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#### Abstract

In this thesis, a library of novel unsymmetrical tridentate NNO- and ONO'-type ligands are synthesized and complexed with sources of palladium(II) with a view to exploring the reactivity of the so-formed pincer complexes and their role in CH -halogenation.

Chapter 1 presents a general introduction to pincer chemistry with the emphasis being placed on pincer ligands in which a pyridine unit defines the central donor atom and metals drawn from the platinum group and in particular palladium.

In chapter two the synthesis and characterization of four unsymmetrical ONN-type pincer pro-ligands is described, two of which possess donor units that are capable of inducing hydrogen-bonding interactions. The phenomenon of complex self-dimerization via hydrogen bonding is demonstrated as is its inhibition through suitably placed steric bulk. Ligand exchange reactions of an acetate group with a halide, pyridonate, phenolate, carboxylate are reported and the intra- and intermolecular NH $\cdots \mathrm{A}$ interactions studied.


Chapter 3 describes the synthesis of four examples of ONO'-type pro-ligands and their ability to act as dianionic ligands when bound to soft palladium(II) in the form of dimers and tetramers. Means of breaking the dimeric species to form well-defined ONO'-PdL (L $=$ pyridines, pyridones) type complexes have been developed as has their amenability to protonation reactions and to form non-covalent interactions.

Chapter 4 documents the rich stoichiometric reactivity of 6-phenyl-2-pyridone with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ yielding products the result of $\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{OH}$ or NH activation. Furthermore, the ability of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ to mediate the catalytic halogenation (bromination, chlorination, fluorination) of 6-phenyl-2-pyridone is probed. In addition, the capacity of ONO'- and ONN-palladium pincers to generate complexes with 6-phenyl-2-pyridone is studied. Finally, the screening of selected palladium pincer complexes prepared in chapters 2 and 3 as catalysts in CH -bromination of 6-phenyl-2-pyridone is disclosed and the results compared to that observed with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$. Full experimental details are given in Chapter 5.

## Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Dr. Gregory A. Solan and Prof. Eric E. Hope for their guidance and support throughout my PhD studies at the University of Leicester. I acknowledge with my highest regards the help provided in my stressful periods during my stay in the inorganic lab. I pay my thanks to Mr. Kuldip Singh, Dr. Gerry Griffith and Mr. Mick Lee for their help with crystallography, NMR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry, respectively. I would like to thank Barbara Villa Marcos, Charles Ellul and Luka Wright for their advice and support during lab work and chemistry discussions.

I also want to thank my fellow PhD students Mona, Amina, Meshari, Rena and Martyna for their support and creating lab a helping and good place to work. I also want to extend my thanks to various MChem students including Billy and Stephen for creating a very encouraging environment in our lab.

Finally, I thank my parents for their continuous confidence in me although I have been a consistent under performer. Without their encouragement, I simply could not have completed this thesis. At the end I would like to express appreciation to my beloved wife Raman who spent sleepless nights with and was always my support in the moments when there was no one to answer my queries.

## Contents

| Abstract | 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Acknowledgements | 2 |
| Contents | 3 |
| Abbreviations | 6 |
| Chapter 1 (Pincer complexes and their applications) | 9 |
| 1.1 Introduction | 10 |
| 1.2 Symmetrical DCD-type pincer complexes | 12 |
| 1.3 Unsymmetrical DCD'-type pincer complexes | 12 |
| 1.4 Pyridine-based pincer complexes | 13 |
| 1.5 Unsymmetrical pyridine-based pincer complexes | 15 |
| 1.6 Formation of palladium(IV) species bearing unsymmetrical pincer ligands | 18 |
| 1.7 Pincer complexes as green catalysts | 19 |
| 1.8 Pincer complexes and hydrogen bonding effects | 20 |
| 1.9 Aims and objectives of thesis | 21 |
| 1.10 References | 24 |
| Chapter 2 (NNO-palladium pincer complexes with hydrogen-bonding interactions) | 26 |
| 2.1 Introduction | 27 |
| 2.1.1 Self-assembly of complexes | 28 |
| 2.1.2 $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ or $\mathrm{RNH}_{2}$ groups as ideal hydrogen bond donors | 28 |
| 2.1.3 Mode of interaction of an amine moiety | 29 |
| 2.1.4 Importance of the hydroxyl functional group | 33 |
| 2.2 Aims of this chapter | 33 |
| 2.3 Results and discussion | 35 |
| 2.3.1 Preparation of pro-ligands HL1 - HL4 | 35 |
| 2.3.2 Complexation reactions of HL1 | 38 |
| 2.3.3 Complexation reactions of HL2: introduction of a hydrogen bond donor | 40 |
| 2.3.4 Complexation reactions of HL3 | 43 |
| 2.3.5 Complexation reactions of HL4: introduction of a hydrogen bond donor | 45 |
| 2.3.5.1 Preparation of L4PdX ( $X=\mathrm{OAc}(4 a), \mathrm{Cl}(4 b), \mathrm{I}(4 \mathrm{c})$ ) | 45 |

2.3.5.2 Preparation of $\operatorname{L4Pd}(x h p)(x h p=h p(5 a), m h p(5 b), c h p(5 c)$, fhp (5d)) ..... 48
2.3.5.3 Reaction of $4 a$ with phenols $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{R}_{5} \mathrm{OH}(R=H, F)$ ..... 50
2.3.5.4 Preparation of $\operatorname{L4Pd}(X)\left(X=\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-2-\mathrm{I}(7 a), \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CCF}_{3}(7 b), \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{SCF}_{3}(7 \mathrm{c})\right)$ ..... 51
2.3.5.5 Reactions of 4 with silver salts ..... 55
2.3.6 Comparison of NH chemical shifts for 2, 4-7 ..... 57
2.4 Conclusions ..... 58
2.5 References ..... 60
Chapter 3 (Dianionic ONO'-palladium pincer complexes and their reactivity ..... 62
towards pyridines, pyridones and acids)
3.1 Introduction: Pyridine-based palladium pincers ..... 63
3.1.2 ONO-type pincers and their potential ..... 63
3.1.3 Applications of ONO-pincer complexes ..... 65
3.2 Aims and Objectives ..... 67
3.3 Results and discussion ..... 68
3.2.1 Preparation of pro-ligands HL5a, HL5b, HL5c and HL5d ..... 68
3.2.2 Preparation of [L5a/bPd] ${ }_{2}$ (10a/10b) and [L5c/dPd] 4 (11a/11b) ..... 70
3.2.3 Reactivity of 10 a towards pyridines ..... 75
3.2.4 Reactivity of 10a towards pyridones ..... 79
3.2.5 Attempted preparation of symmetrical ONO-palladium complexes ..... 82
3.2.6 Attempted protonation of 10a ..... 83
3.2.7 Reaction of 11a with Mel or bromotoluene ..... 84
3.3 Conclusions ..... 85
3.4 References ..... 86
Chapter 4 (Cyclopalladated 6-phenyl-2-pyridone complexes: synthesis, ..... 88reactivity and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ halogenation)
4.1 Introduction ..... 89
4.1.1 Importance of CH activation ..... 89
4.1.2 Types of CH activation ..... 89
4.1.2.1 Oxidative addition ..... 90
4.1.2.2 Sigma bond metathesis (SBM) ..... 90
4.1.2.3 1,2-Addition ..... 91
4.1.2.4 Electrophilic CH activation ..... 92
4.1.2.5 Ambiphilic Metal Ligand Activation (AMLA/CMD) ..... 93
4.1.3 Reactivity of phenyl-pyridines towards $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ and use in CH - ..... 94halogenation (Cl vs Br vs F)
4.1.4 2-Pyridones and their reactivity with platinum group metals ..... 95
4.2 Aims and objectives ..... 98
4.3 Results and discussion ..... 99
4.3.1 Synthesis of 6-phenyl-2-pyridone $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 8\right)$ ..... 99
4.3.2 Stoichiometric reactions of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{L8}$ with palladium(II) acetate ..... 100
4.3.3 Reactions of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{L8}$ towards bis(acetonitrile)dichloropalladium(II) ..... 105
4.3.4 Selective halogenation of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{L8}$ ..... 107
(a) Bromination of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 8$ ..... 107
(b) Chlorination of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 8$ ..... 111
(c) Fluorination of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{L8}$ ..... 113
4.3.5 Reactivity of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{L8}$ with ONO'-Pd pincer complex 10a ..... 115
4.3.6 Reactivity of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{L8}$ with ONN-Pd pincer complex 4a and related ..... 115
4.3.7 Catalytic bromination of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{L8}$ using Pd-pincer complexes and ..... 119comparison with palladium(II) acetate
4.4 Conclusions ..... 120
4.5 References ..... 122
Chapter 5 (Experimental) ..... 125
5.1 General ..... 126
5.2 Chapter 2 Experimental ..... 127
5.3 Chapter 3 Experimental ..... 152
5.4 Chapter 4 Experimental ..... 161
5.5 Crystallographic Studies ..... 170
5.6 References ..... 206
Appendix ..... 208

## Abbreviations

| HSAB | Hard/Soft acid base principle |
| :---: | :---: |
| - | Degrees |
| Å | Angstrom |
| app. | apparent |
| Ar | Aryl |
| AMLA | Ambiphilic Metal Ligand Activation |
| ASAP | Atmospheric Solids Analysis Probe |
| br s | Broad singlet |
| $c a$. | circa |
| cf. | Confer (compare) |
| COSY | Correlated spectroscopy |
| Cp | cyclopentadiene |
| ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Degrees Centigrade |
| d | doublet |
| DCM | dichloromethane |
| dd | Doublet of doublets |
| ddd | Doublet of doublet of doublets |
| dt | Doublet of triplets |
| eq. | equivalents |
| ESI | Electrospray Ionisation |
| ES-MS | Electrospray Mass Spectrometry |
| FAB | Fast Atom Bombardment |
| FT | Fourier Transform |

g
GCMS
h
HOAc
HR
HSAB
IR

J

M
m
$m / z$
Me
MeCN
MHz
Mp
MS
NHC
NMR
OAc

OTf
ppm
pr
py
R
s
grams
Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry
hours
Acetic Acid
High Resolution
Hard/Soft acid and base principle
Infra-Red
Joules
Molar Concentration
Multiplet
Mass/Charge Ratio
Methyl Fragment
Acetonitrile
Mega Hertz
Melting Point
Mass Spectrometry
N -Heterocyclic carbene
Nuclear Magnetic Resonance
Acetate
Triflate (trifluoromethane sulfonate)
Parts Per Million
Propyl Fragment
Pyridyl Fragment
Alkyl Fragment
Singlet
sept.
SET
${ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}$
td
THF

TMA
$v / v$
$\delta$

Septet
Single Electron Transfer
$t$ - butyl fragment
Triplet of doublets
Tetrahydrofuran
Trimethylaluminium
Volume/Volume
Chemical Shift

## Chapter 1

## Pincer complexes and their applications
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## Pincer complexes and their applications

### 1.1 Introduction

Pincer compounds have emerged in recent years as a hot topic of research with tremendous possibilities in areas such as synthesis, catalysis and material science. A large number of pincer complexes have been synthesised and employed in various types of catalytic processes. Pincer complexes, as the name suggests, are complexes with pincershaped tridentate ligands bound to a metal centre. ${ }^{1}$

The enhanced stability of a complex containing a tridentate pincer ligand over those containing mono- and/or bi-dentate ligands, as well as other reaction specific favourable properties, make them attractive candidates to be used as catalysts. Inspired by the mode of action of enzymes, organometallic chemists are showing interest in introducing new properties to their organometallic complexes to achieve similar high reactivity and selectivity under milder conditions. In addition to binding pocket and chelate control, other molecular recognition factors such as hydrogen bonding, aromatic stacking, solvophobic effects are features that could be added to the pincer framework to seek higher efficiency and selectivity. ${ }^{2,3}$



$$
\mathrm{R}=\text { aryl or alkyl }
$$

Fig. 1.1 PCP-type pincer ligands first reported by Shaw et al. ${ }^{4}$

In 1976 Moulton and Shaw first synthesized pincer ligands of the symmetric PCP-type with phosphines as the neutral exterior donors and an aryl carbon as the central monoanionic sigma donor (Fig 1.1). ${ }^{4}$ PCP-type pincers containing an aliphatic carbon as the central sigma donor have also been reported but their chemistry has not been nearly as well developed when compared their aryl counterparts. ${ }^{5,6}$ The relative ease of CH activation of the central aryl C-H bond compared to their aliphatic derivatives, coupled
with the stable planar geometry of the resulting aryl pincer complex, is a likely reason for the scarcity of aliphatic pincer complexes. ${ }^{7}$

Although the first examples of pincer complexes were first prepared in 1976, they did not gain significant attention until their application as robust catalysts, sensors and switches for sulphurdioxide detection were first recognised. ${ }^{8}$ In these emerging pincer systems the central metal atom was bound by a covalent bond while the phosphine donors were bound by dative bonds to a metal centre. This unique binding of the tridentate ligands in a meridional fashion gives them a perfect balance between complex stability and ability to mediate difficult transformations as a catalyst. ${ }^{3,6}$


$D=$ donor atom $(P, N, S, S e)$
$M=$ Metal atom

Fig. 1.2 i) General representation of a DCD-type symmetric pincer complex and ii) the first reported pincer complex. ${ }^{4}$

In general, DCD-type symmetrical pincer ligands (where D is a neutral $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S}$ or Se donor atom) form stable complexes due to the strong central carbon-metal bond, while their planar coordination leads to high thermal stability (Fig 1.2). High thermal and air moisture stability makes pincer complexes easy to handle and store. Furthermore, thermally stable and robust catalysts are always needed for transformations requiring high activation energy. ${ }^{9}$

The ligand skeleton provides numerous options to modulate the properties of a pincer complex which may be required by the particular transformation. ${ }^{10}$ For example:

1. The neutral donor arm could provide a chiral pocket around the metal centre, thus providing asymmetric control with reactions taking place at the metal centre.
2. The choice of exterior donor atoms can be tuned as soft or hard donors depending on the metal centre or reaction requirement. In addition, the donor atoms can provide steric control near the metal centre.
3. The pincer ligand can provide a pocket for binding the reactant, a tunable size for the incoming reactants and sites for counterions and ancillary ligands.
4. Also R groups can be added to the central aryl ring to provide support to the pincer complex or to allow a means of tuning the electronic properties of the ring.

### 1.2 Symmetrical DCD-type pincer complexes

In addition to well-studied symmetrical PCP pincer complexes, complexes with pincer ligands such as SCS, NCN and SeCSe have also been thoroughly explored due to their properties in synthesis, catalysis and material science. ${ }^{4,10-13}$ These symmetrical arylbased DCD-type pincer complexes have found use as catalysts for many desirable transformations. For example, the $\mathrm{SeCSe}-\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ pincer complex shown in Scheme 1.1 is air and moisture stable and is an efficient catalyst for the allylation reaction of aldehyde with allyltributyltin. ${ }^{12}$ Similar allylation is also reported by a NCN-Pd(II) pincer complex. ${ }^{13}$


Scheme 1.1 Allylation of aldehydes with allyltributyltin catalysed by a SeCSe-Pd(II) pincer complex

### 1.3 Unsymmetrical DCD'-type pincer complexes

In earlier studies symmetrical pincer complexes were considered more stable and as a result have been studied more extensively when compared to their unsymmetrical counterparts. Nevertheless unsymmetrical pincer complexes have also been reported and have their own unique properties. They can be formed by different side donor atoms or
with the same donor atoms but with different sized chelate rings formed with the metal. Some examples of unsymmetrical palladium pincers are shown in Fig. 1.3. ${ }^{14,} 15$

(i)

(ii)

Fig. 1.3 Unsymmetrical palladium pincer complexes: i) with different chelate ring sizes and ii) with two different donor atoms


Scheme 1.2 Regioselectivity in the allylic alkylation of cinnamyl acetate with sodium dimethyl malonate with the unsymmetrical palladium pincer (i) shown in Fig. 1.3

It was found that unsymmetrical palladium pincer (i) (in Fig. 1.3) proved a more efficient catalyst when compared to the symmetric derivative in the allylic alkylation of cinnamyl acetate with sodium malonate. It was suggested that increased reactivity of (i) is due to an increase in the flexibility of the complex due to increased ring size, and an increase in the P-M-P angle. ${ }^{14}$

### 1.4 Pyridine-based pincer complexes

Pincer complexes with a pyridine nitrogen as the central donor atom are relatively rare in comparison with their aryl counterparts. Even so these DND-type complexes are of high interest due to their interesting properties they offer towards catalysis, synthesis and electroluminescent materials. ${ }^{3,8,16,17}$ A wide range of symmetrical pincers with a central pyridine nitrogen have now been reported including those based on $\mathrm{PNP}^{18}, \mathrm{NNN}, \mathrm{CNC}^{19}$ and SNS..$^{20}$ Indeed these pyridine-based pincer complexes have been of great interest due to their utility in catalysis, new materials and ease of synthesis.

For example, the pyridine-based PNP-Ir(III) complex constitutes an almost perfect catalyst for the hydrogenation of carbon dioxide in the presence of potassium hydroxide to form potassium formate with turnover numbers up to $3,500,000$ and turnover frequencies of $150,000 \mathrm{~h}^{-1} .^{21}$


Scheme 1.3 Hydrogenation of carbon dioxide with PNP-Ir(III) pincer catalyst ${ }^{21}$


Scheme 1.4 Possible mechanism for the PNP-Ir mediated hydrogenation of carbon dioxide ${ }^{21}$
The reason for the exceptional performance of this iridium catalyst is due to the unique property of the pincer ligand enabling it to work together with the metal centre to activate $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ molecules. The pyridine ring can lose aromatization (c in Scheme 1.4) in the catalytic cycle thus making an empty site for the new hydrogen molecule to be activated in the next step (a). This ligand participation through aromatization-dearomatisation of a pyridine ring has revolutionized the hydrogenation of molecules such as carbon dioxide. ${ }^{22}$

In a similar way, it has been demonstrated that symmetrical PNP-pincer complexes of palladium and platinum are capable of undergoing single or double deprotonations to form neutral or anionic complexes again showing the non-innocence of the pincer ligand (Scheme 1.5). ${ }^{18,23}$ Hence it can be seen that the presence of pyridine as a central donor in a pincer complex can introduce bifunctional properties to the complex to allow it to carry out potentially challenging transformations.


Scheme 1.5 Symmetrical PNP-pincer complexes in single- and double-deprotonation reactions

As with their PNP counterparts, other pincer ligands such as the SNS-type have shown their non-innocent behaviour in the formation of complexes (Scheme 1.6). It was established that the resulting anionic SNC-Pd alkyl complex was an active catalyst in a Negishi coupling reaction. ${ }^{20,24}$


Scheme 1.6 Deprotonation followed by ligand exchange leading to an anionic SNS-Pd alkyl complex

### 1.5 Unsymmetrical pyridine-based pincer complexes

Like carbon-based pincer ligands, pyridine-based pincers can be of the symmetrical (DND) or unsymmetrical type; the unsymmetrical variant most often coming in the form of inequivalent exterior donor atoms (DND') (Fig. 1.4).



Fig. 1.4 Representations of pyridine-based symmetrical and unsymmetrical pincer complexes. ${ }^{22}$

Unsymmetrical DND'-type pincer complexes have tended to be quite scarce when compared to their symmetrical counterparts until recently where some examples of their applications as catalysts in otherwise challenging transformations have emerged in the literature. ${ }^{25}$ Their ability to allow the pincer ligand and the metal centre to work simultaneously to activate otherwise near inert bonds is of great interest. One such example where the NNP-ligand is non-innocent in a complex and undergoes aromatization-dearomatization of the pyridine ring in hydrogenation reactions of organic carbonates, carbamates and formates is shown in Scheme 1.7. ${ }^{26}$


Scheme 1.7 Hydrogenation of dimethyl carbonate to methanol mediated by bifunctional $\mathbf{1}^{20}$

The proposed mechanism involves aromatization-dearomatization of $\mathbf{1}$ (Scheme 1.8). The first step involves the addition of a hydrogen molecule to de-aromatized $\mathbf{1}$ to form aromatized 2. Hydride transfer to the carbonyl group in dimethylformate to form intermediate $\mathbf{3}$ with a carbonate ligand which in turn loses methanol to give dearomatized 4. The next step involves addition of hydrogen which results in aromatization and reduction of the carbonyl ligand to form $\mathbf{5}$. Methanol is formed leaving an alkene as ligand with the complex in the dearomatized state $\mathbf{6}$ which undergoes hydrogenation to give the methoxy intermediate 7 . Methanol is then lost affording 7 which can regenerate dearomatized 1.



Scheme 1.8 Mechanism for the hydrogenation of dimethyl carbonate via aromatizationdearomatization of NNP-Ru complex 1

Further development of pyridine-based pincer complexes capable of aromatisationdearomatisation processes has led to systems able to activate strong bonds such as $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds (Scheme 1.9). Based on the targeted transformation the design of a pyridine-based pincer complex could be modified to achieve the desired reactions such as reversible proton transfer reactions to substrates. These stable pincers are seen as a benign approaches to carry out difficult transformations. ${ }^{22}$


Scheme 1.9 Application of metal-ligand cooperation via aromatization-dearomatization to various activation processes

### 1.6 Formation of palladium(IV) species bearing unsymmetrical pincer ligands

Higher oxidation state transition metal chemistry is usually considered as interesting field due to its potential capacity to carry out challenging transformations. Palladium(IV) chemistry has gained interest due to its ability to perform transformations which are not achieved by the traditional $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{II}) / \operatorname{Pd}(0)$ cycle. ${ }^{27,28}$ However there are very few examples in literature where $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{IV})$ species or complexes have been detected or isolated. ${ }^{29}$

In early work van Koten has reported a $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{IV})$ complex formed by the oxidative addition of chlorine to a CNN'-palladium complex (non-pyridine based). It was found that the $\operatorname{Pd}(I V)$ complex readily decomposed via reductive elimination to form a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond (Scheme 1.10). ${ }^{30}$ The $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{IV})$ species formed using iodine was even more unstable and instantaneously resulting in reductive elimination.


Scheme 1.10 Formation of a Pd(IV) intermediate on a CNN-Pd pincer complex
Hence unsymmetrical pyridine-based pincer complexes offer vast opportunities to tune the electronic properties at the metal centre, which can result in the detection of higher oxidation state complexes, which are otherwise hard to detect. One such example, reported by Vicente et al., is shown in Scheme 1.11, in which oxidative addition of an aryl halide to $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ generates a Pd (IV) complex. ${ }^{31}$


Scheme 1.11 Formation of a Pd(IV) ONC-pincer complex by the oxidative addition of arylhalide to palladium(II) centre.

The formation and characterization of palladium(IV) species further provides support in favour of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II}) / \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{IV})$ cycles being involved in many well-known catalytic transformations. The Vicente group also demonstrated that the involvement of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{IV})$ species in Heck coupling reactions. ${ }^{32}$ It seems that these particular ONC pincer ligands provide suitable electronic and steric properties to allow the isolation of stable $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{IV})$ species that can then be used in coupling reactions. ${ }^{33}$

### 1.7 Pincer complexes as green catalysts

Pincer complexes as catalysts have made significant improvements to fundamental reactions such as the synthesis of esters, acetals, amides, amines and peptides due to their ability to reduce the amount of undesirable by-products that can be problematic with conventional routes. Traditional methods usually are multistep, involving stoichiometric
amounts of acids, bases and expensive reagents thus producing large amounts of hazardous waste (Scheme 1.12). ${ }^{32}$


Scheme 1.12 Applications of pincer-based catalysts to fundamental organic transformations

Pincer complexes have also been applied as catalysts in traditional Suzuki coupling reactions and promising results in aqueous solvents and under mild conditions have been observed (Scheme 1.13). ${ }^{33,34}$




Scheme 1.13 Pincer complexes in Suzuki coupling reaction under mild conditions

### 1.8 Pincer complexes and hydrogen bonding effects

So far pincer ligands have been seen to not only impart stability to a complex, but also be used in a more functional role to mediate interactively chemical transformations. Currently, there is considerable interest in adding properties to the pincer framework
specific to the particular catalysis such as hydrogen bonding, proton/electron responsiveness, photo responsiveness or molecular recognition function. Hydrogen bonding interactions are short-range interaction between an electronegative atom and a partially positive hydrogen. ${ }^{35-37}$ This type of bonding is a highly important property, but its use in organometallic catalysis is still limited. In organometallic chemistry hydrogen bonding can be used as a molecular recognition factor in which a hydrogen bond can guide an incoming substrate/ligand to the binding metal site by interacting with ligands already bound to the metal centre.

The interaction imparted by a hydrogen bonding can provide a favourable push in more difficult transformations. In one such example, the conversion of carbon dioxide into formic acid is thermodynamically unfavourable. However, with the introduction of an amine hydrogen bond donor in the pincer ligand, the iridium formate complex was isolated (Scheme 1.14). Furthermore, as expected, the formate complex formed was the most water soluble due to the presence of hydrogen bonding interactions. ${ }^{38}$


Scheme 1.14 Stabilization of a formate intermediate through $\mathrm{NH} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonding

### 1.9 Aims and objectives of thesis

Two synthetic chapters of this thesis are concerned with the preparation of a range of novel pyridine-based pincer pro-ligands of the type, ONN, ONO', with the aim to explore their use as either monoanionic or dianionic ligands for palladium(II) (Fig. 1.5). Ligand exchange reactions of the so-formed pincer complexes with anionic and neutral monodentate ligands are reported and potential hydrogen bond interactions probed. In addition, a third synthetic chapter is dedicated to exploring the stoichiometric CH
activation of 6-phenyl-2-pyridone using palladium(II) acetate. The catalytic potential of palladium(II) acetate in the CH halogenation (bromination, chlorination and fluorination) of 6-phenyl-2-pyridone is also disclosed and compared with the catalytic performance of a selection of the ONN-Pd and ONO'-Pd complexes developed in the thesis.


HL1

$\mathrm{H}_{2}$ L5a


HL2



HL3





Figure 1.5 Pincer pro-ligands, HL1 - HL4 and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5}$, to be synthesised and explored as sources of mono- or di-anionic ligands for palladium(II)

In Chapter 2, four examples of ONN-type pincer pro-ligand, HL1 - HL4, differing in the exterior nitrogen donor (amine versus imine) and the substituents on the group adjacent to the nitrogen donor, are prepared and fully characterized. Their capacity to act as monoanionic ligands on treatment with palladium(II) acetate is investigated, while the potential of the exterior NH group to serve as a directional hydrogen bond donor (D) to acceptor atoms (e.g., $\mathrm{A}=$ oxygen) in neighbouring complexes or incoming substrates/ligands is examined. Comparisons are made with regard to the variation in nitrogen donor (imine/amine) on coordination and hydrogen bonding properties. The reactivity of the ONN-Pd complexes towards ligands well-known for undergoing hydrogen bonding interactions such as pyridones and carboxylates is systematically explored. The extent of hydrogen bonding is evaluated by comparing the chemical shift of the NH proton in their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra.

In Chapter 3, four examples of ONO'-type pincer pro-ligands, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 a}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 d}$, differing in the steric and electronic properties of either the phenol moiety or the alkyl alcohol are synthesized and fully characterized. Their unusual ability to act as unsymmetrical dianionic pincer ligands on reaction with palladium(II) acetate is reported and compared with unsuccessful attempts to make their symmetrical counterparts. The presence of inequivalent O-donors and their relative ability to serve as hydrogen bond acceptors (A) with a range of incoming ligands/substrates is studied; their proton responsiveness is also be explored.

In Chapter 4, the CH activation of biologically relevant 6-phenyl-2-pyridone is firstly explored using stoichiometric amounts of palladium(II) acetate. Unexpectedly a number of reaction pathways are possible dependent on $\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{OH}$ or NH activation leading to a wide variety of new palladium(II) complexes. A section is also dedicated to exploring the use of palladium(II) acetate as a catalyst in the CH halogenation (bromination, chlorination and fluorination) of 6-phenyl-2-pyridone and using N -bromosuccinamide, N -chlorosuccinamide or Selectfluor ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ as the oxidising/halogenating reagent. An evaluation of selected palladium pincer complexes prepared in chapters 2 and 3 as catalysts in CH bromination is also disclosed.

Chapter 5 gives the experimental and characterization details for all the complexes, proligands and catalytic studies reported in Chapters 2-4.
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## NNO-palladium pincer complexes with hydrogen-bonding interactions

### 2.1. Introduction

Besides the enhanced stability imparted by the tridentate pincer complex, as compared to mono- and bi-dentate ligand counterparts, there are other reaction specific favourable properties that make them more desirable as catalysts.

Despite the importance of hydrogen bonding in natural systems, its use in organometallic catalysis still remains relatively scarce. In principle, hydrogen bonding in organometallic chemistry can be used as a molecular recognition factor where the hydrogen bond can guide the incoming substrate (or ligand) to the binding metal site by interacting with ligands already bound to metal centre. For example iridium complex (a) (Fig. 2.1) can only be formed if there is an amine group present to allow hydrogen bonding with the HF ligand bound to the metal centre. ${ }^{1}$

(a)

Figure 2.1. Effect of an amine group on the formation of iridium-HF complex (a); $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$

Organometallic catalysis has gained considerable recognition over the past 60 years due to its capacity to carry out difficult transformations under mild conditions. ${ }^{2-5}$ In general reactions occur at the metal centre and the supporting ligands plays an important role in tuning the electronic and steric properties so as to enable the reaction to occur. Despite there being extensive research carried out on this type of catalysis, there is still a need to develop more selective and efficient organometallic catalysts that operate under even milder conditions. ${ }^{6}$ To fulfill the ever growing demand for new and improved transformations, novel properties such as multifunctionalisation and self-assembly are starting to be introduced to both the ligands and complexes. ${ }^{7}$ Indeed new inorganic catalysts have been developed that make use of metal-ligand cooperation (in case of self-
assembly) and metal-ligand cooperation in multifunctional complexes to accomplish the desired transformation (Scheme 2.1).


Scheme 2.1. Example of bifunctional catalysis in alkyne hydrogenation by metal-ligand cooperation ${ }^{8}$

### 2.1.1. Self-assembly of complexes

Self-assembly of organic molecules is seen as an important property as a result of its effect on reaction pathways. In self-assembly, ligands or complexes are aligned with each other by mild hydrogen bonding of their functional groups in contrast to covalent bonds. These mild non-covalent forces link individual ligands/catalysts to supramolecular reaction centers in a transition state and may enhance catalyst efficiencies. ${ }^{9}$ Ligands represent a starting point to impart multifunctional and self-assembly concepts to a metal complex for catalysis. In addition to chelating atoms, other functional groups could be attached to the ligand skeleton to achieve the desired properties in a complex. Amine or imine groups are very important in organometallic chemistry on account of the properties they can introduce to a complex in addition to chelation to the metal centre. ${ }^{9}$

### 2.1.2. $\mathbf{R}_{2} \mathbf{N H}$ or $\mathbf{R N H}_{2}$ groups as ideal hydrogen bond donors

Primary or secondary amine groups are well-known to act as donors to a metal centre but what makes them special is their unique ability to act as a hydrogen bond donor, acceptor and proton source. It can provide cooperation in catalysis by self-aligning ligands and catalysts in reaction mixtures, hence it may play a role in multifunctionalised catalysis. Fortunately, $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ or $\mathrm{RNH}_{2}$ moieties are stable and can be easily installed within a multidentate ligand structure which makes them ideal functional groups to be incorporated within multifunctional ligands and catalysts. ${ }^{10-12}$ Depending on the location of the amine group in a complex, it can contribute in number of ways.

### 2.1.3. Mode of interaction of an amine moiety

The $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ or $\mathrm{RNH}_{2}$ moieties can interact with a metal centre or substrate to influence the reaction in five known modes.

1. Direct $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{NR}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ catalysis: The nitrogen atom of the amine group is attached directly to the metal atom and can cooperate in the reaction by interacting with substrates attached to the metal atom (Fig. 2.2).


Figure 2.2. Cooperation of a M -bound $\mathrm{NR}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ moiety with an incoming substrate

The most relevant example of $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{NR}_{2} \mathrm{H}$-type catalysis comes from the work of Noyori on the hydrogenation of acetophenone to give 1-phenylethanol using $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$ as the pre-catalyst (Scheme 2.2). High catalytic activity was observed on addition of diethylenediamine (dien) to the reaction mixture. Later it was found that the active catalyst was in fact [(dien) $\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ] containing an $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-bound diethylenediamine. The mechanistic studies further explained the importance of the role of the bound primary amine moieties in the catalytic cycle. ${ }^{13-27}$


Scheme 2.2. Hydrogenation of acetophenone mediated by [(dien) $\left.\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]$
2. Remote $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{NR}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ Catalysis: In addition to participating directly in a reaction while bound to the metal centre, the amine moiety can also participate by aligning with other
ligands or substrates more remotely (Scheme 2.3). ${ }^{28}$ It can act as a recognition factor for desired substrates thus helping them to align in close proximity to the metal centre. In this way, the $\mathrm{NR}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ group stays away from metal centre but influences the reaction from the outer sphere. Results obtained from using the hydrogen bond donor and acceptor phosphine ligand A gave 80\% conversion in rhodium-catalysed alkene hydroformylation, whereas when the non-functional triphenylphosphine was used as the ligand low conversion was noted (Table 2.1).



| Entry | L | Substrate | Conversion [\%] | a:b |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\mathbf{A}$ | $n=1, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ | 80.5 | $11: 1$ |
| 2 | $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ | $n=1, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ | 20 | $1: 1.7$ |

Yield determined by ${ }^{1}$ HNMR spectroscopy
Table 2.1. Effect of ligands on reaction activity and selectivity
3. Assembly of Ligands by $\mathrm{NR}_{2} \mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonding interactions: The amine unit in association with a suitable hydrogen acceptor is well known to facilitate dimerisation of neighbouring organic molecules through self-assembly. In this context 2-pyridone or its tautomeric isomer 2-hydroxypyridine can readily assemble in three ways depending on the tautomeric forms involved (Fig. 2.3).

$\qquad$

(2-hydroxypyridine) ${ }_{2}$

(2-pyridone) ${ }_{2}$

(2-Pyridone)(2-hydroxypyridine)

Figure 2.3. The two tautomers of 2-pyridone and the potential hydrogen-bonded assemblies.

This type of association involving pyridone tautomers has been used effectively in inorganic catalysis. For example, Breit et al. reported rhodium catalysts, incorporating pseudo-bidentate phosphine ligands that are held together by their self-assembled pyridone moieties, that proved to be very effective in alkene hydroformylation (Scheme 2.3). ${ }^{29-36}$


Scheme 2.3. Hydroformylation using a rhodium catalyst based on self-assembled pyridones
4. Assembly of catalysts by hydrogen bonding: As discussed earlier, metal-ligand cooperation to facilitate a metal-catalysed organic transformation, for example by bringing the incoming substrate close to the metal centre by hydrogen bonding or forming a flexible chelate through hydrogen bonding. In addition to metal-ligand cooperation, metal-metal cooperation is highly desirable as bimetallic catalysis are widespread and can be highly efficient. ${ }^{37}$ Traditionally, two metal complexes can be brought together in close proximity through covalent bonds. More recently, strategically placed hydrogen
bond donor and acceptor groups within the neighbouring ligand frames can be used to assemble pseudo-bimetallic catalysts. Notably, the enantioselectivity of a Henry reaction was improved significantly when a cobalt catalyst composed of two Co-salen units linked together by hydrogen bonding was employed (Fig. 2.4); the corresponding monometallic $\operatorname{Co}$ (II) complex proved inferior in the same transformation. ${ }^{38,39}$ In the bimetallic case, assembly of the catalysts is facilitated by two sets of remotely positioned intermolecular $\mathrm{NR}_{2} \mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bond interactions allowing the cobalt species to perfectly align.



