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## ABSTRACT

We investigate in three problems some effects of heat transfer in linked ocean/atmosphere models. In all the problems the term involving vertical thermal conduction is retained in the heat transfer equation and both molecular and eddy values for the conductivity are considered.

In Part 1 we look at a two layer model, ignoring all macroscopic motion; the governing, equation for both layers is therefore the heat transfer equation. With suitable boundary conditions the 'phase lag' between a heat source in the upper layer and the temperature at the inteface of the layers (the sea surface) is studied.

In Part 2 we consider a one layer model. A perturbation model due to Blinova is extended to include the heat transfer equation. One boundary condition introduces a time dependent heat source at the bottom of the layer, simulating a heating at the sea surface. The stream function is obtained at the bottom of the layer.

Finally, in Part 3, the stability of a two layer liquid model is examined. Macroscopic motion in the lower layer is ignored. The perturbation equations for the two layers are solved and homogeneous boundary equations yield an equation of consistency for the system which leads to criteria for stability. These criteria are found using difference methods and, following Meksyn we produce first order correction terms to Eady's well known stability results. Using Meksyn's methods once more, the model is extended to include a variable coriolis parameter and a stability equation is found.
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## CHAPTER 0.1

GENERAL INTRODJCTION

This thesis presents three mathematical models dealing with the responses of the ocean/atmosphere system to differential heating. It is useful therefore to describe first the main heating features of the two media.

## THE OCEAN AND ATMOSPHERE

Over half the solar radiation reaching the surface of the Earth is absorbed by the oceans (1). The oceans are comparatively opaque to radiation of all wave lengths and all but one percent of the incoming radiation is absorbed in the first 100 m of water (1). Since heated water is less dense than colder water the resulting situation is stable and very little convection occurs. The deep waters of the oceans experience little variation in temperature and these slow changes are due to deep water currents (2). The surface waters which respond to the radiation are called the thermocline. The thermocline can be thought of as a thermal reservoir, capable of storing large amounts of heat and in general the sea surface is warmer than the atmosphere above (1). Also, since the oceans have a large specific heat we can see that the oceans are sluggish in their temperature responses and must act so as to moderate climatic change.

In contrast to the oceans, the absorption spectrum of the atmosphere is complex since the atmosphere is composed of many gases and particles. The most important features of the spectrum are the absorption of short wave radiation directly from the sun by ozone (3) and the absorption of long wave radiation emitted from the Earth by water vapour and to a lesser extent by carbon dioxide, dust and clouds (3,4). The troposphere is well mixed by eddies or turbulence (5); this process is important for an understanding of the temperature profile in the lower atmosphere. In addition
the specific heat of the atmosphere is lower than that of the oceans and so the atmosphere responds more quickly to climatic change.

We will now introduce some particular observations concerning the oceans and atmosphere which we have tried to simulate into our models. TIME LAGS BETWEEN TEMPERATURE RESPONSES IN THE OCEAN AND ATMOSPHERE

We have already mentioned how the oceans are much more sluggish than the atmosphere to temperature change. This phenomenon is seen in the diurnal and seasonal temperatures. The diurnal maximum temperature of the oceans occurs three hours (one eighth the period of one day) behind the maximum temperature of the atmosphere $(6,2)$. The seasonal maximum temperature of the ocean is approximately three months (one quarter the period of one year) behind the maximum temperature of the atmosphere $(6,2)$; in the northern hemisphere these temperatures occur in mid-September and mid-June respectively.

## SEA SURFACE TEMPERATURE ANOMALIES

Anomalies of sea surface temperatures over large areas, significantly warmer or cooler than average values lasting for many years in the Pacific Ocean, have been investigated by Namias (7). The pattern for the decade 1948-1957 was characterised in the winter by anomalously warm water in the North Central Pacific and cold water off the west coast of the United States. During the spring of 1957 the pattern began to change and by the following winter the warm and cold waters had become interchanged. The effect on the atmosphere was to force a change in the position of the jet stream. The warm winters in the east and cold winters in the west of the United States reversed to cold winters in the east and warm winters in the west. By 1972 the pattern had reversed again and the present climate of 1979 in the United States is that of the 1950's.

Similar anomalies have been observed in the Atlantic (1) with warm or cold anomalous waters off Newfoundland. These pools of warmer or colder
water effect the position of the Gulf Stream and the climate in North Europe.

## AIMS OF THE PRESENT WORK

In our models we have incorporated some of the properties of the oceans and atmosphere discussed above in order to reproduce the phenomena observed.

In all three models we retain the thermal conductivity term in the heat transfer equation because thermal conductivity is one of the principal agents of heat transfer. Another important agent of heat transfer in the troposphere and thermocline is turbulence which mixes these layers and this process is modelled here by increasing the value of the molecular thermal conductivity by an appropriate amount to what is then called the 'eddy' conductivity (5). In the three models studied here, consideration is given to both values for the thermal conductivity and we assume that the 'eddy' values are $10^{5}$ times as large as the molecular values.

We have seen that the thermocline is a 'thermal reservoir' and as the atmosphere absorbs long wave radiation from the Earth the surface waters of the oceans can in one sense be thought of as a heat source. In a similar way the presence of water vapour in the atmosphere can be modelled as a heat source. The changes of the amount of radiation due to variations of the Earth's orbit and changes in the amount of water vapour can both be modelled as a time dependent heat source in the atmosphere.

In Part 1 we neglect all macroscopic motion and assume that conduction alone is the agent for heat transfer. We introduce a heat source in the atmosphere simulating the presence of water vapour in a two layer model and study the 'phase lag' between the heat source and the temperature at the interface of the two layers (the sea surface).

In Part 2 we study the response of the atmosphere to the 'thermal reservoir' created in the thermocline. We represent this situation as a one layer model simulating the atmosphere along with a heat source at the base of the layer.

In Part 3 our goal was more ambitious. From the work of Namias it could be inferred that two stable regimes can exist in the Pacific corresponding to the two patterns of the decades 1948-1957 and 1958-1971. non viscous A shallow water theory model of Davies (8) had been able to reproduce two distinct regions of stability. His model consisted of two layers of liquid in a circular cylinder rotating at a steady angular velocity, W. The densities and angular velocilities relativeto the axes, $(r, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathrm{z})$ of the upper and lower layers are $\rho^{\prime}, \rho, \Omega^{\prime}$ and $\Omega$ respectively. A small disturbance was introduced to the flow pattern and the homogeneous boundary conditions of zero normal velocity at the boundaries of the cylinder lead to an equation of consistency. From here, criteria for stability were found and are presented in Figs. 1-3. The three diagrams are for the different regions $0<\mu^{2} v^{2}<1, \quad 1<\mu^{2} v^{2}<4$ and $\mu^{2} v^{2}>4$ where the non dimensional parameter $\mu \nu$ is defined as
$\mu \nu=\frac{4 W^{2}}{k^{2} g\left[\left(H_{0}-h_{0}\right) h_{0}\right]^{1 / 2}}$
where $k$ is the wave number and $H_{0}$ and $h_{0}$ are the undisturbed heights of the upper free surface and the interface of the two layers on the axis $r=0$.

We aim to improve the model of Davies in the following ways: the two layer model is taken on a rotating sphere, the heat transfer equation is introduced and heat and temperature continuity are included in the boundary conditions. The homogeneous boundary conditions again lead to an equation of consistency from which criteria for stability are found.


