BACK TO BUSINESS

THE VIEW

DAVID A GANTZ AND BASHAR H MALKAWI

The strong will inherit

In a post-pandemic world it is likely only fittest companies will survive and wealthiest economies will keep poorer nations in recession longer

The pandemic is posing many challenges to governments, with millions affected and more than 160,000 dead. The world economy cannot significantly recover unless and until testing is widely available - so businesses can rehire those with immunity - and an effective vaccine is widely available, though this is probably a year off at least.

In the short term, with lockeddown businesses and social distancing, economies are suffering major downturns. The impact will be severe, possibly into the medium term. Isolation measures are causing an enormous reduction in domestic demand in many countries, and a huge dip in



Consumption of everything but the bare essentials will slow for at least several years. Many will have to borrow the maximum on their credit cards just to pay for food, clothing and shelter.

Once economies begin to recover, governments are expected to encourage domestic consumption. Manufacturing has fallen in many countries, with factories closed and workers fur-

loughed. No economy is immune. US macroeconomic data is showing negative growth. Italy, Spain and even Germany and France are sinking into recession. Optimistically, Germany's economy is expected to shrink by 1.9 per cent in the first quarter, and 4.2 per cent for the full year.

Almost all regions will suffer double-digit declines in exports and imports this year; the World Trade Organisation predicts a best-case reduction in world trade of 13 per cent, and a worst case of 32 per cent.

Africa, the Middle East and Commonwealth of Independent States could see exports decline this year and beyond. Demand for petroleum products is expected to fall precipitously because of the worldwide recession caused by Covid-19 and the Russian-Saudi Arabian tension over production.

In comparison, epidemics such as the 1994 pneumonic plague in India, the 2003 severe acute respiratory syndrome, and 2009 swine flu outbreaks – seem to inflict a stronger but short-term economic downturn.

For example, China's economic downturn this year will be the most severe since the Great Depression, and recovery may take years.

Fortunately, there are now better safety nets in the US and European Union, and the world banking system, in most cases, is in far better shape. Still, Covid-19 has caused mass shutdowns of businesses and manufacturers throughout the US and Europe.

Faced with the coronavirus public-health emergency, some governments, such as in Singapore, Taiwan and South Korea. have responded promptly and effectively. Others are beginning to provide substantial funds to counter and control the spread of the disease, with measures designed to stabilise their economies and employment.

These include tax reductions, subsidies for certain sectors, lower interest rates and shoring up investment in infrastructure.



Covid-19 testers check on motorists in the US, where the coronavirus has closed shops and factories and left 15 million people out of work. Photo: AP

In the short term, these measures can help absorb the shock and may stabilise the economy. But even with trillions of dollars being poured into the US economy, it is difficult to offset a situation where most factories, shops and restaurants are closed, 15 million or more are out of work and many businesses are likely to fail if the shutdown lasts beyond the end of the month.

Tourism is dead, along with air transport and car traffic. There is no evidence in Europe or the US that financial stimulus is overheating the economy. Rather, it may well be insufficient, and US Congress is debating a second stimulus of about US\$500 billion.

In the long term, virtually all economies will be affected, with the path to recovery long and complicated. Spending habits could switch towards saving, threatening domestic consumption in the medium, and perhaps long, term.

Major purchases such as cars and expensive travel may take several years to fully recover, as will a return to 2019 output levels. Businesses will find essential loans hard to obtain, particularly in developing countries, and many will go bankrupt.

Longer term, many countries, particularly the US, will diversify their supply lines to diminish dependence on a handful of countries, whether for pharmaceuticals, services or petroleum. Governments may well force enterprises to do so too.

reform health care systems and educational sectors, or not.

Many countries will accelerate the adoption of industrial and import substitution policies despite possibly prolonging the worldwide reduction in trade, keeping poor countries in recession for longer.

Industrial policies could include localisation targets, such as setting advisory or mandatory domestic and international market shares to be held by local Policies may be adapted to technology and production, or

state funding for industry development – subsidies, tax breaks, government procurement favouring domestic suppliers, and governmental direction offoreign investment and technological imports.

In the longer term, such government interference does not bode well for the world trading system. It will favour large, rich countries able to extensively subsidise their industries, such as China, the US and even the EU, and where enterprises can obtain the funds to accelerate the replacement of human workers with robots.