Fig. 2.4. Bimetallic assembly due to remotely positioned NH hydrogen bonding interactions. ${ }^{38}$
5. Immobilization of homogeneous catalysts by using hydrogen bonding: Separation of a catalyst is an important step to allow recycling of an expensive catalyst. Usually the catalyst is bound to a supporting surface via a covalent bond, which in turn requires steps to allow its synthesis. ${ }^{40,41}$ Recently, non-covalent bonds such as hydrogen bonding between a catalyst and a supporting surface has provided an attractive tool to remove the catalyst after the reaction has occurred (Fig. 2.5). For example, It has been shown that a silica-supported palladium catalyst bound through hydrogen bonding can be firstly used in nucleophilic substitution and then readily released from the silica support and then replaced with a rhodium catalyst which then can be used in hydroformylation. ${ }^{42}$


Figure 2.5. Catalyst immobilisation through hydrogen bonding; application to dual catalyst transformations

### 2.1.4. Importance of the hydroxyl functional group

In addition to the versatile amine functional group, the hydroxyl group is another important group that has been shown to impart bifunctional properties to a ligand system. The properties such as hydrogen bonding, water solubility, acid-base reactivity and in its deprotonated state coordinate to metals as strong donor. ${ }^{43}$ The ability of the hydroxyl group to transfer protons in reactions has earned this group a reputation as an essential component in functional catalysis (see for Scheme 2.4). ${ }^{44,45}$


Scheme 2.4. Hydrogenation of ketones by an iron catalyst containing an active hydroxyl ligand. ${ }^{45}$

### 2.2. Aims of this chapter

The overall aim of this chapter is to develop unsymmetrical pincer-type ligands that when complexed with palladium are capable of creating a sterically protected pocket around the metal centre that can mediate self-assembly or complex-substrate/ligand hydrogen bonding interactions (Figure 2.6).


Figure 2.6. Representation of the target hydrogen bonding interactions; (D) and (A) define the donor and acceptor moieties built into the unsymmetrical pincer arms.

In order to realise this objective we have targeted four monoanionic NNO-pincer ligands, two of which provide the potential to give $\mathrm{NR}_{2} \mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{A}$ type interactions ( $\mathbf{L} 2$ and $\mathbf{L 4}$ in Figure 2.7) and, for comparison purposes, two others that will be unlikely to ( $\mathbf{L} 1$ and $\mathbf{L 3}$ in Figure 2.7).


L1


L3


L2


L4

Figure 2.7. Monoanionic 2-(3-biphenyl-2-olate)-6-imine-pyridines (L1), 2-(3-biphenyl-2-olate)-6-methyllamine-pyridine (L2), 2-(3-biphenyl-2-olate)-6-methyliminepyridines (L3), 2-(3-biphenyl-2-olate)-6-(dimethylamine)pyridine (L4); dipp $=2,6$-diisopropylphenyl

Specifically, we aim to prepare square planar NNO-bound palladium(II)-X complexes ( $\mathrm{X}=$ halide, pyridonate, carboxylate and triflate) and explore not only the effect of the NNO ligand to promote hydrogen bond interactions but also the influence the X -donor may have on these interactions. Furthermore, some effort will be made to explore the
steric effort of the substituents belonging to the methyl group $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ vs. $\left.\mathrm{CMe}_{2}\right)$ adjacent to the NH donor in $\mathbf{L} 2$ and $\mathbf{L 4}$.

All the ligands and complexes are new and are fully characterised by spectrometric (ESI, HRMS) and spectroscopic ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, IR) techniques as well as by single crystal X ray diffraction.

### 2.3. Results and discussion

### 2.3.1. Preparation of pro-ligands HL1 - HL4

The 2-(3-biphenyl-2-ol)-6-aldimine-pyridine, 2-(3-C $\left.\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{8}-2-\mathrm{OH}\right)-6-(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{NAr}) \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( $\mathrm{Ar}=2,6-i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ (HL1), was prepared in three steps involving Suzuki cross-coupling of 2-methoxybiphenyl-3-ylboronic acid and 2-bromo-6-formylpyridine, $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$-mediated deprotection and condensation with 2,6-diisopropylaniline in good overall yield (Scheme 2.5). ${ }^{46}$ The imine group in HL1 can be easily reduced to the amine by using lithium aluminium hydride as the reducing agent to give 2-(3-biphenyl-2-ol)-6-methylaminepyridine, 2-( $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{8}-2-\mathrm{OH}\right)-6-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NHAr}\right) \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{Ar}=2,6-i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{HL2})$.

Similarly, the ketimine analogue, 2-( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{8}-2-\mathrm{OH}\right)-6-(\mathrm{CMe}=\mathrm{NAr}) \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{Ar}=2,6-i-$ $\left.\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{HL} 3)$, has been prepared in this case by two steps involving Suzuki coupling of 2-hydroxy-biphenyl-3-ylboronic with 2-bromo-6-acetylpyridine followed by the condensation reaction of the resulting ketone, 2-(3'-phenyl-2'-phenol)-6-acetylpyridine, with 2,6-diisopropylaniline (Scheme 2.6). The imine group in HL3 can be readily methylated/reduced by treating HL3 with trimethylaluminium and then hydrolysing the aluminium intermediate affording, 2-( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{8}-2-\mathrm{OH}\right)-6-\left(\mathrm{CMe}_{2}-\mathrm{NHAr}\right) \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{Ar}=2,6-i-$ $\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ ) (HL4).

The four new compounds, HL1, HL2, HL3, and HL4 have been characterised using a combination of mass spectrometry, melting point, IR, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopy (see experimental section).


Scheme 2.5. Reagents and conditions: (i) $2-\mathrm{Br}-6-(\mathrm{CHO}) \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, cat. $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$, toluene, 2 M $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (aq), ethanol; (ii) $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iii) $\mathrm{ArNH}_{2}$, ethanol, $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iv) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$, THF, $78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (v) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

(ii)


Scheme 2.6. Reagents and conditions: (i) $2-\mathrm{Br}-6-(\mathrm{CMeO}) \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, cat. $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$, toluene, 2 M $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (aq), ethanol, $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) 2,6-i- $\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$, methanol, reflux; (iii) $5 \mathrm{AlMe}_{3}$, toluene, reflux; (iv) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

Molecular ion peaks were detected for all four pro-ligands, HL1, HL2, HL3 and HL4, in their electrospray mass spectra and downfield proton chemical shifts for the phenolic protons were clearly evident ( $14.5-15.0 \mathrm{ppm}$ ). The downfield position of these OH protons can be attributed to hydrogen bonding with the neighbouring pyridine nitrogen. In addition, broad $v(\mathrm{OH})$ absorption bands centred at $c a .2600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in their IR spectra are consistent with strong intramolecular hydrogen bonds. The IR spectra for HL1 and HL3 also reveal $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})_{\text {imine }}$ absorption bands at $c a .1640 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ that are absent in HL2 and HL4. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$

NMR spectrum of HL2, the amine proton appears as a broad singlet at ca. 3.45 ppm while HL4 it can be seen at 3.38 ppm . The isopropyl methyl groups take the form of closely located doublets while the $\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Me}_{2}$ protons are seen as an apparent septet. The structures of HL1 and HL3 were further confirmed by single crystal X-ray determinations.

The molecular structures of HL1 and HL3 are shown in Figures 2.8 and 2.9, respectively; selected bond lengths and angles are listed in Tables 2.2 and 2.3. Both HL1 and HL3 consist of a central pyridine ring with a 3-biphenyl-2-ol group at the 2-position and at the 6-position a trans-configured N -arylimine unit [aldimine (HL1) and ketimine (HL3)]. In both structures the pyridine nitrogen adopts a cis-configuration with respect to the phenol group to enable strong $\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{HO}$ hydrogen bonding interactions [O(1) $\cdots \mathrm{N}(1) 2.540$ (HL1), $2.563 \AA(\mathrm{HL} 3)]$. The imine C-N bond distances fall between 1.262(3) and 1.293(9) $\AA$ and are consistent with double bond character. In both structures some tilting of the 3-phenyl group with respect to the phenol group is apparent [C(1)-C(2)-C(25)-C(26) $48.33^{\circ}$ (HL1); $\left.\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(12) 57.12^{\circ}(\mathrm{HL} 3)\right]$, which is comparable in size to that seen in free 2hydroxybiphenyl. ${ }^{47-49}$


Figure 2.8. Molecular structure of HL1, including a partial atom numbering scheme. All hydrogen atoms, apart from H1 and H12, have been omitted for clarity.

Figure 2.9. Molecular structure of HL3, including a partial atom numbering scheme. All hydrogen atoms, apart from H 1 have been omitted for clarity.

Table 2.2. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for HL1

## Bond lengths

| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.348(3)$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $1.489(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.482(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $1.262(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $1.429(3)$ |
|  | Bond angles |
|  | $122.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ |  |

Table 2.3. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for HL3

## Bond lengths

$\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ 1.353(7)
$\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)$
1.500(9)

C(6)-C(7)
1.478(9)
$\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{N}(2)$
1.293(9)
$\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{N}(2)$
1.434(9)

Bond angles
$\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{N}(2)$
116.7(7)
$\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(19)$
118.7(6)

### 2.3.2. Complexation reactions of HL1

Treatment of HL 1 with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ in toluene at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ results in deprotonation and the formation of L1PdOAc (1a) in good yield (Scheme 2.7). Its chloride analogue, $\mathbf{L 1 P d C l}$ (1b), can be prepared directly by reacting HL1 with $(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ in tetrahydrofuran at room temperature or simply by stirring a solution of $\mathbf{1 a}$ in chloroform with aqueous sodium chloride in (Scheme 2.8). Both 1a and 1b were characterised using FAB mass spectrometry, NMR and IR spectroscopy as well as by elemental analysis. X-ray diffraction studies have been performed on single crystals of 1a.


Scheme 2.7. Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, toluene, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) $(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}, \mathrm{THF}$, RT; (iii) $\mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{aq}), \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{RT}$

The absence of a downfield OH peak from the pro-ligand HL1 in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{1 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 b}$ is consistent with successful deprotonation. Two distinct doublets are seen for
the isopropyl methyl groups in their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra consistent with some restricted rotation about their N -aryl bond in solution; the acetate resonance is clearly visible at 1.65 ppm in 1a, while the aldimine proton is slightly shifted in comparison with HL1. Both complexes give fragmentation peaks in their mass spectra corresponding the loss of an acetate or a chloride from their molecular ion. In their IR spectra, the $v(C=N)_{\text {imine }}$ absorption bands are shifted by $c a .20 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to lower wavenumber compared to that seen in HL1 consistent with effective coordination of the imine nitrogen.

The molecular structure of $\mathbf{1 a}$ is shown in Fig. 2.10; selected bond distances are compiled in Table 2.4. Two independent molecules ( A and B ) are found within the asymmetric unit that display only modest differences. The structure consists of a single palladium centre bound by a tridentate monoanionic pincer ligand 2-(3-biphenyl-2-olate)-6iminepyridine (L1) along with an O-bound acetate to complete a distorted square planar geometry. The imine-based tridentate ONN-ligand forms two different sized chelate rings (5-and 6-membered) with the palladium centre. The bite angle of the 6-membered ring $\left[\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)\right.$ is $\left.94.5(2)_{\mathrm{A}}, 92.9(2)_{\mathrm{B}}\right]$ is more compatible with a square planar geometry than for the 5 -membered ring $\left[\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1) 83.1(3)_{\mathrm{A}}, 83.8(3)_{\mathrm{B}}\right]$. The phenolate ring unit is slightly twisted with respect to the adjacent pyridine ring as evidenced by the torsion angle $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1) 9.2(11)_{\mathrm{A}}, 8.8(11)_{\text {B }}$. The bond distances with respect to the palladium centre fall in the order: $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ $1.934(5)_{\mathrm{A}} / 1.948(5)_{\mathrm{B}}<\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1) 1.958(6)_{\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B}}<\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2) 1.973(6)_{\mathrm{A}} / 1.993(6)_{\mathrm{B}} . \mathrm{A}$ similar complex [2-(2,2-bipyridin-6-yl)phenolate] PdCl reported comparable crystallographic data to 1a. ${ }^{50}$ No evidence for intramolecular nor intermolecular hydrogen bonding could be observed.


Figure 2.10. Molecular structure of 1a (molecule $A$ ) including a partial atom numbering scheme. All hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Table 2.4. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $\mathbf{1 a}$

|  | Bond lengths <br> Molecule A | Molecule B <br>  <br> $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.934(5)$ | $1.948(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1.958(6)$ | $2.004(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $1.973(6)$ | $1.958(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $1.292(8)$ | $1.993(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.483(10)$ | $1.297(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.303(9)$ | $1.293(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $1.225(9)$ | $1.224(8)$ |
|  | Bond angles |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $83.1(3)$ | $83.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $94.5(2)$ | $92.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $95.0(2)$ | $94.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $87.3(2)$ | $88.6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $176.8(2)$ | $178.2(2)$ |

### 2.3.3. Complexation reactions of HL2: introduction of a hydrogen bond donor

HL2 contains an amine moiety that can potentially serve as a hydrogen bond acceptor and, when deprotonated, it also contains a phenoxide oxygen that could act as a hydrogen bond acceptor. In principle, $\mathbf{L} 2$ presents a good candidate for hydrogen bonding interactions with incoming ligands/substrates or self-assembly of ligands or complexes.

The synthesis of [\{2-(3- $\left.\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{8}-2-\mathrm{O}\right)-6-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NHAr}\right) \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right\} \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})\right]$ ( $\mathrm{Ar}=2,6-i-$ $\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}(\mathbf{2 a})$ ) was performed by reacting HL 2 with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in toluene (Scheme
2.8). Conversion of $\mathbf{2 a}$ to its chloride counterpart $\mathbf{2 b}$ can be achieved quantitatively by using a similar procedure to that employed for $\mathbf{1 b}$. Alternatively $\mathbf{2 b}$ can be made more directly by reacting HL 2 with $(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$.


Scheme 2.8. Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, toluene, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) $(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}, \mathrm{THF}$, RT; (iii) $\mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{aq}), \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$

The molecular structure of $\mathbf{2 a}$ is shown in Fig. 2.11; selected bond lengths and bond angles are collected in Table 2.5. The structure of $\mathbf{2 a}$ consists of two square planar \{2-(3-biphenyl-2-olate)-6-alkylamine-pyridine\} $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})$ monomeric units that assemble through NH $\cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonding interactions involving amine NH and phenolate oxygen atoms in neighbouring molecules $[\mathrm{N}(2) \cdots \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~A}) 3.038 \AA] .{ }^{51,52}$ The acetate group within each unit acts as a monodentate ligand and is devoid of hydrogen bonding interactions. The palladium-palladium separation ( $3.284 \AA$ ) was slightly longer than the sum of the van der Waals radii ( $3.26 \AA$ ).


Figure 2.11. Molecular structure of 2a including a partial atom numbering scheme. All hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Table 2.5 Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for 2a

## Bond lengths

| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.990(4)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $2.019(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1.955(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $2.078(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $1.505(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.477(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.257(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $1.221(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1) \cdots \mathrm{Pd}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | 3.284 |

## Bond angles

$\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2) \quad 84.59(19)$
$\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1) \quad 90.48(18)$
$\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2) \quad 94.99(17)$
$\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2) \quad 89.43(15)$
$\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$

Compounds 2a and 2b all show fragmentation peaks corresponding to the loss of an acetate or chloride group from both the dimeric and monomeric species in their ESI mass spectra. The methylene $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NH}$ protons in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra are inequivalent and appear as two doublet of doublets [at 4.58 and $4.16 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathbf{2 a})$; at 4.99 and $3.34 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathbf{2 b})$ ]. Each isopropyl-methyl proton in 2a and $\mathbf{2 b}$ are inequivalent leading to four separate doublets. The NH proton in each complex is shifted by ca. 4 ppm downfield compared to that observed in the free pro-ligand, supportive of a hydrogen bonding interaction being maintained in solution.

### 2.3.4. Complexation reactions of HL3

Similar to L1, L3 is potentially a pyridine-based ONN type pincer ligand with one imine arm and phenoxide as the other donor arm. Unlike L1, L3 contains a ketimine nitrogen instead of an aldimine nitrogen donor.


Scheme 2.9. Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, toluene, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) $\mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{aq}), \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{RT}$; (iii) $\mathrm{NaBr}(\mathrm{aq}), \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{RT}$

Reaction of HL 3 with palladium acetate at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in toluene resulted in removal of the phenolic hydrogen peak ( 14.45 ppm ) in its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum suggesting all free ligand has been deprotonated to form $\mathbf{L 3 P d}(\mathrm{OAc})(\mathbf{3 a})$. Complex 3a could be converted quantitatively to $\mathbf{L 3 P d C l} \mathbf{( 3 b})$ and $\mathbf{L 3 P d B r}(\mathbf{3 c})$ by treatment with an aqueous solution of the corresponding sodium salt ( $\mathbf{3 b}(\mathrm{NaCl}), \mathbf{3 c}(\mathrm{NaBr})$ ). All imine complexes 3a, 3b and 3c have been fully characterised by NMR spectroscopy and by mass spectrometry. In addition, crystals of $\mathbf{3 b}$ and $\mathbf{3 c}$ suitable for X-ray diffraction studies were grown by slow diffusion of petroleum ether into a solution of the corresponding complex in chloroform.

Molecular structures of $\mathbf{3 b}$ and $\mathbf{3 c}$ are similar and will be discussed together. Views of 3b and 3c are shown in Figs. 2.12 and 2.13; selected bond distances and angles are collected in Table 2.6. Each structure consists of a single palladium centre bound by a tridentate monoanionic pincer ligand 2-(3-biphenyl-2-olate)-6-iminepyridine (L3) along with a halide ligand to complete a distorted square planar geometry. The bite angle of the 6 - and 5 -membered chelate rings are similar to that observed in 1a and the bond distances with respect to the palladium centre fall in a similar order: $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)<\operatorname{Pd}(1)-$ $\mathrm{N}(1)_{\text {pyridine }}<\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)_{\text {imine }}$. As expected this Pd-I distance is longer than the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distance. There is no evidence for any significant intramolecular contacts.


Figure 2.12. Molecular structure of $\mathbf{3 b}$, including a partial atom numbering scheme. All hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.


Figure 2.13. Molecular structure of $\mathbf{3 c}$, including a partial atom numbering scheme. All hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Table 2.6 Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $\mathbf{3 b}$ and $\mathbf{3 c}$
Bond lengths

|  | 3b | 3c |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.944(3)$ | $1.937(8)$ |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1.983(4)$ | $1.992(9)$ |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $1.999(4)$ | $1.982(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $1.299(5)$ | $1.301(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.501(7)$ | $1.47(2)$ |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{X}(1)$ | $2.2956(12)$ | $2.386(2)$ |
|  | Bond angles |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $82.35(16)$ | $82.0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $93.47(15)$ | $93.7(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{X}(1)$ | $88.00(10)$ | $87.4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{X}(1)$ | $178.27(12)$ | $178.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{X}(1)$ | $96.12(11)$ | $96.8(3)$ |

( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}$ for $\mathbf{3 b}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br}$ for $\mathbf{3 c}$ )

In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{3 a}-\mathbf{3 c}$, the imine methyl group is clearly visible at $c a .2 .2$ ppm , while the acetate resonance in 3a can be seen at 1.70 ppm . Four separate doublet resonances are seen for the $\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Ce}_{2}$ protons supportive of restricted rotation about the N -2,6-diisopropylphenyl bond. All three complexes give fragmentation peaks in their mass spectra corresponding the loss of an acetate or a halide from their molecular ion. In their IR spectra, the $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})_{\text {imine }}$ absorption bands are shifted by $c a .20 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to lower wavenumber compared to that seen in HL3 consistent with effective coordination of the imine nitrogen.

### 2.3.5. Complexation reactions of HL4: introduction of a hydrogen bond donor

### 2.3.5.1 Preparation of L4PdX ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{OAc}(4 \mathrm{a}), \mathrm{Cl}(4 \mathrm{~b})$, I (4c))

To explore the effect of replacing a $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ group with $\mathrm{CMe}_{2}$ on the hydrogen bonding interactions that led to self-dimerization in $\mathbf{2 a}$ and $\mathbf{2 b}$, we now explore the chemistry of HL4 with palladium(II) acetate. Hence, the reaction of HL 4 with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in toluene gave, on work-up, $\mathbf{L 4 P d}(\mathrm{OAc})\left(\mathrm{Ar}=2,6-i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)(\mathbf{4 a})$, in good yield (Scheme 2.10). ${ }^{53}$ Complex $\mathbf{4 a}$ can be readily converted to chloride $\mathbf{4 b}$ or iodide $\mathbf{4 c}$ in high yield by stirring $\mathbf{4 a}$ with brine or aqueous sodium iodide in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. All three complexes, $\mathbf{4 a}-\mathbf{4 c}$ are air stable and have been characterised using a combination of FAB mass spectrometry, IR, and NMR $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ spectroscopy and elemental analyses (see experimental section). In addition, crystals of each complex have been subject of single crystal X-ray diffraction studies.


Scheme 2.10. Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, toluene, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) $\mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{aq}), \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{RT}$; (iii) $\mathrm{NaI}(\mathrm{aq}), \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{RT}$

Crystals of $\mathbf{4 a} \cdot \mathrm{OH}_{2}$ suitable for an X-ray determination were grown from chloroform. There are three independent molecules for $\mathbf{4 a} \cdot \mathrm{OH}_{2}$ in the unit cell (molecules $A-C$ ) that differ in the nature of the hydrogen bonding; the packing diagram for $\mathbf{4 a} \cdot \mathrm{OH}_{2}$ is shown in Figure 2.14b. A view of solely molecule $B$ is shown in Fig 2.14a; selected bond distances and angles are collected in Table 2.7. The structure consists of a palladium centre bound by a tridentate $\mathbf{L 4}$ and a monodentate acetate to complete a distorted square planar geometry. In molecule $B$, an intramolecular H -bonding interaction exists between the pendant O of the acetate and NH proton of the amine group ( $\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{O} 2.931 \AA$ ). In contrast, molecules $A$ and $C$ in $\mathbf{4 a} \cdot \mathrm{OH}_{2}$ are associated together via one molecule of water which H bonds to the pendant oxygen atoms of the neighbouring acetate ligands. The $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}$ (amine)
bond distance is the longest of the three metal-ligand interactions involving the ONNligand followed by the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}$ (pyridine) distance and then by the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O}$ (phenolate) distance which is best exemplified $\left[\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)_{\text {amine }} 2.023(12)>\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)_{\text {pyridine }} 1.977(13)>\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)_{\text {phenolate }} 1.964(10) \AA\right]$. Some twisting of the phenolate unit with respect to pyridyl group was seen in both structures similar to the observed in free 2-phenylphenol. ${ }^{15}$ Interestingly, crystals of water-free $\mathbf{4 a}$ were grown from benzene and revealed uniquely molecule $B$ in the asymmetric unit; the bond parameters otherwise are essentially similar.



Figure 2.14b. Packing diagram of the three independent molecules in $\mathbf{4 a} \cdot \mathrm{OH}_{2}$



Figure 2.14a. Molecular structure of $\mathbf{4 a} \cdot \mathrm{OH}_{2}$ (molecule B) including a partial atom numbering scheme. All hydrogen atoms, apart from the NH proton, have been omitted for clarity. Table 2.7. Bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $\mathbf{4 a} \cdot \mathrm{OH}_{2}$

Bond lengths

| Molecule | Molecule | Molecule |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $A$ | $B$ | $C$ |
| $1.964(10)$ | $1.937(10)$ | $1.911(10)$ |
| $2.030(10)$ | $2.046(10)$ | $1.985(11)$ |
| $1.977(13)$ | $1.988(13)$ | $1.966(14)$ |
| $2.023(12)$ | $2.056(12)$ | $2.062(12)$ |

Bond angles
$\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)$
84.2(6) 84.7(5) 84.8(6)
$\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O}(1)$
94.2(5) 94.0(5) 91.8(6)
$\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O}(2)$
88.4(4) 88.1(5) 84.7(5)
$\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O}(2)$
93.1(5) 93.1(5) 98.4(5)
$\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O}(2)$
$171.6(5) \quad 177.7(5) \quad 175.6(5)$

A perspective of $\mathbf{4 b}$ is given in Fig. 2.15; selected bond distances and angles are compiled in Table 2.8. Data for structurally related $\mathbf{4 c}$ is presented in a separate section. The structure of $\mathbf{4 b}$ resembles $\mathbf{4 a}$, with three coordination sites filled by the tridentate NNO ligand, but differs in that the acetate group has been replaced by a chloride ligand. In this case no NH hydrogen bonding interaction with an acceptor atom is apparent.


Figure 2.15. Molecular structure of $\mathbf{4 b}$ including a partial atom numbering scheme. All hydrogen atoms, apart from the NH proton, have been omitted for clarity.

Table 2.8. Bond lengths ( $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $\mathbf{4 b}$

|  | Bond lengths |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.979(2)$ |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $2.3087(11)$ |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1.987(3)$ |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $2.043(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | Bond angles |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $93.49(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $176.99(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $84.27(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $91.30(7)$ |

The solid state structures for $\mathbf{4 a}-\mathbf{4 c}$ are maintained in solution with the NH protons for $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$ seen at 6.22 and 6.05 ppm in their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of, while in $\mathbf{4 a}$ the NH proton is more downfield shifted as broad resonances at ca 8.72 ppm consistent with the $\mathrm{NH} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ bonding seen in the solid state. The FAB mass spectrum reveals molecular ion peaks and fragments corresponding to then loss of $\mathrm{OAc}, \mathrm{Cl}$ or I for $\mathbf{4 a}, \mathbf{4 b}$ and $\mathbf{4 c}$, respectively.

Clearly the introduction of methyl groups on the carbon atom adjacent to NH group in $\mathbf{4 a}$ has resulted in the breaking of the dimeric assembly seen in 2a. This could be due to increased steric bulk near the NH hydrogen bond donor or due to a change in electronic properties at the metal centre. Furthermore, this inability for the NH group to hydrogen
bond with a phenolate-oxygen in a neighbouring molecule (cf. 2a) has resulted in the NH group seeking an alternative hydrogen-bond acceptor (e.g. water, acetate). In the absence of an acetate ligand the amine moiety can also opt not to undergo hydrogen bonding interactions (see 4b and 4c).

## 

Given the propensity of the acetate complexes of $\mathbf{L 4}$, to undergo intramolecular $\mathrm{NH} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonding, we decided to examine the effect of a range of electronically different pyridonate ligands as the monoanionic X donor. Gratifyingly, four pyridonate derivatives, $\mathbf{L 4 P d}(\mathrm{xhp})\left(\mathrm{xhp}=\mathrm{hp}(\mathbf{5 a}), \mathrm{CH}_{3}(\mathbf{5 b}), \mathrm{Cl}(\mathbf{5 c}), \mathrm{F}(\mathbf{5 d})\right)$ differing in the nature of the orthosubstituent, could be prepared in high yield by the reaction of $\mathbf{4 a}$ with Hxhp ( $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$, $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{F}$ ) in toluene at room temperature (Scheme 2.11). All pyridonate complexes were fully characterised using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, FAB, ESIMS, and micro-analysis ( $\mathbf{5 b}, \mathbf{5 d}$ ). In addition, crystals of $\mathbf{5 a}, \mathbf{5 b}$ and $\mathbf{5 d}$ suitable for an X-ray diffraction determination were grown.


Scheme 2.11. Reaction of $\mathbf{4 a}$ with 2-pyridones (Hxhp)

The X-ray structures of $\mathbf{5 a}, \mathbf{5 b}$ and $\mathbf{5 d}$ are shown in Figure 2.16; selected bond distances and bond angles are collected in Table 2.9. Each structure consists of a palladium centre bound by a tridentate $\mathbf{L 4}$ ligand and a N -bound pyridonate ligand to complete a distorted square planar geometry.




Figure 2.16 Molecular structure of $\mathbf{5 a}, \mathbf{5 b}$ and $\mathbf{5 d}$ including a partial atom numbering scheme. All hydrogen atoms, apart from the NH protons, have been omitted for clarity.

Table 2.9. Selected bond distances $(\AA \AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $\mathbf{5 a}, \mathbf{5 b}$ and $\mathbf{5 d}$

| Bond lengths |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5a | 5b | 5d |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 1.967(5) | 1.990(4) | 1.969 (4) |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 1.974(4) | $1.949(4)$ | 1.983(3) |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 2.019(5) | 2.036(4) | 2.031(4) |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 2.062(4) | 2.063(4) | 2.049(4) |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{H}(2)$ | 2.1190 | 2.1635 | 2.264 |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | 1.491(7) | 1.457(6) | $1.464(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $1.525(7)$ | 1.546(6) | $1.545(5)$ |
| Bond angles |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 93.83(18) | 93.26(17) | 91.82(14) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 175.6(2) | 174.85(18) | 176.04(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 87.00(17) | 86.66(16) | 89.37(14) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 83.48(19) | 84.80(18) | 85.37(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 173.59(17) | 174.60(16) | 172.72(13) |

In all cases, significant intramolecular H -bonding exists between the pyridonate O and the amine hydrogen atom $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{\text {amine }} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{\text {pyridonate }}=2.848-2.904 \AA\right)$. In addition for $\mathbf{5 a}$ and $\mathbf{5 b}$, a secondary H -bond interaction between pyridonate oxygen and a hydrogen atom of a polar molecule is seen in the X-ray unit cell. In 5a a molecule of acetic acid (O(2)‥O(3) 2.556 $\AA$ ) and in $\mathbf{5 b}$ a water molecule $(\mathrm{O}(2) \ldots \mathrm{O}(3) 2.701 \AA)$ was observed which is comparable to the intermolecular distance between two molecules of water. The H-bond interactions are also supported by amine NH (ca. 9.05-10.38 ppm) downfield shifts in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra which provides a measure of the H-bonding interactions. ${ }^{16}$ Replacing an O-bound acetate in $\mathbf{4 a}$ for an N -bound pyridonate has little effect on the trans Pd-N(pyridine) distance $[1.966(14)-1.988(13) \AA(\mathbf{4 a})$ vs. $1.967(5)(5 a), 1.990(4)(5 b), 1.969(4)(5 d) \AA]$. Also a torsion angle of $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ for 57.1 (5a), 61.1 (5b), 63.7 (5d) shows the effect of substituents on the binding of the pyridonates.

In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of each pyridonate complex $(\mathbf{5 a}, \mathbf{5 b}, \mathbf{5 c}, \mathbf{5 d})$ the NH proton is shifted downfield as compared to the starting material $\mathbf{4 a}$. Complexes $\mathbf{5 a}, \mathbf{5 b}, \mathbf{5 c}$ and $\mathbf{5 d}$ all show molecular peaks in their FAB mass spectra (TOFMS for 5d) along with fragmentation peaks corresponding to the loss of pyridonate ligands. In all four pyridonate complexes four distinct doublets are seen for the isopropyl methyl groups in their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra consistent with some restricted rotation about the $N$-aryl bond in solution.

### 2.3.5.3 Reaction of $4 \mathbf{a}$ with phenols $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{R}_{5} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{F})$

To probe the use of $\mathbf{4 a}$ as a precursor to other $\mathbf{L 4 P d X}$ complexes and to examine the effect of a bound oxygen on potential $\mathrm{NH} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interactions, we studied its reactivity towards two types of phenol. Hence, reaction of pentafluorophenol with $\mathbf{4 a}$ at room temperature in toluene gave $\mathbf{L} 4 \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{Ar}=2,6-i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)(\mathbf{6})$ in good yield (Scheme 2.12). On the other hand no reaction occurred on treating $\mathbf{4 a}$ with phenol. Complex $\mathbf{4 a}$ is unreactive towards phenol even at high temperatures, highlighting the reduced acidity of phenol hindering the activation of the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bond. By contrast the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bond of pentafluorophenol is reactive enough to be activated at room temperature. Complex $\mathbf{6}$ is air stable and has been characterised using a combination of FAB mass spectrometry, IR and NMR ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ) spectroscopy and elemental analyses (see experimental section).


Scheme 2.12. Comparative reactivity of $\mathbf{4 a}$ towards pentafluorophenol and phenol.
The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{6}$ shows four distinct doublets for the isopropyl methyl groups suggesting some restricted rotation about the $N$-aryl bond in solution. The NH signal can be seen at $\delta c a .6 .41$ as compared to $\delta c a .8 .72$ in $\mathbf{4 a}$ in their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra, suggesting no or limited H -bonding in $\mathbf{6}$. In the ${ }^{19}$ F NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{6}$, three highly coupled fluorine resonances are seen at $\delta-178.6, \delta-168.9$ and $\delta-162.3$. The FAB mass spectrum reveals molecular peak and a fragmentation peak corresponding to the loss of a
pentafluorophenolate ligand. Inspection of the literature reveals only a few examples of palladium complexes containing a pentafluorophenolate ligand. ${ }^{17-18}$.

### 2.3.5.4 Preparation of $\mathrm{L} 4 \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{X})\left(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-2\right.$-I (7a), $\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CCF}_{3}$ (7b), $\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{SCF}_{3}$ (7c))

Given the ability of the pendant acetate oxygen atom in $\mathbf{4 a}$ to undergo strong $\mathrm{NH} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interactions that can be monitored using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy, we decided to investigate other L4Pd complexes bearing both a bound oxygen and a pendant oxygen. Thus, treatment of $\mathbf{4 a}$ with either 2-iodobenzoic acid, trifloroacetic acid or triflic acid at room temperature in toluene were attempted and found to form $\mathbf{L 4 P d}(\mathrm{X})\left(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right.$-2-I (7a), $\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CCF}_{3}$ (7b), $\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{SCF}_{3}(\mathbf{7 c})$ ) in reasonable yield, respectively (Scheme 2.13). Complexes 7a, 7b and 7c have been fully characterised and, in addition, 7a and 7b have been the subject of single crystal X-ray diffraction studies.



Scheme 2.13. Reactions of 4a with 2-iodobenzoic acid, trifloroacetic acid and triflic acid.

The molecular structure of 7a is shown in Fig. 2.17; selected bond distances and angles are collected in Table 2.10. The structure comprises a palladium centre bound by a tridentate $\mathbf{L 4}$ and an O-bound carboxylate ligand to complete a distorted square planar geometry. An interaction of the NH group belonging to $\mathbf{L 4}$ is clearly observable with the pendant oxygen of the iodobenzoate ( $\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{O} 2.860 \AA$ ). The aryl iodide moiety adopts a configuration cis to the pendant oxygen atom.


Figure 2.17 Molecular structure of 7a including a full atom numbering scheme. All hydrogen atoms, apart from the NH protons, have been omitted for clarity.

Table 2.10. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $7 \mathbf{a}$
Bond lengths

| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.970(5)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $2.023(5)$ |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1.952(6)$ |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $2.073(5)$ |

## Bond angles

| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $90.6(2)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $88.93(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $83.4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $88.93(19)$ |

Crystals of 7b suitable for X-ray diffraction studies were grown from slow diffusion of hexane and chloroform. The molecular structure of $\mathbf{7 b}$ is shown in Fig 2.18a and selected bond distances and angles are collected in Table 2.11. Again a distorted square planar geometry is adopted by the palladium centre with $\mathbf{L 4}$ filling three coordination sites and an
oxygen of the $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COO}$ group the fourth. Unexpectedly, the pendant oxygen of the $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COO}$ group is not involved in a hydrogen bonding interaction with the NH proton instead adopting a remote position. Curiously, there were some long distance $\mathrm{NH} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{\text {phenolate }}$ interactions ( $\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{O} 4.017 \AA$ ) similar to that observed in 2a (Fig. 2.18b) which are likely due to crystal packing properties.