Figure 1: Regions of stability for $0<\mu^{2} \nu^{2}<1$


Figure 2: Regions of stability for $1<\mu^{2} v^{2}<4$


Figure 3: Regions of stability for $\mu^{2} \nu^{2}>4$

In all the figures the shaded areas are the stability regions and $a, b, c, \alpha, \beta, \rho_{+}^{\prime}$ and $\rho^{\prime}$ are defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=\nu^{2}\left(1+\mu^{2}\right), \quad b=1+\mu^{2}, \quad c=\left(1+\nu^{2}\right)\left(1+\mu^{2}\right) \\
& \alpha=\left(1-\mu^{2} \nu^{2}\right) /\left(1+\nu^{2}\right), \quad \beta=1 /\left(1+\nu^{2}+\nu^{2} \mu^{2}\right), \\
& \rho_{+}^{\prime}=\rho\left[\left(1+\delta^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}+\delta\right], \rho_{-}^{1}=\rho\left[\left(1+\delta^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}-\delta\right], \delta=\nu \mu /\left(1+\nu^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## PART 1

[^0]OCEAN IN A LINKED OCEAN/ATMOSPHERE MODEL

## PART 1

## THE PRODUCTION OF HEATING LAGS DUE TO THE OCEAN IN A LINKED OCEAN/ATMOSPHERE

MODEL

## CHAPTER 1.1

## INTRODUCTION

In Part I we will study a two layer model in which the lower layer is assumed to simulate the ocean and the upper layer a fluid atmosphere。 The macroscopic motion of both layers is ignored and heat flow is assumed to take place entirely by the process of thermal conduction. We investigate the phase lage between a heat source in the upper layer and the temperature at the boundary of the two layers i.e. the sea surface temperature.

The heat transfer equations for the two layers produce second order ordinary differential equations for the temperature distributions. Solutions are found subject to four boundary conditions at the boundaries of the layers. The phase lag for the sea surface temperature is then found for heat sources of different periods in the upper layer. The variation of the phase lag due to different values for thermal conductivity is also investigated

FORMULATION OF THE MODEL

We choose spherical polar coordinates $(\theta, \lambda, r)$ where $\theta$ and $\lambda$ are the angles of colatitude and longitude respectively and $r$ is the distance from the centre of the Earth. The radius of the Earth is taken to be $\boldsymbol{a}$. Since this model is static it is the heat transfer equation which governs both the upper and lower layers. This is discussed in detail in the following sections.

## THE HEAT TRANSFER EQUATION FOR THE UPPER LAYER

We shall take the general form of the heat transfer equation (9) for the upper layer to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d Q}{d t}=\frac{1}{\rho_{1}} \operatorname{div}\left(k_{1} \operatorname{grad} T\right)+q^{*} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q$ is the heat content per unit mass, $\rho_{1}$ the density, $T$ the temperature and $k$, the thermal conductivity of the upper layer. The quantity $q^{*}$ is any external heating source and, for example, could arise from the absorption of solar or terrestrial radiation by water vapour. As solar radiation varies diurnally, seasonally and over longer periods due to variations in the Earth's orbit, $q^{*}$ can be represented by a variable periodic function. The operator $\frac{d}{d t}$ represents differentiation following the motion but in this static model will reduce to $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, differentiation with respect to time.

In general for a fluid(10)we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta Q=c_{v} \delta T-\frac{p}{\rho_{1}^{2}} \delta \rho_{1} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ is the pressure and $C_{V}$ the specific heat at constant volume for the upper layer. We can replace (1.1) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{v} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}-\frac{p_{2}^{2}}{\rho_{1}^{2}} \frac{\partial \rho_{1}}{\partial t}=\frac{k_{1}}{\rho_{1}} \nabla^{2} T+q^{*} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

assuming that $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{1}}$ is constant. In a class of heat transfer problems, for example the Benard convection problem (9) , it has been found that the contribution of the $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}$ term is very much smaller than the $\frac{\partial T}{\partial t}$ term and the conductivity term. We shall assume here also that the $\frac{\partial \rho_{1}}{\partial t}$ term is negligible. The heat transfer equation can therefore be approximated to

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{v} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}=\frac{k_{1}}{\rho_{1}} \nabla^{2} T+q^{*} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\nabla^{2}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left[\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}}+\cot \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}+\operatorname{cosec}^{2} \theta \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \lambda^{2}}\right]
$$

A general heating function can always be expressed, using Fourier theory (11); in the terms of spherical harmonics. Thus we will take $q^{*}$ and $T$ to consist of linear combination of terms,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
q^{*}(\theta, \lambda, r, t)=\sum_{m} \sum_{n} q_{m n}^{*}(\theta, \lambda, r, t),  \tag{1.5}\\
T(\theta, \lambda, r, t)=\sum_{m} \sum_{n} T_{m n}(\theta, \lambda, r, t) ;
\end{array}\right\}
$$

the functions $q_{m n}^{*}$ and $T_{m n}$ will be of the form

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
q_{m n}^{*}(\theta, \lambda, r, t)=\operatorname{Re}\left\{Q_{1}(r) P_{n}^{m}(\cos \theta) \cos m \lambda e^{i q t}\right\}, \\
T_{m n}(\theta, \lambda, r, t)=\operatorname{Re}\left\{T_{1}(r) P_{n}^{m}(\cos \theta) \cos m \lambda e^{i q t}\right\},
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $m$ and $n$ are positive integers or zero. Note that $Q_{1}(r)$ and $T_{1}(r)$ will be dependent on $m$ and $n$. We substitute (1.5) and (1.6) into (1.4) to obtain a second order differential equation for $T_{1}(r)$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{i g \rho_{1} c_{v}}{k_{1}} T_{1}(r)=\frac{d^{2} T_{1}(r)}{d r^{2}}+\frac{2}{r} \frac{d T_{1}(r)}{d r}-\frac{n(n+1)}{r^{2}} T_{1}(r)+\frac{\rho_{1}}{k_{1}} Q_{1}(r) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## THE HEAT TRANSFER EQUATION FOR THE LOWER LAYER

The heat transfer equation for the lower layer in the absence of a heating function is

$$
\frac{d Q^{*}}{d t}=\frac{1}{\rho_{2}} \operatorname{diw}\left(k_{2} \operatorname{grad} T^{*}\right)
$$

where $Q^{*}$ is the heat per unit mass, $\rho_{2}$ is the density, $T^{*}$ the temperature
and $\boldsymbol{k}_{\mathbf{2}}$ the thermal conductivity of the lower layer. For a liquid (10)

$$
\delta Q^{*}=c_{2} \delta T^{*}
$$

where $c_{2}$ is the specific heat of the ocean. Assuming $\boldsymbol{k}_{\mathbf{2}}$ is constant the heat transfer equation reduces to

$$
c_{2} \frac{\partial T^{*}}{\partial t}=\frac{k_{2}}{\rho_{2}} \nabla^{2} T^{*}
$$

The ocean occupies the region $a-h_{2}<r<a \quad$ where the ocean depth $h_{2} \ll a$. If we define a new variable $z$ as $z=r-a, 0<z<h_{2}$, we can approximate $\nabla^{\mathbf{2}}$ to

$$
\nabla^{2}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{2}}+\frac{1}{a^{2}}\left[\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}}+\cot \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}+\operatorname{cosec}^{2} \theta \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \lambda^{2}}\right] .
$$

For consistency between the two layers, we will take $T^{*}$ to consist of a linear combination of terms,

$$
T^{*}(\theta, \lambda, z, t)=\sum_{m} \sum_{n} T_{m n}^{*}(\theta, \lambda, z, t)
$$

where $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{m}}^{*}$ will take the form

$$
T_{m n}^{*}(\theta, \lambda, z, t)=\operatorname{Re}\left\{T_{2}(z) P_{n}^{m}(\cos \theta) \cos m \lambda e^{i q t}\right\} .
$$