Additional trade conflicts between countries seem likely, particularly among the US, China and India, and within regional groupings such as the EU and the United States Mexico Canada Agreement signatories.

Weak businesses such as traditional department stores and smaller restaurants in the US will disappear, and those dominant, such as Amazon, Apple, Walmart and Huawei, will emerge from the pandemic stronger than ever. Many services, including educational ones, will move even more rapidly towards delivering online. Inefficient manufacturers operating behind high tariff walls such as in India, Brazil and South Africa will find it even harder to compete.

A period of "economic Darwinism" may well follow. Of course, the accuracy of these depressing estimates is anyone's guess, but it is virtually certain that most world economies will never be the same.

David A Gantz is Samuel M Fegtly Professor Emeritus, University of Arizona College of Law and Will Clayton Fellow for Trade and International Economics at the Centre for the United States and Mexico/ Baker Institute. Bashar H Malkawi is director of knowledge at HH Dubai's Ruler Court, government of Dubai Legal Affairs Department, and was previously dean of the College of Law at University of Sharjah, UAE

Connect Watch

CURRENCY CALCULATOR

Shanghai-Hong Kong Stock Connect Shenzhen-Hong Kong Stock Connect Northbound trading Northbound trading Turnover (m yuan) **Total** Buy Sell Total Turnover (m yuan) Sell 11,683.5 17,915.7 34,324.3 24,612.4 16,408.7 Southbound trading Southbound trading Turnover (HK\$m) Sell Turnover (HK\$m) **Total Total** 3,745.7 9,831.9 3,995,1 3,270.1 7,265.2 Top 5 most actively traded stocks Top 5 most actively traded stocks Northbound trading (m yuan) Northbound trading (m yuan) Rank Stock Buy Sell Buy Sell **Kweichow Moutai Wuliangye Yibin** 882 751.9 472.5 433.5 **Ping An Insurance Contemporary Amperex Technology** 241.1 700.3 347.9 439.3 Inner Mongolia Yili Industrial 257.3 451.1 **Ping An Bank** 542.2 192.9 Jiangsu Hengrui Medicine Midea Group 380.7 206.7 4 474 152.1 **China International Travel Service Luxshare Precision Industry** 139.8 404.2 164.6 431.3 Southbound trading (HK\$m) Southbound trading (HK\$m) Rank Stock Виу Sell Rank Stock Buy Sell 1,237.6 371.1 Tencent 274 165 Sino Biopharmaceutical 178 546 58.2 154.4 **Ping An Good Doctor** 53.3 235 316.2 265.3 **China Life Insurance** Sino Biopharmaceutical 171.2 64.4 152.9 121 Koolearn Xiaomi 78.3 142.2 70.6 94.5 SCMP

April 21, 2020 NZ ustralia \$ 2.775 1.049 103.7 2.063 ınada \$ 15.18 0.2340 7.155 China Rmb 0.223 0.1134 0.6188 1.717 0.8732 116.9 1.801 0.915 0.1188 13.89 158.0 0.5662 0.2141 21.164 6.547 0.1835 0.0926 0.0120 0.101 17.44 alaysia RM 0.183 0.322 1.616 0.2099 1.766 24.53 11.56 4.274 0.5552 **4.672** ew Zealand \$ 0.9533 0.4847 46.14 64.88 kistan R 0.0096 0.0049 0.0432 0.0056 0.047 0.0268 ilippines P 0.0312 0.0158 0.1398 0.0182 **0.1528** 2.121 24.1 0.0865 0.0327 ingapore \$ uth Africa rar 0.0841 0.0428 0.3772 0.0490 0.4123 0.2334 1.632 0.8301 7.320 0.9507 **8.000** 79.01 111.10 1264.4 4.530 1.712 169.32 52.37 1.468 31.04 33.61 witzerland Sf

0.1459

40.7

1.687

0.047

5.45

2.546 3.579

2.351 3.305 37.6 0.1347 0.0509

0.2358 0.0306 0.2578

0.2177 0.0283 0.2380

Taiwan \$

hailand Bi

0.0486 0.0247

1.581 0.8042

US\$ MARKET RATES Japan (¥) 107.6600 107.6900 Euro (€) * 1.0866 UK (£) * Switzerland (SFr) 0.9679 0.9683 Canada (C\$) 1.4188 1.4192 7.0936 China (yuan) 7.0924 Indonesia (Rup) 15,400 Malaysia (RM) 50.8400 50.8200 Philippines (peso) New Zealand (NZ\$) 0.5972 Taiwan (NT\$) 30.0660 30.0960 India (rupee) 76.9410 76,9470 S Africa (rand) 18.9080