Figure 2.18a. Molecular structure of 7b including a full atom numbering scheme. All hydrogen atoms, apart from the NH protons, have been omitted for clarity


Figure 2.18b. Representation of the long range $\mathrm{NH} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{\text {phenolate }}$ interactions in $7 \mathbf{b}$ found in the packing

Table 2.11. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $7 \mathbf{~ b}$

## Bond lengths

| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.950(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $2.034(4)$ |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1.959(4)$ |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $2.032(4)$ |

## Bond angles

| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $94.01(16)$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $89.91(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $85.45(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $177.46(17)$ |

All three complexes, $\mathbf{7 a}-\mathbf{7 c}$, display fragmentation peaks corresponding to the loss of a 2iodobenzoate, trifloroacetate or a triflate ligand. In their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra the NH protons can be seen at $8.20(\mathbf{7 a}), 7.57(7 \mathbf{b})$ and $6.26(7 \mathbf{c}) \mathrm{ppm}$, which compares to the more downfield chemical shift observed in $\mathbf{4 a}(8.72 \mathrm{ppm})$.

For 7a, we were also interested in whether heating 7a would lead to oxidative addition across the aryl-I bond. ${ }^{54}$ On heating 7a at $60-110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in toluene for up to five days the only
complex that could be identified as growing in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum was iodidecontaining 4c (Scheme 2.14).


Scheme 2.14. Thermolysis of 7a between $60-110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ affording $4 \mathbf{c}$ via a proposed $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{IV})$ intermediate

One possible explanation accounting for the formation of $\mathbf{4 c}$ is that oxidative addition of iodobenzoate occurred generating a palladium(IV) intermediate that then underwent reductive elimination to give $\mathbf{4 c}$. Unfortunately attempts at trying to isolate the reductively eliminated organic species failed. Prolonged standing of the reaction mixture obtained after 5 days gave crystals of $\mathbf{4 c}$ that were suitable for an X-ray determination.

The molecular structure of $\mathbf{4 c}$ is shown in Fig. 2.19; selected bond distances and angles are collected in Table 2.12. The structure resembles $\mathbf{4 b}$ and indeed apart from the palladiumhalide distance $[\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{I}(1) 2.5789(8) \AA(\mathbf{4 c})$ vs. $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1) 2.3087(11) \AA(4 \mathbf{b})]$ shows little variation.


Figure 2.19. Molecular structure of $\mathbf{4 c}$ including a full atom numbering scheme. All hydrogen atoms, apart from the NH protons, have been omitted for clarity

Table 2.12. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $\mathbf{4 c}$

|  | Bond lengths |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ |  | $1.971(3)$ |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ |  | $2.5789(8)$ |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ |  | $2.006(5)$ |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ |  | $2.055(4)$ |
|  | Bond angles |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ |  | $91.18(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ |  | $171.55(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ |  | $83.91(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ |  | $92.56(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ |  | $176.21(13)$ |

### 2.3.5.5 Reactions of 4 with silver salts

To explore the potential of the NNO-complexes, L4PdX ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{OAc}(\mathbf{4 a}), \mathrm{Cl}(\mathbf{4 b})$ ), to act as precursors to cation-anion pairs, we studied their reactions with silver salts including silver triflate, silver hexafluorphosphate and silver tetrafloroborate. Firstly, we examined the reaction $4 \mathbf{a}$ was reacted with $\mathrm{AgSO}_{3} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ (ca, 5 eq.) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. Unexpectedly, on work-up, the $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Ag}_{3}$ cluster $\mathbf{8}$ was isolated (Scheme 2.20). It was also found that different size clusters (with different number of metal centres) could be also formed by using different molar ratios of $\mathrm{AgSO}_{3} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$. In this work we only report complex $\left[(\mathbf{L 4})_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Ag}_{3}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{SCF}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{SCF}_{3}\right]_{2}(\mathbf{8})$ which has been characterised by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy and by single X-ray diffraction. The molecular structure of $\mathbf{8}$ is shown in Fig. 2.20; selective bond distances and angles are collected in Table 2.13.


Scheme 2.17. Reaction of $\mathbf{4 a}$ with $\mathrm{AgSO}_{3} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ to give $\mathbf{8}$

The structure consists of a dication and two triflate counterions. Within the dication two molecules of square planar $\mathbf{4 a}$ are bridged by a $\mathrm{Ag}_{3}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{SCF}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)$ unit. The pendant acetate oxygen atoms in each $\mathbf{4 a}$ are used to link the three silver centres. There is also some
evidence for a $\pi$-interaction involving the pendant phenyl group in molecule of $\mathbf{4 a}$ with a silver atom.


Figure 2.20. Molecular structure of $\left[(\mathbf{L 4})_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Ag}_{3}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{SCF}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\right]^{2+}\left[\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{SCF}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{2-}(\mathbf{8})$

Table 2.13. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $\mathbf{8}$

## Bond lengths

| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.977(5)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $2.027(4)$ |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1.966(5)$ |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $2.027(6)$ |

## Bond angles

| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $91.18(17)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $171.55(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $83.91(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ | $92.56(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ | $176.21(13)$ |

Palladium-silver heterobimetallic clusters with a variety of ligands have been previously reported and are of high interest due to their high reactivity and properties. ${ }^{55}$ There are also a few examples in the literature with $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Ag}$ nanoparticles but are rare with pincer complexes of palladium. ${ }^{56}$ It was interesting to note that the basic skeleton in $\mathbf{4 a}$ has been preserved in this fast and reactive environment resulting in formation of $\mathbf{8}$.

Given the propensity of acetate-containing 4a to undergo cluster assembly with silver triflate, we decided to focus on the reactivity of chloride $\mathbf{4 b}$ with the silver salts. Hence
reaction of $\mathbf{4 b}$ with either $\mathrm{AgO}_{3} \mathrm{SCF}_{3}, \mathrm{AgPF}_{6}$ or $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ in acetonitrile gave on work-up, $[\operatorname{L4Pd}(\mathrm{NCMe})][\mathrm{X}]\left[\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{SCF}_{3}(\mathbf{9 a}), \mathrm{PF}_{6}(\mathbf{9 b}), \mathrm{BF}_{4}(\mathbf{9 c})\right]$ in high yield (Scheme 2.18).


Scheme 2.18. Reaction of $\mathbf{4 b}$ with $\mathrm{AgO}_{3} \mathrm{SCF}_{3}, \mathrm{AgPF}_{6}$ or $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$
Complexes 9a-9c, have been fully characterized by multinuclear NMR $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ and $\left.{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)$ and by mass spectrometry. In each case, the four isopropylmethyl groups are inequivalent in their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra. This inequivalency has the effect that the $\mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ methyl groups are also inequivalent as are the $\mathrm{CHMe} \mathrm{e}_{2}$ protons. In the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra signals corresponding to the triflate, hexafluorophosphate and tetrafluoroborate counterions are clearly visible at $\delta 77.3,72.9,151.4$, respectively. The mass spectra for $\mathbf{9 a}$ and $\mathbf{9 b}$ show peaks corresponding to the cationic unit while for $\mathbf{9 c}$, the fragmentation of an acetonitrile molecule also evident to give an $\mathbf{L 4 P d}$ ion.

### 2.3.6. Comparison of NH chemical shifts for 2, 4-7

In an attempt to correlate the NH chemical shift found in L2-containing 2 and L4containing 4-7, with the $\mathrm{NH} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interaction found in the solid state, Table 2.14 lists the corresponding data.

Table 2.14. Solution state versus solid state hydrogen-bonding properties

| Complex | NH chemical shift (in ppm) in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{NH} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interaction in solid state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2a | 4.58 | NH $\cdots$ (phenolate) |
| 2b | 5.98 | NH $\cdots$ (phenolate) |
| 4a | 8.72 | $\mathrm{NH} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ (acetate) |
| 4b | 6.41 | NH (no observable H-bonding interactions) |
| 4c | 6.05 | NH (no observable H-bonding interactions) |
| 5a | 10.38 | $\mathrm{NH} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ (pyridonate) |
| 5b | 9.53 | NH $\cdots$ O(6-methylpyridonate) |
| 5c | 9.05 | $\mathrm{NH} \cdots \mathrm{O}(6$-chloropyridonate) |
| 5d | 9.27 | NH $\cdots$ O(6-fluoropyridonate) |
| 6a | 6.41 | NH (no apparent H -bonding interactions) |
| 7 a | 8.20 | NH $\cdots \mathrm{O}$ (2-iodobenzoate) |
| 7b | 7.57 | $\mathrm{NH} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ (trifluoroacetate) |
| 7c | 6.26 | NH $\cdots$ (triflate) |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at room temperature

Inspection of the data reveals that the NH proton can appear anywhere between 6.4 and 10.4 ppm . At the lower end of the range complexes containing no apparent $\mathrm{NH} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonding interactions ( $\mathbf{4 b}, \mathbf{4 c}, \mathbf{6 a}$ ), while at the top end of the range the pyridonate complexes $(\mathbf{5 a}-\mathbf{5 d})$ are found with the parent pyridonate $\mathbf{5 a}$ showing the most downfield chemical shift ( 10.38 ppm ). No obvious trend relating to the electronic properties of the ortho-substituent within the pyridonate series can be identified. Within the carboxylate series $(\mathbf{4 a}, 7 \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{7 b})$, the NH resonance for the parent acetate $(\mathbf{4 a})$, is seen the most downfield ( 8.72 ppm ) while for the trifluoactate derivative the most upfield ( 7.57 ppm ).

### 2.4. Conclusions

In summary, routes to four types of sterically bulky ONN pro-ligands, HL1, HL2, HL3 and HL4, differing in the nature of the exterior nitrogen donor [imine (HL1 and HL3) and amine (HL2 and HL4) and groups attached to this donor, have been developed. Deprotonation of the OH group in each pro-ligand in the presence of palladium(II) acetate proceeds smoothly leading to discrete (ONN) $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})$ pincer complexes (1a, 3a) using

HL1 and HL3, while for HL2 and HL4 the amine-NH moieties (2a, 4a) within the ONNligand help generate inter- or intra-molecular hydrogen-bonding interactions with acceptor atoms in neighbouring molecules (phenolate-O) or co-ligands leading to self-dimerisation or complex-ligand/solvent interactions. It is apparent that by the introduction of methyl groups near the NH group (with L4) inhibits self-dimerisation leading to mainly intramolecular type hydrogen bond interactions. Complex 4a has provided a versatile starting material leading to the substitution of the acetate group for halides, pyridonates, carboxylates and triflates that have in turn revealed a range of types of NH $\cdots \mathrm{O}$ type interactions in which an O -atom is present within the X -donor. Furthermore, the attempted salt elimination reaction of $\mathbf{4 a}$ with silver triflate led unexpectedly to the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Ag}$ cluster $\mathbf{8}$. Attempts at trying to correlate the degree of hydrogen bonding with NH chemical shift in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra have been made.
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## Chapter 3

## Dianionic ONO'-palladium pincer complexes and their reactivity towards pyridines, pyridones and acids

### 3.1. Introduction: Pyridine-based palladium pincers

A wide variety of carbon-based pincer complexes of the DCD-type ( $\mathrm{D}=$ neutral donor atom) have been reported and used extensively in catalysis due to their cost effective synthesis and ease of preparation. Recently, pincer ligands incorporating a neutral central donor such as a pyridine nitrogen have emerged in the literature and their resultant complexes have gained growing interest due to their applications in catalysis and in the synthesis of new types of complexes. These so-called pyridine-based pincers have also proved amenable to the introduction of different functional moieties so as to potentially influence steric and electronic properties of the overall complex (Fig 3.1). ${ }^{1}$


Figure 3.1. Pyridine-based DND type pincer complexes

In addition, the use of D-type coordinating atoms capable of acting as good proton donors and acceptors are highly desirable in metal complexes due to their potential in proton transfer reactions. Moreover, their presence in a metal complex can induce non-covalent interactions such as hydrogen bonding between reactants/substrates and the metal complex. These non-covalent interactions can lead to the formation of supra-molecular networks or complex-substrate interactions. ${ }^{2,3}$

### 3.1.2. ONO-type pincers and their potential

Due to the various properties of an oxygen donor atom (see below), symmetrical ONO or unsymmetrical ONO'-type pincer ligands are highly desirable for complexation with late transition metals (Fig. 3.2). Although there is genuine potential of ONO/ONO' palladium pincer complexes in catalysis, only a few complexes have been synthesised and screened.

Palladium complexes incorporating pyridine as the central donor type have commonly been reported with $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ or S at the exterior donor atoms. As oxygen is a hard donor and according to the HSAB principle, Hard-Hard and Soft-Soft interactions are preferred, PdO bonds would be expected to be less stable. Unsurprisingly, pincer ligands containing a pyridine- N atom as a central donor and an oxygen-based exterior donor atoms are relatively rare. ${ }^{4-11}$ Nevertheless the capacity of oxygen donor arms to be labile when bound to palladium (with the pyridine unit remaining bound) or to act as acceptor atoms for hydrogen bond donors makes them an attractive target.


Figure 3.2. Pyridine-based ONO type pincer complexes of late transition metals

Furthermore, the exterior oxygen donor atoms (e.g., $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{OH}$ or -OR ( $\mathrm{R}=$ hydrocarbyl)), offer vast potential for tuning the electronic properties of the pincer complex. For example, both electronic and steric properties can be tuned by varying groups on the methylene carbon adjacent to the oxygen atom. Hence oxygen donor groups due to their tremendous capacity to impart many valuable properties, are highly sought after in pincertype chemistry. For OH-type exterior donors, their deprotonation when part of a complex could lead to non-covalent interactions such as hydrogen-bonding to substrates which may result in lower activation barriers for the proposed transformation. Additionally, if suitably tuned electronically these ONO-M complexes could act as a proton acceptor (internal base) by playing same role as an acetate in palladium-acetate catalysed C-H activation reactions. ${ }^{12-14}$ Hence ONO-type complexes have the capacity to act as functional ligands.

Hence, the hydroxy group due to its attractive properties is a highly desirable feature for incorporation into a ligand scaffold. With regard to hydrogen bonding, the OH group can be used to stabilise certain structures or orientations, increase water stability and undergo acid-base reactions to impart pH -switchability to a ligand or complex. Furthermore, the

OH group when deprotonated can act as strong donor to a metal centre and can act as a neutral OH donor it its own right in some complexes. ${ }^{15}$

### 3.1.3. Applications of ONO-pincer complexes

In the context of C-H activation, directing groups can bind to a metal centre to bring a desired CH activation site in close proximity to the metal centre to initiate CH activation with the assistance of base to abstract a proton. This is a robust approach with high reactivity and regioselectivity due to pre-coordination of the directing group to the metal centre. ${ }^{16-20}$ However, attaching a directing group and removal from the desired product can be a labour intensive process. ${ }^{21,22}$

A new approach involving bifunctional catalysts is starting to gain attention as an alternative to traditional directing group assisted CH activation. Functionalised pincer complexes are highly desirable which can assist a metal centre under mild conditions and without the need of a directing group. ${ }^{23}$ One approach is the participation of the pincer ligand with an incoming substrate via non-covalent interactions such as hydrogen bonding thus providing assistance to the metal centre to carry out the CH activation. In addition, if the pincer ligand has an electronegative donor it could act as internal base to abstract a proton. The conceptual representation of this approach is shown in Fig 3.3.



Figure 3.3. Conceptual bifunctional pincer-assisted CH activation and, for comparative purposes, traditional directing group assisted CH activation. ${ }^{24}$

Palladium catalysis has gained interest due to its use in hetero-atom directed CH functionalisation. ${ }^{20,}{ }^{25}, 26$ Usually, these reactions are carried out with the help of expensive and environmentally non-benign oxidants such as N -halosuccinamides, N fluoroammonium and $N$-fluoropyridinenium salts, $\mathrm{PhIX}_{2}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{OOCR}, \mathrm{Cl})$, peroxosulphates and alkylperoxides. ${ }^{20,27-29}$

Recently, ONO-type pincer complexes have gained attention due to their capacity to perform chemically challenging transformations using air or oxygen as 'green' oxidants (Scheme 3.1). ${ }^{13}$


Scheme 3.1. Use of a ONO-palladium pincer in the acetoxylation of 8-methylquinoline

In this example acetoxylation of 8 -methylquinoline can be readily achieved using a palladium(II) catalyst with air as the oxidant (Scheme 3.1). The active palladium(II) species contains a ONO-dicarboxylate pincer ligand that can act as an internal base to abstract a proton from the substrate. ${ }^{14}$

In this chapter the proposed idea is to build a library of pyridine-based ONO' unsymmetric pincer ligands with a phenoxide and an alkoxide as the exterior donor atoms. It is worthy of note that the complexation of symmetrical ONO ligands with palladium(II) as the metal centre is relatively scarce while unsymmetrical systems to the knowledge of the author have not been reported.

### 3.2. Aims and Objectives

From inspection of the literature, it is clear that ONO-type pincer complexes with palladium are rare, but nevertheless have desirable performance characteristics in catalytic processes. ${ }^{11,30-33} \mathrm{~A}$ few examples of symmetrical pyridine-based dicaboxylate $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes have emerged as efficient catalysts in challenging reactions (Scheme 3.1). ${ }^{13}$ Therefore, it is of interest to target pyridine-based pincer complexes with oxygen donor arms to explore the properties imparted by this complex as a whole.



L5c


L5b


L5d



Figure 3.4. Proposed unsymmetrical ONO' ligands, L5a - L5d, and their capacity to chelate to Pd and act as hydrogen bond acceptors

The first objective of chapter 3 is to modify the ONN ligand skeleton described in chapter 2 to synthesise pyridine-based unsymmetrical ONO' dianionic ligands (L5) that contain a tertiary alkoxide arm in addition to a phenolate arm (Fig. 3.4.). To explore the effect of steric and electronic effects, the substitution pattern at the 3- and 5-positions of the phenolate unit were modified to include aryl (L5a and $\mathbf{L 5 b}$ ) and alkyl/H groups (L5c and L5d). In addition, the substituents on the alkoxide carbon were varied (L5a and L5b). Secondly, the complexation reactions with palladium(II) acetate were explored as a means to establish the viability of $\mathbf{L 5}$ as a dianionic ligand and to investigate if the changes in steric and electronic properties influence structural type. Thirdly, the reactivity of the resultant palladium complexes towards ligand exchange reactions were explored. As an overall objective, the influence of having inequivalent hard oxygen donors on complex formation was studied, e.g., in hydrogen bonding, proton transfer etc. In addition, the potential of the oxygen donor arms to act as potential hydrogen bond acceptors or serve as an internal base in the complex was probed.

### 3.3 Results and discussion

### 3.2.1. Preparation of pro-ligands HL5a, HL5b, HL5c and HL5d

The 2-(3-biphenyl-2-ol)-6-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)-pyridine, $\quad 2-\left(3-\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{8}-2-\mathrm{OH}\right)-6-$ $\left(\mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right) \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 a}\right)$ was prepared in two steps by the Suzuki cross coupling reaction of 2-phenylphenol-boronic acid with 2-bromo-6-acetyl pyridine to form 2-(3-biphenyl-2-ol)-6-acetylpyridine, which on alkylation with $\mathrm{AlMe}_{3}$ and subsequent hydrolysis gives $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 a}$ in good overall yield (Scheme 3.2). A modified approach had to
be used to prepare 2-(3- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{8}-2-\mathrm{OH}\right)-6-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right) \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 b}\right)$ involving protectiondeprotection steps as the cross coupling of 2-phenylphenol-boronic acid with 2-bromo-6formylpyridine gave only low yields of 2-(3-biphenyl-2-ol)-6-formylpyridine. Using the anisole boronic acid in place gave high yields of 2-(3-biphenyl-2-OMe)-6-formylpyridine which could be readily deprotected with $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$. Reduction of the aldehyde unit in 2-(3-biphenyl-2-ol)-6-formylpyridine with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ then gave $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 b}$ almost quantitatively (Scheme 3.3).


Scheme 3.2. Synthetic route to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 a}$


Scheme 3.3. Synthetic route to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 b}$

The other two pro-ligands, 2-(5- $\left.\mathrm{RC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}-2-\mathrm{OH}\right)-6-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right) \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5}\right.$; $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{5 d}$ ), were prepared in good yield based on the route given for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 a}$ (Fig. 3.5).



Figure 3.5. Pro-ligands $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 c}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ L5d

The four new compounds, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5} \mathbf{a}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 b}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5} \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 d}$, have been characterised using a combination of mass spectrometry (electrospray, high resolution), ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopy (see experimental section). As expected, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L} 5 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L} 5 \mathbf{b}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5} \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 d}$ all show downfield signals in their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra for the phenol proton (range: $10-15 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) due to intramolecular hydrogen bonding with the neighbouring pyridine nitrogen. The tertiary alcohol protons in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 a}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 c}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 d}$ could be seen more upfield in the range $3.1-3.8 \mathrm{ppm}$, while the primary alcohol proton in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 b}$ was visible at 3.5 ppm as broad singlet. Protonated molecular peaks were observed in the positive electrospray mass spectra for all four pro-ligands.

### 3.2.2. Preparation of $[\mathrm{L} 5 \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{bPd}]_{2}(10 \mathrm{a} / 10 \mathrm{~b})$ and $[\mathrm{L5c} / \mathrm{dPd}]_{4}(11 \mathrm{a} / 11 \mathrm{~b})$

Reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 a}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 b}$ with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ at room temperature in toluene gave, on work up, the bimetallic complexes $[\mathbf{L 5 a P d}]_{2}(\mathbf{1 0 a})$ and $[\mathbf{L 5 b P d}]_{2}(\mathbf{1 0 b})$ in excellent yield (Scheme 3.4). By contrast the reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 c}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L} 5 d$ with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ gave the tetrametallic complexes $\left[\mathbf{L L 5 P P}^{2}\right]_{4}(\mathbf{1 1 a})$ and $[\mathbf{L 5 d P d}]_{4}(\mathbf{1 1 b})$. All four complexes are air stable and were characterised using FAB mass spectrometry, NMR and IR spectroscopy as well as by elemental analysis. X-ray diffraction studies have been performed on single crystals of 10a, 11a and 11b.




Scheme 3.4. Reactivity of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 a}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L} 5 d$ towards palladium(II) acetate

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra for $\mathbf{1 0 a}, \mathbf{1 0 b}, \mathbf{1 1 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 1 b}$ reveal the absence of any phenolic or alkoxy protons highlighting full consumption of the corresponding ligand. In addition no acetate methyl signals were observable implying the acetate ligands have been displaced. In 10a, 11a and 11b, the $\mathrm{CMe}_{2}$ units were seen as singlet resonances. The electrospray mass spectra shows molecular ion peaks for all four complexes.

Single crystals of 10a, suitable for a X-ray determination, were grown by slow evaporation of a toluene solution. The molecular structure is shown in Fig. 3.6; selected bond lengths and bond angles are collected in Table 3.1. The structure of 10a consists of two palladium centres bound by tridentate L5a with the alkoxide oxygen acting as bridge between the two palladium centres. The solid state structure reveals slightly distorted square planar palladium(II) centres. The pyridine nitrogen to palladium centre distance is shortest $(\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1) 1.946(4) \AA)$ and the alkoxide oxygen to palladium the longest $(\operatorname{Pd}(1)-$ $\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~A}) 2.049(3) \AA$ ). There are both 5 -and 6 -membered chelate rings formed with the tridentate ligand with the bite for the six-membered ring more suitable for the square planar requirement of the palladium(II) centre $\left[\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)_{6 \text {-membered }} 94.42(16)^{\circ}\right.$ vs.
$\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)_{5 \text {-membered }} 82.00(16)^{\circ}$. Some twisting of the phenolate unit with respect to the pyridyl plane is apparent [tors. $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1) 6.0(10) 27.9(8)^{\circ}$ and is slightly more pronounced than in similar ONN type palladium(II) pincer complexes. ${ }^{34}$ The palladium-palladium separation is $2.9729(10) \AA$ which is at the top end of the range for previously reported covalently bridged palladium(II) dimers (2.55-3.05 Å). ${ }^{35-40}$ Recently, computational studies carried out on palladium (II) dimers ( $\mathrm{Pd} \cdots \mathrm{Pd} 2.55-3.05 \mathrm{~A}$ ) showed $d^{8}-d^{8}$ interactions between palladium atoms but are orthogonal to square plane of palladium centers. ${ }^{41}$


Figure 3.6. Molecular structure of 10a including a partial atom numbering scheme. All hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Table 3.1. Selected bond distances $\left(\AA\right.$ ) and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for 10a
Bond lengths

| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.971(3)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.988(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1.946(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | $2.049(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | $2.9729(10)$ |

## Bond angles

$\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$
94.42(16)
$\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~A})$
98.59(14)
$\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$
$\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$
176.12(14)

The molecular structures of 11a and 11b are shown in Figs. 3.7 and 3.8; selective bond distances and angles are collected in Table 3.2. As the structures of both 11a and 11b are closely related they will be discussed together. The structures consist of four palladium centres bound by four ligands held together by bridging alkoxides. In both, the PdO (bridging) is longest of four metal-ligand bonds 11a [ $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~A}) 2.034(3)]$ and 11b $[\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~A}) 2.041(3) \AA]$. Phenolic ring is tilted [tors. $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{N}(1) \cdot 20.8(7) \mathbf{1 1 a}$, $\left.22.2(6)_{11 b}\right]$ with respect to the pyridine plane thus making square planar geometry slightly distorted. There are no non-covalent interactions within or with any other molecule.


Figure 3.7. Molecular structure of 11a including a partial atom numbering scheme. All hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity


Figure 3.8. Molecular structure of 11b including a partial atom numbering scheme. All hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity

Table 3.2. Selected bond lengths [ $\AA$ ] and bond angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] of 11a and 11b Selected bond lengths
11a 11b

| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.958(3)$ | $1.973(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1.960(4)$ | $1.963(4)$ |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.984(3)$ | $1.976(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | $2.034(3)$ | $2.041(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\operatorname{Pd}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | $2.034(3)$ | $2.039(3)$ |
|  | Selected bond angles |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $95.04(17)$ | $94.51(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $177.56(15)$ | $177.25(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $83.44(16)$ | $83.04(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2) \# 1$ | $88.86(15)$ | $91.91(12$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2) \# 1$ | $175.12(17)$ | $173.25(13)$ |

It is interesting to note that by removing the phenyl ring from the phenolate arm of the NNO ligand in L5a leads to the formation of tetramers (11a and 11b), instead of dimers (10a and 10b). It is likely that the steric properties of phenyl group are responsible for this structural difference.

Inspection of the literature reveals only a few examples of palladium(II) complexes containing ONO-type ligands. ${ }^{42,43}$ This scarcity is likely due to the mis-match of hard exterior oxygen donor atoms with soft metal. It may be noted that past attempts to bind pyridine based tridentate symmetrical phenoxide or alkoxide were not successful. ${ }^{44}$

### 3.2.3. Reactivity of 10 a towards pyridines

Surprisingly dimeric $[\mathbf{L 5 a P d}]_{2}$ (10a) proved stable at room temperature and did not undergo bridge cleavage reactions in acetonitrile even at elevated temperatures. In order to force the breaking of the alkoxy- bridged dimer, stronger donors such as pyridine, 3,5dimethylpyridine and 3,5-dichloropyridine were attempted.

It was found that the alkoxide-bridging in 10a could only be broken by heating it with pyridine in acetonitrile to give mononuclear $\mathbf{L 5 a P d}\left(\mathrm{NC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ (12a) (Scheme 3.5). Pyridine-bound complex 12a can also be formed via a one-pot synthesis by stirring $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L} 5 \mathbf{a}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ and pyridine in toluene at room temperature. Similarly, $\mathbf{L 5 a P d}\left(\mathrm{NC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{3}-\right.$ $\left.3,5-\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)(\mathbf{1 2 b})$ can be prepared by using a one-pot procedure from $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ and $3,5-$ dichloropyridine. It should be noted that 3,5-dichloropyridine ligand in $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ can be replaced by the stronger pyridine ligand to obtain 12a by ligand exchange (Scheme 3.6).

Notably, $\mathbf{L 5} \mathbf{5 P d}\left(\mathrm{NC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{3}-3,5-\mathrm{Me}_{2}\right)(\mathbf{1 2 c})$ which has 3,5-dimethylpyridine as the N -bound monodentate ligand can only be prepared by using dimeric 10a as the starting material. An attempt to make 12c using a one-pot synthesis from $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ gave no reaction with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 a}$ but instead formed trans-[ $\left.\mathrm{Pd}(3,5 \text {-dimethylpyridine })_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$.

Complexes 12a, 12b and 12c are air stable and have been characterised using a combination of FAB mass spectrometry, IR, and NMR ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ) spectroscopy (see experimental section). In addition, crystals of 12a and 12b were the subject of single crystal X-ray diffraction studies. The structure of trans- $[\operatorname{Pd}(3,5-$ dimethylpyridine $)_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ] is presented in the Appendix)





10a



Scheme 3.5. Synthetic routes to 12a, 12b and 12c

The molecular structure of complexes 12a and 12b are shown in Figures 3.7 - 3.8; selected bond distances and angles are compiled in Table 3.3 and 3.4. The structures are similar and will be discussed together. Each structure comprises a mononuclear palladium(II) centre bearing dianionic L5a, which adopts a ONO-bonding mode, and an N -bound pyridine (pyridine (12a), 3,5-dichloropyridine (12b)) ligand to complete a geometry best described as square planar. The torsion angle of the pyridine ligand in 12a with respect to the palladium plane is $17.77^{\circ}$ which compares to $19.32^{\circ}$ for the $3,5-$ dichloropyridine in 12b. In both 12a and 12b the longest bond with palladium(II) is with the monodenate pyridine ligand $\left[\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1) 2.030(6)_{12 a}, 2.024(5)_{12 \mathrm{~b}} \AA\right]$ and shortest with the central pyridine from the L5a skeleton $[\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2) 1.956(6) \mathbf{1 2 a}, 1.950(5) \mathbf{1 2 b} \AA]$. In
addition, the bite angles of two chelate rings (5-membered: 83.2(2)-84.7(2) ${ }^{\circ}$ and 6 membered: 93.1(1)-94.4(2) ${ }^{\circ}$ ) formed around the palladium centre leading to distortion from an ideal square planar geometry.

Palladium pincers have not been formed from symmetrical di-alcohol tridentate ligands. An attempt to form palladium pincer with 2,6-bis(1-hydroxy-1-methylethyl)pyridine resulted in alkoxide donors functions of the ligand not taking part in coordination. ${ }^{44}$


Figure 3.7. Molecular structure of 12a including a partial atom numbering scheme. All hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity

Table 3.3. Selected bond distances ( $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for 12a

|  | Bond lengths <br> Molecule A | Molecule B |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.953(5)$ | $1.962(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1.956(6)$ | $1.965(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.970(5)$ | $1.895(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $2.030(6)$ | $2.054(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $1.382(9)$ | $1.416(9)$ |
|  |  |  |
|  | Selected bond angles |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $84.7(2)$ | $84.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $174.8(3)$ | $175.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $94.4(2)$ | $96.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $92.9(2)$ | $90.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $177.2(3)$ | $174.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $87.8(2)$ | $88.4(2)$ |



Figure 3.8. Molecular structure of 12b including a partial atom numbering scheme. All hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity

Table 3.4. Selected bond distances $(\AA$ A $)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for 12b

## Bond lengths

| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.951(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.971(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1.950(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $2.024(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $1.417(7)$ |

## Bond angles

$\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$
83.2(2)
$\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$
175.95(18)
$\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$
93.1(2)
$\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$
93.4(2)
$\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$
176.5(2)
$\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$
90.4(2)

In 12a, 12b and 12c, the two methyl groups appear as 6 H singlets in the region $\delta 1.45-$ 1.87 due to their equivalent environments. In the ESI mass spectra peaks corresponding the molecular ion minus the pyridine unit are evident.

The formation of trans-[ $\left.\operatorname{Pd}(3,5 \text {-dimethylpyridine })_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ over 12c during the one-pot synthesis from $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ was unexpected. It is likely due to the strong donor properties
of 3,5-dimethylpyridine preferring to doubly bind to palladium. The success of this onepot approach with pyridine or 3,5-dichloropyridine lends some support to this donor strength theory.

Given the procedures developed above to make palladium(II) complexes of the type (ONO)PdPy (see 12a, 12b and 12c), it was of interest to see how robust these procedures were for introducing other nitrogen donors and in particular those that can in principle incorporate hydrogen bonding. We reasoned that anionic oxygen donors in the pincer complex would act as excellent hydrogen bond acceptors or even be basic enough to abstract a proton. To realise this goal we have targeted the use of 2-pyridones.

### 3.2.4. Reactivity of 10a towards pyridones

2-Pyridones are important organic compounds known for hydrogen bonding in organic chemistry. Their ability to act as both donor and acceptor atoms for hydrogen bonding is well documented. ${ }^{45-47}$ We were interested in how a pyridone ligand would interact in the presence of an ONO'-chelate which contains, in principle, two possible hydrogen-bond acceptor atoms.

Heating a one-pot mixture of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 a}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Hxhp}(\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl})$ in toluene gave pyridone complexes $\mathbf{L 5 a P d}(\mathrm{Hxhp})(\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{H}(\mathbf{1 3 a}), \mathrm{Cl}(\mathbf{1 3 b}))$ in good yield (Scheme 3.6). Complexes 13a and 13b have been characterised by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ESIMS, FABMS and have both been the subject of single crystal X-ray determinations.

The molecular structures of 13a and 13b are shown in Fig. 3.9 and 3.10; selected bond distances and angles are collected in Table 3.5 and 3.6. Each structure consists of a palladium centre bound by a dianionic L5a ligand and an N -bound neutral pyridinol ligand to complete a distorted square planar geometry. In both 13a and 13b the OH proton of the pyridinol is interacting through hydrogen-bonding (intramolecular in 13a and intermolecular in 13b). The effect of bulky chloride substituent on the pyridone can be seen from the torsion angle between palladium plane and the pyridone i.e., $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-$ $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(21) 22.8^{\circ}(\mathbf{1 3 a}), 85.7^{\circ}(\mathbf{1 3 b})$. Thus in 13a the pyridinol prefers a more in-plane conformation so as to facilitate $\mathrm{OH} \cdots$ alkoxide hydrogen bonding oxygen. On other hand the large torsional angle (85.7) of 6-chloro-2-pyridinol with palladium square plane
prevents the pyridinol OH undergoing intramolecular interactions in 13b. The distance between the alkoxide oxygen and the pyridinol oxygen involved in the intramolecular hydrogen bond interactions [2.480 $\AA$ (13a)] and [2.448 $\AA . .13 b]$ for corresponding alkoxide oxygen and pyridone of other molecule of $\mathbf{1 3 b}$. These distances are less than the distances between two water molecules ( $\sim 2.800 \AA$ ) which shows stronger hydrogen bonding interactions in 13a and 13b. ${ }^{48}$

As a common feature the OH of the pyridinol is bound to the alkoxide oxygen by hydrogen bonding interactions. The alkoxide oxygen appears to act as a guiding functional group to an incoming substrate or play a role in stabilising complex 13a. It is interesting to note that introduction of chlorine atom at 6-position on pyridine lead to tilting of pyridinol ring by $c a .90^{\circ}$ in the solid state. The X-ray structure of $\mathbf{1 3 b}$ showed hydrogen bonding interactions between the alkoxide oxygen of the palladium-bound tridentate $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 a}$ ligand and the OH of pyridinol of a neighbouring molecule. It is also worth noting that the alkoxide arm is acting as the hydrogen bond acceptor in the ONO pincer complex whereas the phenoxide oxygen has not shown any such interactions due possibly to steric hindrance imparted by the phenyl ring.





Scheme 3.6. Reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ L5a with 2-pyridones Hxhp ( $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}$ ).