The function $T_{2}(\mathbf{z})$ is therefore dependent on $m$ and $n$. We substitute (1.10) into (1.8) to obtain a differential equation for $T_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{z})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} T_{2}(z)}{d z^{2}}-\frac{n(n+1)}{a^{2}} T_{2}(z)=\frac{i g p_{2} c_{2}}{k_{2}} T_{2}(z) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

THE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS
Equations (1.7) and (1.11) are second order differential equations for $T_{1}(\boldsymbol{r})$ and $T_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{Z})$. We will now chose four boundary conditions as
follows:
(i) The Sommerfield radiation condition (12), which in this model will imply that for large $r$, heat is radiating away from the Earth, since there is a finite heat source in the atmosphere.
(ii) Continutity of temperature at the ocean surface,

$$
\left.T_{1}(r)\right|_{r=a}=\left.T_{2}(z)\right|_{z=0},
$$

(iii) Continuity of heat transfer at the ocean surface,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.k_{1} \frac{d T_{1}(r)}{d r}\right|_{r=a}=\left.k_{2} \frac{d T_{2}(z)}{d z}\right|_{z=0}, \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iv) No heat transfer at the bottom of the ocean,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d T_{2}}{d z}(z)\right|_{z=0}=0 . \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now have a complete system of equations where $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ must satisfy $(1.7)$ and (1.11) respectively subject to (1.12) - (1.15).

## VALUES FOR CONSTANTS IN THIS MODEL

We present average values for the constants (13, 14, 15) appearing in this work. We will use C.G.S. units throughout.

$$
a=6 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{cms}
$$

| LOWER LAYER | UPPER LAYER |
| :---: | :---: |
| $h_{2}=5 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{cms}$ |  |
| $c_{2}=4.22 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{gm}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $c_{v}=0.7 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{gm}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| $\rho_{2}=0.1 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{cms}^{3}$ | $\rho_{1}=1.28 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{cms}^{3}$ |

We will use two values for both thermal conductivities ( 5,13 ). The smaller values are the molecular conductivities and the larger values are the 'eddy' conductivities. The eddy values for the conductivities may be more realistic ones in the simulation of the atmosphere and ocean.

| J/cms ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Cs}$ | MOLECULAR | EDDY |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $k_{1}$ | $2.4 \times 10^{-4}$ | 24.1 |
| $k_{2}$ | $5.61 \times 10^{-3}$ | $5.61 \times 10^{2}$ |

Before proceeding to solve (1.7) and (1.11) subject to (1.12) to (1.15), we shall first consider the simplified problem obtained by neglecting the time depedent term on the left hand side of (1.17) (this term being referred to as the inertial term). This approximation is justified if $q^{*}$ varies sufficiently slowly with time. The two equations to be solved for $T_{1}(r)$ and $T_{2}(\boldsymbol{z})$ are:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d^{2} T_{1}}{d r^{2}}+\frac{2}{r} \frac{d T_{1}}{d r}-\frac{n(n+1)}{r^{2}} T_{1}=-\frac{\rho_{1}(r)}{k_{1}} Q_{1}(r), a \leqslant r<\infty,  \tag{1.18}\\
\frac{d^{2} T_{2}}{d z^{2}}-\left[\frac{n(n+1)}{a^{2}}+\frac{i g c_{2} p_{2}}{k_{2}}\right] T_{2}=0 \quad, 0 \leqslant z \leqslant h_{2}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

SOLUTIONS FOR $T_{1}(r)$ AND $T_{2}(z)$

We can find the general solution for $T_{1}(r)$ by using the method of variation of parameters (16). The solution of

$$
\frac{d^{2} T_{1}}{d r^{2}}+\frac{2}{r} \frac{d T_{1}}{d r}-\frac{n(n+1)}{r^{2}} T_{1}=0
$$

is

$$
T_{1}=A r^{n}+B r^{-n-1}
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are arbitary constants. We will look for a particular solution for $T_{1}(r)$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1}=\alpha(r) r^{n}+\beta(r) r^{-n-1} \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha(r)$ and $\beta(r)$ are at our disposal. We take

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{n} \frac{d \alpha}{d r}(r)+r^{-n-1} \frac{d \beta(r)}{d r}=0 \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that (1.16) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
n r^{n-1} \frac{d \alpha(r)}{d r}-(n+1) r^{-n-1} \frac{d \beta(r)}{d r}=-\frac{r \rho_{1}}{k_{1}} Q_{1} . \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus (1.18) and (1.19) define the functions $\alpha(r)$ and $\beta(r)$ and the general solution for $T_{1}$ takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{1}(r) & =\frac{-r^{n}}{(2 n+1) k_{1}} \int_{\infty}^{r} \frac{\rho_{1}(\eta) Q_{1}(\eta)}{\eta^{n-1}} d \eta+\frac{r^{-n-1}}{(2 n+1) k_{1}} \int_{\infty}^{r} \rho_{1}(\eta) Q_{1}(\eta) \eta^{n+2} d \eta \\
& +A r^{n}+B r^{-n-1} . \tag{1.22}
\end{align*}
$$

The function $T_{1}(r)$ must satisfy the Sommerfeld boundary condition (1.12), which we will take in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r^{2} \frac{d T_{1}(r)}{d r} \rightarrow \quad \text { constant. }}{} \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $T_{1}(r)$ defined in (1.22) will satisfy (1.23) if $A=0$ and $Q_{1}(r)$ is chosen appropriately. For convenience we will take $B=B_{1} a^{n+1}$ so that $T_{1}(r)$ reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{1}(r) & =\frac{-r^{n}}{(2 n+1) k_{1}} \int_{\infty}^{r} \rho_{1}(\eta) Q_{1}(\eta) \eta^{-n+1} d \eta+\frac{r^{-n-1}}{(2 n+1) k_{1}} \int_{\infty}^{r} \rho_{1}(\eta) Q_{1}(\eta) \eta^{n+2} d \eta \\
& +B_{1}\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^{n+1} . \tag{1.24}
\end{align*}
$$

The solution for $T_{\mathbf{2}}(\boldsymbol{z})$ satisfying (1.16) is

$$
T_{2}(z)=\lambda_{1} \exp \{(\alpha+i \beta) z\}+\lambda_{2} \exp \{-(\alpha+i \beta) z\}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ are arbitary constants and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\alpha+i \beta)^{2}=\frac{n(n+1)}{a^{2}}+\frac{i q \rho_{2} c_{2}}{k_{2}} . \tag{1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, for our puposes, we shall find it more convenient to write the
solution of $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ which satisfies the boundary condition (1.15) in the form

$$
T_{2}(z)=\frac{B_{2} \cosh \left\{(\alpha+i \beta)\left(z+h_{2}\right)\right\}}{\cosh \left\{(\alpha+i \beta) h_{2}\right\}}
$$

where $B_{2}=T_{2}(0)$, is an arbitary constant.
The conditions (1.13) and (1.14) yield the following two relations between $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{2}=B_{1}-\frac{a^{n}}{(2 n+1) k_{1}} \int_{\infty}^{a} \rho_{1} Q_{1} \eta^{-n+1} d \eta+\frac{a^{-n-1}}{(2 n+1) k_{1}} \int_{\infty}^{a} \rho_{1} Q_{1} \eta^{n+2} d \eta, \tag{1.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{2} k_{2}(\alpha+i \beta) \tanh \left[(\alpha+i \beta) h_{2}\right] & =-\frac{(n+1) k_{1} B_{1}}{a}-\frac{n a^{n-1}}{(2 n+1)} \int_{\infty}^{a} \rho_{1} Q_{1} \eta^{-n+1} d \eta \\
& -\frac{(n+1)}{(2 n+1)} a^{-n-2} \int_{\infty}^{a} \rho_{1} Q_{1} \eta^{n+2} d \eta \tag{1.28}
\end{align*}
$$

