 1977b. Structures of
   Fasciculol B and its depsipeptide, new biologically active substances from
   *Neamatoloma fasciculare*. Agric Biol Chem. 41:1543-1545.
- 154 Kim KH, Moon E, Choi SU, Kim SY, Lee KR. 2013. Lanostane triterpenoids from the
   155 mushroom *Naematoloma fasciculare*. J Nat Prod. 76:845–851.
- isocromophilone VI and pencolide, metabolites from Brazillian cerrado isolate
  of *Penicillium sclerotiorum* van beyma. Braz J Microbiol. 38:785-789.

Lucas EMF, de Castro MCM, Takahashi JA. 2007. Antimicrobial properties of sclerotiorin,

- Masters KS, Bräse S. 2002. "Xanthones from fungi, lichens, and bacteria: the natural
  products and their synthesis". Chem Rev. 112: 3717–3776.
- 161 Nagai K, Kamigiri K, Matsumoto H, Kawano Y, Yamaoka M, Shimoi H, Watanabe M,
  162 Suzuki K. 2002. YM-202204, a new antifungal antibiotic produced by marine fungus
- 163 *Phoma* sp. J Antibiot. 55:1036–1041.

- Ozkaya FC, Ebrahim W, Klopotowski M, Liu Z, Janiak C., Proksch P. 2018. Isolation
   and X-ray structure analysis of citreohybridonol from marine-derived *Penicillium atrovenetum*. Nat Prod Res. 32:840-843.
- 167 Ronsberg D, Debbab A, Mandi A, Vasylyeva V, Bohler P, Stork B, Engelke L, Hamacher
  168 A, Sawadogo R, Diederich M, Wray V, Lin W, Kassack MU, Janiak C, Scheu S,
  169 Wesselborg S, Kurtan T, Aly AH, Proksch P. 2013. Pro-apoptotic and
  170 immunostimulatory tetrahydroxanthone dimers from the endophytic fungus
  171 *Phomopsis longicolla.* J Org Chem. 78:12409–12425.
- Schürmann BTM, Sallum WST, Takahashi JA. 2010. Austin, dehydroaustin and other
  metabolites from *Penicillium brasilianum*. Quím. Nova. 33:1044–1046.
- Shibuya F, Shiono Y. 2016. The collection and study of the biological active substances from
  microorganisms. JSM Mycotoxins. 66:81-84. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2520/myco.66.81</u>.
  (In Japanese with English Abstract).
- Shiono Y, Matsui N, Imaizumi T, Koseki T, Murayama T, Kwon E, Abe T,
  Kimura K-I. 2013. An unusual spirocyclic isopimarane diterpenoid and other
  isopimarane diterpenoids from fruiting bodies of *Xylaria polymorpha*. Phytochem
  Lett. 6:439–443.
- Shiono Y, Kikuchi M, Koseki T, Murayama T, Kwon E, Aburai N, Kimura K-I. 2011.
  Isopimarane diterpene glycosides, isolated from endophytic fungus *Paraconiothyrium sp.* MY-42. Phytochemistry. 72:1400-1405.
- Shiono Y, Murayama T, Takahashi K, Okada K, Katohda S, Ikeda M. 2005. Three
  oxygenated cyclohexanone derivatives produced by an endophytic fungus. Biosci
  Biotechnol Biochem. 69:287–292.
- 187 Shiono Y, Murayama T. 2005. New eremophilane-type sesquiterpenoids, eremoxylarins A
  188 and B from *Xylariaceous endophytic* fungus YUA-026. Z Naturforsch. 60b:885 –
  189 890.
- Shiono Y. 2006. Anthracobic acids A and B, two polyketides, produced by an endophytic
  fungus *Anthracobia sp.* Chem Biodivers. 3:217-223.