Figure 3.8. Molecular structure of 13a including a partial atom numbering scheme. All hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Table 3.5. Selected bond lengths [ $\AA$ ] and bond angles $\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ of 13a
Selected bond lengths
Molecule A Molecule B
$\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$
$\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$
$\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$
$\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$
$\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(21)$
1.972(3) 1.965(3)
$1.961(3) \quad 1.976(3)$
$1.990(3) \quad 1.985(3)$
2.057(3) 2.066(3)
$1.311(5) \quad 1.318(5)$
Selected bond angles
$\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$
$\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$
$\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$
$\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$
83.21(13)
83.59(13)
$\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$
176.34(14) 177.09(13)
93.30(13)
93.64(14)
94.19(13)
93.73(13)
89.24(13)
89.10(13)


Figure 3.9. Molecular structure of 13b including a partial atom numbering scheme. All hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity

Table 3.6. Selected bond lengths $[\AA]$ and bond angles $\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ of 13b
Selected bond lengths
Molecule A Molecule B
$\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$
$\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$
1.974(4) 1.981(4)
1.978(5)
1.952(5)
$\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$
2.001(4)
2.013(4)
$\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$
2.082(5)
2.065(5)
$\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(21)$
1.287(6)
1.334(7)

Selected bond angles
$\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$
82.47(19)
82.07(19)
$\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$
175.75(16)
175.44(16)
$\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$
93.71(19)
93.50(19)
$\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$
90.90(17)
95.01(17)
$\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$
92.84(16)
89.31(17)

### 3.2.5. Attempted preparation of symmetrical ONO-palladium complexes

Given the varied reactivity of unsymmetrical $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L} 5$ towards palladium(II) acetate, it was of interest to compare this reactivity with their symmetrical counterparts namely, 2,2'-(pyridine-2,6-diyl)diphenol ( $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{6}$ ) and 2,2'-(pyridine-2,6-diyl)bis(propan-2-ol) $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L} 7\right)$ (Fig 3.10). Unexpectedly inspection of the various chemical databases revealed no evidence for well-defined products obtained using these two previously reported ligand
systems. To explore their reactivity we treated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L} 6$ or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L} 7$ with palladium(II) acetate under the same conditions as we used with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5}$. Unfortunately no clean products could be isolated. It should be noted that related pyridine diols with palladium have been reported and have been found to coordinate through the pyridine nitrogen with the OH groups pendant (Fig. 3.11). ${ }^{44}$


Figure 3.10. Symmetrical ONO pincer ligands $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 6}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L} 7$


Scheme 3.7. Previously reported reaction of a symmetrical di-alcohol ligand with a palladium(II) salt

It would appear that the hybrid pyridine-based unsymmetrical ligands $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 a}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 d}$ developed in this work are more effectively designed to meet the square planar requirements of palladium(II); their increased flexibility allows more efficient binding and deprotonation.

### 3.2.6. Attempted protonation of $10 a$

To test the proton acceptor capacity of complex 10a, we attempted several reactions with different acids named $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$ and HCl in the presence of pyridine (Scheme 3.8). We were expecting some selectivity of the different oxygen donor groups towards protonation. Unfortunately, it was found that acidification with one equivalent of acid led to protonation of both alkoxide and phenoxide oxygen resulting into decomplexation of ligand from the palladium centre and reformation of free $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 a}$.


Scheme 3.8 Attempted reaction of $\mathbf{1 0 a}$ with HCl or $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$

### 3.2.7 Reaction of 11a with MeI or bromotoluene

Palladium(IV) chemistry is currently a topical area due to the role of such high oxidation state species in catalytic reactions involving $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II}) / \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{IV})$ catalytic cycles. However, due to their instability there are relatively few examples of well-defined $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{IV})$ complexes to explain such reaction pathways. ${ }^{49}$ Recently Vicente has demonstrated the involvement of palladium(IV) chemistry in Heck-type reactions using pyridine-based tridentate pincers (Fig. 3.11). ${ }^{50}$


Figure 3.11. Palladium(IV) pincer complex
To explore the potential for the ONO-Pd species generated in this work, mono-palladium complex 12a was selected to examine its potential to support a palladium(IV) framework. However, it was found that the prolonged reaction of 12a with stoichiometric amounts of methyl iodide or 4-bromotoluene in toluene resulted in decomposition leading to decomplexation of the ligand (Scheme 3.11). We are uncertain as to the mechanism of decomposition.


Scheme 3.11 Attempted reaction of 12a with methyl iodide or bromotoluene

### 3.3. Conclusions

In summary, a series of novel unsymmetrical ONO'-type pro-ligands, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 a}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 b}$, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 c}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 d}$, have been prepared and fully characterised. Double deprotonation of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 a}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 b}$ occurs on reaction with palladium(II) acetate leading to the dipalladium complexes $[\mathbf{L 5 a P d}]_{2}(\mathbf{1 0 a})$ and $[\mathbf{L 5 b P d}]_{2}(\mathbf{1 0 b})$, respectively. With the less sterically bulky, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 c}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 d}$, the tetrameric palladium complexes [L5cPd] (11a) and $[\mathbf{L 5 d P d}]_{4}$ (11b) have been isolated. The X-ray structures of 10a, 11a and 11b reveal the alkoxide moiety in $\mathbf{L 5}$ acts as the bridging ligand in each case. Cleavage of the alkoxide bridges in 10a can be achieved by reaction with the strong donor ligands, pyridine and 3,5-dimethylpyridine, to give $\mathbf{L 5 a P d}\left(\mathrm{NC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)(\mathbf{1 2 a})$ and $\mathbf{L 5 a P d}\left(\mathrm{NC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{3}-3,5-\mathrm{Me}_{2}\right)(\mathbf{1 2 b})$. By contrast, $\mathbf{L 5 a P d}\left(\mathrm{NC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{3}-\right.$ $\left.3,5-\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)(\mathbf{1 2 c})$ could not be isolated using a similar route. However, 12c can be prepared using a one-pot reaction containing $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, 3,5$-dichloropyridine and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5}$ which is also applicable to the synthesis of 12a but not 12b. Neutral pyridone ligands have also been amenable to a one-pot synthetic approach yielding the pyridinol complexes L5aPd(Hxhp) $(\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{H}(13 a), \mathrm{Cl}(\mathbf{1 3 b}))$. Notably the X-ray structures of 13a and 13b reveal the pyridinol OH to serve as hydrogen bond donor to an alkoxide-oxygen acceptor either intra- or intermolecularly, respectively. Attempted protonation of 10a led to decomplexation of the proligand while attempted oxidative addition reactions of 12a with methyl iodide or bromotoluene resulted in decomposition.
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## Chapter 4

## Cyclopalladated 6-phenyl-2-pyridone complexes: synthesis, reactivity and C-H halogenation

## Chapter 4 <br> Cyclopalladated 6-phenyl-2-pyridone complexes: synthesis, reactivity and C -H halogenation

### 4.1 Introduction

### 4.1.1 Importance of $\mathbf{C H}$ activation

CH activation has been an area of intense research over the last two decades due, in part, to the broad range of applications and huge availability of hydrocarbon feedstocks. ${ }^{1-4}$ With regard to applications, it can present a key step in synthesis such as in coupling reactions in which more complex molecules can be readily generated. In addition, CH activation is a vital step in catalysis and functionalisation of aryl motifs. ${ }^{5-7}$

Bi-aryl compounds represent an important class of organic compounds as they form part of the molecular structure of many pharmaceuticals and agro-chemicals compounds. ${ }^{8}$ Therefore, efficient aryl-aryl coupling strategies based on organometallic chemistry have been given high priority. Traditionally coupling reactions were developed using stoichiometric amounts of transition metal reagents. Later highly efficient coupling reactions were developed that typically make use of an aryl halide and an arylorganometallic compound in the presence of a suitable catalyst (Scheme 4.1). ${ }^{9}$


$$
\begin{array}{ll}
X=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{I}, & \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Sn}, \\
\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{OTf} & \mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{Mg}
\end{array}
$$

Scheme 4.1. Synthesis of biphenyl using various coupling precursors

A greener approach is proposed by considering the CH unit as a functional group rather than functionalising it with other active groups (e.g., halides or triflate). Therefore, CH activation and subsequent functionalisation under moderate conditions represents a desirable process.

### 4.1.2 Types of $\mathbf{C H}$ activation

There are several CH activations mechanisms known and these have been thoroughly studied. The main mechanisms which are well understood are 1) oxidative addition, 2) sigma bond metathesis, 3) 1,2-addition, 4) electrophilic CH activation, 5) Ambiphilic Metal Ligand Activation (AMLA) or Concerted Metalation-Deprotonation (CMD).

### 4.1.2.1 Oxidative addition

As the name oxidative addition suggests the metal centre ends up being oxidised by +2 units. ${ }^{10}$ Electron rich metal atoms with vacant coordination sites undergo oxidative addition resulting in metal hydride and metal carbon bonds (Scheme 4.2).


Scheme 4.2. Oxidative addition of alkyl/aryl-hydrogen bond to the metal atom. ${ }^{3}$

The oxidation addition of aryl-hydrogen and alkyl-hydrogen bonds to $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{dmpe})_{2}$ was reported first in 1965 by Chatt and Davison (Scheme 4.3). ${ }^{11}$




Scheme 4.3. Oxidative addition of $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{sp}^{3} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds on a metal centre. ${ }^{11}$

### 4.1.2.2 Sigma bond metathesis (SBM)

In the Sigma bond metathesis CH activation mechanism two sigma bonds are broken and two new sigma bonds are formed. The reaction occurs via a four membered transition state (Scheme 4.4) and the metal's oxidation state remains unchanged after the reaction. The early transition metals with no $d$ electrons, that are unable to undergo oxidative addition, usually proceed via a sigma bond metathesis. ${ }^{12-14}$


Scheme 4.4. Sigma bond metathesis (SBM). ${ }^{3}$

Watson reported the first example of sigma bond metathesis when a methyl ligand in $\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right)_{2} \mathrm{LuMe}$ was replaced by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ using ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-labelled $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ (Scheme 4.5). ${ }^{15}$


Scheme 4.5. Sigma bond metathesis of $(\mathrm{Cp} *)_{2} \mathrm{LuMe}$ with ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CH}_{4} .{ }^{15}$

### 4.1.2.3 1,2-Addition

In the 1,2-addition mechanism the C - H bond is added to a metal-ligand in similar manner to that of sigma bond metathesis but involves $\pi$-electrons instead of $\sigma$-electrons (Scheme 4.6).


Scheme 4.6. CH activation via a 1,2 -addition mechanism

Periana et al. in 2005 reported CH activation of benzene via 1,2-addition using the iridium acac complex $\mathbf{A}$ shown in Scheme 4.7. The reaction involved dissociation of a methanol molecule and coordination of benzene ring to the complex. In the CH activation step a four membered transition state is formed with the benzene- CH partially bound to the OMe ligand. The resulting methanol ligand then dissociates after abstracting a benzene proton and neutral donor pyridine coordinates at the vacant site to give $\mathbf{B}$. ${ }^{16}$


Scheme 4.7. 1,2-Addition in CH-activation of benzene. ${ }^{16}$

### 4.1.2.4 Electrophilic CH activation

In electrophilic CH activation, the metal atom is electrophilic and reacts with an electron rich carbon atom followed by the removal of an H atom (Scheme 4.8).

$$
L_{n} M^{x+2} X_{2}+R-H \longrightarrow[L n M x]+R-X+H-X
$$

Step 1


Step 2

$$
\left[L_{n} M^{x+2}(R)(X)\right] \longrightarrow\left[L_{n} M x\right]+R-X
$$

Scheme 4.8. Electrophilic CH activation mechanism ${ }^{3}$

Shilov first reported the exchange of a methane hydrogen by $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{H}$ in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in a solution of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{PtCl}_{4} .{ }^{17}$ The following research led to the oxidation of methane to methanol also known as Shilov chemistry (Scheme 4.9). ${ }^{18}$

$$
\mathrm{CH}_{4}+\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{6}\right]^{2-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \xrightarrow{\substack{\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{4}\right]^{2-} \\ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}} \xrightarrow{120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}+\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{4}\right]^{2-}+2 \mathrm{HCl}
$$

Scheme 4.9. Shilov's methane electrophilic oxidation to form methanol ${ }^{18}$

The requirement for a stoichiometric amount of an expensive $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ oxidant to allow the reaction to take place makes this approach less commercially viable.

### 4.1.2.5 Ambiphilic Metal Ligand Activation (AMLA/CMD)

The term Ambiphilic Metal Ligand Activation (AMLA) was used first coined by Davies et al. in 2006, while a similar process referred to as Concerted Metalation Deprotonation (CMD) was introduced by Fagnou in the same year. ${ }^{19,}{ }^{20}$ AMLA or CMD is a CH activation mechanism in which an electrophilic metal centre and an internal base cooperate in a concerted fashion to form 4- or 6-membered transition states (Fig. 4.1). ${ }^{19}$, ${ }^{21}$ In the case of the 6 -membered transition state, the acetate bound molecule can act as the internal base for the removal of a C-H proton.


AMLA (4)


AMLA (6)

Figure 4.1. Transition states involved in AMLA/CMD CH activation

Originally, the mechanism of CH activation of N,N-dimethylbenzylamine (DMBA) by palladium(II) acetate were investigated by Ryabov (Fig. 4.2). It was found that the rate limiting step involved a six-membered transition state with the pendant oxygen atom of the acetate able to abstract a H atom from the aryl $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond. ${ }^{22,23}$



Figure 4.2. AMLA/CMD type interactions

### 4.1.3 Reactivity of phenyl-pyridines towards $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ and use in CH -halogenation ( Cl vs Br vs F )

The 2-arylpyridine motif is an important building block in the synthesis of complex valuable molecules and the first step usually involves the halogenation of the aryl group (Scheme 4.10). Once halogenated they can be easily transformed to the desired compounds by making use of techniques such as coupling reactions, using Grignard reagents, nucleophilic substitutions or organolithiations. ${ }^{24-30}$ Aryl halogen compounds are also highly sought after in biologically active compounds due to the properties they impart on a molecule. ${ }^{31}$


Scheme 4.10. Palladium catalysed halogenation of 2-phenylpyridine ( $\mathrm{X}=$ halogen)
Due to many uses of halogenated aryls, considerable research has focused on using 2phenylpyridine as a test material for exploring potential CH activation and halogenation strategies (Scheme 4.11). ${ }^{32-34}$ The proposed mechanism involves the abstraction of a proton by an internal base followed by electrophilic addition of halogen forcing palladium into a higher oxidation state. The resulting octahedral palladium(IV) undergoes reductive elimination to form the halogenated product. ${ }^{34}$ Transition metal catalysed halogenation is highly desirable due to many advantages such as less harsh conditions and more functional group tolerance. ${ }^{32,35,36}$


Scheme 4.11. Proposed mechanism of electrophilic halogenation of phenylpyridine. ${ }^{35}$

Using palladium(II) acetate as catalyst and an oxidising halogenating source such as NBS or NCS, many examples of ortho halogenation of phenylpyridines have been reported. ${ }^{32,}$ ${ }^{35}, 37$ Most of them have proposed a $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II}) / \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{IV})$ catalytic cycle involved in the transformation.

Late stage aryl fluorination is highly sought after due to the use of such fluorinated compounds in pharmaceuticals and agrochemicals. ${ }^{38,39}$ However it is relatively scarce due to its challenging nature. Fluorination of phenylpyridine using palladium(II) acetate as catalyst and selectfluor as oxidising agent has not so far been reported. However using N-fluorobenzenesulfonimide (NFSI) as an electrophilic fluorine source, ligand directed fluorination using palladium acetate catalyst has been reported leading to orthofluorination of an aryl group (Scheme 4.12). ${ }^{40}$


Scheme 4.12. Palladium(II) acetate catalysed fluorination using NFSI as fluorinating reagent

### 4.1.4 2-Pyridones and their reactivity with platinum group metals

2-Pyridones are important motifs in numerous biological systems, natural products dyes and as fluorescent materials due to their broad range of properties. ${ }^{41-43}$ The characteristic structure of 2-pyridones is ideal to exhibit features such as hydrogen bonding, tautomerism, acid-base reactions (to impart pH switchibility) and water solubility (Fig. 4.3). ${ }^{44}$ Indeed 2-pyridone can also exist in the 2-pyridinol tautomeric form and various factors have been shown to influence which form is preferred.


Figure 4.3. Tautomerism and hydrogen-bonded assembly in 2-pyridones

Substituted 2-pyridones have therapeutic value but have been less studied due, in part, to the difficulty in accessing them chemically. ${ }^{45}$ In particular, aryl-substituted pyridones have found use in many therapeutically challenging fields such as anticancer agents. For example, 1-methyl-7-phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-1,6-naphthyridin-5-one has shown promising results in the inhibition of tankyrases (Fig. 4.4a). ${ }^{46}$ Aryl-substituted pyridones have also shown antiviral activity against HIV (Fig. 4.4b) and are likely to be further investigated in other challenging therapeutic applications. ${ }^{46}$

(a)

(b)

Figure 4.4. (a) 1-Methyl-7-phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-1,6-naphthyridin- 5-one and (b) antiviral agent against $\mathrm{HIV}^{46}$

Surprisingly, the 6-phenyl-2-pyridone $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}\right)$ member of the 2-pyridone family has been the subject of relatively few reports associated with C-H activation of the aryl group (Fig. 4.5). It is plausible that the presence of the oxygen group at the 2-position may have been considered as detrimental to the coordination of the N -directing group during the metalmediated CH transformation. Indeed, previous findings for the reactions of first row transition metals with 2-pyridones have seen the formation of products involving metaloxygen bond formation. ${ }^{47}$ Nevertheless there have been some reports that will be highlighted in the next section.


Figure 4.5. Tautomerism in 6-phenyl-2-pyridone ( $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ )

Complexation reactions of simple 2-pyridones with platinum group metals have been thoroughly studied. ${ }^{47-49}$ Many examples are known in which deprotonation occurs and the resulting 2-pyridonate ligand can bridge across metal centres or act as a chelating ligand via the nitrogen and the oxygen donor atoms (Fig. 4.6(a)-(c)). ${ }^{50}$ For example, Flood et al.
have reported a $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$-chelated pyridonate osmium complex that under suitable conditions can undergo mer-fac isomerization of the $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ ligands (Fig. 4.6(b)) whilst maintaining the N,O-chelation of the pyridonate (Figure 4.6). ${ }^{51}$



(b)

(c)

Figure 4.6. Monoanionic 2-pyridonate acting (a) as a NO-bridging ligand with osmium. ${ }^{50}$ (b) as chelating ligand with osmium and ${ }^{51}$ (c) as a NO-bridging ligand with palladium. ${ }^{52}$

On the other hand, complexation of 2-pyridone does not necessarily result in deprotonation. For example, a Cp*Ir (Fig. 4.7) bearing a neutral 2-hydroxypyridine can be readily synthesised and moreover it is an excellent (pre-)catalyst for the oxidant-free oxidation of alcohols. It is considered that the hydroxyl proton on the pyridine ligand helps the generation of the species that is thought to contain a chelated 2-pyridonate. ${ }^{53}$


Figure 4.7. $\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{IrCl}_{2}$ (2-hydroxypyridine) pre-catalyst used in the dehydrogenation of alcohols

By contrast, 6-phenyl-2-pyridone $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}\right)$ has received much less attention with regard to its reactivity towards platinum group metals. ${ }^{52,54,55}$ Fujita et al. have demonstrated that
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ undergoes C-H activation on reaction with an iridium(III) half-sandwich complex (Fig. 4.8(a)). Indeed the cyclometallated iridium species, containing an intact 2-pyridinol unit, is an efficient catalyst for the oxidation of primary and secondary alcohols. ${ }^{55}$ By comparison, it was reported that the phenyl-pyridine iridium analogue showed far less activity for the same transformation (Fig. 4.8(b)). ${ }^{53}$



Figure 4.8. (a) 6-Phenyl-2-pyridone-based $\left[\mathrm{CpIrCl}\left(6-\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)-2-\mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right)\right]$ and its (b) 2phenylpyridine analogue $\left[\mathrm{CpIrCl}\left(6-\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right)\right]$.

### 4.2 Aims and objectives

Given the rarity of reactions of platinum groups metals with 6-phenyl-2-pyridone $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}\right.$ in Fig. 4.5) and the apparent absence of reactivity with palladium(II), the first aim in this chapter is to develop its palladium(II) chemistry with a view to promoting CH activation. In particular the reactivity of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ towards palladium(II) acetate and palladium(II) chloride will be investigated on a stoichiometric level with different relative ratios of proligand to palladium(II). All the resultant complexes will be fully characterised using spectroscopic ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, IR), spectrometric (ESIMS, TOFMS) and single crystal Xray diffraction techniques.

As a second aim of the chapter, the controlled halogenation ( $\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Cl}$ or F ) of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ will be attempted with or without a palladium catalyst using reagents such as NBS, NCS, selectfluor as oxidants. In addition, selected ONN and ONO palladium(II) pincer complexes prepared in chapter 2 and 3 will be explored for their reactivity towards $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$. Furthermore, the selected palladium(II) pincer complexes will also be evaluated in their own right as catalysts in the halogenations of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ and these results compared with those obtained using palladium(II) acetate.

### 4.3 Results and discussion

### 4.3.1 Synthesis of 6-phenyl-2-pyridone ( $\mathbf{H}_{2} \mathrm{LB}$ )

We have found the reported syntheses of 6-phenyl-2-pyridone $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}\right)$, unreliable ${ }^{56-59}$ and instead have used a three step synthesis from 2,6-dibromopyridine that can deliver gram quantities of the target compound (Scheme 4.12).


Scheme 4.12. Three step synthesis of 6-phenyl-2-pyridone ( $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ )
The spectroscopic properties of 6-phenyl-2-pyridone agree with those previously reported. ${ }^{60}$ In addition, its single crystal X-ray structure has been determined.


Figure 4.9a Molecular structure of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 8$ with full atom numbering


Figure 4.9b Hydrogen bonding between two neighbouring molecules

Table 4.1. Selected bond distances ( $\AA$ ) and angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$

|  | Bond length | $1.254(2)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ |  | $1.371(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.378(2)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.483(2)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ |  | $1.360(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ |  | $119.96(15)$ |
|  |  | $124.52(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ |  | $117.19(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $119.09(15)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ |  | $120.21(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ |  |  |

The structure of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ consists of a 2-pyridone heterocycle with a phenyl group positioned at the 6 -position (Fig. 4.9a). Support for the presence of the pyridone form is given by
$\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ bond distance of $1.254(2) \AA$ which is consistent with double bond character. The torsion angle between the pyridone and phenyl ring is $43.5(2)^{\circ}$ which is similar to that seen in phenyl-pyridine. As expected the X-ray structure reveals pairs of molecules linked together through hydrogen bonding interactions with the pyridone NH as the donor and the carbonyl O as acceptor (Fig. 4.9b).

In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at room temperature) of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ the NH peak is seen as a broad resonance at 10.35 ppm while the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ carbon is seen at 164.0 ppm in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum. In the IR spectrum the $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ band is visible at $1739 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ supportive of the presence of the pyridone tautomer at room temperature.

### 4.3.2 Stoichiometric reactions of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 8$ with palladium(II) acetate

Reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ with one molar equivalent of palladium(II) acetate at room temperature gave the acetate-bridged dimer $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{HL8}\right)(\mu-\mathrm{OAc})\right]_{2}(\mathbf{1 4})$ in good yield (Scheme 4.13). Complex $\mathbf{1 4}$ has been characterised spectroscopically and has been the subject of a single crystal X-ray diffraction study.


Scheme 4.13. Synthesis of 14

Crystals of $\mathbf{1 4}$ suitable for the X-ray diffraction study were grown by slow diffusion of petroleum ether into a solution of the complex in dichloromethane. A view of the structure is given in Fig. 4.10; selected bond distances and angles are collected in Table 4.2. The structure of $\mathbf{1 4}$ consists of two palladium centres that are linked together by two acetate ligands with each metal centre bound by a N,C-chelating phenyl-pyridinol. The geometry at each palladium is distorted square planar. The pyridinol form in $\mathbf{1 4}$ is supported by the length of the $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ bond of $1.297(7) \AA$, which is longer than the corresponding bond distance found in free pyridone $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}(1.254(2) \AA$ ). In addition, each pyridinol hydrogen atom is involved in a hydrogen bonding interaction with an acetate oxygen atom. The torsion angle between the pyridine and phenyl ring is significantly reduced (1.9(8) ${ }^{\circ}$ ) as compared to $43.5(2)^{\circ}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ so as to facilitate NC-chelate formation with palladium.

The $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{Pd}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ bite angle formed by the five-membered NC-chelate ring is $81.27(19)^{\circ}$ while the $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{Pd}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ angle is $86.91(14)^{\circ}$, highlighting the distortion from square planar geometry at palladium(II). Similar structural features apparent in $\mathbf{1 4}$ have been observed in acetate-bridged phenyl-pyridine-containing analogues. ${ }^{61}$


Figure 4.10. The molecular structure of $\mathbf{1 4}$ with full atom numbering; H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Table 4.2. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for 14

|  | Bond length | $1.980(5)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(2)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ |  | $2.034(4)$ |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $2.069(3)$ |  |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $1.341(7)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $1.297(7)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ |  |  |
|  |  | $81.27(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{Pd}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | Bond angles | $94.66(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{Pd}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ |  | $175.34(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $177.69(17)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(26)-\mathrm{Pd}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $126.2(5)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ |  |  |

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 4}$ shows a singlet at 2.27 ppm for the acetate methyl groups confirming the symmetry in the palladium dimer. Hydrogen bonding interactions were supported by downfield shift of the OH signal ( 9.63 ppm ). Furthermore, the CH -activated carbon is supported by a downfield ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signal at 184.4 ppm . The palladiumpalladium distance in $\mathbf{1 4}$ of $2.877 \AA$, is notably longer than in the acetate-bridged dipalladium phenylpyridine complex ( $2.555 \AA$ ), ${ }^{36}$ but is significantly smaller than the sum of the van der Waals radii of palladium ( $3.26 \AA$ ).

AMLA/CMD ${ }^{19}$ is a well-established mechanism for CH activation and operates via metal and ligand (internal base) cooperation and is presumed to be occurring in this case (Fig. 4.11). Further hydrogen bonding between the pyridinol OH and the palladium bound acetate oxygen atom supports the possibility of agostic interactions.


Figure 4.11. Possible AMLA-type transition state for the $\mathbf{C H}$ activation of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ by $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$

The reaction of two equivalents of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ in benzene at room temperature with palladium(II) acetate gave $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mu-\mathrm{HLS})\left(\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{HL8}\right)\right]_{2}(\mathbf{1 5})$ in high yield (Scheme 4.14). Complex 15 has been characterised spectroscopically and has been the subject of a single crystal X-ray diffraction study.


Scheme 4.14. Synthesis of $\mathbf{1 5}$

Crystals of $\mathbf{1 5}$ suitable for the X-ray determination were grown by slow diffusion of hexane into a dichloromethane solution of the complex. A view of the structure is shown in Fig. 4.12; selected lengths and angles are compiled in Table 4.3. The structure consists of a square planar palladium dimer bridged by two molecules of 6-phenyl-2-pyridonate. The bridging pyridonates are bound to one palladium by anionic oxygen and to the other by neutral pyridine nitrogen dative bond (Fig 4.3). The CH-activated phenylpyridinols are bound in the pyridinol form and are involved in hydrogen bonding with the oxygen atom of the bridging pyridonate. The torsion angle between the palladium pyridinol plane and bridging phenylpyridonate is $82.7(6)^{\circ}[\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(38)]$. The palladium-
palladium distance is $2.840 \AA$ and is slightly smaller than observed in the acetate-bridged dimer 14 ( $2.877 \AA$ ).


Figure 4.12. Molecular structure of $\mathbf{1 5}$ with full atom numbering; H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Table 4.3. Selected bond distances ( $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $\mathbf{1 5}$

|  | Bond length |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ |  |  |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $2.041(5)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $1.321(8)$ |  |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.298(8)$ |  |
|  |  | $2.159(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ |  | $92.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $82.1(3)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $173.9(2)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $177.69(17)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $90.5(2)$ |  |

The downfield shift of the pyridinol $\mathrm{OH}(12.35 \mathrm{ppm})$ in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 5}$ supports hydrogen bonding between the pyridinol proton and the oxygen bound to the palladium centre. Complex 15 shows a protonated peak in its mass spectrum (ASAP) and no carbonyl $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ peak in its IR spectrum as compared to a $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ peak at 1739 for free $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$.

Interestingly, on standing acetate-bridged 14 in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ solution for several days saw the gradual formation of a new complex $\left[\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mu: \kappa^{2}-\mathbf{L 8}\right)\right] 4$ (16). This reaction could be accelerated by heating the benzene solution resulting in the elimination of acetic acid
(Scheme 4.15). Complex 16 has been characterised spectroscopically and has been the subject of a single crystal X-ray diffraction study.


Scheme 4.15. Synthesis of $\mathbf{1 6}$
Crystals of $\mathbf{1 6}$ suitable for the X-ray determination were grown by slow evaporation of a $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ solution of the complex. A view of the structure is shown in Fig. 4.13; selected bond lengths and angles are compiled in Table 4.4. Complex 16 consists of four palladium centres with four CH activated 6-phenyl-2-pyridonates that bridge via a pyridonate dative nitrogen and an oxygen donor atom. All the phenylpyridonates are ortho-CH-activated and the palladium-palladium distance of $2.6602(12) \AA$ is shorter than their van Waals radii $(3.26 \AA$ ) and also shorter that the corresponding distance found in previously reported dimers. ${ }^{62-67}$ One pair of 6-phenyl-2-pyridonates are almost orthogonal to the other pair $\left[\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{N}(1) 107.8(2)^{\circ}\right]$. In addition each C -coordinated phenyl ring is close to co-planar $\left[\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{N}(1) 1.6(7)^{\circ}\right]$ with respect to the pyridonate ring so as to facilitate efficient coordination with the square planar palladium. The Pd-C bond distance is shorter $\left((1.959(5) \AA)\right.$ than that of an average Pd-C single bond of $2.10 \AA .{ }^{68}$


Figure 4.13. Molecular structure of $\mathbf{1 6}$ with full atom numbering; H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Table 4.4. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for 16

|  | Bond lengths |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ |  | $1.959(5)$ |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $2.017(4)$ |  |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $2.010(4)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.285(6)$ |  |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1) \cdots \operatorname{Pd}(2)$ | $2.6602(12)$ |  |
|  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2) \# 1$ | Bond angles | $93.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $82.3(2)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\operatorname{Pd}(2)$ | $83.23(13)$ |  |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(2)-\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\operatorname{Pd}(2) \# 1$ | $90.00(4)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(2) \# 1-\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $174.72(16)$ |  |

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 6}$ shows no evidence for a downfield shifted NH/OH peak which is in agreement with deprotonation of the pyridone. In addition, the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum confirms the symmetry in the tetrameric structure with a single C-Pd resonance at 171.5 ppm .

There are only a few reported square-based palladium(II) tetramers in the literature. The one which most closely resembles 16 is $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mu \text {-CO) }]_{2}\right.$ (Fig. 4.14). The $\mathrm{Pd} \cdots \mathrm{Pd}$ distance in $16(2.6602(12) \AA)$ is comparable to that found in $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mu-\right.$ $\mathrm{CO})]_{2}(2.663 \AA) .{ }^{69}$


Figure 4.14. Representation of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{CO})\right]_{2} .{ }^{69}$

### 4.3.3 Reactions of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 8$ towards bis(acetonitrile)dichloropalladium(II)

The reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ with $(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ was also carried out with various ratios of ligand to metal; however a $2: 1$ ratio of ligand to metal gave the cleanest product. Hence reaction of $(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ with two equivalents of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ in chloroform at room temperature afforded $\left[\operatorname{PdCl}\left(\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{HLS}\right)\left(\kappa^{1}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}\right)\right]$ (17) in high yield (Scheme 4.16).

Complex 17 has been characterised spectroscopically and has been the subject of a single crystal X-ray diffraction study.


Scheme 4.16. Synthesis of $\mathbf{1 7}$

A view of $\mathbf{1 7}$ is shown in Fig 4.15; selected bond distance and angles are collected in Table 4.5. The structure consists of a single palladium centre bound by a NC-chelating phenylpyridinol, an O-bound phenylpyridone and a chloride ligand to complete a distorted square planar geometry. The aryl CH -activated carbon of the NC-chelating phenylpyridinol is trans to the O-bound phenylpyridone. As expected the two carbon oxygen bond distances in $\mathbf{1 7}$ are significantly different with $\mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{O}(1)$ at $1.273(6) \AA$ corresponding to the O-bound phenylpyridone and $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(12)$ at $1.320(6) \AA$ to the NCchelating phenylpyridinol. No unusual features are noted for the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond length $[2.319(15) \AA]$ which is comparable to the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond in $(\mathrm{Hmp})_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}[2.320 \AA] .{ }^{70}$


Figure 4.15. Molecular structure of $\mathbf{1 7}$ with full numbering scheme

Table 4.5. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for 17

|  | Bond lengths | $1.976(5)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $2.067(4)$ |  |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $2.170(4)$ |  |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $2.319(15)$ |  |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $1.273(6)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.320(6)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.336(6)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ |  | $1.347(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ |  | $81.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ |  | $92.62(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ |  | $93.51(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ |  | $172.22(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ |  | $91.92(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ |  |  |

### 4.3.4 Selective halogenation of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 8$

(a) Bromination of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 8$
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 8$ has proved amenable to selective bromination on the 6-phenyl-2-pyridone skeleton under a range of conditions using stoichiometric amounts of various sources of bromine in the presence or absence of catalyst (Scheme 4.17). Thus, mono-bromination at the pyridone ring with liquid bromine at low temperature affords $6-\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)-3-\mathrm{BrC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}-2-\mathrm{O}$ $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}\right.$-3-Br) and trace quantities of the 5 -substituted isomer $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8} 8-5-\mathrm{Br}\right)$. Under more forcing conditions ( $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) using NBS as the oxidant (2-3 equivalents), dibromination at the 3 - and 5-positions of the pyridone ring occurs to give $6-\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)-3,5-\mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{HN}-2-\mathrm{O}$ ( $\mathrm{HL} 8-3,5-\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ ). On the other hand, when the reaction with NBS ( 3.1 eq .) is performed in acetonitrile at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of palladium acetate(II) ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), tribromination takes place to afford $6-\left(2-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)-3,5-\mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{HN}-2-\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{L} 8-3,5-\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right)$, in which orthobromination of the phenyl ring as well as dibromination of the pyridone ring has taken place. The formation of o-Br-L8-3,5- $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ ) makes use of conditions similar to that employed for the ortho-bromination of phenylpyridine. ${ }^{35}$



Scheme 4.17. Selective bromination reactions of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$

Compounds $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8} 8-3-\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{HL8}-3,5-\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ and o- $\mathrm{Br}-\mathbf{L 8}-3,5-\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ have been characterised by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and IR spectroscopy, mass spectrometry and melting point determinations. In addition all three compounds have been the subject of single crystal X-ray diffraction studies. Each compound, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8} 8-3-\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{HL} 8-3,5-\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ and o-Br-L8-3,5- $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$, adopts the pyridone form which is confirmed by the carbonyl peaks which fall in the range 160.0161.0 ppm in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra. The amine NH peaks come downfield between $9.82-$ 10.19 ppm which suggests strong hydrogen-bonding between molecules.

Crystals of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}-3-\mathrm{Br}$, $\mathrm{HL} 8-3,5-\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ and o-Br-L8-3,5- $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ suitable for the X-ray determinations were grown by slow evaporation of a saturated methanol solution of the corresponding compound. Views of each are shown in Figs. 4.16, 4.17 and 4.18; selected bond lengths and angles are compiled in Tables 4.6 and 4.7. Each structure consists of 2pyridone unit substituted at the 6-position by an aryl group. In $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}-3-\mathrm{Br}$ the 3-position of the 2-pyridone unit is substituted by a bromo group, in HL8-3,5- $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ bromo substituents are present at the 3 and 5 positions, while o-Br-L8-3,5- $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ resembles HL8-3,5- $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ but with a bromo substituent additionally on the ortho-position of the phenyl group. Like $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$, all three brominated structures prefer the pyridone form in the solid state as is evident from the C-O bond lengths [range: $1.241(10)-1.245(6) \AA$ ]. In addition, the
presence of the sterically bulky ortho-substituted bromo group in o-Br-L8 $8-3,5-\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ leads to the greatest torsion angle between the pyridone and phenyl ring at $70.7(8)^{\circ}$.


Figure 4.16. Molecular structure of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}-3-\mathrm{Br}$ with full atom numbering.