We have assumed the integrals in (1.27) and (1.28) exist and are convergent. We find that

$$
B_{1}=\frac{a^{n}}{(2 n+1) k_{1} x}\left\{-\frac{n k_{1}}{a}+(\alpha+i \beta) k_{2} \tanh \left[(\alpha+i \beta) h_{2}\right]\right\} \int_{\infty}^{a} \rho_{1} Q_{1} \eta^{-n+1} d \eta
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{a^{-n-1}}{(2 n+1) k_{1}} \int_{\infty}^{a} \eta^{n+2} \rho_{1} Q_{1} d \eta \tag{1.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{2}=-\frac{a^{n-1}}{x} \int_{\infty}^{a} \rho_{1}(\eta) Q_{1}(\eta) \eta^{-n+1} d \eta \tag{1.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we define $\boldsymbol{X}$ as

$$
x=\frac{(n+1)}{a} k_{1}+k_{2}(\alpha+i \beta) \tanh \left[(\alpha+i \beta) h_{2}\right] .
$$

## THE SEA SURFACE TEMPERATURE

Of particular interest here is the sea surface temperature, $T^{*}(\theta, \lambda, 0, t)$. The integral defined in (1.28) is real and $\boldsymbol{X}$ is a complex quantity. It is therefore $\mathcal{X}$ which produces the difference in phase between the heat source, and the sea surface temperature; if we write $\boldsymbol{\chi}$ in the form

$$
X=A_{0} e^{i \theta}
$$

then we have from (1.9) and (1.10)

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*}(\theta, \lambda, 0, t)=\sum_{m} \sum_{n} \operatorname{Re}\left\{-\frac{a^{n-1}}{A_{0}} e^{i\left(q t-\theta_{0}\right)} P_{n}^{m}(\cos \theta) \cos m \lambda \int_{\infty}^{a} \rho_{1} Q_{1} \eta^{-n+1} d \eta\right\} . \tag{1.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear from (1.30) that there is a phase lag between $T^{*}(\theta, \lambda, 0, t)$ and $q^{*}(\theta, \lambda, r, t)$ of magnitude $\theta_{0} / q$. In addition, (1.29) and (1.23) reveal that $X$ is dependent on $n$ but independent of $m$ so that the longitudinal heating structure plays no part in the phase lag. Also $\boldsymbol{X}$ is independent of $Q_{1}(r)$, the variation of the heat source in the vertical direction.

To evaluate $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}$ we rewrite $\boldsymbol{\chi}$ in the form $A+i B$. It can be seen that $\mathcal{X}$ is a complicated complex function and to simplify (1.29) we wish to approximate $(\alpha+i \beta)$ and $\tanh \left[(\alpha+i \beta) h_{2}\right]$. It is therefore necessary to look at orders of magnitude of the various terms. Using the quantities in (1.16) and (1.17) we can see that from (1.23)

$$
(\alpha+i \beta)^{2} h_{2}^{2} \sim \begin{cases}10^{-6}+i q \times 10^{13} & \text { (molecular) } \\ 10^{-6}+i q \times 10^{8} & \text { (eddy) }\end{cases}
$$

where $q=\frac{2 \pi}{p}$, $P$ being the heating period in seconds. It will be more convenient to rewrite $q$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g \sim 10^{6-L} \tag{1.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that for $L=1$ the heating period is one year, for $L=2$ the heating
period is ten years and so on. Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\alpha+i \beta)^{2} h_{2}^{2}= \\
& \begin{cases}10^{-6}+i 10^{19-L} & \text { (molecular) } \\
10^{-6}+i 10^{14-L} & \text { (eddy) }\end{cases} \tag{1.34}
\end{align*}
$$

We shall now consider the cases of $L$ being small and large separately.

1) The case $L<6$ (molecular) and $L<1$ (eddy)

In this region we can approximate (1.25) to
so that

$$
\alpha+i \beta=(1+i)\left[q \rho_{2} c_{2} / k_{2}\right]^{1 / 2} .
$$

We can approximate $\boldsymbol{X}$ from (1.29) to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad x \sim(\alpha+i \beta) k_{2} \tanh \left[(\alpha+i \beta) h_{2}\right] \\
& \text { and since }(\alpha+i \beta) h_{2} \quad \text { is large it follows that }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \sim(\alpha+i \beta) k_{2}=e^{i \pi / 4}\left[q \rho_{2} c_{2} / k_{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{1.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have then $\theta_{0}=\pi / 4$, in other words the time lag between the heating function and the sea surface temperature is an eighth of the period of heating. It is clear that $\theta_{0}$ is independent of $L$ and $n$ and is, therefore, the same for all heating functions with periods in this region.
2) The case $L>6$ (molecular) and $L>1$ (eddy)

For these larger values of $L$ we can approximate (1.31) to

$$
\begin{align*}
x & =\frac{(n+1)}{a} k_{1}+k_{2} h_{2}(\alpha+i \beta)^{2} \\
& =\frac{(n+1)}{a}\left[k_{1}+\frac{n}{a} k_{2} h_{2}\right]+i q c_{2} p_{2} h_{2} . \tag{1.36}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan \theta_{0}=\frac{q \rho_{2} c_{2} h_{2} a}{(n+1)\left[k_{1}+n k_{2} h_{2} / a\right]} \tag{1.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

When we substitute values for the constants in (1.37)
from (1.16) and (1.17) we find
$\tan \theta_{0} \sim$

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{4 \times 10^{12-1}}{(n+1)}, & \text { (molecular) } \\ \frac{4 \times 10^{7-4}}{(n+1)} & \text { (eddy) }\end{cases}
$$

Thus for the ranges $6<\mathrm{L} \leqslant 12$ (molecular) and $1<\mathrm{L}<7$ (eddy) we have $\theta_{0} \sim \pi / 2$ so that the phase lag is one quarter of the heating period. For larger values of $n, \theta_{0}$ decreases from $\pi / 2$ to zero. These results are represented in graph form in Fig. 1.

## THE GENERAL INERTIA MODEL

We will now return to the original model formulated in Chapter 1.2 which includes the time dependent term on the left hand side of (1.7). The two equations to be solved for $T_{1}(r)$ and $T_{2}(\mathbf{z})$ are therefore (1.7) and (1.11), namely

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{r^{2} d^{2} T_{1}}{d r^{2}}+2 r \frac{d T}{d r}-\left[n(n+1)+i q A r^{2}\right] T_{1}=-A_{1} Q_{1}(r) r^{2} \\
& \frac{d^{2} T_{2}}{d z^{2}}-\left[\frac{n(n+1)}{a^{2}}+\frac{i q \beta_{2} c_{2}}{k_{2}}\right] T_{2}=0, \tag{1.38}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A=\frac{p_{1} c_{v}}{k_{1}}$ and $A_{1}=\frac{p_{1}}{k_{1}}$.
SOLUTIONS FOR $T_{1}(r)$ AND $T_{2}(z)$