192	Singh S, Zink D, Polishook J, Vaentino D, Shafiee A, Silverman K, Felock P, Teran A,
193	Vilella D, Hazuda DJ, Lingham RB. 1999. Structure and absolute stereochemistry of
194	HIV-1 integrase inhibitor integric acid, a novel eremophilane sesquiterpenoid
195	produced by a Xylaria sp. Tetrahedron Lett. 40:8775-8779.
196	Uramoto M, Tanabe M, Hirotsu K. 1982. A new tremorgenic metabolite related to
197	verruculogen from Penicillium verruculosum. Heterocycles 17:349-354.
198	Wang W, Zhu T, Tao H, Lu Z, Fang Y, Gu Q, Zhu W. 2007. Two new cytotoxic quinone type
199	compounds from the Halotolerant fungus Aspergillus variecolor. J Antibiot. 60:
200	603–607.
201	Wheeler MH, Stipanovic RD, Puckhaber L S. 1999. Phytotoxicity of equisetin and
202	epi-equisetin isolated from Fusarium equiseti and F. pallidoroseum. Mycol Res.
203	103:967-973.
204	Wicklow DT, Poling SM. 2009. Antimicrobial activity of pyrrocidines from Acremonium
205	zeae against endophytes and pathogens of maize. Phytopathology. 99:109-115.
206	
207	
208	
209	
210	
211	
212	
213	
214	
215	
216 217 218 219 220 221 222 223 224 225	

Name	Chemical group	Fungus	Reference		
Nodulisporone A (1)	Phenylisobenzofuranone	Nodulisporium sp. SH-1	Hayasaka et al. 2011		
Nodulisporone B (2)	s Phenylisobenzofuranone	Nodulisporium sp. SH-1	Hayasaka et al. 2011		
Phomaxanthone A (3)	s Dimeric xanthones	Phomopsis sp.	Elsaesser et al. 2005		
Deacetylphomaxanthone	Dimeric xanthones	Phomopsis longicolla	Ronsberg et al. 2013		
A (4)			Ronsberg et un 2010		
Eremoxylarin B (5)	Eremophilane	Xylariaceous endophytic	Shiono and		
	sesquiterpenes	fungus (YUA-026)	Murayama 2005		
8α-Acetoxyphomadecalin	Eremophilane	Microdiplodia sp. KS	Shiono and		
C (6)	sesquiterpenes	75-1	Murayama 2005		
Phomadecalin D (7)	Eremophilane sesquiterpenes	<i>Microdiplodia</i> sp. KS 75-1	Hatakeyama et al. 2010		
Integric acid A (8)	Eremophilane sesquiterpenoids	Xylaria sp. (MF6254)	Singh et al. 1999		
Myrocin E (9)	Isopimarane diterpenoids	Xvlaria polvmorpha	Shiono et al. 2013		
Spiropolin A (10)	Isopimarane diterpenoids	Xylaria polymorpha	Shiono et al. 2013		
19-(α-D-glucopyranosylox	Isopimarane diterpenoids	Paraconiothyrium sp.	Shiono et al. 2011		
y)isopimara-7,15-dien-3 $\beta$ -0 l ( <b>11</b> )	1 1	MY-42			
Citreohybridonol (12)	Meroterpenoids	Penicillium atrovenetum	Ozkaya et al. 2018		
Eurotinone (13)	Polyketides	Eurotium rubrum IM-26	Wang et al. 2007; Shibuya and Shiono 2016		
Methyleurotinone (14)	Polyketides	Eurotium rubrum IM-26	Wang et al. 2007; Shibuya and Shiono 2016		
Dehydroxyeurotinone (15)	Polyketides	Eurotium rubrum IM-26	Shibuya and Shiono 2016		
Anthracobic acid A (16)	Polyketides	Anthracobia sp.	Shiono 2006		
Pyrrocidine A (17)	Alkaloids	Acremonium zeae	Wicklow and Poling		
6-eopxy-4-hydroxy-3-meth oxy-5-methyl-cyclohex-2-e	Cyclohexenones	Xylariaceous endophytic fungus (YUA 026)	Shiono et al. 2005		
Fasciculol A (19)	Lanostane triterpenoids	Neamatoloma fasciculare	Ikeda et al. 1977a		
Fasciculol B (20)	Lanostane triterpenoids	Neamatoloma fasciculare	Ikeda et al. 1977b; Kim et al. 2013		
Fasciculol C (21)	Lanostane triterpenoids	Neamatoloma fasciculare	Ikeda et al. 1977c; Kim		
Secalonic acid A (22)	Dimeric xanthones	Claviceps purpurea	Masters and Bräse, 2002		
Dehydroaustin (23)	Meroterpenoids	Penicillium brasilianum	Schürmann et al. 2010		
Verruculogen (24)	Indole alkaloids	Penicillium verruculosum	Uramoto et al. 1982		
Equisetin (25)	Tetramic acid derivatives	Fusarium equiseti	Wheeler et al. 1999		
Brifeldin A (26)	Macrolides	Penicillium brefeldianum	Hutchinson et al. 1983		
Pencolide (27)	Maleimides	Penicillium sclerotiorum	Lucas et al. 2007		
YM-202204 (28)	Polyketides	Phoma sp.	Nagai et al. 2002		