Figure 4.17. Molecular structure of HL8-$3,5-\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ with full atom numbering.

Table 4.6. Selected bond distances ( $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}-3-\mathrm{Br}$ and HL8-3,5-

|  | $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}-3-\mathrm{Br}$ |  | Bond lengths |
| $\mathrm{Br}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.837(11)$ |  | $\mathrm{HL8}-3,5-\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{Br}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | - |  | $1.868(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.241(10)$ |  | $1.892(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.377(10)$ |  | $1.242(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.516(14)$ |  | $1.379(12)$ |
|  |  | Bond angles | $1.468(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $119.6(8)$ |  | $119.9(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Br}(1)$ | $116.3(7)$ |  | $117.8(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Br}(2)$ | - | $115.9(7)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $117.9(8)$ | $116.2(8)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $122.6(7)$ |  | $120.2(9)$ |



Figure 4.18. Molecular structure of o-Br-L8-3,5- $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ with full atom numbering.

Table 4.7. Selected bond distances ( $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for o-Br-L8-3,5-Br $r_{2}$

|  | Bond lengths |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\operatorname{Br}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ |  | $1.878(5)$ |
| $\operatorname{Br}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.882(5)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.245(6)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.377(6)$ |  |
| $\operatorname{Br}(3)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.892(5)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ |  | $1.485(7)$ |
|  |  | $119.7(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | Bond angles | $116.5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Br}(1)$ |  | $118.8(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Br}(2)$ | $115.4(5)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $120.4(5)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ |  |  |

The precise mechanism for the tri-bromination of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ to give o-Br- $\mathbf{L 8}-3,5-\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ is uncertain but a possible catalytic cycle is depicted in Scheme 4.18. In (i) both dibromination of the pyridone ring and CH -activation of the phenyl group occur to give a tetrametallic species that resembles 16. This square planar tetramer then undergoes electrophilic bromination in (ii) to form a palladium(IV) complex which then decomposes by reductive elimination (iii) to give o-Br-L $8-3,5-\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ and regenerate the active catalyst. If we compare this proposed mechanism with earlier reported mechanisms (e.g., Scheme 4.11) for the palladium acetate-mediated halogenation of 2-phenylpyridine, ${ }^{34}$ the main difference is the capacity of 6-phenyl-2-pyridonate to act as bridging ligand. This results in halogenation of four molecules in one cycle which may be the possible reason for the higher isolated yields as compared to those reported.


Scheme 4.18 Proposed mechanism for palladium(II)-catalysed tri-bromination of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$
(b) Chlorination of $\mathbf{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 8$

The chlorination of a 2-pyridone ring and at the ortho position of 6 -substituted phenyl group is desirable for synthesis of biological important compunds. ${ }^{71}$ It is observed that using a similar protocol as that described above, chlorination of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ could be achieved by using $N$-chlorosuccinamide (NCS) as oxidant with palladium(II) acetate as catalyst at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to form tri-chlorinated $6-\left(2-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)-3,5-\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{HN}-2-\mathrm{O}$ (o-Cl-L8-3,5-Cl 2$)$ Similarly, dichlorination at the 3- and 5-positions of the pyridone ring occurs to give 6$\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)-3,5-\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{HN}-2-\mathrm{O}$ ( $\mathrm{HL8}-3,5-\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) with NCS as oxidant (Scheme 4.19). Single crystals suitable for an X-ray diffraction study were grown by slow evaporation of a methanol solution. A view of the structure is given in Fig. 4.19; selected bond distances and angles are collected in Table 4.8.



Scheme 4.19. Synthesis of tri-chlorinated o-Cl-L8-3,5-Cl $l_{2}$


Figure 4.19. Molecular structure of o-Cl-L8-3,5- $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ with full atom numbering.

Table 4.8. Selected bond distances $\left(\AA\right.$ A ) and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for o-Cl-L8-3,5-Cl ${ }_{2}$

|  | Bond lengths | $1.719(5)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.730(5)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.246(5)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.360(6)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(3)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.734(5)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ |  | $1.484(6)$ |
|  |  | $119.5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | Bond angles | $116.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ |  | $117.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $114.7(4)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $120.8(4)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ |  |  |

As with o-Br-L8 $83,5-\mathrm{Br}_{2}$, the molecular structure of o-Cl-L8-3,5-Cl2 reveals the heterocyclic unit to adopt the pyridone form with an $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ distance of 1.246(5) $\AA$. The torsion angle between the phenyl and pyridone is increased from $43.5(2)^{\circ}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ to $60.6(7)^{\circ}$ in o-Cl-L8-3,5-Cl ${ }_{2}$ but less than that in o- $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{L} 8-3,5-\mathrm{Br}_{2}\left(70.7(8)^{\circ}\right)$ consistent
with steric properties of this halide. As expected, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR pattern of peaks in the aromatic region is similar in both $\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathbf{L 8}-3,5-\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Br}-\mathbf{L 8}-3,5-\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ with a singlet for the 1 H (pyridone ring) at 7.55 and 7.39 ppm along with a multiplet for the 5 Hs belonging to the phenyl ring at 7.55 and 7.32 ppm , respectively.

## (c) Fluorination of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 8$

Fluorination of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ was also attempted using 3.1 equivalents of Selectfluor as fluorinating reagent and $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of palladium(II) acetate as catalyst leading to the isolation of $6-\left(2-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)-5,5-\mathrm{F}_{2}-6-\mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-2-\mathrm{O}$. In this case difluorination had occurred at the 5 -position of the pyridone ring along with hydroxylation at the 6 -position; however, no fluorination was observed on the phenyl ring (Scheme 4.20). The loss of planarity within the heterocyclic unit may have contributed towards the lack of ortho- CH activation and fluorination. Notably, when the reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ with Selectfluor was conducted in the absence of palladium(II) acetate the same product, $6-\left(2-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)-5,5-\mathrm{F}_{2}-6-$ $\mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-2-\mathrm{O}$ was afforded. Notably, a similar type of difluorination of a fused 2pyridone ring was reported in the preparation of anti-cancer compounds with Selectfluor as fluorinating agent. ${ }^{72}$


Scheme 4.20. Reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ with selectfluor in the presence of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$

An X-ray determination was performed on a single crystal of 6-(2-C6 $\left.\mathrm{H}_{5}\right)-5,5-\mathrm{F}_{2}-6-\mathrm{OH}-$ $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-2-\mathrm{O}$ grown by slow evaporation of methanol. A view of the structure is shown in Fig 4.20; selected bond distances and bond angles are collected in Table 4.9. The structure reveals that carbonyl group is still intact with the $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ bond length of $1.245(3) \AA$, whereas the $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ distance 1.543(4) is now consistent with a single bond. In addition, the $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ distance of 1.449 (3) $\AA$ A shows single bond character higlighting the loss of aromaticity in the heterocycle.


Figure 4.20. Molecular structure of $6-\left(2-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)-5,5-\mathrm{F}_{2}-6-\mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-2-\mathrm{O}$ with full atom numbering.

Table 4.9. Selected bond distances $\left(\AA\right.$ ) and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $6-\left(2-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)-5,5-\mathrm{F}_{2}-6-\mathrm{OH}-$ $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-2-\mathrm{O}$

Bond lengths

| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.543(4)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.492(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{F}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | $1.359(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{F}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | $1.373(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.424(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.245(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.449(3)$ |

## Bond angles

$\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2) \quad 112.9(2)$
$\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(1) \quad 121.5(2)$
$\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(2) \quad 121.4(2)$
$\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1) \quad 110.9(2)$
$\mathrm{F}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{F}(2 \mathrm{~A}) \quad 105.52(19)$

Confirmation of the gem-fluoride arrangement in 6-(2-C6 $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ )-5,5- $\mathrm{F}_{2}-6-\mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-2-\mathrm{O}$ is shown by two mutually coupled doublets peaks -118.61 and -97.67 ppm in the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectrum. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum a broad NH peak at 9.21 ppm is observed along with a hydroxy peak at 7.59 ppm .

As with the palladium-catalysed reaction of 2-phenylpyridine with selectfluor, the reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ did not result fluorination of the phenyl group. ${ }^{40}$ The possible reason for non-fluorination at the ortho position could be due to the loss of planarity in the heterocycle. Furthermore, it is apparent that pyridone ring is too reactive towards selectfluor with the consequence that the fluorinated heterocycle could electronically influence the ortho-fluorination.

### 4.3.5 Reactivity of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 8$ with ONO '-Pd pincer complex 10a

In Chapter 3, we have seen some reactivity of the ONO'-palladium pincer complexes with a range of simple 2-pyridones e.g., 2-pyridone, 6-methyl-2-pyridone, 6-chloro-2pyridone and 6-fluoro-2-pyridone. To extend this chemistry we now explore the reactivity of $(\mathbf{L 5 a P d})_{2}(\mathbf{1 0 a})$ towards $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$. Unexpectedly, the reaction of $\mathbf{1 0 a}$ with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ at elevated temperature in toluene gave $\mathbf{C H}$-activated $\mathbf{1 5}$ along with the loss of free $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 a}$ in good yield (Scheme 4.21). The reaction product, $\mathbf{1 5}$, is the same species as that formed when palladium(II) acetate is reacted with two equivalents of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ (see Scheme 4.14). It would seem likely that the oxygen atom in coordinated L5a ligand in $\mathbf{1 5}$ serves as an internal base in an AMLA-type process in a manner similar to that seen for acetate when using $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ (see later).
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Scheme 4.21. Reaction of $\mathbf{1 0 a}$ with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 8$

### 4.3.6 Reactivity of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 8$ with ONN-Pd pincer complex 4 a and related

In Chapter 2, L4PdOAc (4a) was shown to readily undergo acetate exchange reactions with a variety of 2-pyridones. With a view to exploring the potential of the NNO-ligand $\mathbf{L 4}$ in $\mathbf{4 a}$ to act as an internal base to abstract an ortho- CH proton in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}, 4 \mathbf{4}$ was reacted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ in toluene at room temperature affording phenylpyridonate-containing L4Pd(HL8) (18) in reasonable yield (Scheme 4.22). Complex $\mathbf{1 8}$ has been characterised spectroscopically and has been the subject of a single crystal X-ray study.


Scheme 4.22 Reaction of $\mathbf{4 a}$ with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$

Single crystals suitable for an X-ray determination were grown by layering a dichloromethane solution of $\mathbf{1 8}$ with hexane. The molecular structure is shown in Fig 4.21; selective bond distances and bond angles are compiled in Table 4.10. The structure shows monoanionic HL8 to be coordinated to the palladium centre via the pyridonate nitrogen atom $[\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3) 2.057(2) \AA$ A , while the NNO ligand, $\mathbf{L 4}$, uses all its three donor atoms to complete a distorted square planar geometry. The torsion angle between the NNO-palladium plane and the pyridonate ring is $c a .18^{\circ}$ off orthogonal $[\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3)-$ $\left.\mathrm{C}(32) 108.69(17)^{\circ}\right]$ suggesting the pocket created by the pincer ligand is not big enough to accommodate HL8 in a pseudo-planar fashion that would have enabled a potential ortho-CH hydrogen bonding interaction with the phenolate-O atom. Nevertheless, it may be possible at higher temperatures to de-coordinate either the NH or the phenolate oxygen arm on the pincer ligand to allow ortho-activation of HL8. Despite the tilting of the pyridonate unit, the pyridonate-O atom still undergoes a hydrogen bonding interaction with the NH donor of the pincer ligand (HN $\cdots \mathrm{O} 2.938 \AA$ ).


Figure 4.21. Molecular structure of $\mathbf{1 8}$ with full atom numbering; H atoms have been omitted for clarity

Table 4.10. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $\mathbf{1 8}$

|  | Bond lengths | $1.9697(17)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ |  |  |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1.977(2)$ |  |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $2.042(2)$ |  |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $2.057(2)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ |  | $1.260(3)$ |
|  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | Bond angles | $94.08(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ |  | $177.06(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $83.19(8)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $87.56(7)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $168.35(8)$ |  |

In an attempt to reduce the steric hindrance imposed by pincer ligand $\mathbf{L 4}$ on the coordination mode exhibited by HL8 in 18, we set about synthesizing [(2-( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)-6$ $\left.\left.\left\{\mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\left(2,6-i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)\right\} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right)\right] \operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{HLS})$ (19), which contains no pendant phenyl group on the phenolate moiety. Hence reaction of [(2-( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)-6-\left\{\mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{NH}(2,6-i\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.\left.\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)\right\} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right)\right] \operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})$ with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ in toluene at room temperature gave $\mathbf{1 9}$ in satisfactory yield (Scheme 4.23). Complex 19 has been characterised spectroscopically and has been the subject of a single crystal X-ray study.


Scheme 4.23 Reaction of less bulky [(2-( $\left.\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)-6-\left\{\mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\left(2,6-i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)\right\} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right)\right] \operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})$ with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 8$

Single crystals suitable for an X-ray determination were grown by layering a dichloromethane solution of $\mathbf{1 9}$ with hexane. The molecular structure of $\mathbf{1 9}$ is shown in Fig 4.22; selective bond distances and bond angles are compiled in Table 4.11. As with 18, HL8 is bound to the palladium centre by the pyridonate nitrogen atom without any evidence for ortho-CH activation of the phenyl ring in HL8 having occurred. Unexpectedly, the corresponding torsion angle between the NNO-palladium plane and the pyridonate ring indicates that it is more tilted in $\mathbf{1 9}$ as compared with that in $\mathbf{1 8}\left(11^{\circ}\right.$ off orthogonal in 19 vs. $18^{\circ}$ in 18), with the result that the $\mathrm{NH} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{\text {pyridonate }}$ interaction is reduced in comparison with that see in $\mathbf{1 8}(2.938 \AA(\mathbf{1 8})$ vs. $3.094 \AA(\mathbf{1 9}))$..


Figure 4.22. Molecular structure of $\mathbf{1 8}$ with full atom numbering; H atoms have been omitted for clarity

Table 4.11. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for 19

|  | Bond lengths |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ |  | $1.964(2)$ |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1.987(3)$ |  |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $2.035(3)$ |  |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $2.057(2)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ |  | $1.267(4)$ |
|  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ |  | $93.72(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ |  | $176.91(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $84.29(12)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $88.18(11)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $171.55(12)$ |  |

### 4.3.7 Catalytic bromination of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 8$ using Pd-pincer complexes and comparison with palladium(II) acetate

Given the ability of ONO'-containing $\mathbf{1 0}$ to stoichiometrically CH -activate $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ (see Scheme 4.21), along with the facile reactivity of NNO-bearing $\mathbf{4 a}$ towards $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ (see Scheme 4.22), it was of interest to screen selected palladium(II) pincer complexes developed in this thesis as catalysts for the bromination of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$. The resulting findings can then be compared with the results obtained using palladium(II) acetate as catalyst.

Specifically, seven different palladium pincer complexes were chosen namely 9a, 9b, 9c, 10a, 11b, 12b and 12c, three of which contain NNO-ligands ( $\mathbf{9 a - 9} \mathbf{9}$ ) and the remaining four ONO-ligands (10a, 11b, 12b and 12c). For 9a-9c the effect of counterion $\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right.$ vs. $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ vs OTf) was being probed while $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ and $\mathbf{1 2 c}$ the nature of the monodentate pyridine ligand was being examined; for $\mathbf{1 0 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 1 b}$ the size of the cluster as well as the electronic properties were being tested. In all cases the screening was performed under identical conditions: stirred solutions under nitrogen in acetonitrile at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a sealed vessel for 16 hours (entries $1-9$, Table 4.12).

Table 4.12. Catalytic evaluation of a range of NNO-Pd and ONN-Pd complexes in the bromination of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$

|  |  |  |  <br> Tetra-bromo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entr Catalyst <br> (5 mol $\%)$ | Dibromo <br> \% conversion | Tribromo \% conversion | Tetrabromo \% conversion |
| 1. | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | 0 | 100 | 0 |
| 3. $[\operatorname{L4Pd}(\operatorname{MeCN})] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(\mathbf{9 a})$ | 84 | 16 | 0 |
| 4. $[\mathbf{L 4 P d}(\mathrm{MeCN})] \mathrm{BF}_{4}(\mathbf{9 b})$ | 83 | 17 | 0 |
| 5. $\quad[\mathbf{L 4 P d}(\mathrm{MeCN})] \mathrm{OTf}(\mathbf{9 c})$ | 79 | 21 | 0 |
| 6. $\mathbf{L 5 a P d}\left(3,5-\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{py}\right)(\mathbf{1 2 b})$ | 86 | 14 | 0 |
| 7. $\mathbf{L 5 a P d}\left(3,5-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{py}\right)(\mathbf{1 2 c})$ | 95 | 5 | 0 |
| 8. $(\mathbf{L 5 a P d})_{2}(\mathbf{1 0 a})$ | 85 | 15 | 0 |
| 9. $(\mathbf{L 5 d P d})_{4}(\mathbf{1 1 b})$ | 85 | 15 | 0 |

On inspection of the data, all pincer complexes give a mixture of di- and tri-brominated products (entries 3-9); there is no evidence for a tetra-brominated species for any of the runs. Unlike palladium(II) acetate (entry 2), none of the pincer complexes achieve 100\% conversion to the tri-brominated species. Indeed the best conversions (16-21\%) are achieved using the ONN-type palladium(II) pincer salts, 9a - 9c (entries 3-5). Nevertheless, it is clear that both the ONN and ONO'-type pincer ligands when bound to palladium are capable of acting as proton acceptors in manner akin to acetate in the CH bromination; for comparative purposes without catalyst $100 \%$ di-bromination resulted (entry 1). The better performance of $\mathbf{9 a - 9 c}$ may be due to the lability of the acetonitrile ligands or to their increased solubility due to the presence of the N -2,6-diisopropylphenyl group.

### 4.4 Conclusions

The reaction of 6-phenyl-2-pyridone $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}\right)$ with palladium(II) acetate using different stoichiometric ratios of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ has been studied. The acetate-bridged complex $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\kappa^{2}-\right.\right.$ $\mathrm{HL})(\mu-\mathrm{OAc})]_{2}$ (14) was formed with one equivalent of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ whereas the dimeric palladium complex $\left[\operatorname{Pd}(\mu-\mathrm{HL})\left(\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{HL}\right)\right]_{2}(\mathbf{1 5})$ was the main product when two equivalents were used. On prolonged standing 14 underwent further reaction affording the tetrameric square-shaped cluster $\left[\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mu: \kappa^{2}-\mathbf{L 8}\right)\right]_{4}(16)$ with the loss of acetic acid. CH-activation of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{L8}$ could also be achieved on reaction with $(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ generating $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}\left(\kappa^{2}-\right.\right.$ HL8) $\left(\kappa^{1}-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ L8)] (17).

The selective halogenation ( $\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Cl}$ or F ) of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ was achieved by varying the stoichiometry of the halogenating reagent and palladium(II) acetate catalyst. Monobromo ( $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}-3-\mathrm{Br}$ ), di-bromo (HL8-3,5- $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ ) and tri-brominated (o-Br-L8-3,5- $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ ) pyridones were synthesised by using $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ or NBS as the brominating reagent with or without catalyst. Similarly, tri-chlorinated pyridone, o-Cl-L8-3,5-Cl2, was synthesised by heating with NCS and palladium(II) acetate as the catalyst ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ). Extension of this approach to the trifluorination of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ using Selectfluor as the fluorinating reagent and palladium(II) acetate as the catalyst was unsuccessful yielding $6-\left(2-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)-5,5-\mathrm{F}_{2}-6-\mathrm{OH}-$
$\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-2-\mathrm{O}$ in which difluorination of the 5-position of the pyridone ring occurred and no fluorination of the phenyl group.

To explore the reactivity of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ towards pincer complexes already prepared in this thesis, we examined its reaction with $(\mathbf{L 5 a P d})_{2}(\mathbf{1 0})$ and $\mathbf{L 4 P d O A c}(\mathbf{4 a})$. For 10, ligand displacement occurred forming CH -activated $\mathbf{1 5}$, while for $\mathbf{4 a}$ the acetate group was substituted for phenyl pyridonate, HL8, to give 18; no evidence of a CH -activated phenyl group was observed in the latter reaction.

Given the capacity of palladium(II) acetate to act as an effective catalyst in the tribromination of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$, it was of interest to explore a selection of the pincer complexes developed in this thesis as catalysts in their own right towards the tri-bromination of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ L8. In all the cases screened, the major product identified was the di-brominated pyridone, HL8-3,5- $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$. Nevertheless, substantial amounts of the tri-brominated product, o-Br-L8-3,5- $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$, were detected (up to $21 \%$ with $9 \mathbf{9 c}$ ). Overall, it was found that palladium(II) acetate is the best catalyst to mediate the tri-bromination of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$.
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## Chapter 5 Experimental

## Chapter 5 <br> Experimental <br> 5.1 General

All experiments were performed under an air free inert atmosphere of dry nitrogen using standard Schlenk techniques unless otherwise stated. Solvents were distilled under nitrogen from appropriate drying agents. ${ }^{202}$ The infrared spectra were recorded in the solid state with Universal ATR sampling accessories on a Perkin Elmer Spectrum One FTIR instrument. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DRX400 spectrometer at $400.13\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ and 100.61 $\mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ at room temperature; chemical shifts ( ppm ) are referred to the residual protic solvent peaks and coupling constants are expressed in hertz ( Hz ). Melting points ( Mp ) were measured on a Gallenkamp melting point apparatus (model MFB-595) in open capillary tubes and were uncorrected. ESI mass spectra were recorded on a micromass Quattra LC spectrometer in either MeCN or $\mathrm{MeOH} ; \mathrm{FAB}$ mass spectra (including high resolution) were recorded on a Kratos Concept spectrometer with NBA as matrix or on Water Xevo QToF mass spectrometer equipped with an atmospheric solids analysis probe (ASAP). Elemental analyses were conducted at London Metropolitan University.

The reagents 2-phenylphenol, 2,6-dibromopyridine, $n$-butyllithium ( 1.6 M in hexane) trimethylaluminium ( 2 M solution in toluene), boron tribromide ( 1 M solution in dichloromethane), silver triflate, silver tetrafluoroborate, silver hexafluorophosphate, 2hydroxypyridine, 6-methyl-2-pyridinol, 6-chloro-2-hydroxypyridine, SelectFluor ${ }^{\text {TM }}$, N-bromo-succinimide (NBS), N-chloro-succinimide (NCS), pentafluorophenol, 2iodobenzoic acid, 3,5-dichloropyridine, 3,5-lutidine and aqueous $48 \% \mathrm{HBr}$ were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. and used without further purification. 2,6-Diisopropylaniline was distilled under inert conditions immediately prior to use. The compounds tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0), ${ }^{203}$ 2-hydroxybiphenyl-3-ylboronic acid, ${ }^{204}$ 2-methoxybiphenyl-3-ylboronic acid, ${ }^{204}$ 6-fluoro-2-hydroxypyridine, ${ }^{205}$ 2-bromo-6methoxypyridine, ${ }^{206}$ 2-bromo-6-formylpyridine, ${ }^{207}$ 2-bromo-6-acetylpyridine, ${ }^{207} 6$-phenyl-2-methoxypyridine ${ }^{208}$ and bis(acetonitrile)dichloropalladium(II) ${ }^{203}$ were prepared using literature procedures. $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ was provided on loan from Johnson Matthey PLC. All other chemicals were obtained commercially and used without further purification.

### 5.2 Chapter 2 Experimental

### 5.2.1 Synthesis of 2-(3'-phenyl-2'-phenol)-6-acetylpyridine



A Schlenk flask equipped with stir bar was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen and loaded with 2-bromo-6-acetylpyridine ( $1.571 \mathrm{~g}, 7.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}_{\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(0.181 \mathrm{~g}, 0.157}$ mmol ), toluene ( 30 mL ) and an aqueous 2 M solution of potassium carbonate ( $7.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.7$ mmol ). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 15 min . followed by the addition of 2-hydroxy-biphenyl-3-ylboronic acid ( $2.185 \mathrm{~g}, 10.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in ethanol ( 20 mL ). The solution was heated to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred at this temperature for 72 h . On cooling to room temperature hydrogen peroxide ( $0.65 \mathrm{~mL}, 30 \mathrm{wt} . \%$ in water) was added and the solution left to stir for 1 h . Following extraction with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and washing with a brine solution ( $1 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), the combined organic extracts were dried over magnesium sulfate. Filtration followed by removal of the solvent on the rotary evaporator gave the crude product as a viscous brown oil. The catalyst residues were removed by a short silica column using a mixture composed of dichloromethane:hexane (80:20) as the eluent affording 2-(3'-phenyl-2'-phenoxy)-6-acetylpyridine as a yellow oil ( $1.612 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ [ppm] 2.68 (s, 3H, Me), 6.97 (t, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 7.267.41 (m, 4H, Ar- $H$ ), 7.58-7.61 ( m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.78 (dd, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.0,1.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 7.91-7.98 (m, 2H, Py-H), 8.11 (dd, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.5,1.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{H}\right), 13.97$ (s, 1H, Ar-OH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 25.3$ ( $\mathrm{MeC}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 117.6 (C), 118.0, 118.8, 122.3, 125.1, 126.14, 127.1, $128.5(\mathrm{CH}), 130.3$ (C), 132.3 (CH), 137.2 (C), 137.8 (CH), 148.9 (C), 155.8 (C), 156.5(C), $196.5(\mathrm{CMe}=\mathrm{O})$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1700(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$. ESIMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 290[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{NO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$290.1181, found 290.1187.

### 5.2.2 Synthesis of (3'-phenyl-2'-phenoxy)-6-formylpyridine



Step 1 (Suzuki coupling): A Schlenk flask equipped with stir bar was charged with 2-bromo-6-formylpyridine ( $2.180 \mathrm{~g}, 11.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(0.269 \mathrm{~g}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol})$, toluene $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and an aqueous 2 M solution of potassium carbonate ( $12.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 23.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 15 min . followed by the addition of 2-methoxybiphenyl-3-ylboronic acid ( $3.470 \mathrm{~g}, 15.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3 \mathrm{eq}$.) in ethanol ( 20 mL ). The solution was heated to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred at this temperature for 42 h . On cooling to room temperature hydrogen peroxide ( $10 \mathrm{~mL}, 30 \mathrm{wt} \%$ in water) was added and the solution left to stir for 1 h . Following extraction with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and washing with a brine solution $(1 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, the combined organic extracts were dried over magnesium sulfate. Filtration followed by removal of the solvent on the rotary evaporator gave the crude product as a viscous brown oil. The catalyst residues were removed by a short silica column using a mixture of dichloromethane-hexane (80:20) as the eluent affording 2-(2-methoxybiphenyl-3-yl)-6-formylpyridine as a yellow oil ( $2.920 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 3.27$ (s, 3H, OMe), 7.11-7.41 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 7.56-7.65 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.85 (dd, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.7,1.8,1 \mathrm{H}$, Py-H), 7.92-7.96 (m, 2H, Py-H, Ar-H), 8.13 (dd, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.9,1.4$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{H}), 10.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 61.0$ (OCH3), 118.8, 123.7, 125.9, 126.9, 127.3, 128.2, 129.8, 131.4, 132.2, 134.9, 136.0, 137.3, 151.7, 154.6, 156.0, $192.8(\mathrm{CHO})$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1712(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1585\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{\text {pyridine }}\right)$. ESIMS: $m / z 290[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+$. HRMS (FAB): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$290.11770, found 290.11775.

Step 2 (Deprotection): A Schlenk flask equipped with stir bar was initially evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen and then charged with 2-(2-methoxybiphenyl-3-yl)-6formylpyridine ( $2.380 \mathrm{~g}, 8.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dichloromethane ( 40 mL ) and the solution cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A 1 M solution of boron tribromide in dichloromethane ( $18 \mathrm{~mL}, 18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was
added at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ forming an orange solution. The solution was allowed to warm to room temperature and left to stir overnight. Water ( 40 mL ) was added carefully and the mixture neutralised with potassium carbonate. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous phase washed repeatedly with chloroform $(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. All organic extracts were combined and the solvent removed on the rotary evaporator yielding 2-(3-biphenyl-2-ol)-6-formylpyridine as a green/gold foamy solid ( $1.830 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \%$ ). Mp: 67-68 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 6.96$ (t, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.21-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.55\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.8\right.$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 7.86 (d, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.7,1 \mathrm{H}, ~ A r-\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.92$ (d, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{H}\right), 8.07$ (t, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.7,1 \mathrm{H}$, Py-H), 8.13 (d, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.9,1 \mathrm{H}$, Py-H), 10.17 (s, 1H, CHO), 14.22 (br s, 1H, OH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 117.2,118.1,118.7,119.6,123.3,125.0,126.3,127.1$, 128.9, 133.5, 137.0, 149.0, 156.3, 158.2, $189.8(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{O})$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1712(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1591$ $\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{\text {pyridine }}\right)$. ESIMS (+ve): m/z $276[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. ESIMS ( -ve ): $m / z 274[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (FAB): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}]^{+} 275.09463$, found 275.09469.

### 5.2.3 Synthesis of HL1



To a small round bottomed flask equipped with stir bar was added 2-(3-biphenyl-2-ol)-6formylpyridine ( $1.83 \mathrm{~g}, 6.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2,6-diisopropylaniline ( $1.76 \mathrm{~g}, 9.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and ethanol ( 13 mL ). The suspension was stirred and heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and after 15 min . a catalytic amount of formic acid was added. After further stirring at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight a yellow precipitate formed which was allowed to cool to room temperature. The precipitate was filtered, washed with ethanol and further dried under reduced pressure to give HL1 as a yellow solid ( $1.99 \mathrm{~g}, 69 \%$ ). Yellow plates of HL 3 suitable for an X-ray determination were grown by slow evaporation of a methanol solution. $\mathrm{Mp}: 127-130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.18\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.9,12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.95\left(\mathrm{sept},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.9,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 7.04(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right), 7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H), 7.35\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.4,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right), 7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H)$, $7.66\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.1,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right), 7.88\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.1,{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}} 1.4,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right), 8.03\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.9,1 \mathrm{H}\right.$,

Ar-H), 8.11 (d, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar}-H\right), 8.24\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{JHH}_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.5,1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar}-H\right), 8.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{CH}), 14.2$ (br s, 1H, OH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 23.4\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 27.9\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, 118.8 (C), 118.9, 119.2, 121.3, 123.1 (CH), 124.7 (C), 126.0, 127.0, 128.1, 129.5 (CH), 131.4 (C), 133.0 (CH), 137.0 (C), 138.4 (CH), 138.6, 148.2, 150.9, 157.0, 158.2 (C), 161.0 $(\mathrm{N}=C-\mathrm{H})$, IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2962(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}), 2600(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{OH}), 1641\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{imine}}\right), 1589\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{\text {pyridine }}\right)$. ESI MS: $m / z 435[(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})]^{+}, 457[(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})]^{+} . \mathrm{HRMS}(\mathrm{FAB}):$ calcd. $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 435.24286, found 435.24247.

### 5.2.4 Synthesis of HL2



Two Schlenk flasks equipped with stir bars were evacuated and back filled with nitrogen. To one of the flasks was added lithium aluminium hydride ( $0.131 \mathrm{~g}, 3.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dry tetrahydrofuran ( 10 mL ) and the resulting suspension stirred and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To the second flask was added HL1 ( $0.300 \mathrm{~g}, 0.69 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dry tetrahydrofuran ( 10 mL ) and the contents stirred until dissolution. The solution of HL1 was then transferred via cannular (dropwise) to the cooled $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ suspension. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 90 min . Water ( 2 mL ) was carefully added followed by chloroform ( 30 mL ) and more water ( 30 mL ). The organic phase was separated and the aqueous layer extracted with chloroform. All organic extracts were combined and dried over magnesium sulfate. Filtration followed by removal of the solvent under reduced pressure gave HL2 as a yellow brown oil ( $1.21 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $1.21\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.8,12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.28\left(\mathrm{sept},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.8,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H})$, $4.22\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.89\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right), 7.0(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H), 7.24\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.1\right.$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H), 7.30-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{Py}-H), 7.57$ (d, $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.0,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right), 7.80-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, Ar- $H$ ), 15.2 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 21.4\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 23.2$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 26.7\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 55.4\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 117.0,117.5,117.6,118.0,118.8,121.7$, $122.6,123.4,124.8,125.9,127.0,128.5,130.1,131.4,131.6,137.4,137.5,139.2,141.1$,
141.9, 155.5, 155.3, 156.8. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $2962(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}), 2600(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{OH}), 1592\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{\text {pyridine }}\right), 1589$. ESI MS (+ve): $m / z 437[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. ESI MS (+ve): $m / z 435[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (FAB): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 437.2593$, found 437.2599.

### 5.2.5 Synthesis of HL3



A 50 mL round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar was charged with 2-(3'-phenyl-2'-phenoxy)-6-acetylpyridine $(0.733 \mathrm{~g}, 2.54 \mathrm{mmol}), 2,6$-diisopropylaniline $(0.674 \mathrm{~g}, 3.81$ mmol, 1.5 eq.) and absolute ethanol ( 7 mL ). The solution was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . before the addition of 1-2 drops of glacial acetic acid. After heating to reflux at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 h , the reaction mixture was allowed to cool down to room temperature. A pale yellow precipitate formed which was filtered, washed with methanol and further dried under reduced pressure to give HL3 as a pale yellow solid ( $0.895 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%$ ). Yellow plates of HL3 suitable for and X-ray determination were grown by slow evaporation of a methanol solution. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}] 1.13\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.7,6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.15(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.1,6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.23\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right), 2.70\left(\mathrm{sept}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.8,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 7.03$ $\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.6, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.10-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.40\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.6\right.$, 1.6, 1H, Ar-H), 7.42 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.65-7.67 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.88 (dd, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.1,1.6,1 \mathrm{H}$, Ar-H), $8.00\left(\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{H}\right), 8.10\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{py}-\mathrm{H}\right), 8.34\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.8, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 0.8\right.$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{H}), 14.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{OH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 17.5(\mathrm{CMe}=\mathrm{N})$, 22.9, $23.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 28.4(\mathrm{CH}), 118.9(\mathrm{CH}), 119.1(\mathrm{C}), 119.4,120.9,123.1,123.9,126.0$, 127.1, 128.1, 129.6 (CH), 131.2 (C), 132.9 (CH), 135.7 (C), 138.4 (CH), 138.5, 146.0 (C), 153.1 (C), 156.9 (C), 157.1 (C), 164.8 ( $\mathrm{CMe}=\mathrm{N}$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $2960(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}), 1634$ ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{\text {imine }}$ ), 1566 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{\text {pyridine }}$ ). ESI MS: $m / z 449[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 471[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$. TOF MS(ES+ $)$: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 449.2593$, found 449.2610 .

### 5.2.6 Synthesis of HL4



A Schlenk flask equipped with stir bar was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen and charged with HL3 ( $0.646 \mathrm{~g}, 1.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and toluene ( 10 mL ). A 2M trimethylaluminium solution ( $4.32 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.64 \mathrm{mmol}, 6 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added dropwise and the solution stirred and heated to $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 96 h . On cooling to room temperature, deionised water ( 10 mL ) was added very slowly. After stirring for 2 h the organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer extracted with diethylether ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). All organic extracts were combined and dried over magnesium sulfate. Following filtration, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure affording HL4 as a yellow-brown solid ( $0.508 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}] 1.05\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{JHH}_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.8,12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.54$ ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.03$ (sept, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.8,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.38(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H},(\mathrm{NH})), 6.99\left(\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.04-7.06(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 7.29-7.34 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.38 (dd, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.5,1.61 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.40-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, Ar-H), 7.65-7.70 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.84-7.86 (m, 3H, py-H), 14.95 (s, 1H, Ar-OH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 23.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 28.4\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2}\right)\right), 29.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 59.1\left(\mathrm{~N}-C\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2}\right)\right)$, $117.2,118.2,118.5,119.3(\mathrm{C}), 123.1,124.5,125.8,126.9,128.0,129.6,131.0$ (C), 132.5, 138.0, 138.6, 139.7, 145.5, 156.8, 157.4 (C), 166.1 (CMe=N). ESI MS: $m / z 465[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. TOF MS(ES+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 465.2906$, found 465.2908.