We will assume that the density of the upper layer, $\rho_{1}$ is constant to simplify the solution of $T_{1}(r)$. We will again use the method of variation of parmeters (16) to find the general solution of $T_{1}(r)$. The solutions of

$$
r^{2} \frac{d^{2} T_{1}}{d r^{2}}+2 r \frac{d T}{d r}-\left[n(n+i)+i q A r^{2}\right] T_{1}=0
$$

are spherical Bessel functions of the third kind (17), thus $\mathbb{T}_{1}(r)$ takes the form

$$
T_{1}(r)=D_{1} h_{n}^{(1)}(s r)+D_{2} h_{n}^{(2)}(s r)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=e^{i \pi / 4}(q A)^{1 / 2} \tag{1.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are arbitary constants. The functions $h_{n}^{(1)}(z)$ and $h_{n}^{(2)}(z)$ are defined in terms of Hankel functions (Bessel functions of the third kind) and Bessel functions as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{n}^{(1)}(z)=(\pi / 2 z)^{1 / 2} H_{n+1 / 2}^{(1)}(z)=(\pi / 2 z)^{1 / 2}\left[J_{n+1 / 2}(z)+i Y_{n+1 / 2}(z)\right], \\
& h_{n}^{(2)}(z)=(\pi / 2 z)^{1 / 2} H_{n+1 / 2}^{(2)}(z)=(\pi / 2 z)^{1 / 2}\left[J_{n+1 / 2}(z)-i Y_{n+1 / 2}(z)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Their series expansion takes the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{n}^{(1)}(z)=i^{-n-1} z^{-1} e^{i z} \sum_{0}^{n}(n+1 / 2, k)(-2 i z)^{-k}, \\
& h_{n}^{(2)}(z)=i^{n+1} z^{-1} e^{i z} \sum_{0}^{n}(n+1 / z, k)(2 i z)^{-k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To find a particular solution, we assume $T_{1}(r)$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1}=C_{1}(s r) h_{n}^{(1)}(s r)+C_{2}(s r) h_{n}^{(2)}(s r) . \tag{1.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

We choose $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d C_{1}}{d r} h_{n}^{(1)}(s r)+\frac{d C_{2}}{d r} h_{n}^{(2)}(s r)=0 \tag{1.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the form of $T_{1}$ as in (1.40) and using the relation (1.41) we substatute $T_{1}$ back into (1.38) to obtain a second equation between $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d C_{1}}{d r} \frac{d h_{n}^{(1)}(s r)}{d r}+\frac{d C_{2}}{d r} \frac{d h_{n}^{(2)}(s r)}{d r}=-A_{1} Q_{1}(r) . \tag{1.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1.41) and (1.42), using the Wronskian (17),

$$
W\left\{h_{n}^{(1)}(z), h_{n}^{(2)}(z)\right\}=-\frac{2 i}{z^{2}},
$$

we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d C_{1}}{d r}=-\frac{A_{1}}{2 i} s r^{2} Q_{1}(r) h_{n}^{(2)}(s r) \\
& \frac{d C_{2}}{d r}=\frac{A_{1} s r^{2} Q_{1}(r) h_{n}^{(1)}(s r)}{}, \tag{1.43}
\end{align*}
$$

The complete solution for $T_{1}(r)$ is therefore:

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{1}(r)= & \frac{s A_{1}}{2 i} \int_{a}^{r} \xi^{2} Q_{1}(\xi)\left[h_{n}^{(1)}(s \xi) h_{n}^{(2)}(s r)-h_{n}^{(1)}(s r) h_{n}^{(2)}(s \xi)\right] d \xi \\
& +D_{1} h_{n}^{(1)}(s r)+D_{2} h_{n}^{(2)}(s r) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $T_{1}(r)$ to satisfy (1.12), the Sommerfeld condition that for large r heat is radiating away from Earth, we look at the asymptotic expansions of $h_{n}^{(1)}(s r)$ and $h_{n}^{(2)}(s r)$ (17), namely

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{n}^{(1)}(s r) \sim 2 \pi / s r \exp \{i(s r-1 / 2 n \pi-\pi / 4)\}, \\
& h_{n}^{(2)}(s r) \sim 2 \pi / s r \exp \{-i(s r-1 / 2 n \pi-\pi / 4)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

from the definition of $T_{1}$ in (1.6) we have for large $r$ terms of the form $\exp \{i(s r+q t)\}$ and $\exp \{i(-s r+q t)\}$. Therefore to satisfy the Sommerfeld condition we need the coefficients of the $\operatorname{term} \exp \{i(s r+q t)\}$, i.e. $h_{n}^{(1)}(s r)$, to be zero as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore we take

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{1}=\frac{s A_{1}}{2 i} \int_{a}^{\infty} \xi^{2} Q_{1}(\xi) h_{n}^{(2)}(\xi \xi) d \xi . \tag{1.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution for $T_{2}$ will be the same as in the non-inertia model, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{2}(z)=\frac{B_{2} \cosh \left\{(\alpha+i \beta)\left(z+h_{2}\right)\right\}}{\cosh \left\{(\alpha+i \beta) h_{2}\right\}} \tag{1.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where as before

$$
(\alpha+i \beta)^{2}=\frac{n(n+1)}{a^{2}}+\frac{i g \rho_{2} c_{2}}{k_{2}}
$$

The two remaining boundary conditions, (1.13) and (1.14) yield two equations between $D_{2}$ and $B_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{2}=D_{1} h_{n}^{(1)}(s a)+D_{2} h_{n}^{(2)}(s a) \tag{1.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}}(\alpha+i \beta) \tanh \left[(\alpha+i \beta) h_{2}\right] B_{2}=D_{1} H_{n}^{(1)}(s a)+D_{2} H_{n}^{(2)}(s a) \tag{1.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n}^{(i)}(s a)=\left.\frac{d h_{n}^{(i)}(s r)}{d r}\right|_{r=a}, i=1,2 . \tag{1.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $T_{2}(0)=B_{2}$, it is the constant $B_{2}$ which is of most interest here, thus we eliminate $D_{2}$ between (1.47) and (1.48) and using (1.45) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{2}=\frac{A_{1} \int_{a}^{\infty} \xi^{2} Q_{1}(\xi) h_{n}^{(2)}(s \xi) d \xi}{a^{2}\left[H_{n}^{(2)}(s \alpha)-h_{n}^{(2)}(s a) \frac{k_{2}}{R_{1}}(\alpha+i \beta) \tanh \left[(\alpha+i \beta) h_{2}\right]\right]} . \tag{1.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

## THE SEA SURFACE TEMPERATURE

As in Chapter 1.3 we will now investigate the structure of the sea surface temperature, $T^{*}(\theta, \lambda, 0, t)$. We will write the complex quantity $B_{2}$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{2}=A_{0} e^{i \theta_{0}} . \tag{1.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (1.9) and (1.10), the sea surface temperature distribution takes the form,

$$
T^{*}(\theta, \lambda, 0, t)=\sum_{m} \sum_{n} \operatorname{Re}\left\{A_{0} e^{i\left(q t-\theta_{0}\right)} P_{n}^{m}(\cos \theta) \cos m \lambda\right\} .
$$

There is again a phase lag between $T^{*}(\theta, \lambda, 0, t)$ and $q^{*}(\theta, \lambda, r, t)$, the heat source of magnitude $\theta_{0} / q$.

Unlike the simple case in Chapter 1.3, the integral in (1.50)is now a complex quantity. It is therefore necessary to define $Q_{1}(r)$ more precisely. The heating function is dependent upon the water vapour distribution in the atmosphere. The.greatest amounts of water vapour are found well below ten kilometres and fall off rapidly with height. Therefore $Q_{1}(r)$ should be a decreasing function with increasing height and a reasonable choice for $Q_{1}(r)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{1}(r)=Q_{0} e^{-p r} \tag{1.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will calculate a value for $p$ by assuming that the heating function decreases to one tenth of its surface value at a height of ten kilometres.