**Table S1.** Names, chemical class and source of tested compounds

Compound	Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) µg/mL					
	Rhizobium	Ralstonia	Pectobacterium	Pseudmonas		
	radiobacter	solanacearum	carotovorum	syringae	pv.	
			subsp.	syringae		
			carotovorum			
Nodulisporone A (1)	500	250	500	500		
Nodulisporone B (2)	>1000	>1000	>1000	>1000		
Phomaxanthone A ( <b>3</b> )	1000	1000	125	500		
Deacetylphomaxanthone A (4)	125	125	125	125		
Eremoxylarin B (5)	125	500	500	1000		
8α-Acetoxyphomadecalin C (6)	>1000	1000	>1000	1000		
Myrocin E (9)	>1000	500	>1000	1000		
Spiropolin A (10)	>1000	>1000	>1000	>1000		
19-(α-D-glucopyranosyloxy)isopimara	1000	125	1000	500		
-7,15-dien-3β-ol ( <b>11</b> )						
Citreohybridonol (12)	>1000	>1000	>1000	>1000		
Eurotinone ( <b>13</b> )	125	125	31.3	250		
Methyleurotinone (14)	31.3	125	31.3	125		
Dehydroxyeurotinone (15)	250	250	62.5	250		
Anthracobic acid A (16)	1000	1000	1000	1000		
Pyrrocidine A (17)	1000	1000	1000	1000		
6-Eopxy-4-hydroxy-3-methoxy-5-met	125	125	250	500		
hyl-cyclohex-2-en-1-one (18)						
Fasciculol A (19)	>1000	>1000	>1000	>1000		
Fasciculol B (20)	>1000	>1000	>1000	>1000		
Fasciculol C (21)	>1000	>1000	>1000	>1000		
Dehydroaustin (23)	>1000	>1000	>1000	>1000		
Verruculogen (24)	>1000	>1000	>1000	>1000		
Equisetin (25)	500	500	500	1000		
Brifeldin A (26)	>1000	>1000	>1000	>1000		
Pencolide (27)	500	500	500	500		
YM-202204 ( <b>28</b> )	>1000	>1000	1000	1000		
Ampicillin	31.3	15.7	15.7	62.5		

Table S2. Antibacterial activity of secondary metabolites isolated from endophytic fungi
 on plant pathogenic bacteria using microdilution assay



237 Figure S1. Effect of secondary metabolites isolated from endophytic fungi on soft rot

238 severity percent after 4 days of inoculation with *P. carotovorum* subsp. *carotovorum*.

Mean values within a concentration sharing the same letter are not significantly different atthe 0.05 probability level.