### 5.2.7 Synthesis of 1a



1a

A Schlenk flask equipped with stir bar was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen and loaded with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.065 \mathrm{~g}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{HL} 1(0.126 \mathrm{~g}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ and dry toluene $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and filtered through a celite pad and the pad thoroughly washed with dichloromethane. The filtrate was concentrated to $c a .1 \mathrm{~mL}$ whereupon diethyl ether ( $c a .8$ mL ) was added. The resulting precipitate was filtered and dried under reduced pressure forming 1a as a dark red powder ( $0.175 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$ ). Red blocks suitable for an X-ray diffraction study could be grown by slow diffusion of hexane into a dichloromethane solution of the complex. Mp: > $260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.11\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.9\right.$, $\left.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.33\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.9,6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.43\left(\mathrm{sept},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}\right.$ $\left.6.7,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 6.83\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{JHH}_{\mathrm{H}} 8.1,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right), 7.18\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right), 7.22\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}\right.$ $7.8,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H), 7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H), 7.40\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.0,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.64\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.1,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\right.$ $H$ ), 7.76 ( $\left.\mathrm{d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.1,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right), 7.88\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.5,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Py}-H\right), 8.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{C}_{\text {imine }}-H\right)$, 8.15 ( $\left.\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.3,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Py}-H\right), 8.52\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Py}-H\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}(100 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 21.3\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}\right), 21.9\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 24.2\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 27.5\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 114.9$ (CH), 119.3 (C), 122.4, 123.6, 125.5, 125.9, 126.5, 127.3, 127.6, 129.0, 132.5 (CH), 133.3 (C), $136.6(\mathrm{CH}), 138.9(\mathrm{C}), 140.2(\mathrm{CH}), 141.0,151.1,151.7,160.4(\mathrm{C}), 165.0\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{imine}}=C H\right)$, $176.5(\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$. IR $\left.\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2961(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}), 1615\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{imine}}\right), 1582(\mathrm{COO})_{\text {asymm }} / \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{\text {pyridine }}\right)$, $1383(\mathrm{COO})_{\text {symm. }}$. FAB MS m/z: 539 [M-OAc] $]^{+}, 598[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$. Anal calcd for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Pd}\right)$ : C, 64.97; H, 5.35; N, 4.68. Found: C, 64.88; H, 5.21; N, 4.72\%.

### 5.2.8 Synthesis of 1b



1b

Method 1. A Schlenk flask equipped with stir bar was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen and loaded with $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{NCMe})_{2}(0.060 \mathrm{~g}, 0.231 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{HL} 1(0.110 \mathrm{~g}, 0.254$ mmol ) and tetrahydrofuran ( 25 mL ). After stirring overnight at room temperature, the reaction mixture was concentrated to $c a .2 \mathrm{~mL}$ and hexane ( 15 mL ) added. The precipitate was filtered, washed with hexane and dried under reduced pressure to give $\mathbf{1 b}$ as a dark red
powder ( $0.084 \mathrm{~g}, 53 \%$ ). Red blocks suitable for an X-ray diffraction study could be grown by slow diffusion of hexane into a dichloromethane solution of the complex. Mp: > $260^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.00\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{JHH}_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.9,6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.34\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.6,6 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.22\left(\mathrm{sept},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.0,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 6.83\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.4,7.2,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.21$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H), 7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H), 7.53\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.2,{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 1.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right), 7.77\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}\right.$ $\left.7.2,{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 0.9,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right), 7.86\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.1,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Py} / \mathrm{Ar}-H\right), 7.90\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J_{H H} 8.6,{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 1.6,1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, Ar- $H$ ), 7.99 (s, 1H, N=C- $H$ ), 8.16 (dd, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.7,7.2,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Py}-H\right), 8.51$ (d, ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Py}-$ H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (75 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 22.1\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 22.6\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 27.6$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 115.4,119.5,122.4,124.4(\mathrm{CH}), 125.6(\mathrm{C}), 126.4,126.6(\mathrm{CH}), 127.6,127.7$ (C), 129.1, 132.9 (CH), 133.4, 137.0, 138.8 (C), 139.6 (CH), 142.6 (C), 151.1 (CH), 151.4, 159.9 (C), $166.8(\mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{CH})$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : $2961(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}), 1614\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{\text {imine }}\right), 1589\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{\text {pyridine }}\right)$. FAB MS $m / z: 539[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Cl}]^{+}, 575[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. Anal calcd for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OPdCl}\right)$ : C, $62.62 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.08$; N, 4.87. Found: C, 62.61; H, 5.00; N, 4.81\%.

Method 2. A round bottomed flask equipped with stirrer bar was loaded with $\mathbf{1 a}(0.323 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.054 \mathrm{mmol})$, chloroform ( 10 mL ) and a saturated solution of brine ( 10 mL ) added. After stirring vigorously at room temperature overnight the organic phase was separated and the aqueous phase washed with chloroform ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). All organic extracts were combined and dried over magnesium sulfate. Following filtering, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure affording $\mathbf{1 b}$ as a red powders $(0.031 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%)$. The spectroscopic data obtained for $\mathbf{1 b}$ were consistent with that given above.

### 5.2.9 Synthesis of 2a



A Schlenk flask equipped with stir bar was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen and loaded with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.065 \mathrm{~g}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{HL} 2(0.126 \mathrm{~g}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ and dry toluene $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight, the reaction mixture was filtered through a celite pad and the pad thoroughly washed with dichloromethane. The filtrate was concentrated to $c a .1 \mathrm{~mL}$ whereupon diethyl ether ( $c a .8 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added. The resulting precipitate was filtered and dried under reduced pressure forming $\mathbf{2 a}$ as a yellow powder $(0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 58 \%)$. Yellow plates of 2a suitable for an X-ray diffraction study could be grown by slow diffusion of hexane into a dichloromethane solution of the complex. Mp: > $260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.16\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.8,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.17\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.4,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.33$ (d, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.5,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.58\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.68\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.8,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.24$ (sept, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 4.16\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 17.3,{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 9.2,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HN}-\mathrm{CH}_{a} \mathrm{H}_{b}\right), 4.58$ (dd, $\left.{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 17.2,{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 10.0,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HN}-\mathrm{CH}_{a} H_{b}\right), 5.21\left(\mathrm{sept},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 6.77\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}\right.$ $7.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H), 6.84\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right), 7.07\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.4,{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 3.2,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right), 7.18$ ( $\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.2,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 7.20-7.32 (m, 4H, Ar-H), $7.52\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.8,{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 1.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right)$, 7.54 (br t, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.0,1 \mathrm{H}, H \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 7.73-7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Py} / \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.89\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.0,1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, Py-H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 21.5\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}\right)$, $22.3\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 23.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, $23.6\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 24.9\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 27.0\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 27.7\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 62.3$ $\left(\mathrm{HN}^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 14.5,114.9,119.7,122.6,123.2,125.1,126.4,126.5,128.1,128.9,131.7$, 132.5, 137.2, 137.8, 139.22, 140.0, 141.8, 152.8, 160.1, 161.2, 177.3 (Me-C=O). IR (cm $\left.{ }^{1}\right): 3224(\mathrm{NH}), 2954(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}), 1585\left(\mathrm{COO}_{\text {asymm }} / \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{\text {pyridine }}\right), 1384\left(\mathrm{COO}_{\text {symm }}\right)$. ESI MS: $m / z$ 1141 [ $\left.\mathrm{M}_{2}-\mathrm{OAc}\right], 541$ [M-OAc]. FAB MS $m / z 601[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 534$ [M-OAc] ${ }^{+}$. Anal calcd for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Pd}\right):$ C, 63.95 ; H, 5.70; N, 4.66. Found: C, 64.01; H, 5.55; N, 4.89\%.

### 5.2.10 Synthesis of 2b



2b

A round bottomed flask equipped with stirrer bar was loaded with $\mathbf{2 a}(0.032 \mathrm{~g}, 0.054$ mmol ), chloroform ( 10 mL ) and a saturated solution of brine ( 10 mL ) added. After stirring vigorously at room temperature overnight the organic phase was separated and the aqueous phase washed with chloroform ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). All organic extracts were combined and dried over magnesium sulfate. Following filtering, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure affording $\mathbf{2 b}$ as yellowy brown powder ( $0.026 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%$ ). Yellow blocks suitable for an X-ray diffraction study could be grown by slow diffusion of hexane into a dichloromethane solution of the complex. Mp: > $260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 0.73 (d, $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.8,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.02\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.20\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, 1.48 (d, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.5,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.34\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}} 17.7,{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 1.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HN}-\mathrm{CH}_{a} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right.$ ), 3.72 (br s, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 4.21\left(\mathrm{sept},{ }^{3} \mathrm{JHH} 6.5,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 4.99\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 17.7,{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.9,1 \mathrm{H}\right.$,
 Py/Ar-H), 7.26 (t, ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.5,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 7.35 (br s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 7.88 (d, ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.1,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $3223(\mathrm{NH}), 2955(\mathrm{CH}), 1598\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{\text {pyridine }}\right)$. ESI MS $m / z 1118\left[\mathrm{M}_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}, 539[\mathrm{M}-$ $\mathrm{Cl}]^{+}$. FABMS m/z $574[\mathrm{M}]^{+}, 539[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Cl}]^{+}$. Anal Calcd for ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OPdCl}\right): \mathrm{C}, 62.40 ; \mathrm{H}$, 5.41 ; N, 4.85. Found: C, 62.69; H, 5.25; N, 4.92\%.

### 5.2.11 Synthesis of 3a



A small Schlenk flask equipped with stir bar was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen. The flask was charged with $\mathrm{HL} 3(0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.223 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 0.223 \mathrm{mmol})$ and dry toluene $(10 \mathrm{ml})$. After stirring at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for two days, the reaction mixture was filtered through celite pad and the pad thoroughly washed with dichloromethane. The filtrate was dried under reduced pressure to give crude 3a as red solid $(0.122 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%)$. Orange blocks of 3a suitable for an X-ray diffraction study could be grown by slow diffusion of hexane into a dichloromethane solution of the complex. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.05\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.9,6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.37\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.9,6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$,
$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ), 2.21 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCMe}$ ), 3.22 (sept, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.7,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 6.83$ (t, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.1,1 \mathrm{H}$, Ar-H), 8.05 (t, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.3,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{H}\right), 8.52\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{H}\right) . \mathrm{Mp}:>260^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : $\left.2960(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}), 1610(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})_{\text {imine }}, 1589(\mathrm{COO})_{\text {asymm }} / \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{\text {pyridine }}\right), 1385(\mathrm{COO})_{\text {symm. }}$. $\mathrm{ESIMS}: m / z$ 1171 [ $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ - OAc], 555 [ M - OAc]. FABMS m/z $615[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 554$ [M - OAc] ${ }^{+}$. Anal Calcd for ( $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Pd}$ ): C, 64.44; H, 5.90; N, 4.55. Found: C, 64.09 ; H, 5.75; N, 4.79\%.

### 5.2.12 Synthesis of 3b



A round bottomed flask equipped with stirrer bar was loaded with $\mathbf{3 a}(0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.163$ mmol ), chloroform ( 10 mL ) and a saturated solution of brine ( 10 mL ) was added. After stirring vigorously at room temperature overnight the organic phase was separated and the aqueous phase washed with chloroform ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). All organic extracts were combined and dried over magnesium sulfate. Following filtering, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure affording $\mathbf{3 b}$ as a red powder $(0.091 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.10\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.0,6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.39\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.7,6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ ), 3.16 (sept, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.7,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 6.82\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.2,2.02 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H, ArH), 7.13 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.26 (m, 1 H, ArH), 7.35 (m, 2 H, ArH), 7.67 (d, JHH 7.4, 1 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.73\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.0,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.97\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.0,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 8.26\left(\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.0,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right)$, $8.42\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.0,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right) . \mathrm{Mp}:>260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2960(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}), 1610(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})_{\mathrm{imin}}$, 1589 $\left.\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{\text {pyridine }}\right)$. ESIMS: $m / z 555[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Cl}]$. FABMS $m / z 554[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Cl}]^{+}$.

### 5.2.13 Synthesis of 3c



A round bottomed flask equipped with stirrer bar was loaded with $\mathbf{3 a}(0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.163$ mmol ), chloroform ( 10 mL ) and a saturated solution of sodium bromide ( 10 mL ) was added. After stirring vigorously at room temperature overnight the organic phase was separated and the aqueous phase washed with chloroform ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). All organic extracts were combined and dried over magnesium sulfate. Following filtering, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure affording $\mathbf{3 c}$ as a red powder ( $0.092 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%$ ).

NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): $\delta 1.10$ (d, $\left.J_{H H} 7.0,6 H, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.45$ (d, $J_{H H} 6.7,6 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.21\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right), 3.03-3.15\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 6.84$ (dd, $J_{H H} 8.2,7.0$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.18-7.25$ (m, 3H, ArH), $7.29-7.38$ (m, 3H, ArH), 7.47 (dd, $J_{H H} 7.0,1.6,1 \mathrm{H}$, ArH ), 7.72 (d, $J_{H H} 7.0,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.79 (dd, $J_{H H} 8.2,1.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.88 (dd, $J_{H H} 8.2,1.2$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 8.13 (dd, $J_{H H} 8.6,7.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 8.46 (d, $J_{H H} 8.2,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ). Mp: > $260^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2960(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}), 1611(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})_{\text {imine }}$. ESI MS: $m / z 554[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Br}]$.

### 5.2.14 Synthesis of 4a



A small Schlenk flask equipped with stir bar was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen. The flask was charged with $\mathrm{HL4}(0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.215 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.048 \mathrm{~g}, 0.215 \mathrm{mmol})$ and dry toluene ( 10 ml ). After stirring at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for two days, the reaction mixture was filtered through a celite pad and the pad thoroughly washed with dichloromethane. The filtrate was dried under reduced pressure to give crude $\mathbf{4 a}$ as red solid $(0.120 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%)$.

Orange coloured crystals of $\mathbf{4 a} \cdot \mathrm{OH}_{2}$ suitable for an X-ray diffraction study were grown by slow diffusion of petroleum ether into a chloroform solution of the complex. Alternatively, crystals of the non-solvated $\mathbf{4 a}$ could be grown by slow evaporation of a concentrated benzene solution. Mp. > $188{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomposed). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.83$ (d, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.9,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 1.25\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.5,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 1.27\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.8,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$, $1.29\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.69\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.7,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 1.95\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Me})_{2}\right), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Me})_{2}\right), 3.25$ (sept, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{b}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ ), 3.53 (sept, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ ), 6.78 (dd, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.2,7.3,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{py}-\mathrm{H}), 7.09$ (dd, $\left.J_{H H} 7.6,1.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right)$, 7.13 (dd, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.7,1.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.18-7.23$ (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.31 (t, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.4,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 7.37 (dd, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.2,1.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.54$ (dd, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.2,1.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.76-7.79$ (m, 2H, ArH), 7.84-7.85 (m, 2H, py-H), $8.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 22.8$ $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CCOO}\right), 23.1\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 24.9\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 25.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.2\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 25.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $28.3\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, $28.7\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 33.4\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, $72.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 116.1,116.7,121.5 \text {, }}\right.$ 124.6, 125.2, 125.7, 126.3, 127.4, 127.8, 128.9, 129.9, 133.2, 133.4, 135.6, 138.9, 139.7, 143.8, 144.2, 153.9, 170.1, 180.1 ( $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CCOO}$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3212 (NH), 2957 (C-H), 1594 $\left(\mathrm{COO}_{\text {asymm }} / \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{\text {pyridine }}\right), 1371\left(\mathrm{COO}_{\text {symm }}\right)$. ESI MS m/z: $610[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{OAc}+\mathrm{MeCN}]^{+}, 596[\mathrm{M}-$ $\mathrm{OAc}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} . \mathrm{FAB}$ MS $m / z: 628[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 569[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{OAc}]^{+}$. Anal calcd for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Pd}\right): \mathrm{C}$, 64.91; H, 6.09; N, 4.45. Found: C, 64.78; H, 6.13; N, 4.54\%.

### 5.2.15 Synthesis of 4b



A 50 mL round bottom flask equipped with stirrer bar was loaded with $\mathbf{4 a}(0.045 \mathrm{~g}, 0.072$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and a saturated solution of brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ added. After stirring vigorously at room temperature overnight the organic phase was separated and the aqueous phase washed with chloroform ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). All organic extracts were combined and dried over magnesium sulphate. Following filtering, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure affording $\mathbf{4 b}$ as an orange red solid ( $0.041 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ ). Orange-red coloured crystals were grown by slow diffusion of petroleum ether into a dichloromethane solution of the complex. Mp. > $300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.91\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.8,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{\mathrm{a}}, 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right.$,
$\left.\mathrm{NHC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right), 1.32\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.2,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 1.47\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.5,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{\mathrm{b}}, 1.62\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}\right.\right.$ $\left.6.7,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 2.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.12\right.$ (sept, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.29$ (sept, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 6.22\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 6.78\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.3,8.2,1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, Ar$H), 6.94\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.3,1.4,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{py}-H\right), 7.12-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H), 7.32\left(\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.9,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right)$, $7.36\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.2,1.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right), 7.54\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.3,1.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right), 7.80\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 1.32,8.4\right.$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 21.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, $23.6\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 23.6\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right.\right.$, $23.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $24.4\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 27.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right.$, $28.1\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 33.2\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)\right.$, $72.0\left(C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, 115.1, 115.8 120.8, 123.5, 123.5, $124.65,125.2,126.5,126.8,128.1,129.0,132.1,132.8,134.7,138.2,138.7,141.3,141.9$, 152.1, 160.9, 167.7. ESI MS: $m / z: 611[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{MeCN}]^{+}$. FAB MS $m / z: 604[\mathrm{M}]^{+}, 569[\mathrm{M}-$ $\mathrm{Cl}]^{+}$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : $3299(\mathrm{NH}), 2963(\mathrm{CH}), 1588\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{\text {pyridine }}\right)$. Anal calcd for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OClPd} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot 1 / 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ : C, 57.32 ; H, 5.89; N, 3.93. Found: C, 57.14; H, 4.00; N, 4.27\%.

### 5.2.16 Synthesis of 4c



A 50 ml round bottom flask equipped with stirrer bar was loaded with $\mathbf{4 a}(0.045 \mathrm{~g}, 0.072$ mmol ) and a saturated aqueous solution of sodium iodide ( 10 mL ) added. After stirring vigorously at room temperature overnight the organic phase was separated and the aqueous phase was washed with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). All organic extracts were combined and dried over magnesium sulphate. Following filtering, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure affording $\mathbf{4 c}$ as orange red solid $(0.041 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%)$. Orange-red coloured crystals were grown by slow diffusion of petroleum ether into a dichloromethane solution of the complex. Mp > $300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomposed). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.78\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}\right.$ $7.0,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)^{\mathrm{a}} 2,1.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right), 1.26\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.7,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)^{\mathrm{b}}\right), 1.46(\mathrm{~d}$, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.3,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)^{\mathrm{b}}, 1.48\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.0,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}\right), 2.12\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.96\right.$ (sept, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H^{\mathrm{b}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.55$ (sept, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 6.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{NHC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 6.72\left(\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.3,8.2,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right), 6.94\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.4,1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, py-H), $7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ $H$ ), $7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H), 7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) 7.51\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.2,1.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right), 7.70(\mathrm{~m}$,
$2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H), 7.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 23.0,24.9,25.1$, $25.2,25.5,29.0,29.6,34.5,74.5,116.8,122.4,124.4,124.9,125.9,126.7,127.6,127.8$, $128.4,129.4,130.5,133.8,135.2,136.6,139.6,139.7,142.1,142.0,142.2,143.7,153.1$, 161.8, 167.7. ESI MS $m / z: 611[M-I+M e C N]^{+}$. FAB MS $m / z: 569[M-I]^{+}$.

### 5.2.17 Synthesis of 5a



5a

A small Schlenk flask equipped with stir bar was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen and loaded with $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.024 \mathrm{~g}, 0.108 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{HL4}(0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 0.108 \mathrm{mmol})$ and dry toluene ( 10 mL ). After overnight stirring at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction mixture was cooled down to room temperature and 2-hydroxypyridine ( Hhp ) ( $0.010 \mathrm{~g}, 0.108 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) added. After stirring overnight at room temperature the reaction mixture was filtered through a celite pad and the pad thoroughly washed with dichloromethane. The filtrate was concentrated and dried under reduced pressure forming a red solid $(0.059 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%)$. Orange-red coloured crystals suitable for and X-ray determination were grown by slow diffusion of petroleum ether into a dichloromethane solution of the complex. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 0.72$ (d, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.8,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.12\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.3,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, 1.26 (d, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.0,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.42\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.8,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.44$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}-$ $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.49$ ( sept, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.5,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 4.27\left(\mathrm{sept}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.81 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 5.48(\mathrm{td}$, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.5,1.4,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 6.13$ (dd, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.6,0.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 6.72\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 5.8,1.64,1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, pyH), 7.79 (dd, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.2,7.2,1 \mathrm{H}$, Ar-H), 6.97-7.03 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.11 (t, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.7,1 \mathrm{H}$, py-H), 7.20-7.25 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.34 (dd, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.2,1.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 7.46-7.49 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.60 (dd, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.4,1.7$, Ar-H), 7.85-7.93 (m, 2H, py-H), 10.38 (s, 1H, NH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 22.9\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 25.0\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 25.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.9\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 28.6\right.\right.\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $28.8\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 33.3\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 72.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 106.8,108.5,115.6,115.8,116.9 \text {, }}\right.\right.\right.$
$121.4,124.3,125.3,126.1,127.5,128.4,129.7,129.9,132.9,133.9,134.7,136.6,137.9$, 138.9, 140.4, 144.9, 145.1, 147.4, 154.2, 170.2, 170.8. FAB MS m/z: $664[\mathrm{M}]^{+}, 569[\mathrm{M}-$ Hhp] ${ }^{+}$. ESI MS: $m / z: 664\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$.

### 5.2.18 Synthesis 5b



5b

Employing a similar procedure to that described for $\mathbf{5 a}$ using $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.024 \mathrm{~g}, 0.108$ mmol), HL4 ( $0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 0.108 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 6-methyl-2-hydroxypyridine (Hmhp) gave $\mathbf{5 b}$ as a red solid ( $0.061 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%$ ). Red blocks suitable for an X-ray diffraction study could be grown by slow diffusion of hexane into a dichloromethane solution of the complex. Mp: > $260^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.81\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.7,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 0.85\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.4,3 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.19\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.6,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.45\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.7,3 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.74\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.54\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right), 3.49\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 5.53(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Hmhp}-\mathrm{H}\right), 6.15$ (d, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Hmhp}-H\right), 6.76$ (dd, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.3,8.1,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Hmhp}-$ $H), 6.98$ (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.09 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.40 (dd, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.2,1.7,1 \mathrm{H}, ~ A r-H\right), 7.48$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H), 7.62\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.2,1.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right), 7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H), 9.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$. ESI MS $m / z: 678[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$. FABMS $m / z: 678[\mathrm{M}]^{+}, 569[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{mhp}]^{+}$. Anal calcd for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Pd} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \mathrm{C}, 64.17$; H, 5.87; N, 4.92. Found: C, 64.71; H, 6.46; N, 5.81\%.

### 5.2.19 Synthesis of 5c



Employing a similar procedure to that described for $\mathbf{5 a}$ using $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.024 \mathrm{~g}, 0.108$ mmol), HL4 ( $0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 0.108 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-chloro-6-hydroxypyridine (Hchp) gave $\mathbf{5 c}$ as a red solid ( $0.061 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%$ ). Red blocks of $\mathbf{5 c}$ suitable for an X-ray diffraction study could be grown by slow diffusion of hexane into a dichloromethane solution of the complex. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.77\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.9,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.88\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.9,3 \mathrm{H}\right.\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right), 1.21\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.6,3 \mathrm{H}\right), 1.31\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.50\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.6,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.38$ (sept, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.5,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ ), 3.62 (sept, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ ), $5.80\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}\right.$ $6.5,1 \mathrm{H}$, Pyridone-H), 6.20 (dd, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.6,1.0, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 6.76$ (dd, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.2,1.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right)$, 6.95-7.17 (m, 8H, Ar-H), 7.37 (dd, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.2,1.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 7.54 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.61 (dd, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.2,1.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 9.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 23.6\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 24.8\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 24.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 25.0\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 25.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 28.2\right.\right.\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 28.5\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 34.1\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 73.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, ~ 106.8, ~ 113.6, ~ 115.7, ~ 116.6, ~}^{\text {, }}\right.\right.\right.\right.$ $121.5,124.6,124.8,125.6,125.7,127.0,127.5,128.9,129.8,133.1,133.9,136.3,139.0$, 139.1, 140.0, 143.3, 143.8, 147.5, 153.6, 162.2, 169.7, 171.7. ESI MS m/z: $698[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. FABMS $m / z: 698[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 569[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{chp}]^{+}$.

### 5.2.20 Synthesis 5d



Employing a similar procedure to that described for $\mathbf{5 a}$ using $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.024 \mathrm{~g}, 0.108$ mmol), HL4 ( $0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 0.108 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 6-fluoro-2-hydroxypyridine (Hfhp) ( $0.012 \mathrm{~g}, 0.108$ $\mathbf{m m o l}$ ) gave $\mathbf{5 d}$ as a red solid $(0.065 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%)$. Red-orange blocks of $\mathbf{5 d}$ suitable for an Xray diffraction study could be grown by slow diffusion of hexane into a dichloromethane solution of the complex. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.73\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.8,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.91$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.4,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.16\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.7,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right), 1.50\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.7,3 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.39 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.34 (sept, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.5,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ ), 3.78 (sept, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.7,1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right), 5.28\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.4,1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, pyridone-H), $5.99\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.5,1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, pyridone-H), $6.69(\mathrm{dd}$, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.2,7.2,1 \mathrm{H}$, pyridone-H), $6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.25\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.2\right.$, 1.7, $1 \mathrm{H}, ~ A r-H), 7.41\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, py-H), $7.55\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.3,1.6,1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, py-H), $7.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H})$, $9.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 23.2\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 24.8\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right.\right.$, $25.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 25.3\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 28.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 28.6\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 33.6,72.8\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 89.1\right.\right.\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 89.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 111.1,115.8,116.6,121.5,123.8,125.0,125.5,125.8,127.1 \text {, }}^{\text {, }}\right.\right.$ 127.7, 129.0, 129.5, 133.2, 134.2, 136.0, 138.9, 140.0, 140.5, 140.6, 143.9, 144.4, 153.6, 161.9, 163.7, 170.1, 170.6. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 69.27 .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR: $\delta 69.26$ (F, $J_{\mathrm{FH}} 8.4$ ). ESI MS $m / z: 682[\mathrm{M}]^{+}, 610$ [M-fhp+MeCN], 569 [M-fhp], TofMS: calcd 682.2061, found : 682.2093. Anal calcd for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}\right)$ : C, $65.15 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.61 ; \mathrm{N}, 6.16$. Found: C, 65.12; H, 5.62; N, 6.13\%.

### 5.2.21 Synthesis of 6



A small Schlenk flask equipped with stir bar was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen and loaded with $\mathbf{4 a}(0.040 \mathrm{~g}, 0.064 \mathrm{mmol})$, pentafluorophenol ( $0.012 \mathrm{~g}, 0.064 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dry toluene $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. After overnight stirring at room temperature, the reaction mixture was dried under reduced pressure to afford 6 as red solid $(0.042 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 0.95\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.8,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.18\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.6,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.32\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}\right.$ $\left.6.6,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.64\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.6, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.06-3.14\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 6.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.70\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.2,7.2,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\right.$ H), 6.93 (dd, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.5,2.3,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{py}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.06$ (dd, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.6,1.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.13-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, Ar-H), 7.28-7.30 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.56 (dd, $J_{\text {нH }} 8.4,1.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 7.85-7.86 (m, 2H, pyH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 22.7\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 24.1\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 24.2\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right.\right.\right.$, $25.3\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 28.9\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} 29.2\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 34.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)}\right)\right.\right.\right.$ 115.7, 115.9, 121.7, $123.0,124.3,125.8,125.9,127.0,127.5,127.8,128.8,129.0,130.0,133.6,134.3,135.2$, 138.5, 139.7, 142.2, 142.7, 154.1, 169.5. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-178.55(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{~F}),-168.92\left(\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{FH}} 24,2 \mathrm{~F}\right),-162.32\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{FH}} 24,8,2 \mathrm{~F}\right)$. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $3299(\mathrm{NH}), 2962(\mathrm{CH})$, 1596 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{\text {pyridine }}$ ), 1499 ( 983 (CF). Anal calcd for ( $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}$ ): C, 60.60; H, 5.68; N, 3.72. Found: C, 60.75; H, 4.73; N, 3.75\%.

### 5.2.22 Synthesis of 7a



7a

A small Schlenk flask equipped with stir bar was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen and loaded with $\mathbf{4 a}(0.030 \mathrm{~g}, 0.048 \mathrm{mmol})$, 2-iodobenzoic acid ( $0.012 \mathrm{~g}, 0.048 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dry toluene ( 10 mL ). After overnight stirring at room temperature, the reaction mixture was dried under reduced pressure to afford 7 a as a yellow-orange solid ( $0.030 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%$ ). Redorange blocks of 7a suitable for an X-ray diffraction study could be grown by slow diffusion of hexane into a dichloromethane solution of the complex. Mp. $>270{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 0.80\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.6,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.00\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.3,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.18$ (d, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.6,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.23\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.68\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.7,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.34$ (s, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.17$ (sept, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.88$ (sept, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, 6.71 ( $\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 6.83-6.87 (m, 2H, Ar/Py-H), 6.96-7.17 (m, 7H, Ar-H), 7.277.29 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.44 (dd, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.2,1.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.47$ (dd, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.7,1.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right)$, 7.68-7.70 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.73-7.77 (m, 3H, Ar/Py-H), 8.18 (s, 1H, NH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 21.9\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 23.7\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 24.3\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 24.4\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)\right.$, $24.7\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 27.4\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 28.0\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 32.6\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 71.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)}\right)$, 115.2, 115.7, 120.6 (CH), 123.9 (C), 124.4, 124.6, 125.2, 126.0, 126.5, 126.9, 128.1, 128.8, 129.1, 129.7132 .3 (CH), 132.6, 134.7 (C), 138.0 (CH), 138.7 (C), 139.0 (CH), 140.1, $142.8,143.4,153.2,161.6,169.0,173.5(\mathrm{C})$. ESIMS $m / z: 817[\mathrm{M}]^{+}, 610\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{OOCC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right]^{+}$. FABMS $m / z: 817[\mathrm{M}]^{+}, 610\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{OOCC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{I}\right]^{+}$.

### 5.2.23 Synthesis of 7b



7b

To a small glass vial was added $\mathbf{4 a}(0.010 \mathrm{~g}, 0.016 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the contents dissolved in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ forming in an orange solution. To this solution was added trifluoroacetic acid ( $0.002 \mathrm{~g}, 0.016 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$.) and the reaction mixture sonicated for 15 min . The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum was recorded and showed complete consumption of the starting material. The reaction mixture was filtered through a celite plug and the filtrate layered with petroleum ether forming $\mathbf{7 b}$ as red crystals ( $0.008 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%$ ). Mp. > $300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 0.87\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.0,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.25\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.3,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.30$ (d, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.7,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.31\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.68\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.0,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.41$ (s, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.13$ (sept, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.0,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.52$ (sept, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)^{2}$, $6.83\left(\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 6.96\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 5.9,2.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.12\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.8,1.6,1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, Ar-H), 7.17 (dd, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.4,1.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.25$ (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.32 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.40 (dd, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.0,1.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.56$ (dd, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.2,1.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.63$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 7.74 (m, 2H, Ar-H), $7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar-H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 22.8,24.6,25.1$, $25.6,28.2,28.9,33.7,72.8,116.7,116.9,122.0,125.2,125.9,126.5,127.6,128.9,129.8$, 133.4, 134.9, 139.1, 139.4, 143.3, 143.8, 153.8, 161.8, 169.9. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3299 (NH), 1596 $\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{\text {pyridine }}\right) . \mathrm{ESIMS} m / z: 569\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COO}\right]^{+}, 610\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COO}+\mathrm{MeCN}\right]^{+}$. FABMS $m / z:$ $569\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COO}\right]^{+}$.

### 5.2.24 Synthesis of 7c



7c

To a small glass vial was added $4 \mathbf{a}(0.010 \mathrm{~g}, 0.016 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the contents dissolved in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ forming in an orange solution. To this solution was added triflic acid ( $14 \mu 1$, $0.016 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$.) and the reaction mixture sonicated for 15 min . The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum was recorded and showed complete consumption of the starting material. The reaction mixture was filtered through a celite plug and the filtrate layered with petroleum ether forming 7c as red/orange crystals ( $0.009 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ ). Mp. > $300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 0.93\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 5.9,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.17\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.53\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.0,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.18$ (sept, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.9, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.55$ (sept, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.0, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 6.74(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.85\left(\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.0,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH})$, $7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 376 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 77.62$. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $3299(\mathrm{NH}), 1596\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{\text {pyridine }}\right)$. ESIMS $m / z: 569\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right]^{+}$, $149\left[\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right]$. FABMS m/z: $569\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right]^{+}, 149\left[\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right]$.

### 5.2.25 Synthesis of 8



To a small glass vial was added $\mathbf{4 a}(0.010 \mathrm{~g}, 0.016 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the contents dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ forming in an orange solution. To this solution was silver triflate $(0.020 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.040 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{eq}$. ) and the reaction mixture sonicated for 15 min and left for three days at room temperature. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum was recorded and showed complete consumption of the starting material. The reaction mixture was filtered through a celite plug and the filtrate layered with petroleum ether forming 8 as yellow orange crystals ( $0.011 \mathrm{~g}, 79 \%$ ). Mp. > $300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomposed). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): 0.87 (d, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.7,3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.23\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.7,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.29$ (d, $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.2,3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.60\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.7,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.15$ (sept, $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.7$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.60\left(\mathrm{sept}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.0,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 6.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH})$, $7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) 7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.04$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 77.47$. ESIMS: $m / z 569\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right]^{+}, 149\left[\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right]$. TofMS: calcd 719.1410, found $719.1429\left[\mathbf{L 4 P d O}_{3} \mathrm{SCF}_{3}\right]^{+}+$

### 5.2.26 Synthesis of 9a



9a

To a small glass vial was added $\mathbf{4 b}(0.010 \mathrm{~g}, 0.016 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the contents dissolved in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ forming in an orange solution. To this solution was silver triflate ( 0.006 $\mathrm{g}, 0.022 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.4 \mathrm{eq}$. .) and the reaction mixture sonicated for 15 min and left for three days at room temperature. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum was recorded and showed complete consumption of the starting material. The reaction mixture was filtered through a celite plug and the filtrate layered with petroleum ether forming $9 \mathbf{a}$ as yellow orange crystals $(0.010$ g, $83 \%$ ). Mp. $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.92\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.7,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, $1.25\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.0,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right)$, $1.35\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}} 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, $1.48\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.1,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.01\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right), 2.07$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{MeCN}$ ), 3.16 (sept, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.0,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.24\left(\mathrm{sept}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 6.84(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.05(\mathrm{t}$,
$\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.63\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}\right.$ $7.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.26\left(\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.0,1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ArH}\right) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}(376 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 77.33$. ESIMS: $m / z 610\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right]^{+}, 149\left[\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right]$. FABMS $m / z: 610[\mathrm{M}-$ $\left.\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right]^{+}$

### 5.2.27 Synthesis of 9b



9b

To a small glass vial was added $\mathbf{4 b}(0.010 \mathrm{~g}, 0.016 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the contents dissolved in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ forming in an orange solution. To this solution was silver hexafluorophosphate ( $0.006 \mathrm{~g}, 0.022 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.4 \mathrm{eq}$.) and the reaction mixture sonicated for 15 min and left for three days at room temperature. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum was recorded and showed complete consumption of the starting material. The reaction mixture was filtered through a celite plug and the filtrate layered with petroleum ether forming $\mathbf{9 b}$ as a yellow orange solid ( $0.009 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%$ ). Mp. > $270{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomposed). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.05\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.0,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.30\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.7,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.35\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}\right.$ $\left.7.2,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.36$ (s, 3H, NHC(CH3)), $1.60\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.7,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.05$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ ), 3.15 (sept, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.49$ (sept, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.0,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)^{2}$, 6.35 (br s, 1H, NH), 6.93 (dd, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.2,7.0,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.29 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.36 (m, 5 H , ArH), 7.58 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.78 (dd, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.6,1.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 8.13 (dd, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.4,7.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 8.21 (dd, $\left.J_{\text {HH }} 8.0,2.0,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 20.7,22.3,22.4$, $22.7,22.8,26.7,27.4,32.0,116.3,117.4,121.4,122.8,123.3,124.5,125.7,126.4,126.5$, 127.1, 127.3, 128.8, 132.0, 132.7, 140.0, 140.3, 141.0, 151.1, 156.2, 168.6. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 72.93$ ( $\mathrm{JPF}_{\mathrm{PF}} 706.9$ ). FABMS: $m / z 610\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{+}$.