From (1.52) we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \sim 2.3 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{cms}^{-1} \tag{1.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will take the following form for the spherical Bessel function $h_{n}^{(s)}{ }^{(s)}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{n}^{(2)}(s r)={\frac{i^{n}}{s r}}^{n+1} e^{i s r} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(1+n+k)}{k!\Gamma(1+n-k)}(-2 i s r)^{-k} \tag{1.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{2}=\frac{A_{1} Q_{0} i^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(1+n+k)}{k!\Gamma(1+n-k)} \int_{a}^{\infty} \xi e^{(i s-p) \xi}(-2 i s \xi)^{-k} d \xi}{a^{2} s\left[H_{n}^{(2)}(s a)-h_{n}^{(2)}(s a) \frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}}(\alpha+i \beta) \tanh \left[(\alpha+i \beta) h_{2}\right]\right]} \tag{1.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{B}_{2}$ is dependent on the parameter n and so we must choose particular values of n to find the heating lag. We have taken the values $\mathrm{n}=0,1,2,3$, for relatively simple functions, $h_{n}^{(2)}$. The even values of $n$ imply a heating source symmetric about the equator.

The integrals encountered for the above values of $n$ are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{n}=\int_{a}^{\infty} e^{(i s-p) \xi} \xi^{1-n} d \xi ; n=0,1,2,3 ; \tag{1.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
s=(1+i)\left(q \rho_{1} c_{v} / 2 k_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

When $n=0,1$, the integral $K_{n}$ can easily be evaluated but for $n=2,3, K_{n}$ takes the form of an Exponential Integral (18),

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{n}=E_{n-2}(a(p-i s)), n=2,3 \tag{1.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

where in general

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}(z)=\int_{1}^{\infty} t^{-n} e^{-z t} d t, n=0,1,2 \ldots \ldots . \tag{1.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting average values for the constants from (1.16), (1.17) and
(1.53) we find

$$
a(p-i s) \sim\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
10+10^{6}(1-i) q^{1 / 2} & \text { (molecular) }  \tag{1.59}\\
10+10^{4}(1-i) q^{1 / 2} & \text { (eddy) }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Therefore for all values of $q$, $a(p-i s)$ will be large and we can use the asymptotic expansion for $E_{n-2}(a(p-i s))$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}(z) \sim \frac{e^{-z}}{z}\left\{1-\frac{n}{z}+\frac{n(n+1)}{z^{2}}+\cdots \cdot \cdot\right\} \tag{1.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

and only the first term in the series is used. Thus the formulae for $B_{2}$
take the following form in the four cases $n=0,1,2$, and 3:

$$
\begin{align*}
& n=0: \quad h_{0}^{(2)}(z)=\frac{i}{2} e^{i z}, \quad(\alpha+i \beta)^{2}=i q \rho_{2} c_{2} / k_{2}, \\
& B_{2}=\frac{-A_{1} Q_{0} i e^{-p a}\left[a /(i s-p)-1 /(i s-p)^{2}\right]}{s^{2}[1+s a+a W]},  \tag{1.61}\\
& n=1: \quad h_{1}^{(2)}(z)=\left[-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{i}{z^{2}}\right] e^{i 2}, \quad(\alpha+i \beta)^{2}=2 / a^{2}+i q \rho_{2} c_{2} / k_{2}, \\
& B_{2}=\frac{A_{1} Q_{0} e^{-p a}\left[a /(i s-p)+1 / s(i s-p)-1 /(1 s-p)^{2}\right]}{a^{2} s^{3}\left[-\frac{i s^{2}}{a}+\frac{2 s}{a^{2}}+\frac{2 i}{a^{3}}\left(\frac{s}{a}+\frac{i}{a^{2}}\right) W\right]}, \tag{1.62}
\end{align*}
$$

$n=2: \quad h_{2}^{(2)}(z)=\left[-\frac{i}{2}+\frac{3}{2^{2}}+\frac{3 i}{z^{3}}\right] e^{i z}, \quad(\alpha+i \beta)^{2}=6 / a^{2}+i g p_{2} c_{2} / k_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{2}=\frac{-A_{1} Q_{0} i e^{-p a}\left[-a+1 /(1 s-p)-3 i / s+3 / s^{2} a\right]}{(i s-p)\left[i-\frac{3}{5 a}-\frac{9 i}{s^{2} a^{2}}+5 a+3 i+\left[i a-3 / s+\frac{3 i}{s^{2} a}\right] w\right]}, \tag{1.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
n=3: \quad h_{3}^{(2)}(2)=\left[\frac{1}{2}+\frac{10 i}{2^{2}}-\frac{90}{2^{3}}-\frac{630 i}{z^{4}}\right], \quad(\alpha+i \beta)^{2}=12 / a^{2}+i q p_{2} c_{2} / k_{2}
$$

$$
B_{2}=\frac{A_{1} Q_{0} e^{-p a}\left[1 / s(i s-p)^{2}-10 i / s^{2}(i s-p)-a / s(i s-p)+90 / s^{3} a(i s-p)^{-630 i / a s^{4}}\right]}{a^{2}\left[1 / a-\frac{11}{s a^{2}}-\frac{110 i}{s^{2} a^{3}}+\frac{900}{s^{3} a^{4}}+\frac{2520 i}{s^{4} a^{3}}+\left[\frac{-1}{s a}-\frac{10 i}{s^{2} a^{2}}+\frac{90}{s^{3} a^{3}}+\frac{630 i}{s^{4} a^{4}}\right] \mathrm{W}\right]},
$$

where

$$
w=(\alpha+i \beta) \tanh \left[(\alpha+i \beta) h_{2}\right]
$$

As in Chapter 1.3 we will introduce a variable $L$ such that

$$
q \sim 10^{6-L}
$$

which implies that $L=1$ corresponds to a heating period of one year, $\mathrm{L}=2$ corresponds to a heating period of ten years and so on. We approximate $\tanh \left[(\alpha+i \beta) h_{2}\right]$ to 1 for $L<6$ (molecular) and $L<1$ (eddy) and for larger values of $L$ we take $\tanh \left[(\alpha+i \beta) h_{2}\right]$ to be $(\alpha+i \beta) h_{2}$. The formulae are manipulated into the form

$$
B_{2}=A_{0} e^{-i \theta_{0}}
$$

where $\theta_{0}$ is a function of $L_{\text {。 }}$ Values of $\theta_{0}$ were computed for different values of $L$ and the results are presented in graph form in Figs. $2-5$. The turning points of $\theta_{0}$ were checked with the analytical formulae and gave good agreement.

## DISCUSSION

It will be noticed that the graphs of the results, Figs. 1-5, have time scales up to. $L=20$, i.e. periods of $10^{19}$ years. The age of the Earth is approximately $5 \times 10^{9}$ years and it is not supposed that the results for $L>8$ have any physical meaning but were included for completeness only.

It is of interest to find that the result of replacing eddy conduc--tivities with molecular values in all the graphs is to displace the curve in the direction of increased $L$ while preserving the shape completely. The formula (1.37) for $\theta_{0}$ for the non-inertial model is of the form

$$
\tan \theta_{0}=\frac{\lambda_{1}-g}{k_{1}+\lambda_{2} k_{2}}=\frac{\lambda_{1} 10^{6-L}}{k_{1}+\lambda_{2} k_{2}}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ are constants. By changing $\boldsymbol{k}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\boldsymbol{k}_{\mathbf{2}}$ from eddy to molecular values we are therefore multiplying $q$ by $10^{5}$ or replacing $L$ by ( $L+5$ ). The quantities $\boldsymbol{k}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\boldsymbol{k}_{\mathbf{2}}$ appear in the expressions of $\tan \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathrm{o}}$ for the inertia model in a similar way and so the same argument applies. Thus if we are interested in larger values for thermal conductivity it is only necessary to displace the curves still further. We will now discuss the graphs in detail and it will be sufficient to discuss only the molecular curves.