255 Figure S2. Effect of secondary metabolites isolated from endophytic fungi on soft rot control

256 (%) on potato after 4 days of inoculation with *P. carotovorum* subsp. *carotovorum*.

Mean values within a concentration sharing the same letter are not significantly different atthe 0.05 probability level.

Compound	Germination <sup>b</sup>	Root		Shoot	
	(% ± SE)	Length (cm)	$I^{c}(\%)$	Length (cm)	I (%)
		$(Mean \pm SE)$		(Mean $\pm$ SE)	
Control	60.0±2.89abc	4.07±0.07bc	0.0	4.0±0.12a	0.0
Nodulisporone A (1)	61.7±1.67ab	2.33±0.32fg	42.8	3.07±0.12cdefg	23.3
Nodulisporone B (2)	51.7±1.67cde	1.90±0.35gh	53.3	3.43±0.09bc	14.3
Phomaxanthone A ( <b>3</b> )	41.7±1.67fg	1.53±0.07hi	62.4	2.70±0.15fghi	32.5
Deacetylphomaxanthone A (4)	61.7±3.34ab	1.53±0.09hi	62.4	2.33±0.12ijk	41.8
Eremoxylarin B (5)	45.0±2.89efg	0.33±0.03j	91.9	2.03±0.12k	49.3
8α-Acetoxyphomadecalin C ( <b>6</b> )	56.7±1.67abcd	1.43±0.07hi	64.9	2.53±0.12hij	36.8
Phomadecalin D (7)	46.7±1.67ef	4.33±0.24ab	-6.4	2.57±0.09ghij	35.8
Integric acid A (8)	0.0±0.0i	0.0±0.0j	100.0	0.0±0.0m	100.0
Myrocin E (9)	46.7±1.67ef	1.90±0.06gh	53.3	2.83±0.03defgh	29.3
Spiropolin A (10)	58.3±3.34abc	3.73±0.17bcd	8.4	3.03±0.09cdefgh	24.3
19-(α-D-glucopyranosyloxy)isopi	45.0±2.89efg	2.27±0.09fg	44.2	3.77±0.32ab	5.8
mara-7,15-dien-3β-ol ( <b>11</b> )	-	-			
Citreohybridonol (12)	46.7±3.34ef	3.60±0.17cd	11.5	2.97±0.09cdefgh	25.8
Dehydroxyeurotinone (15)	46.7±1.67ef	2.03±0.0gh	50.1	2.71±0.09fghi	32.3
Anthracobic acid A (16)	63.3±1.67a	2.37±0.18fg	41.8	3.33±0.23bcd	16.8
Pyrrocidine A (17)	51.7±3.34cde	2.83±0.62ef	30.5	3.27±0.15def	18.3
6-Eopxy-4-hydroxy-3-methoxy-5-	20.0±2.89h	1.17±0.21i	71.3	$1.23 \pm 0.241$	69.3
methyl-cyclohex-2-en-1-one (18)					
Fasciculol A (19)	60.0±2.89abc	3.67±0.03cd	9.8	2.93±0.13cdefgh	26.8
Fasciculol B (20)	63.3±1.67a	2.87±0.20ef	29.5	3.01±0.15cdefg	24.8
Fasciculol C (21)	48.3±1.67def	2.63±0.32ef	35.4	3.40±0.20bc	15.0
Secalonic acid A (22)	36.7±3.34g	0.0±0.0j	100.0	2.13±0.24jk	46.8
Dehydroaustin (23)	45.0±2.89efg	3.20±0.20de	21.4	3.13±0.23cdef	21.8
Verruculogen (24)	53.3±3.34bcde	3.73±0.07bcd	8.4	3.10±0.06cdef	22.5
Equisetin (25)	40.0±2.89fg	0.23±0.03j	94.3	2.07±0.03k	48.3
Brifeldin A (26)	0.0±0.0i	0.0±0.0j	100.0	0.0±0.0m	100.0
Pencolide (27)	51.7±1.67cde	1.53±0.09hi	62.4	$1.40\pm0.231$	65.0
YM-202204 ( <b>28</b> )	61.7±3.34ab	3.67±0.19cd	9.8	2.80±0.06efghi	30.0