### 5.2.28 Synthesis of 9c



To a small glass vial was added $\mathbf{4 b}(0.010 \mathrm{~g}, 0.016 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the contents dissolved in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ forming in an orange solution. To this solution was silver tetrafluoroborate ( $0.005 \mathrm{~g}, 0.024 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.4 \mathrm{eq}$.) and the reaction mixture sonicated for 15 min and left for three days at room temperature. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum was recorded and showed complete consumption of the starting material. The reaction mixture was filtered through a celite plug and the filtrate layered with petroleum ether forming 9 c as a yellow orange solid ( $0.010 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%$ ). Mp. > $300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 1.05\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}\right.$ $\left.6.7,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.30\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.7,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.35\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}} 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.59$ (d, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.0,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.14\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right), 3.08$ (sept, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.2,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.41$ (sept, $\left.\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)\right) 6.93$ (dd, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.2,7.0,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.37$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.57\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.2,2.0,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.78\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.2,1.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 8.13(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $8.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 151.84$. ESIMS: $m / z$ 569 [M-MeCN-BF4] ${ }^{+}$.

### 5.3 Chapter 3 Experimental

### 5.3.1 Synthesis of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 5 \mathrm{a}$



A Schlenk flask equipped with stir bar was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen and charged with 2-(3'-phenyl-2'-phenoxy)-6-acetylpyridine ( $0.320 \mathrm{~g}, 1.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and toluene $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. A 2 M trimethylaluminium solution in toluene ( $2.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{eq}$.$) was$ added dropwise and the solution stirred and heated to $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . On cooling to room temperature, deionised water ( 10 mL ) was added very slowly followed by stirring for 2 h at room temperature. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). All organic extracts were combined and dried over magnesium sulfate. Following filtration, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure affording $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L} 5 \mathrm{a}$ as a pale yellow-brown solid $(0.330 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%)$. Mp. $230{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.57\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.01(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 6.90\left(\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.09\right.$ (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.16 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.26 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.29 (dd, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.4,1.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right)$, 7.36 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.49 (dd, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.4,2.3,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.59$ (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.73 (dd, $J_{\mathrm{HH}}$ 8.0, 1.7, 1H, Ar-H), $7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 14.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{OH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 28.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 71.2\left(C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 114.7,115.4,116.5,117.0,123.7,124.8,125.9$, 127.4, 128.8, 130.4, 136.4, 154.6, 154.9, 162.0. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $2972(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}), 2563(\mathrm{OH}), 1569$ ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{\text {pyridine }}$ ). ESI MS: $m / z 306[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}], 304[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}], 305$ [M]. TOFMS calcd 306.1494, found 306.1497.

### 5.3.2 Synthesis of $\mathbf{H}_{2} L 5 b$



Two small Schlenk flasks equipped with stir bars were evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen. One flask was charged with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(0.103 \mathrm{~g}, 2.724 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{eq}$.) in dry THF ( 10 mL ) and the resulting suspension cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring. The second flask was charged with 2-(3'-phenyl-2'-phenoxy)-6-formylpyridine ( $0.150 \mathrm{~g}, 0.545 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$.) and dry THF $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and its contents transferred by cannula to the cooled $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ suspension. The combined reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature for 20 mins and the progress of reaction monitored by ESIMS. After completion of the reaction, water ( 0.6 mL ) was added carefully followed by chloroform ( 20 mL ) and more water ( 30 mL ). The aqueous phase was separated and extracted with chloroform. All organic extracts were combined and dried over magnesium sulphate. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to give $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 b}$ as a light orange solid $(0.094 \mathrm{~g}, 63 \%)$. Mp. 210-220 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 4.52\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)\right), 6.83\left(\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ArH ), 7.33 (t, 8.0, 2H, ArH), $7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 14.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 63.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 116.8,117.4,117.8,124.8,125.9,127.1$, $128.5,130.1,131.5,137.4,137.5,156.0,156.1,156.2$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2972(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}), 2563(\mathrm{OH})$, 1569 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{\text {pyridine }}$ ). ESI MS: $m / z 278[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$. TOF MS(ES + ): calcd [M+H] 278.1181, found 278.1192.

### 5.3.3 Synthesis of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 5 \mathrm{c}$



A Schlenk flask equipped with stir bar was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen and charged with 2-phenoxy-6-acetylpyridine ( $0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.469 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and toluene ( 10 mL ). A 2 M trimethylaluminium solution in toluene ( $1.172 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.345 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added dropwise and the solution stirred and heated to $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . On cooling to room temperature, deionised water ( 10 mL ) was added very slowly followed by stirring for 2 h at room temperature. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). All organic extracts were combined and dried over magnesium sulfate. Following filtration, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure affording $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 c}$ as a pale yellow-brown solid ( $0.095 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%$ ). Mp. $210{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400
$\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.50\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.59(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 6.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ArH ), 7.19 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.46 (d, $J_{\text {H }} 7.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.68 (m, 3H, ArH), 13.65 (br s, 1H, $\mathrm{OH})$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : $2972(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}), 2563(\mathrm{OH}), 1569\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{\text {pyridine }}\right)$. ESI MS: $m / z 229[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$.

### 5.3.4 Synthesis of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 5 \mathrm{~d}$



A Schlenk flask equipped with stir bar was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen and charged with 2-(5'-t-butyl-2'-phenoxy)-6-acetylpyridine ( $0.300 \mathrm{~g}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and toluene ( 10 mL ). A 2 M trimethylaluminium solution in toluene ( $2.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.569 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{eq}$. ) was added dropwise and the solution stirred and heated to $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . On cooling to room temperature, deionised water ( 10 mL ) was added very slowly followed by stirring for 2 h at room temperature. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). All organic extracts were combined and dried over magnesium sulfate. Following filtration, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure affording $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L} 5 \mathbf{d}$ as pale yellow-brown solid $(0.231 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \%)$. Mp. $215{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.27\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.58\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.30$ (br. s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$ ), 6.87 (d, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.5,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 7.28 (dd, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.6,2.5,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.47$ (dd, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.4,1.3,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{H}\right)$, $7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} / \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{H}), 14.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 30.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $31.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 34.2,73.6,116.7,117.3,117.9,118.1,122.8,128.9,138.6,141.5,157.0,157.3$, 164.3. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $2972(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}), 2563(\mathrm{OH}), 1569\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{\text {pyridine }}\right)$. ESI MS: $m / z 286$ [M+], 284 [M-2H]. TOF MS(ASAP+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$286.1807, found 286.1807.

### 5.3.5 Synthesis of 10a



10a

A small Schlenk flask equipped with stir bar was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen and loaded with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 a}(0.065 \mathrm{~g}, 0.215 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.048 \mathrm{~g}, 0.215 \mathrm{mmol})$ and dry toluene ( 10 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 days at room temperature resulting in a pale yellow suspension. The solid was allowed to settle for 30 min and washed with hexane ( 5 mL ). The solid was then dissolved in excess of DCM and filtered through celite. Solvent was removed from filtrate to get 10a as pale yellow solid ( $0.110 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%$ ). Mp. > $220{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomposed). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.45$ (s, $12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ), 6.63-6.69 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.15 (dd, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.0,1.7,2 \mathrm{H}, ~ A r-H\right), ~ 7.19-7.24$ (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.29-7.33 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 7.41-7.44 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.55-7.58 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.65-7.68 (m, 4H, Ar-H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): Sample insufficiently soluble. ESI MS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 821$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 862[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{MeCN}]^{+}, 900[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{MeCN}]^{+}$. FAB MS $m / z: 410[1 / 2 \mathrm{M}]^{+}$.

### 5.3.6 Synthesis of $10 b$



10b

A small Schlenk flask equipped with stir bar was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen and loaded with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 b}(0.065 \mathrm{~g}, 0.235 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.053 \mathrm{~g}, 0.235 \mathrm{mmol})$ and dry toluene ( 10 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 days at room temperature resulting in pale yellow suspension. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 days at room temperature resulting in a pale yellow suspension. The solid was allowed to settle for 30 min and washed with hexane ( 5 mL ). The solid was then dissolved in excess of DCM and filtered through celite. Solvent was removed from filtrate to get $\mathbf{1 0 b}$ as pale yellow solid. ( $0.129 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \%$ ).
$\mathrm{Mp} .>220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomposed). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 4.54$ (s, 2H, $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ ), 6.12 (d, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.0,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 6.72$ ( $\left.\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H})$, 7.53 (m, 6H, Ar-H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): Sample insufficiently soluble. ESI MS: $m / z 764[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. FAB MS $m / z: 764[\mathrm{M}]^{+}, 599$ [(L5b) $\left.)_{2} \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{OAc}\right], 640$ [[(L5b) $)_{2} \mathrm{Pd}-$ $\mathrm{OAc}+\mathrm{MeCN}]$.

### 5.3.7 Synthesis of 11a



11a

A small Schlenk flask equipped with stir bar was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen and loaded with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 c}(0.065 \mathrm{~g}, 0.284 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.063 \mathrm{~g}, 0.284 \mathrm{mmol})$ and dry toluene ( 10 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 days at room temperature resulting in a pale yellow suspension. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 days at room temperature resulting in a pale yellow suspension. The solid was allowed to settle for 30 min and washed with hexane ( 5 mL ). The solid was then dissolved in excess of DCM and filtered through celite. Solvent was removed from filtrate to get 11a as pale yellow solid. ( $0.080 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%$ ). Mp. > $240{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomposed). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ): $\delta 1.52$ (s, 6H, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 6.63\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.4,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 6.85$ (d, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.62$ (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.81 (d, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.2,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), $7.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): sample insufficiently soluble. ESI MS: 379 [L5cPd+MeCN], TofMS: 669.0058 [1/2M] ${ }^{+}$.

### 5.3.8 Synthesis of 11b



A small Schlenk flask equipped with stir bar was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen and loaded with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 d}(0.065 \mathrm{~g}, 0.227 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.051 \mathrm{~g}, 0.227 \mathrm{mmol})$ and dry toluene ( 10 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 days at room temperature resulting in a pale yellow suspension. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 days at room temperature resulting in a pale yellow suspension. The solid was allowed to settle for 30 min and washed with hexane ( 5 mL ). The solid was then dissolved in excess of DCM and filtered through celite. Solvent was removed from filtrate to get 11b as pale yellow solid. ( $0.070 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%$ ). Mp. > $250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomposed). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.57\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.41\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.2,2.3,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.47$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.57\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.94\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}, 2.3,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (100 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): sample insufficiently soluble. ESI MS 431 [L5dPd+MeCN], Tof(asap) 431.8749 [ $\mathrm{L} 5 \mathrm{dPd}+\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{MeCN}$ ]

### 5.3.9 Synthesis of 12a



A small Schlenk flask equipped with stir bar was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen and loaded with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 a}(0.030 \mathrm{~g}, 0.098 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.022 \mathrm{~g}, 0.098 \mathrm{mmol})$, pyridine $(0.008 \mathrm{~g}, 0.108 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq})$ and dry toluene $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred
for 2 days at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and all volatiles removed under reduced pressure to afford 12a as a brown solid ( $0.043 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%$ ). Red-orange blocks of 12a suitable for an X-ray diffraction study could be grown by slow diffusion of hexane into a dichloromethane solution of the complex. $\mathrm{Mp} .>230{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomposed) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 6.36(\mathrm{dd}, 7.6,1.1,1 \mathrm{H}$, Ar-H), 6.49 (m, 2H, ArH), 6.78 (tt, 7.6, 1.6, 1H, ArH), 6.85 (dd, 7.0, 8.2, 1H, ArH), 7.00 (t, 1H, 7.8, ArH), 7.34 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.51 (dd, 1H, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.0,1.7, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.62 (dd, 1H, 8.4, 1.6, ArH), 7.79 (m, 2H, ArH), $8.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 32.0 $\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 82.3\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 114.2,115.6,118.5,123.1(\mathrm{CH}), 23.29(\mathrm{C}), 125.0,126.5$, 128.5, 129.2, 131.0 (CH), 133.8(C), 136.9 (CH), 140.6 (C), $148.7(\mathrm{CH}), 151.9,161.2,177.4$ (C). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1548\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{\text {pyridine }}\right)$. ESI MS (MeCN): $m / z 489[\mathrm{M}]^{+}, 451[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Py}+\mathrm{MeCN}]^{+}$, $941[2 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Py}+\mathrm{MeCN}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 979[2 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} . \mathrm{FAB}$ MS: $m / z 489[\mathrm{M}]^{+}, 978[2 \mathrm{M}]^{+}$.

### 5.3.9 Synthesis of 12b



12b

A small Schlenk flask equipped with stir bar was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen and loaded with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5} \mathbf{a}(0.030 \mathrm{~g}, 0.098 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.022 \mathrm{~g}, 0.098 \mathrm{mmol})$, 3,5dichloropyridine ( $0.016 \mathrm{~g}, 0.108 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and dry toluene $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 days at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and all volatiles removed under reduced pressure to afford 12b as a brown solid ( $0.045 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \%$ ). Red-orange blocks of $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ suitable for an X-ray diffraction study were grown by slow diffusion of hexane into a dichloromethane solution of the complex. Mp. > $270{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomposed). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.45$ (s, 6H, C( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$,), 6.63-6.69 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 6.87 (dd, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 5.5,3.4,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.15\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.0,1.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.4,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.35-7.36$ (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.41-7.44 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.50-7.52 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.57 (dd, $\left.J_{\text {HH }} 8.4,1.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.65-7.66$ (m, 2H, Ar/py-H), 7.76 (m, 1H, Ar/pyH), 8.52 (d, 2.1, 1H, Ar-H). ESI MS: $m / z 558[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$.

### 5.3.10 Synthesis of 12c



A small Schlenk flask equipped with stir bar was evacuated, backfilled with nitrogen and loaded with 10a ( $0.030 \mathrm{~g}, 0.037 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3,5-lutidine ( $0.008 \mathrm{~g}, 0.072 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2eq.) and toluene $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 days. Following celite filtration, all volatiles were removed under pressure to afford $\mathbf{1 2 c}$ as a red solid $(0.030 \mathrm{~g}$, $80 \%$ ). Mp. > $220{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomposed). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right.$, $1.93\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right.$ ), $6.64\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.2,7.0, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 6.78\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.0,2.0, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.19$ (m, 4H, ArH), 7.46 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.63 (m, 2H, ArH), 8.01 (s, 2H, PyH), 8.21 (s, 1H, PyH). ESI MS: $m / z 517[\mathrm{M}]^{+}, 559[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{MeCN}]$

### 5.3.11 Synthesis of 13a



A small Schlenk flask equipped with stir bar was evacuated, backfilled with nitrogen and loaded with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 5 a}(0.030 \mathrm{~g}, 0.098 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.022 \mathrm{~g}, 0.098 \mathrm{mmol}), 2-$ hydroxypyridine (Hhp) ( $0.010 \mathrm{~g}, 0.108 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq}$.$) and toluene (10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 days. All volatiles were removed under pressure to afford 13a as a red solid $(0.041 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%) . \mathrm{Mp} .>280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomposed). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.77\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 6.08-6.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 6.49-6.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 6.78$
(dd, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.1,7.2,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 6.94$ (t, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 4.2,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.27$ (dd, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.1,1.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right)$, 7.35-7.44 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 7.48-7.51 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.64 (dd, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.2,1.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.81-$ 7.82 (m, 2H, Py-H), 15.75 (br. s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 32.0 $\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 84.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 113.1,113.5,115.9,116.7,120.3(\mathrm{CH}), 124.4(\mathrm{C}), 126.3,127.8 \text {, }}\right.$ 129.4, 130.1, $132.4(\mathrm{CH}), 134.9(\mathrm{C}), 138.5,140.3(\mathrm{CH}), 141.2(\mathrm{C}), 146.3(\mathrm{CH}), 152.8$, 161.6, 163.6, 163.9, 173.2 (C). ESI MS: $m / z 507$ [M+2H] ${ }^{+}$. FAB MS $m / z 505[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$.

### 5.3.12 Synthesis of 13b



Employing a similar procedure to that described for 13a using $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.022 \mathrm{~g}, 0.098$ mmol), HL5a ( $0.030 \mathrm{~g}, 0.098 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 6-chloro-2-hydroxypyridine (Hchp) ( 0.014 g , $0.098 \mathrm{mmol})$ gave 13b as a red solid $(0.047 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%)$. Red-orange blocks of 13b suitable for an X-ray diffraction study were grown by slow diffusion of hexane into a dichloromethane solution of the complex. Mp. $>220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomposed). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.53$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 5.90\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.4,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 5.98-6.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 6.17\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.4\right.$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 6.44-6.53$ (m, 2H, Py-H), 6.62 (t, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.5,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 6.80-6.87$ (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.07-7.15 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.21-7.30 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.80-7.82 (m, 2H, Py-H), 10.7 (br. s, 1H, OH). ESI MS: $m / z 451[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{chp}+\mathrm{MeCN}]^{+}$, FABMS: $m / z 451$ [M-chp+MeCN] ${ }^{+}$. Anal calcd for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}\right)$ : C, 59.77; H, 4.39; N, 5.55. Found: C, 59.38; H, 4.29; N, 5.53\%.

### 5.4 Experimental of Chapter 4

### 5.4.1 Synthesis of 6-phenyl-2-pyridone $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 8\right)$



A 10 mL round bottom flask equipped with stir bar and reflux condenser was loaded with 6-phenyl-2-methoxy pyridine ( $103 \mathrm{mg}, 0.557 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and aqueous $48 \% \mathrm{HBr}(2.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 22$ mmol, 40 eq ). The reaction mixture was stirred at reflux for 4 h . The solution was then allowed to cool to room temperature and neutralised with a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The product was extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Following filtration and drying under reduced pressure, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ was obtained as a white solid ( $62 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ). Crystals suitable for an X-ray determination were grown by prolonged standing of a methanol solution of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ at room temperature. Mp: 196-197 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 6.40\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}} 7.0,1 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.47\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}} 8.0,1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 10.35(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{NH}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 103.8(\mathrm{CH}), 117.7(\mathrm{CH})$, $125.7(\mathrm{CH}), 128.1(\mathrm{CH}), 129.0(\mathrm{CH}), 132.5(\mathrm{C}), 140.3(\mathrm{CH}), 146.0(\mathrm{C}), 164.3(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$. ESI MS: $m / z 172[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HR MS: Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NO}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$172.0762, found 172.0762. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3033,2857,2787,1739(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1643,1612$. The spectroscopic data were consistent with that previously reported. ${ }^{193}$

### 5.4.2 Synthesis of $\left.[(\mathrm{HL8})) \operatorname{Pd}\left(\mu-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COO}\right)\right]_{2}(14)$



A glass vial was loaded with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(78 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ eq) and dry toluene ( 6 mL ). The reaction mixture was sonicated for 15 min to allow complete dissolution of the solids affording a dark red solution. After standing at room temperature for three days orange crystals formed on the base of the vial. The toluene was decanted and the crystals of $\mathbf{1 4}$ were dried under reduced pressure ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ ). Crystals
of $\mathbf{1 4}$ suitable for the X-ray diffraction study were grown by slow diffusion of petroleum ether into a solution of the complex in dichloromethane. Mp. > $250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.27$ (s, 6H, CMe=O), 5.95 (d, $\left.J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}} 8.3,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{H}\right), 6.72(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{H}$, Ar- H), $6.84(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.28\left(\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.3,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{H}\right), 9.63(\mathrm{OH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 25.01(\mathrm{CMe}=\mathrm{O}), 109.2(\mathrm{CH}), 109.3(\mathrm{CH}), 122.6(\mathrm{CH}), 124.6(\mathrm{CH}), 128.2$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 129.0(\mathrm{CH}), 140.1(\mathrm{CH}), 145.1,147.3,161.4,164.9,184.4(\mathrm{C})$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3051$, 1680, 1620, 1570, 1552. Anal calcd for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Pd}_{2}\right)$ : C, $46.52 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.30 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.17$. Found: C, 46.61; H, 3.21; N, 4.23\%.

### 5.4.3. Synthesis of $\left[\operatorname{Pd}(\mu-\mathrm{HLS})\left(\kappa^{2}-\mathrm{HLS}\right)\right]_{2}$ (15)
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A small Schlenk flask that had been evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen was loaded with a stir bar, $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}(53 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{eq})$ and dry toluene ( 5 mL ). The resulting dark orange solution was left to stir at room temperature for three days affording a yellow suspension. The solution was decanted and the solid collected and dried under reduced pressure affording 15 as a yellow solid ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ). Single crystals of $\mathbf{1 5}$ suitable for an X-ray determination were grown by slow diffusion of hexane into a dichloromethane solution of the complex. Mp: > $250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: \delta 5.67$ (d, $\left.J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}} 8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{H}\right), 5.85\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}} 7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{H}\right), 6.46$ (d, $J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}} 7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Py-H), $6.66(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.08\left(\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}} 7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, Py-H), 7.37 (t, $J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}} 7.1$, 2 H ), 7.44 (t, $\left.J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}} 7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, ~ A r-H\right) 7.49$ (t, $J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}} 7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 7.97 (d, $J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}} 7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 12.35(\mathrm{OH}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 108.2$ (Py-CH), 109.7 (Py-CH), 113.8 (Ar-CH), 115.8 (Ar-CH), 122.6 (Ar-CH), 123.3 (Ar-CH), 127.9 (Ar-CH), 128.0 (Ar-CH), 128.4 (Py-CH), 129.3 (Ar-CH), 133.2 (Py-CH), 139.0 (Py-CH), 139.1 (Py-CH), 141.8, 145.6, 149.1, 158.9, 161.0, 166.5, 169.8 (C). IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3051, 1682, 1593, 1568. HRMS (ASAP): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Pd}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$893.0595, found 893.0577. Anal calcd for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Pd}\right)$ : C, $59.14 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.61 ; \mathrm{N}, 6.27$. Found: C, 58.84; H, 3.50; N, 6.18\%.

### 5.4.4 Synthesis of $\left[\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mu: \kappa^{2}-L 8\right)\right] 4$ (16)
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A glass vial was loaded with $\mathbf{1 4}(13.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0194 \mathrm{mmol})$ and dissolved in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The contents was transferred to an NMR tube and sonicated for 15 min affording a dark orange solution. This solution was then left to stand at room temperature and the reaction progress monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. After 3 days the resulting light orange solution was passed through a celite filter and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. Crystallisation of the orange residue from dichloromethane/hexane afforded orange needles of $\mathbf{1 6}(3.1 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.92$ (dd, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.6$, $0.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.4,1.0,1 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.7,1 \mathrm{H}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 105.6(\mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{CH}), 115.1(\mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{CH}), 123.4$ (ArCH), 127.4 (Ar-CH), 128.6 (Ar-CH), 131.1, (Ar-H), 138.3 (Py-CH), 145.5, 147.0, 159.9, 171.5 (C). Anal calcd for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Pd}_{4}\right)$ : C, 48.11; H, 2.61; N, 5.32. Found: C, 47.94; H, 2.56; N, 5.08\%.

### 5.4.5 Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}\left(\mathrm{K}^{2}-\mathrm{HL} 8\right)\left(\mathrm{K}^{1}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 8\right)\right](17)$



A glass vial was loaded with $(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(4.29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.017 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}(5.72 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.035 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{eq})$ and $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sonicated to dissolve all solid starting materials. The contents of the vial was transferred to an NMR tube and the progress of the reaction monitored using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. After 5 days of standing at room temperature a pink solid precipitated. This solid was filtered through a celite pad and the filtrate left to slowly evaporate. After several days pale yellow crystals of $\mathbf{1 7}$ were formed ( $4.7 \mathrm{mg}, 59 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 6.49$ (d, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.6,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79$
$(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91\left(\mathrm{td}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.0,2.0,1 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.98\left(\mathrm{td}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.0,1.1,1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.07\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.2,1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.22$ (dd, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.1,2.2,1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.57\left(\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.1,1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 13.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. FAB MS: $m / z 448$ [M-Cl]. Anal calcd for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}\right)$ : C, 54.68; H, 3.55; N, 5.80. Found: C, 54.88; H, 3.50; N, 6.11\%.

### 5.4.6 Synthesis of 3-bromo-6-phenyl-2-pyridone ( $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 8-3-\mathrm{Br}$ )




A clean dry 25 mL round bottom flask equipped with stir bar was loaded with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ $(0.074 \mathrm{~g}, 0.433 \mathrm{mmol})$, aqueous $48 \% \mathrm{HBr}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. On stirring for few minutes a thick white slurry was formed. To this slurry, bromine ( $0.073 \mathrm{~g}, 0.457 \mathrm{mmol}$, $1.06 \mathrm{eq})$ in glacial acetic acid ( 0.5 mL ) was added dropwise forming a yellow/orange suspension. After the addition of the bromine was complete, the suspension was stirred for an additional 10 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The ice bath was then removed and the suspension stirred at room temperature for 5 min followed by the addition of water ( 10 mL ) to form a cloudy suspension with a white solid. This suspension was stirred for another 1 h at room temperature and filtered using a Hirsch funnel affording $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}-3-\mathrm{Br}$ as a white solid. Following washing with cold water ( 40 mL ), the product was dried under reduced pressure overnight ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ ). Mp: $238-240{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $\delta 6.32$ (d, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.43$ (m, $3 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar-H}$ ), 7.57 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.78 (d, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H, Py-H), 10.19 (NH). Small peaks ( $c a .5 \%$ ) were seen on the baseline of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum corresponding to the 5 -substituted isomer. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ : $\delta 105.2$ (Py-CH), 114.4 (C), 126.9 (Ar-CH), 129.6 (Ar-CH), 130.7 (Ar-CH), 133.1 (C), 143.7 (Py-CH), 146.8 (C), 161.0 (C=O). IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2905, 2832, 1610, 1595, 1573, 752, 691. ESI MS: 250/252 [M+H] ${ }^{+}$. HRMS: Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{BrNO}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 249.9875$, found 249.9868.

### 5.4.7 Synthesis of 3,5-dibromo-6-phenyl-2-pyridone (HL8-3,5-Br2)



A small Schlenk flask equipped with stir bar was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ ( $42 \mathrm{mg}, 0.246 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ), NBS ( $132 \mathrm{mg}, 0.74 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and dry MeCN ( 5 mL ) were loaded into the flask. This vessel was sealed under a under nitrogen atmosphere, and the reaction mixture stirred and heated to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. On reaching the desired temperature a homogeneous orange solution formed. After 16 h at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the mixture was allowed cool to room temperature. The remaining residue was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 mL ) and passed through celite pad. The organic filtrate was washed with water ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and dried over magnesium sulphate. All volatiles were removed from the filtrate under reduced pressure affording $\mathrm{HL8}-3,5-\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ as an off-white solid ( $79 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ ). Mp: > 250 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. ESI MS: $330[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS: Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{NOBr}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 329.8974$, found 329.8953.

### 5.4.8 Synthesis of 6-(2-bromophenyl)-3,5-dibromo-2-pyridone (o-Br-L8-3,5-Br2)



A small Schlenk flask equipped with a stir bar was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}(42 \mathrm{mg}, 0.246 \mathrm{mmol})$, NBS $(132 \mathrm{mg}, 0.74 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(2.8$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05 \mathrm{eq})$ and dry acetonitrile ( 5 mL ) were loaded into the flask. The vessel was sealed under a nitrogen atmosphere and the reaction mixture stirred and heated to $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. On reaching $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the reaction mixture became a homogeneous solution. After 16 h , the vessel was cooled to room temperature. The residue was dissolved in of dichloromethane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and passed through a celite pad. The filtrate was washed with water ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and dried over magnesium sulphate. All volatiles were removed from
the filtrate under reduced pressure affording the crude product as a red solid. Purification by column chromatography using an 8:2 mixture dichloromethane to ethyl acetate as eluent gave o-Br-L8-3,5- $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ as a pale yellow solid ( $85 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ). Crystals suitable for an X -ray determination were grown by slow evaporation of a methanol solution of o-Br-L8-3,5-Br2. $\mathrm{Mp}:>270{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.2,1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.82(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , MeOD): $\delta 101.1$ (C), 116.2 (C), 123.1 (C), $129.0(\mathrm{CH}), 132.3(\mathrm{CH}), 133.2(\mathrm{CH}), 134.1$ (CH), 136.2 (C), 146.4 (C), 147.7 (CH), 160.1 (C=O). ESI MS: 408 [M+H]+. HRMS: Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{NOBr}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 407.8058$, found 407.8068.

### 5.4.9 Synthesis of 6-phenyl-3,5-dichloro-2-pyridone ( $\mathbf{L 8}-3,5-\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ )



L8-3,5-Cl ${ }_{2}$
A Schlenk flask equipped with a stir bar was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ ( $42 \mathrm{mg}, 0.246 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NCS ( $98 \mathrm{mg}, 0.738 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{eq}$ ), and dry MeCN ( 5 mL ) were loaded into the flask. The vessel was sealed under a nitrogen atmosphere and the reaction mixture stirred and heated to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. On reaching $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ all reagents had dissolved forming a dark orange solution. After 16 h , the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature affording a suspension. More acetonitrile ( 5 mL ) was added and the mixture filtered affording crude L8-3,5- $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as a dark brown solid. Purification by column chromatography using an 8:2 mixture dichloromethane to ethyl acetate as eluent gave $\mathbf{L 8}-3,5-\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as a pale yellow solid ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.61$ (s, 1 H ), 10.38 (br. s, 1 H , $\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): Sample insufficiently soluble. ESI MS: $m / z 239$ $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$. HRMS: Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{NOCl}_{3}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$, Calculated 239.9983, found 239.9984.

### 5.4.10 Synthesis of 6-(2-dichlorophenyl)-3,5-dichloro-2-pyridone (o-Cl-L8-3,5-Cl2)



A Schlenk flask equipped with a stir bar was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}(42 \mathrm{mg}, 0.246 \mathrm{mmol})$, NCS ( $98 \mathrm{mg}, 0.738 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{eq}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(2.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.012$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 0.05 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were loaded into the flask. The vessel was sealed under a nitrogen atmosphere and the reaction mixture stirred and heated to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. On reaching $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ all reagents had dissolved forming a dark orange solution. After 16 h , the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature affording a suspension. More acetonitrile ( 5 mL ) was added and the mixture filtered affording crude o-Cl-L8-3,5-Cl $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as a dark brown solid. Purification by column chromatography using an 8:2 mixture dichloromethane to ethyl acetate as eluent gave o-Cl-L8-3,5-Cl $l_{2}$ as a pale yellow solid ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%$ ). Crystals suitable for an X-ray determination were grown by slow evaporation of a methanol solution of o-Cl-L8-3,5-Cl ${ }_{2}{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 7.53 (m, 2H), 7.58 (m, 2H), 7.69 (s, 1H), 10.43 (br. s, 1H, NH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): Sample insufficiently soluble. ESI MS: m/z 274 [M] ${ }^{+}$. HRMS: Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{NOCl}_{3}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$, Calculated 273.9593, found 273.9582.

### 5.4.11 Synthesis of 6-(2-C6 $\left.\mathrm{H}_{5}\right)-5,5-\mathrm{F}_{2}-6-\mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-\mathbf{2}-\mathrm{O}$



6-(2-C $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)-5,5-\mathrm{F}_{2}-6-\mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-2-\mathrm{O}$

A Schlenk flask equipped with stir bar was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}$ ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.246 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), SelectFluor ( $264 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and dry acetonitrile ( 6 mL ) were loaded into the flask. The vessel was sealed under a nitrogen atmosphere and the reaction mixture stirred and heated to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. On reaching $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ a clear orange solution formed. After 16 h , the vessel was allowed to cool to room temperature. The solvent was
removed under reduced pressure affording a brown solid. Chloroform ( 10 mL ) was added to dissolve the DABCO by-product and the remaining solid filtered affording 6-(2- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)-$ $5,5-\mathrm{F}_{2}-6-\mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-2-\mathrm{O}$ as a fine yellow powder ( $49 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 6.22(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{OH}), 6.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): -98 (d, $J_{\mathrm{FF}} 279$ ), -118 (d, $J_{\mathrm{FF}} 279$ ). ESI MS: $m / z 225[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$, HRMS (ASAP): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{MeCN}+\mathrm{Na}-\mathrm{H}]^{+} 288.1370$.

### 5.4.12 Synthesis of 18



18
To a small glass vial was added $\mathbf{4 a}(0.010 \mathrm{~g}, 0.016 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the contents dissolved in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ forming an orange solution. To this solution was added $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}(0.003 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.016 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$.) and the reaction mixture sonicated for 15 min . The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum was recorded and showed $47 \%$ consumption of the starting material. The reaction mixture was filtered through a celite plug and the filtrate layered with petroleum ether forming 18 as red crystals $(0.008 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%)$. Mp. $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 0.79\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}}\right.$ $\left.6.7,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 0.87\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.0,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 0.88\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.2,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, 1.17 (d, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.7,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.47$ (s, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.28$ (sept, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.7,1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.54\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.54$ (sept, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.0,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 6.43$ (dd, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.6$, $1.2,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 6.47$ (dd, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.0,0.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 6.55$ (dd, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 9.4,0.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 6.62$ (dd, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.2,7.0,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 6.88$ (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.06 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.17 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.49 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.63 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.76 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 8.95 (br s, 1H, NH). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3299(\mathrm{NH}), 1596\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{\text {pyridine }}\right)$. ESIMS $m / z: 740[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$, FABMS $m / z:$ calculated 740.2468 , found 740.2526 .

### 5.4.13 Synthesis of 19



19
To a small glass vial was added $\left[\left(2-\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)-6-\left\{\mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{NH}(2,6-i-\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.\left.\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)\right\} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right)\right] \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})(0.010 \mathrm{~g}, 0.016 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the contents dissolved in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ forming an orange solution. To this solution was added $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}(0.003 \mathrm{~g}, 0.016$ mmol, 1 eq.) and the reaction mixture sonicated for 15 min . The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum was recorded and showed $47 \%$ consumption of the starting material. The reaction mixture was filtered through a celite plug and the filtrate layered with petroleum ether forming 19 as red crystals ( $0.006 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ ).Mp. > $300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta 0.67$ (d, $J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.0$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 0.89\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.3,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.00\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.19\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.0\right.$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.28$ (sept, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 6.0,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.85$ (sept, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.0,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 5.95\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 7.0,0.8,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 6.47\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}} 8.0,4.0,2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, ArH), 6.79 (m, 3H, ArH), 6.87 (m, 6H, ArH), 7.63 (m, 5H, ArH) ), 9.46 (br s, 1H, NH). ESIMS $m / z: 624\left[{ }^{2}\right]^{+}$. FABMS $m / z:$ mass 624.1690 found 624.1696

### 5.4.14 Catalytic screening of $9 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}, 10 \mathrm{a}, 11 \mathrm{~b}, \mathbf{1 2 b}$ and 12 c in the $\mathbf{C H}$-bromination of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L} 8$

A small Schlenk flask equipped with small stir bar was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{8}(0.031 \mathrm{~g}, 0.181 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NBS}(0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.546 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.1 \mathrm{eq}$.), palladium(II) pincer complex ( $0.009 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were loaded into the flask. This vessel was sealed under nitrogen and stirred and heated to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 16 h , the vessel was cooled to room temperature and the volatiles removed under reduced. The residue was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 30 mL ) and washed with water ( 3 x 20 mL ). 1,3,5-Trimethoxybenzene was added as a standard ( 0.175 mmol ) to the dichloromethane solution and all the volatiles removed under reduced pressure. A small amount of the solid
residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum recorded. The percentage conversion to product could be determined by comparison to the standard.