A comparison of the curve for the non-inertial model and the four curves of the inertial model reveal several similarities. For small L $(1 \leqslant L \leqslant 5) \theta_{0}$ is approximately $\pi / 4$ and for the region $6 \leqslant L \leqslant 11, \theta_{0}$ is approximately $\pi / 2$. It is for large $L(L>11)$ that we see marked differences in the graphs, where the limits of $\theta_{0}$ vary from 0 to $2 \pi$.

We can discuss the physical significance of the above results as
follows. For eddy values for the conductivities, in the region $0<L<1$ $\theta_{0}$ is approximately $\pi / 4$ and so for diurnal heating, the maximum temperatures for the sea surface should be an eighth of the heating period, i.e. three hours behind that of the atmosphere. This corresponds well with observed temperature distributions. For $1<L<7$ the period lag is a quarter of the heating period. Thus for seasonal changes the maximum temperature for the sea surface should be three months behind maximum values for the atmosphere which again corresponds well to observed values as discussed in the General Introduction. For ice ages the period is about 20,000 years corresponding to $L=4$. The period lag should again be a quarter of the heating period which would be 5,000 years.


Figure 1: Variation of $\theta_{0}$ with L for the non-inertial model
$\left.\left.\begin{array}{ll}\ldots & n=0 \\ \cdots--- & n=3\end{array}\right\} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { eddy thermal conductivity } \\ \cdots \cdots\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \\ \cdots=3\end{array}\right\} \quad$ molecular thermal conductivity


Figure 2: Variation of $\theta_{0}$ with I for $n=0$ in the inertial model


Figure 3: Variation of $\theta_{0}$ with L for $\mathrm{n}=1$ in the inertial model


Figure 4: Variation of $\theta_{0}$ with L for $\mathrm{n}=2$ in the inertial model :
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## THE RESPONSE OF AN ATMOSPHERE MODEL TO A TIME DEPENDENT HEAT SOURCE AT THE SEA SURFACE

## CHAPTER 2.1

INTRODUCTION
In Part 1 we looked at a static two layer model which represented the ocean and atmosphere. We introduced a heat source into the upper layer and investigated the phase lag between the sea surface temperature and the heat source. In this second model we allow motion in the upper layer, we omit the lower layer but retain a time dependent heating function at the lower boundary of the layer, which is thought of as a heat source at the sea surface.

In general our model extends a perturbation scheme due to Blinova (19) (which has no differential heating) by including the heat transfer equation in which the vertical thermal conductivity term is retained. This produces a fourth order partial differential equation for the stream function. Four boundary conditions are introduced: the vertical velocity vanishes at both boundar ies of the layer, there is no heat transfer at the top of the layer and a time dependent heat source exists at the bottom of the layer. Fourier transforms with respect to time are taken and an approximate solution for the resulting fourth order ordinary differential equation is obtained following methods of Heisenberg, Lin and others (20). Finally, the inverse Fourier transforms are found for the stream function at the lower boundary of the layer representing the sea surface.

## CHAPTER 2.2

## FORMULATION OF THE MODEL

We take a spherical coordinate system, $(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \mathrm{r})$ fixed on a rotating Earth with a being the radius of the Earth and $t$ as the time dependent variable. This is a one layer model and we will first introduce the six governing equations, namely the three equations of motion, the equation of continuity, the gas equation and the heat transfer equation.

## THE GOVERNING EQUATIONS

The velocity vector for the layer will be $\underline{V}=(\boldsymbol{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ where $\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}$, and $W$ are the components along the $\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ and $r$ directions. We take the horizontal equations of motion for the atmosphere in the form

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d u}{d t}-v(\zeta+2 \Omega \cos \theta)=-\frac{1}{r p} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta}-\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\frac{v \cdot v}{2}\right)  \tag{2.1}\\
\frac{d v}{d t}+u(\zeta+2 \Omega \cos \theta)=\frac{-1}{r p \sin \theta} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \lambda}-\frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}\left(\frac{v \cdot v}{2}\right),
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $p$ is the pressure and $\rho$ the density of the layer, $\Omega$ is the angular velocity of the Earth and $\frac{d}{d t}$ is differentiation following the motion, namely $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{u}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}+\frac{v}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}+w \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$. The vorticity component in the vertical direction, $\mathcal{S}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\frac{1}{r \sin \theta}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(v \sin \theta)-\frac{\partial u}{\partial \lambda}\right] . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (2.1) we have ignored the horizontal components of the centrifugal and gravitational forces since these are small compared with the pressure terms. We have also neglected frictional terms. Since the basic mass of the atmosphere is contained in a layer whose thickness is insignificant compared with the radius of the Earth (a) we can replace $r$ by a in the coefficients of our equations and the derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial r}$ by $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$, where $z=r-a$. The horizontal equations of motion (2.1) are replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d u}{d t}-v(\rho+2 \Omega \cos \theta)=-\frac{1}{a \rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta}-\frac{1}{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\frac{v \cdot v}{2}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d v}{d t}+u(s+2 \Omega \cos \theta)=\frac{-1}{a \sin \theta \rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \lambda}-\frac{1}{a \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}\left(\frac{v}{2} \cdot \underline{v}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{u}{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}+\frac{v}{a \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}+w \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the vorticity, $\rho$ will also be approximated to

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=\frac{1}{a \sin \theta}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(v \sin \theta)-\frac{\partial u}{\partial \lambda}\right] . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The vertical equation of motion can be taken as the hydrostatic equation, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z}-g . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar arguments can be used to approximate the equation of continuity,

$$
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+\operatorname{div}(\rho \underline{V})=0
$$

to the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{a \sin \theta}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(u \sin \theta)+\frac{\partial v}{\partial \lambda}\right]+\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(\rho w)=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where it is also assumed that variations of density, $\rho$ with time are small compared with the density itself. In addition, we have Clapeyron's law

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=R \rho T \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is the temperature of the atmosphere.

Finally we introduce the heat transfer equation (9) which is not present in Blinova's theory. We take the equation in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d Q}{d t}=\frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div}(k, \operatorname{grad} T) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q$ is the heat content per unit mass and $k_{1}$ is the thermal conductivity of the layer. For a fluid (10)

$$
\delta Q=c_{p} \delta T-\frac{1}{\rho} \delta p
$$

where $c_{p}$ is the specific heat at constant pressure of the layer. We assume that it is the vertical variation, $\boldsymbol{k}_{1}{\frac{\partial}{}{ }^{2} T}_{\partial z^{2}}$ which is the dominant
part of the conduction term in the heat transfer equation, assuming that $k_{1}$, is constant. We can therefore approximate (2.10) to

$$
c_{p} \frac{d T}{d t}-\frac{d p}{d t}=\frac{k_{1}}{\rho} \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial z^{2}}
$$

where $\frac{d}{d t}$ is defined in (2.5).