KEY LENDING RATES			
HK prime		UK bank base	0.100%
US fed fund	0.250%	Euro prime	0.250%
US prime	3.250%	Tokyo prime	1.475%
Taiwan prime	2 616%	S'nore prime	5.250%

* per unit, Rates at 10,15pm

1.083

MACROSCOPE **NEAL KIMBERLEY**

Chances of Beijing meeting US trade commitments dwindle

The odds of

purchase

China meeting its

US\$200 billion

obligations are

lengthening

American factories are closed, leaving little for China to buy, so only farm produce purchases are likely to meet phase one deal terms

he phase one US-China trade deal was finally signed off in Washington in January. Participants left the ceremony to the tune of Louis Armstrong's What a Wonderful World. Well, in the grip of the Covid-19 pandemic, the world doesn't look quite so wonderful and the odds of China meeting its US\$200 billion purchase obligations under that deal are lengthening.

That deal was signed pre-pandemic, before the world economy took a massive hit as governments across the globe followed China's lead and, to one degree or another, imposed economic lockdowns in an attempt to arrest the transmission rate of the coronavirus.

Back in January, people were still taking planes, countries were still buying and selling vast amounts of goods, and industries were operating at normal capacity and still needed tremendous amounts of energy to power their activities.

But that was then, and this is now. In China itself, gross domestic product contracted on an annualised basis by 6.8 per cent in the first quarter of this year, the National Bureau of Statistics revealed last week. Even if, as hopefully is the case, China is over the worst of the outbreak, the prospects for its economic recovery will surely be dented by the fact that the coronavirus has spread across the globe. Other major

economies are in no position to restart purchasing goods from China on a precoronavirus scale even if the Chinese economy is heading back to a position from which it could meet overseas demand for its goods.

Over in the United States, including the most recent data for the week ending April 11, over 22 million people, representing some 13.5 per cent of the labour force, have made claims for jobless benefits since March 21, as US economic activity was severely affected by measures taken to try and limit the spread of the disease.

It's a similar tale of woe in Europe, Japan and

other major economies. With this in mind, there is a possibility that, in the coming months, China's energy import

requirements might not be as huge as would

ordinarily be the case, and indeed as were envisaged in January's deal. That deal, using 2017 as a baseline, envisions Chinese purchases of US energy rising by US\$52.4 billion over the next two

Nor might US shale producers necessarily want to sell so much oil when the price of crude has fallen precipitately with Covid-19 spreading across the world and economic activity contracting. US shale production involves quite an expensive extraction process and requires a strong oil price to make it profitable.

It might well be that if the oil price remains too low for too long, even if Beijing wished to increase its US shale oil purchases, it might be uneconomic for US companies to accommodate China's needs. Indeed, if others follow Whiting Petroleum, which filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy this month, some US shale oil firms may not even be around.

Over the next two years, China has also signed up to buy US\$77.7 billion more US manufactured goods than it did in 2017, yet a large part of the US manufacturing sector is currently shuttered.

Additionally, this category includes aircraft. Given that aircraft are big-ticket items and that global air travel is not going to get back to normal any time soon, there has to be a question mark

> over how many US-built planes China might wish to buy in the two years covered by the trade deal.

> On the upside, Beijing bought US\$5.05 billion worth of American farm produce in the first quarter, an increase of 110 per cent compared to the same quarter last year, according to

data released last week by the General Administration of **Customs** There's every chance that

China could succeed in meeting its obligation to increase its purchases of US agricultural products by US\$32 billion over the next two years, with 2017 again the baseline, but that's only one component of the trade deal.

Admittedly, Clause 2 of Article 7.6 of the deal allows for China-US consultations "in the event that a natural disaster or other unforeseeable event outside the control of the parties delays a

party from timely complying with its obligations". Meeting its phase one purchasing obligations was going to be tough for China in normal circumstances. Doing so, against the backdrop of

Neal Kimberlev is a commentator on macroeconomics and financial markets

a global pandemic, may be nigh-on impossible.