### 5.5 Crystallographic Studies

All crystallographic data were collected on a Bruker APEX 2000 CCD diffractometer. Details of data collection, refinement and crystal data are listed in Tables 5.1 - 5.37. The data were corrected for Lorentz and polarisation effects and empirical absorption corrections applied. Structure solution by direct methods and structure refinement based on full-matrix least-squares on $F^{2}$ employed SHELXTL version 6.10. Hydrogen atoms were included in calculated positions ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.96-1.00 \AA$ ) riding on the bonded atom with isotropic displacement parameters set to $1.5 U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{C})$ for methyl H atoms and 1.2 $U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$ for all other H atoms. All non- H atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters

Table 5.1. Crystal data and structure refinement for HL1.

| Identification code | 09050 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C34 H40 N2 O2 |
| Formula weight | 508.68 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | P-1 |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=8.9542(16) \AA \quad \alpha=89.451(3)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=12.028(2) \AA \quad \beta=85.613(4)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=14.082(3) \AA$ 成 $\quad \gamma=81.432(4)^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 1495.3(5) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 2 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.130 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.070 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 548 |
| Crystal size | $0.25 \times 0.23 \times 0.12 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 2.24 to $25.00^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-10<=\mathrm{h}<=10,-14<=\mathrm{k}<=14,-16<=1<=16$ |
| Reflections collected | 10849 |
| Independent reflections | 5210 [ $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0850]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=25.00^{\circ}$ | 99.1\% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.9917 and 0.9828 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 5210 / 0 / 303 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.825 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0613, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1362$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.1155, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1513$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.291 and -0.244 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table 5.2. Crystal data and structure refinement for HL3.

| Identification code | 13031n |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C31 H32 N2 O |
| Formula weight | 448.59 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Orthorhombic |
| Space group | Pna2(1) |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=22.238(8) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=13.302(5) \AA \quad \beta=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=8.410(3) \AA$ A ${ }^{\text {A }}$ |
| Volume | 2487.7(16) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.198 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.072 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 960 |
| Crystal size | $0.25 \times 0.11 \times 0.05 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.78 to $25.00^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $0<=\mathrm{h}<=26,0<=\mathrm{k}<=15,-10<=1<=10$ |
| Reflections collected | 4369 |
| Independent reflections | $2354[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.1295]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=25.00^{\circ}$ | 100.0 \% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.981 and 0.066 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 2354 / 1/312 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.864 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0685, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1197$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.1693, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1498$ |
| Absolute structure parameter | ? |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.203 and -0.265 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table 5.3. Crystal data and structure refinement for 1a.

| Identification code | 09097 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C65 H66 Cl2 N4 O6 Pd2 |
| Formula weight | 1282.92 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | P2(1)/c |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=15.311(2) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $b=11.6253(17) \AA \quad \beta=90.364(4)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=32.923(5) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 5860.0(15) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.454 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.760 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 2632 |
| Crystal size | $0.14 \times 0.10 \times 0.09 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.81 to $26.00^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-18<=\mathrm{h}<=18,-14<=\mathrm{k}<=14,-40<=1<=40$ |
| Reflections collected | 45009 |
| Independent reflections | $11515[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.2017]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=26.00^{\circ}$ | 99.9\% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.831 and 0.593 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 11515 / 0 / 720 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.841 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0699, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1035$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.1671, w R 2=0.1271$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.740 and -0.698 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table 5.4. Crystal data and structure refinement for $\mathbf{1 b}$.

| Identification code | 10031 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C30 H29 Cl N2 O Pd |
| Formula weight | 575.40 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | P2(1)/c |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=9.941(4) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=12.678(5) \AA \quad \beta=97.076(7)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=20.671(8) \AA$ A $\quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 2585.3(17) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.478 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.847 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 1176 |
| Crystal size | $0.33 \times 0.27 \times 0.18 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.89 to $26.00^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-12<=\mathrm{h}<=12,-15<=\mathrm{k}<=15,-25<=1<=25$ |
| Reflections collected | 19633 |
| Independent reflections | 5077 [ $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.1370]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=26.00^{\circ}$ | 99.9 \% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.831 and 0.421 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 5077 / 0 / 374 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.994 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0706, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1377$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.1214, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1552$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 1.420 and -0.639 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table 5.5. Crystal data and structure refinement for 2a.

| Identification code | 10001 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C33 H36 Cl2 N2 O3 Pd |
| Formula weight | 685.94 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | P2(1)/c |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=13.471(3) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=14.913(3) \AA \quad \beta=108.732(4)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=16.595(4) \AA \AA^{\circ} \mathrm{A}=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 3157.1(12) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.443 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.792 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 1408 |
| Crystal size | $0.15 \times 0.13 \times 0.04 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.60 to $26.00^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-16<=\mathrm{h}<=16,-18<=\mathrm{k}<=18,-20<=1<=20$ |
| Reflections collected | 24097 |
| Independent reflections | $6204[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.1722]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=26.00^{\circ}$ | 99.9 \% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.802 and 0.496 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 6204 / 0 / 375 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.860 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0644, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0905$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.1428, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1070$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.546 and -0.739 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table 5.6. Crystal data and structure refinement for $\mathbf{2 b}$.

| Identification code | 10016 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C39 H54 Cl N2 O2 Pd |
| Formula weight | 724.69 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | P-1 |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=10.5900(16) \AA \quad \alpha=92.595(3)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $b=10.9142(16) \AA \quad \beta=95.184(3)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=14.160(2) \AA \quad \gamma=105.297(3)^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 1568.1(4) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 2 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.535 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.717 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 762 |
| Crystal size | $0.18 \times 0.13 \times 0.12 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.94 to $26.00^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-13<=\mathrm{h}<=13,-13<=\mathrm{k}<=13,-17<=1<=17$ |
| Reflections collected | 12345 |
| Independent reflections | $6069[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0958]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=26.00^{\circ}$ | 98.6 \% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.831 and 0.513 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 6069 / 0 / 320 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.873 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0584, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1216$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0849, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1289$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.984 and -0.690 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table 5.7. Crystal data and structure refinement for $\mathbf{3 b}$.

| Identification code | 15054 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C31 H31 Cl N2 O Pd |
| Formula weight | 589.43 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | P2(1)/c |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=9.8163(17) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=12.530(2) \AA \quad \beta=93.803(4)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=21.819(4) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 2677.9(8) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.462 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.819 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 1208 |
| Crystal size | $0.18 \times 0.12 \times 0.03 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.87 to $26.00^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-12<=\mathrm{h}<=12,-15<=\mathrm{k}<=15,-26<=1<=26$ |
| Reflections collected | 20619 |
| Independent reflections | $5255[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.1382]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=26.00^{\circ}$ | 99.9\% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.831 and 0.567 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 5255 / 0 / 330 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.928 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0591, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1074$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0900, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1200$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 1.360 and -1.486 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table 5.8. Crystal data and structure refinement for 3c.

| Identification code | 15038 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C31 H31 Br N2 O Pd |
| Formula weight | 633.89 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | P2(1)/c |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=9.977(6) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=12.531(8) \AA \quad \beta=94.956(10)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=21.605(13) \AA$ A $\quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 2691(3) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.565 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $2.201 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 1280 |
| Crystal size | $0.30 \times 0.09 \times 0.02 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.88 to $26.00^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-11<=\mathrm{h}<=12,-15<=\mathrm{k}<=15,-26<=1<=26$ |
| Reflections collected | 20094 |
| Independent reflections | $5292[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.1879]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=26.00^{\circ}$ | 100.0 \% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.831 and 0.379 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 5292 / 0 / 331 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.082 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.1071, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.2274$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.1817, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.2558$ |
| Extinction coefficient | 0.0118(13) |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 1.925 and -1.158 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table 5.9. Crystal data and structure refinement for $\mathbf{4 a} \cdot \mathrm{OH}_{2}$

| Identification code | 12121 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C102 H116 N6 O10 Pd3 |
| Formula weight | 1905.21 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | P2(1)/c |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=25.178(11) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=37.050(16) \AA$ A $\quad \beta=101.394(10)^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=11.257(5) \AA \AA^{\circ} \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 10295(8) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.229 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.574 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 3952 |
| Crystal size | $0.28 \times 0.07 \times 0.03 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.84 to $25.00^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-29<=\mathrm{h}<=29,-44<=\mathrm{k}<=44,-13<=1<=13$ |
| Reflections collected | 74858 |
| Independent reflections | $18104[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.4530]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=25.00^{\circ}$ | 99.9 \% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.862 and 0.669 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 18104 / 0 / 1046 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.829 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0958, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1926$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.3224, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.2573$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.842 and -1.609 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table 5.10. Crystal data and structure refinement for $\mathbf{4 a}$

| Identification code | 14002 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C34 H38 N2 O3 Pd |
| Formula weight | 629.06 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | P2(1)/c |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=10.4373(15) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=15.856(2) \AA \quad \beta=93.447(3)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=17.709(3) \AA$ ¢ ${ }^{\text {A }}$ |
| Volume | 2925.4(7) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.428 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.672 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 1304 |
| Crystal size | $0.18 \times 0.07 \times 0.05 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.73 to $26.00^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-12<=\mathrm{h}<=12,-19<=\mathrm{k}<=19,-21<=\mathrm{l}<=21$ |
| Reflections collected | 22741 |
| Independent reflections | $5756[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.1837]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=26.00^{\circ}$ | 100.0 \% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.831 and 0.602 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 5756 / 1 / 368 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.712 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0609, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0862$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.1601, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1063$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.744 and -1.002 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table 5.11. Crystal data and structure refinement for $\mathbf{4 b}$

| Identification code | 13005 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C33 H37 Cl3 N2 O Pd |
| Formula weight | 690.40 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | P-1 |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=10.546(2) \AA \quad \alpha=95.268(4)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=12.444(3) \AA \quad \beta=110.131(3)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=12.911(3) \AA \quad \gamma=90.841(4)^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 1582.2(6) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 2 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.449 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.868 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 708 |
| Crystal size | $0.23 \times 0.12 \times 0.09 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.65 to $26.00^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-13<=\mathrm{h}<=12,-15<=\mathrm{k}<=15,-15<=1<=15$ |
| Reflections collected | 12477 |
| Independent reflections | 6137 [ $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0740]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=26.00^{\circ}$ | 98.9 \% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.831 and 0.538 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 6137 / 0 / 368 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.964 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0476, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0957$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0633, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1011$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.889 and -0.938 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table 5.12. Crystal data and structure refinement for $\mathbf{4 c}$

| Identification code | 13081 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C34 H37 Cl6 I N2 O Pd |
| Formula weight | 935.66 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | P2(1)/n |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=14.627(3) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=16.778(4) \AA \quad \beta=103.966(4)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=15.762(3) \AA \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { ¢ }\end{aligned}$ |
| Volume | 3753.8(13) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.656 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $1.774 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 1856 |
| Crystal size | $0.32 \times 0.16 \times 0.03 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.71 to $26.00^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-18<=\mathrm{h}<=17,-20<=\mathrm{k}<=20,-19<=1<=19$ |
| Reflections collected | 29120 |
| Independent reflections | $7370[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.1316]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=26.00^{\circ}$ | 100.0 \% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.831 and 0.590 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 7370 / 0/412 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.836 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0512, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0745$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.1013, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0852$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.708 and -0.822 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table 5.13. Crystal data and structure refinement for 5a

| Identification code | 12123 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C39 H43 N3 O4 Pd |
| Formula weight | 724.16 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | P2(1)/n |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=12.6746(19) \AA{ }^{\text {A }}$, $\alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=10.5982(16) \AA$ A $\quad \beta=96.364(4)^{\circ}$. |
|  |  |
| Volume | 3560.2(9) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.351 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.564 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 1504 |
| Crystal size | $0.13 \times 0.10 \times 0.08 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.54 to $25.99^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-15<=\mathrm{h}<=15,-13<=\mathrm{k}<=13,-32<=1<=32$ |
| Reflections collected | 27345 |
| Independent reflections | $6993[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.1796]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=25.99^{\circ}$ | 99.9 \% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.831 and 0.556 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 6993 / 0 / 431 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.786 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0626, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0860$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.1433, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1042$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.596 and -0.621 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table 5.14. Crystal data and structure refinement for $\mathbf{5 b}$

| Identification code | 13002 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C152 H170 N12 O11 Pd4 |
| Formula weight | 2766.60 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | P2(1)/n |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=15.699(2) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=10.8745(16) \AA \quad \beta=109.911(3)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=20.628(3) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 3311.1(8) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 1 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.387 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.601 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 1438 |
| Crystal size | $0.20 \times 0.13 \times 0.06 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 2.00 to $26.00^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-19<=\mathrm{h}<=19,-13<=\mathrm{k}<=13,-25<=1<=25$ |
| Reflections collected | 25484 |
| Independent reflections | $6510[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.1531]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=26.00^{\circ}$ | 99.9 \% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.8311 and 0.611 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 6510 / 3 / 413 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.824 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0599, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0973$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.1244, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1141$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.871 and -0.887e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table 5.15. Crystal data and structure refinement for $\mathbf{5 d}$

| Identification code | 13033 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C37 H38 F N3 O2 Pd |
| Formula weight | 682.10 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Orthorhombic |
| Space group | Pb ca |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=12.921(5) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=20.810(8) \AA \quad \beta=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=23.105(9) \AA \AA^{\circ} \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 6213(4) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 8 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.458 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.642 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 2816 |
| Crystal size | $0.22 \times 0.20 \times 0.18 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.76 to $26.00^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-15<=\mathrm{h}<=15,-24<=\mathrm{k}<=25,-28<=1<=28$ |
| Reflections collected | 46573 |
| Independent reflections | $6104[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.1094]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=26.00^{\circ}$ | 100.0 \% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.831 and 0.728 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 6104 / 0 / 403 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.014 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0523, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1349$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0865, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1491$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 2.869 and -0.396 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table 5.16. Crystal data and structure refinement for 7a

| Identification code | 13069 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C39 H39 I N2 O3 Pd |
| Formula weight | 817.02 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | P2(1)/c |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=9.340(2) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=41.778(11) \AA$ A $\quad \beta=102.915(6)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=8.691(2) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 3305.4(15) Å3 |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.642 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m} 3$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $1.537 \mathrm{~mm}-1$ |
| F(000) | 1640 |
| Crystal size | $0.18 \times 0.08 \times 0.06 \mathrm{~mm} 3$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.95 to $26.00^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-11<=\mathrm{h}<=11,-51<=\mathrm{k}<=51,-10<=1<=10$ |
| Reflections collected | 26122 |
| Independent reflections | $6502[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.1622]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=26.00^{\circ}$ | 99.9 \% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.831 and 0.610 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on F2 |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 6502 / 0 / 421 |
| Goodness-of-fit on F2 | 0.830 |
| Final R indices [ $1>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0601, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0856$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.1334, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1029$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.749 and -0.941 e. ${ }^{\text {A }}$-3 |

Table 5.17. Crystal data and structure refinement for 7b

| Identification code | 13088 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C34 H35 F3 N2 O3 Pd |
| Formula weight | 683.04 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | P2(1)/n |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=12.566(3) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=16.814(3) \AA \quad \beta=104.565(4)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=14.486(3) \AA$ 成 $\quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 2962.2(10) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.532 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.684 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 1400 |
| Crystal size | $0.12 \times 0.10 \times 0.05 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.89 to $26.00^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-15<=\mathrm{h}<=15,-20<=\mathrm{k}<=20,-17<=1<=17$ |
| Reflections collected | 23251 |
| Independent reflections | 5830 [R(int) $=0.1601]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=26.00^{\circ}$ | 99.9 \% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.831 and 0.579 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 5830 / 0 / 394 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.819 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0590, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0824$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.1196, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0968$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.728 and -1.364 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table 5.18. Crystal data and structure refinement for $\mathbf{8}$

| Identification code | 13080 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C75 H82 Ag3 Cl12 F9 N4 O16 Pd2 S3 |
| Formula weight | 2524.44 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | P-1 |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=13.906(4) \AA \quad \alpha=78.049(5)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=14.598(4) \AA \quad \beta=78.004(5)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=23.233(6) \AA \quad \gamma=80.442(5)^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 4476(2) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 2 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.873 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $1.551 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 2508 |
| Crystal size | $0.32 \times 0.14 \times 0.11 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.62 to $26.00^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-16<=\mathrm{h}<=17,-17<=\mathrm{k}<=18,-28<=1<=28$ |
| Reflections collected | 35290 |
| Independent reflections | $17350[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0941]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=26.00^{\circ}$ | 98.7\% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.831 and 0.591 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 17350 / 1041 / 1015 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.809 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0619, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1194$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.1174, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1325$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 1.116 and -0.699 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |


| Identification code | 13046 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C52 H46 N2 O4 Pd2 |
| Formula weight | 975.71 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | P2(1)/n |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=5.8696(15) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=22.606(6) \AA$ A $\quad \beta=94.689(5)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=15.824(4) \AA$ A $\quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 2092.6(9) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 2 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.549 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.909 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 992 |
| Crystal size | $0.20 \times 0.18 \times 0.05 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.57 to $26.00^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-7<=\mathrm{h}<=7,-26<=\mathrm{k}<=27,-19<=1<=19$ |
| Reflections collected | 16306 |
| Independent reflections | $4100[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.1010]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=26.00^{\circ}$ | 99.4\% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.831 and 0.510 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 4100 / 5 / 273 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.954 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0545, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1106$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0853, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1195$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 1.304 and -0.949 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table 5.20. Crystal data and structure refinement for 11a

| Identification code | 15072 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C56 H52 N4 O8 Pd4 |
| Formula weight | 1334.62 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Tetragonal |
| Space group | P-42(1)c |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=12.1803(16) \AA \AA^{\circ} \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=12.1803(16) \AA \quad \beta=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=16.090(3) \AA$ A ${ }^{\text {A }}$ ( |
| Volume | 2387.0(6) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 2 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.857 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $1.546 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 1328 |
| Crystal size | $0.23 \times 0.09 \times 0.08 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 2.10 to $26.99^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-15<=\mathrm{h}<=15,-15<=\mathrm{k}<=15,-20<=1<=20$ |
| Reflections collected | 19416 |
| Independent reflections | $2608[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0833]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=26.99^{\circ}$ | 99.9\% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.831 and 0.674 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 2608 / 0 / 165 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.974 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0338, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0674$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0539, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0724$ |
| Absolute structure parameter | -0.01(7) |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.712 and -0.483 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table 5.21. Crystal data and structure refinement for 11b

| Identification code | 15065 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C337 H392 N16 O32 Pd16 |
| Formula weight | 6881.07 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | P2(1)/c |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=15.293(4) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=35.159(8) \AA \quad \beta=116.784(4)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=15.712(4) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 7542(3) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 1 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.515 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.998 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 3518 |
| Crystal size | $0.36 \times 0.14 \times 0.03 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.56 to $26.00^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-18<=\mathrm{h}<=18,-43<=\mathrm{k}<=43,-19<=1<=19$ |
| Reflections collected | 58383 |
| Independent reflections | $14814[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0811]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=26.00^{\circ}$ | 99.9\% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.831 and 0.616 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 14814 / 32 / 941 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.001 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0451, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1003$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0655, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1067$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 1.350 and -0.795 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table 5.22. Crystal data and structure refinement for 12a

| Identification code | 13038 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C25 H22 N2 O2 Pd |
| Formula weight | 488.85 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | P2(1)/n |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=22.507(8) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=6.562(2) \AA \quad \beta=102.520(6)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=28.359(9) \AA \AA^{\circ} \mathrm{A}=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 4089(2) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 8 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.588 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.932 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 1984 |
| Crystal size | $0.39 \times 0.06 \times 0.04 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.47 to $26.00^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-27<=\mathrm{h}<=27,-8<=\mathrm{k}<=8,-33<=\mathrm{l}<=34$ |
| Reflections collected | 30777 |
| Independent reflections | $8009[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.1483]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=26.00^{\circ}$ | 99.8\% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.831 and 0.612 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 8009 / 62 / 545 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.892 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0654, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1211$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.1435, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1449$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.966 and -0.836 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table 5.23. Crystal data and structure refinement for 12b

| Identification code | 13061 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C27 H24 Cl2 N2 O4 Pd |
| Formula weight | 617.78 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | P-1 |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=8.057(3) \AA \quad \alpha=106.660(7)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=11.909(4) \AA \quad \beta=99.085(8)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=14.463(5) \AA \quad \gamma=106.264(8)^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 1232.7(8) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 2 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.664 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $1.008 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 624 |
| Crystal size | $0.33 \times 0.15 \times 0.03 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.52 to $26.00^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-9<=\mathrm{h}<=9,-14<=\mathrm{k}<=14,-17<=\mathrm{l}<=17$ |
| Reflections collected | 9843 |
| Independent reflections | $4793[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.1064]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=26.00^{\circ}$ | 98.9 \% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.831 and 0.460 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 4793 / 0 / 328 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.888 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0661, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1159$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.1095, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1296$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 1.101 and -1.057 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table 5.24. Crystal data and structure refinement for 13a

| Identification code | 13043 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C25 H22 N2 O3 Pd |
| Formula weight | 504.85 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | P2(1) |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=7.494(2) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $b=23.410(7) \AA$ A $\quad \beta=90.654(5)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=12.000(4) \AA$ A $\quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 2105.1(11) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.593 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.912 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 1024 |
| Crystal size | $0.37 \times 0.20 \times 0.13 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.70 to $27.00^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-9<=\mathrm{h}<=9,-29<=\mathrm{k}<=29,-15<=1<=15$ |
| Reflections collected | 17735 |
| Independent reflections | 8873 [ $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0420]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=27.00^{\circ}$ | 99.8 \% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.831 and 0.684 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 8873 / 1 / 565 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.993 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0359, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0663$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0408, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0687$ |
| Absolute structure parameter | -0.01(2) |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.846 and -0.654 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table 5.25. Crystal data and structure refinement for 13b

| Identification code | 13050 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C49 H53 Cl N2 O3 Pd |
| Formula weight | 859.78 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | P2(1)/n |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=15.302(3) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=13.009(3) \AA \quad \beta=96.614(5)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=34.156(7) \AA$ A $\quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 6754(2) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 8 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.691 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.684 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 3584 |
| Crystal size | $0.26 \times 0.18 \times 0.08 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.20 to $25.00^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-18<=\mathrm{h}<=18,-15<=\mathrm{k}<=15,-40<=1<=40$ |
| Reflections collected | 48143 |
| Independent reflections | 11893 [ $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.1395]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=25.00^{\circ}$ | 99.9 \% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.831 and 0.600 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 11893 / 0 / 581 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.803 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0546, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1194$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.1014, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1279$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.568 and -0.720 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table 5.26. Crystal data and structure refinement for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{8}$

| Identification code | 14012 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C11 H9 N O |
| Formula weight | 171.19 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | P-1 |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=6.1264(15) \AA$ A $\quad \alpha=105.758(4)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=8.461(2) \AA \quad \beta=97.473(4)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=8.639(2) \AA \quad \gamma=102.181(4)^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 412.85(17) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 2 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.377 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.089 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 180 |
| Crystal size | $0.43 \times 0.33 \times 0.24 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 2.50 to $25.00^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-7<=\mathrm{h}<=7,-10<=\mathrm{k}<=10,-10<=\mathrm{l}<=10$ |
| Reflections collected | 2967 |
| Independent reflections | $1438[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0371]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=25.00^{\circ}$ | 99.0\% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.969 and 0.679 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 1438 / 0 / 118 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.054 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0515, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1347$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0566, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1396$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.256 and -0.327e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table 5.27. Crystal data and structure refinement for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{L 8}-3 \mathrm{Br}$

| Identification code | 13095 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C11 H8 Br N O |
| Formula weight | 250.09 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Orthorhombic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{Pca} 2(1)$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=12.527(3) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=10.481(2) \AA \quad \beta=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=14.733(3) \AA$ A $\quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 1934.4(7) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 8 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.718 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $4.212 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 992 |
| Crystal size | $0.26 \times 0.15 \times 0.08 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 2.53 to $25.99^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-15<=\mathrm{h}<=15,-12<=\mathrm{k}<=12,-18<=1<=18$ |
| Reflections collected | 14385 |
| Independent reflections | 3779 [ $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int}$ ) $=0.0908]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=25.99^{\circ}$ | 99.9 \% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.894 and 0.405 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 3779 / 1 / 249 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.953 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0542, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1168$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0745, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1243$ |
| Absolute structure parameter | 0.25(2) |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 1.234 and -0.528 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table 5.28. Crystal data and structure refinement for HL8-3,5- $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$

| Identification code | 15116 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C11 H7 Br2 NO |
| Formula weight | 329.00 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | P-1 |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=3.969(2) \AA \quad \alpha=111.989(8)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=11.698(6) \AA \quad \beta=97.542(9)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=12.294(6) \AA \AA^{\circ} \quad \gamma=94.823(9)^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 519.2(5) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 2 |
| Density (calculated) | $2.104 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $7.774 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 316 |
| Crystal size | $0.19 \times 0.06 \times 0.02 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.81 to $26.00^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-4<=\mathrm{h}<=4,-14<=\mathrm{k}<=14,-14<=\mathrm{l}<=15$ |
| Reflections collected | 4076 |
| Independent reflections | 2019 [ $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int}$ ) $=0.0846]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=26.00^{\circ}$ | 99.2 \% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.928 and 0.487 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 2019 / 0 / 136 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.929 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0723, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1630$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.1043, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1747$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 1.682 and -1.195 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table 5.29. Crystal data and structure refinement for o- $\mathrm{Br}-\mathbf{L} 8-3,5-\mathrm{Br}_{2}$

| Identification code | 15076 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C11 H6 Br3 N O |
| Formula weight | 407.90 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | P-1 |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=5.0517(10) \AA \quad \alpha=111.689(3)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=10.306(2) \AA \quad \beta=94.175(3)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=12.288(2) \AA$ A $\quad \gamma=91.769(3)^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 591.7(2) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 2 |
| Density (calculated) | $2.289 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $10.201 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 384 |
| Crystal size | $0.17 \times 0.14 \times 0.05 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.79 to $27.00^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-6<=\mathrm{h}<=6,-13<=\mathrm{k}<=13,-15<=1<=15$ |
| Reflections collected | 5006 |
| Independent reflections | $2545[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0509]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=27.00^{\circ}$ | 98.1\% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.894 and 0.431 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 2545 / 0 / 145 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.974 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0479, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1112$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0604, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1153$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 1.383 and -0.838 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table 5.30. Crystal data and structure refinement for o-Cl-L8-3,5-Cl $2_{2}$

| Identification code | 15150 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C11 H6 Cl3 N O |
| Formula weight | 274.52 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | P2(1)/c |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=13.274(8) \AA$ ¢ $\quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=10.693(7) \AA \quad \beta=101.963(12)^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=7.744(5) \AA$ £ $\quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 1075.4(11) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.696 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.824 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 552 |
| Crystal size | $0.10 \times 0.08 \times 0.04 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.57 to $26.00^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-16<=\mathrm{h}<=16,-13<=\mathrm{k}<=13,-9<=1<=9$ |
| Reflections collected | 8233 |
| Independent reflections | $2113[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.1409]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=26.00^{\circ}$ | 99.9 \% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.928 and 0.050 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 2113/0/145 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.926 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0692, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1506$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.1038, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1635$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.689 and -0.873 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table 5.31. Crystal data and structure refinement for 6-(2-C6 $\left.\mathrm{H}_{5}\right)-5,5-\mathrm{F}_{2}-6-\mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-2-\mathrm{O}$

Identification code
Empirical formula
Formula weight
Temperature
Wavelength
Crystal system
Space group
Unit cell dimensions

Volume
Z
Density (calculated)
Absorption coefficient
F(000)
Crystal size
Theta range for data collection
Index ranges
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
Completeness to theta $=26.00^{\circ}$
Absorption correction
Max. and min. transmission
Refinement method
Data / restraints / parameters
Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
Final R indices $[\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ]
R indices (all data)
Largest diff. peak and hole

15131

C11 H9 F2 N O2
225.19

150(2) K
$0.71073 \AA$
Monoclinic
P2(1)/c

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{a}=9.4571(17) \AA & \alpha=90^{\circ} . \\
\mathrm{b}=7.9066(14) \AA & \beta=96.823(3)^{\circ} . \\
\mathrm{c}=27.244(5) \AA & \gamma=90^{\circ} .
\end{array}
$$

2022.7(6) $\AA^{3}$

8
$1.479 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
$0.126 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
928
$0.32 \times 0.15 \times 0.08 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$
1.51 to $26.00^{\circ}$.
$-11<=\mathrm{h}<=11,-9<=\mathrm{k}<=9,-33<=1<=32$
15223
$3979[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0736]$
99.9 \%

Empirical
0.962 and 0.730

Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
3979 / 0 / 291
0.948
$\mathrm{R} 1=0.0583, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1442$
$\mathrm{R} 1=0.0879, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1545$
1.327 and -0.241 e. $\AA^{-3}$

Table 5.32. Crystal data and structure refinement for $\mathbf{1 4}$

| Identification code | 14033 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C26 H22 N2 O6 Pd2 |
| Formula weight | 671.26 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | P-1 |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=9.863(5) \AA \quad \alpha=100.292(11)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=10.016(5) \AA \quad \beta=111.794(7)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=13.265(8) \AA \AA^{\text {A }}$ ( |
| Volume | 1166.7(11) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 2 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.911 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $1.589 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 664 |
| Crystal size | $0.43 \times 0.36 \times 0.08 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.71 to $27.00^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-12<=\mathrm{h}<=12,-12<=\mathrm{k}<=12,-16<=1<=16$ |
| Reflections collected | 9609 |
| Independent reflections | 4963 [ $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0365]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=27.00^{\circ}$ | 97.4 \% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.831 and 0.586 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 4963 / 0 / 327 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.094 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0451, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1089$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0543, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1134$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 1.470 and -1.033 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table 5.33. Crystal data and structure refinement for $\mathbf{1 5}$

| Identification code | 14067 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C45 H33 Cl3 N4 O4 Pd2 |
| Formula weight | 1012.90 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | P2(1)/n |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=11.443(4) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=28.611(10) \AA \quad \beta=111.677(6)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=13.203(5) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 4017(2) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.675 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $1.145 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 2024 |
| Crystal size | $0.35 \times 0.22 \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.81 to $26.00^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-13<=\mathrm{h}<=14,-35<=\mathrm{k}<=34,-16<=1<=16$ |
| Reflections collected | 31103 |
| Independent reflections | 7887 [ $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.1701]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=26.00^{\circ}$ | 99.9 \% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.831 and 0.622 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 7887 / 0 / 523 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.984 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0563, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0999$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0826, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1093$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 1.576 and -1.982 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table 5.34. Crystal data and structure refinement for 16

| Identification code | 14003 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C44 H28 N4 O4 Pd4 |
| Formula weight | 1102.30 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | C2/c |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=19.239(10) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=12.081(6) \AA \quad \beta=107.061(9)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=15.660(8) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 3480(3) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $2.104 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $2.089 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 2144 |
| Crystal size | $0.43 \times 0.16 \times 0.06 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 2.02 to $27.00^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-24<=\mathrm{h}<=23,-15<=\mathrm{k}<=15,-19<=1<=19$ |
| Reflections collected | 14334 |
| Independent reflections | $3812[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0660]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=27.00^{\circ}$ | 99.9 \% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.831 and 0.603 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 3812 / 0 / 253 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.031 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0453, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1012$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0685, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1093$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 1.334 and -0.671 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table 5.35. Crystal data and structure refinement for 17.

| Identification code | 14043 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C 22 H 17 Cl N 2 O 2 Pd |
| Formula weight | 483.23 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | P2(1)/n |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=8.0105(18) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=16.587(4) \AA \quad \beta=91.366(5)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=13.840(3) \AA$ 成 $\quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 1838.4(7) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.746 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $1.176 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 968 |
| Crystal size | $0.30 \times 0.10 \times 0.05 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.92 to $26.00^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-9<=\mathrm{h}<=9,-20<=\mathrm{k}<=20,-16<=1<=17$ |
| Reflections collected | 14237 |
| Independent reflections | $3612[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.1200]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=26.00^{\circ}$ | 99.9 \% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.831 and 0.575 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 3612 / 0 / 253 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.898 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0534, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0927$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0896, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1022$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole 0 | 653 e. ${ }^{\text {® }}$-3 |

Table 5.36. Crystal data and structure refinement for 18.

| Identification code | 14063 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C87 H88 Cl2 N6 O4 Pd2 |
| Formula weight | 1565.33 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | P-1 |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=12.754(2) \AA \quad \alpha=79.663(3)^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=12.771(2) \AA \quad \beta=84.270(3)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=23.038(4) \AA \AA^{\circ} \quad \gamma=89.478(3)^{\circ}$ |
| Volume | $3672.7(12) \AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 2 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.415 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.620 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 1620 |
| Crystal size | $0.44 \times 0.42 \times 0.22 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.60 to $27.00^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-16<=\mathrm{h}<=16,-16<=\mathrm{k}<=16,-29<=1<=29$ |
| Reflections collected | 30967 |
| Independent reflections | $15731[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0409]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=27.00^{\circ}$ | 98.1 \% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.831 and 0.577 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 15731 / 0 / 922 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.976 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0383, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0838$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0513, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0885$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.756 and -0.549 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table 5.37. Crystal data and structure refinement for 19.

| Identification code | 14101 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C37 H43 N3 O4 Pd |
| Formula weight | 700.14 |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | P2(1)/n |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=9.675(3) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=33.738(9) \AA \quad \beta=112.475(5)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=10.850(3) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 3272.6(15) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.421 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.611 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 1456 |
| Crystal size | $0.39 \times 0.15 \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 2.12 to $26.00^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-11<=\mathrm{h}<=11,-41<=\mathrm{k}<=41,-13<=1<=13$ |
| Reflections collected | 25554 |
| Independent reflections | $6419[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.1046]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=26.00^{\circ}$ | 99.8\% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.831 and 0.675 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 6419 / 4 / 412 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.871 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0480, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0739$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0809, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0816$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.655 and -0.813 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

## Appendix <br> Synthesis of trans-[Pd(OAc)2(3,5-lutidine)]



In a small glass vile $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.042 \mathrm{~g}, 0.186 \mathrm{mmol}), 3,5-l u t i d i n e(0.040 \mathrm{~g}, 0.372 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2 eq.) and dry toluene ( 5 mL ) was added and sonicated for 30 min and left for 24 h at room temperature. Light yellow precipitates were filtered and dissolved in chloroform. Solvents were removed to get bright yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.85(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{x}$ CH3), 2.29 (s, 12H, $4 \times \mathrm{CH} 3$ ), 7.36 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{py}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 8.32 (s, 4H, py-H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 18.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 23.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 134.2,139.8,148.7$, 178.0. ESIMS: m/z 319 $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(3,5-\text { lutidine })_{2}\right], 379 \quad\left[\mathrm{Pd}(3,5-\mathrm{lutidine})_{2} \mathrm{OAc}\right], 437 \quad\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}-2 \mathrm{H}\right], \quad$ HRMS $\quad[\mathrm{Pd}(3,5-$ lutidine $)_{2} \mathrm{OAc}$ ] calculated 379.0638 found 379.0631
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