**Table S3.** Effect secondary metabolites isolated from endophytic fungi on germination and seedling growth of *Echinochloa crus-galli* seeds after 12 days of sowing at 2mM<sup>a</sup>

 $^{a}$  Data are expressed as means  $\pm$  SE from experiments with three replicates of 20 seeds each.

<sup>b</sup> Mean values within a column sharing the same letter are not significantly different at the
 0.05 probability level.

<sup>c</sup> I = Inhibition.

299	Table S4. Effect of selected secondary metabolites isolated from endophytic fungi on
300	germination and seedling growth of Echinochloa crus-galli seeds after 10 days of sowing <sup>a</sup>

Compound/	Germination <sup>b</sup>	Root		Shoot	
Concentration (mM)	(% ± SE)	Length (cm)	$I^{c}(\%)$	Length (cm)	I (%)
		(Mean $\pm$ SE)		(Mean $\pm$ SE)	
Integric acid A (8)					
Control	53.3±3.34a	3.67±0.20a	0.0	3.33±0.20a	0.0
0.125	41.7±1.66b	0.47±0.29b	87.2	2.60±0.20b	21.9
0.25	38.3±1.66b	$0.00 \pm 0.00 b$	100.0	3.37±0.17a	-1.2
0.5	48.3±1.66a	$0.00 \pm 0.00 b$	100.0	2.77±0.15b	16.8
1.0	51.7±1.66a	$0.00 \pm 0.00 b$	100.0	2.93±0.07b	12.0
6-Eopxy-4-hydroxy-3-m					
ethoxy-5-methyl-cyclohe					
x-2-en-1-one ( <b>18</b> )					
Control	53.3±3.34a	3.67±0.20a	0.0	3.33±0.20bc	0.0
0.125	51.7±1.66a	1.57±0.16b	57.2	3.67±0.13ab	-10.2
0.25	48.3±1.66a	0.5±0.20c	86.4	3.73±0.03a	-12.0
0.5	46.7±1.66a	0.00±0.00d	100.0	3.03±0.03c	9.0
1.0	13.3±3.34b	0.00±0.00d	100.0	0.83±0.09d	75.1
Secalonic acid A (22)					
Control	53.3±3.34a	3.67±0.20a	0.0	3.33±0.20a	0.0
0.125	53.3±1.66a	0.50±0.26b	86.4	3.30±0.15ab	0.9
0.25	33.3±3.34c	0.33±0.20b	91.0	2.93±0.07abc	12.0
0.5	43.3±3.34b	$0.00 \pm 0.00 b$	100.0	2.50±0.17c	24.9
1.0	36.7±1.66bc	$0.00 \pm 0.00 b$	100.0	2.77±0.19bc	16.8
Brifeldin A (26)					
Control	53.3±3.34a	3.67±0.20a	0.0	3.33±0.20a	0.0
0.125	35.0±2.89b	$0.00 \pm 0.00 b$	100.0	2.37±0.17b	28.8
0.25	28.3±1.66b	$0.00 \pm 0.00 b$	100.0	1.83±0.13cd	45.0
0.5	31.7±3.34b	$0.00 \pm 0.00 b$	100.0	2.10±0.12bc	36.9
1.0	31.7±3.34b	$0.00 \pm 0.00 b$	100.0	1.40±0.17d	58.0

 $^{a}$  Data are expressed as means  $\pm$  SE from experiments with three replicates of 20 seeds each.

302 <sup>b</sup> Mean values within a column for each compound sharing the same letter are not

303 significantly different at the 0.05 probability level.

 $^{\rm c}$  I = Inhibition.

305