## THE PERTURBATION EQUATIONS

The governing equations for the model are therefore (2.3), (2.4), (2.7), (2.8), (2.9) and (2.11). We will now introduce a perturbation to the model in three stages. At the first stage we shall take the standard valuesof pressure, density and temperature for a static atmosphere, ie. $\bar{p}(z), \bar{\rho}(z)$ and $\bar{T}(z)$. It follows from (2.7) and (2.9) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=-\frac{1}{\bar{p}} \frac{d \bar{p}}{d z}-g,  \tag{2.12}\\
& \bar{p}=R \Gamma \bar{T} . \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to show

$$
\frac{1}{\bar{P}} \frac{d \bar{p}}{d z}=-\frac{g}{R \bar{T}}
$$

and therefore we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{F}(z)=\bar{p}(0) \exp \left[-g / R \int_{0}^{z} \frac{d z}{T}\right] \text {. } \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the second stage we introduce a steady zonal current, from west to east, which has no longitudinal variations. We take the dependent variables in the form
$\left.\begin{array}{lc}p=\bar{p}(z)+p_{0}(z, \theta) \\ p= & \bar{p}(z)+p_{0}(z, \theta) \\ T= & \bar{T}(z)+T_{0}(z, \theta) \\ u= & 0 \\ v= & v_{0}(z, \theta) \\ w= & 0\end{array}\right\}$

The variables with the suffix 0 are of the same order of magnitude and are small compared with $\overline{\mathrm{P}}(\mathbf{z}), \bar{\rho}(\mathbf{z})$ and $\overline{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbf{z})$. We will now proceed to transform the expression $\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P}{\partial \theta}$;

$$
\frac{1}{p} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta}=\frac{R T}{P} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta}=R T \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left[\log \left(\bar{p}(z)+p_{0}(z, \theta)\right]=R T \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left[\log \bar{p}+\log \left(1+p_{0} / \bar{p}\right)\right]\right.
$$

Since $\overline{\boldsymbol{P}}$ is independent of $\theta$, by expanding $\log \left(1+P_{0} / \bar{p}\right)$ as a power series and retaining only the first term, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta}=R T \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\frac{P_{0}}{\bar{p}}\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

To the same order we may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \lambda}=\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}\left(\bar{p}(z)+p_{0}(z, \theta)\right)=R T \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}\left(\frac{p_{0}}{\bar{p}}\right)=0 \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in a similar manner,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z}=-\underline{g T}+R T \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\frac{p_{0}}{\bar{p}}\right) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can now write the equations of motion, (2.3) and (2.7) in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
-V_{0}(\zeta+2 \Omega \cos \theta) & =-\frac{R \bar{T}}{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\frac{P_{0}}{\bar{P}}\right)-\frac{1}{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\frac{V_{0}^{2}}{2}\right),  \tag{2.19}\\
0 & =-9 \frac{T_{0}}{\bar{T}}+R \bar{T} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\frac{P_{0}}{\bar{P}}\right), \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

where, in the small terms $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(P_{0} / \bar{P}\right)$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(P_{0} / \bar{P}\right)$, T has been approximated to $\overline{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbf{z})$. We will simplify (2.19) to the geostrophic approximation

$$
2 \Omega \cos \theta V_{0}=\frac{R \bar{T}}{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\frac{P_{0}}{\bar{p}}\right) .
$$

We will follow Blinova and postulate that the zonal flow $V_{0}(\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{0}(z, \theta)=a \alpha(z) \sin \theta \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha(\mathbf{z})$ is an increasing function of $\mathbf{z}$ which produces angular
velocities which increase with increasing elevation. Thus from (2.21)
we have

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\frac{P_{0}}{\bar{p}}\right)=\frac{2 \Omega a^{2} \alpha(z)}{R \bar{T}(z)} \operatorname{sen} \theta \cos \theta
$$

so that

$$
p_{0} / \bar{p}=\frac{\Omega a^{2} \alpha(z)}{R \bar{T}(z)} \sin ^{2} \theta+f(z)
$$

where $\boldsymbol{f}(\mathbf{z})$ is a general function of $\boldsymbol{z}$. We can therefore write

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0}(z, \theta)=\Omega a^{2} \alpha(z) p(z) \sin ^{2} \theta+p_{0}(z, 0) . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted that the variation of $P_{0}$ at the poles, $P_{0}(\mathbf{z}, 0)$ is assumed to be a known quantity. When $\alpha(\mathbf{z})>0$, the pressure $P_{0}(\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ increases from the poles to the equator. Using (2.19) and (2.22) we can show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{0}(z, \theta)=\bar{T}^{2} \frac{\Omega a^{2}}{g} \frac{d}{d z}\left[\frac{\alpha(z)}{\bar{T}(z)}\right] \sin ^{2} \theta+\frac{R \bar{T}^{2}}{g} \frac{d}{d z}\left[\frac{p_{0}(z, 0)}{\bar{p}(z)}\right] . \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the third and final stage we introduce a perturbation which can be written as

$$
\left.\begin{array}{lr}
p=\bar{p}(z)+p_{0}(z, \theta)+p^{\prime}(z, \theta, \lambda, t)  \tag{2.25}\\
p=\bar{p}(z)+p_{0}(z, \theta)+p^{\prime}(z, \theta, \lambda, t) \\
T=\bar{T}(z)+T_{0}(z, \theta)+T^{\prime}(z, \theta, \lambda, t) \\
u= & u^{\prime}(z, \theta, \lambda, t) \\
v= & v_{0}(z, \theta)+v^{\prime}(z, \theta, \lambda, t) \\
w= & w^{\prime}(z, \theta, \lambda, t)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

It is assumed that the first column of variables is dominant and the second and third columns are of decreasing importance in numerical magnitude. The two equations of motion (2.1) and (2.2) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d u}{d t}-v(2 \Omega \cos \theta+3)=-\frac{R T}{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(\log p)-\frac{1}{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(1 / 2 q^{2}\right), \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d v}{d t}+u(2 \Omega \cos \theta+\zeta)=-\frac{R T}{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}(\log p)-\frac{1}{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}\left(1 / 2 q^{2}\right), \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q^{2}=\underline{V} \cdot \underline{V}$. From (2.26) and (2.27) we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(v \sin \theta)-\frac{\partial u}{\partial \lambda}\right]+u \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(2 \Omega \cos \theta+\zeta) \\
& +v \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}(2 \Omega \cos \theta+\zeta)+(2 \Omega \cos \theta+\zeta)\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(u \sin \theta+\frac{\partial v}{\partial \lambda}\right]\right. \\
& =-\frac{R}{a}\left\{\frac{\partial T}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}(\log p)-\frac{\partial T}{\partial \lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(\log p)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the definition of $\mathrm{S}_{\text {in }}(2.3)$ and (2.8) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial J}{\partial t}+\frac{u}{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(2 \Omega \cos \theta+3)+\frac{v}{a \sin \theta} \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \lambda}-(2 \Omega \cos \theta+3) \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(p w) \\
& =-\frac{R}{a^{2} \sin \theta}\left[\frac{\partial T}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}(\log p)-\frac{\partial T}{\partial \lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(\log p)\right] . \tag{2.28}
\end{align*}
$$

The terms in this equation are of similar orders of magnitude and so it is relatively simple to form the linearised version of (2.28). We ignore $\boldsymbol{J}$ compared with $2 \Omega \cos \theta$ (22) in the term $(2 \Omega \cos \theta+J)$ and the linear form of (2.28) is therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \zeta^{\prime}}{\partial t}-\frac{2 \Omega}{a} \sin \theta u^{\prime}+\frac{v_{0}}{a \sin \theta} \frac{\partial J^{\prime}}{\partial \lambda}-2 \Omega \cos \theta \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\bar{p} w^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\frac{-R}{a^{2} \sin \theta}\left[\frac{\partial T_{0}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}(\log p)-\frac{\partial T^{\prime}}{\partial \lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(\log p)\right] \tag{2.29}
\end{align*}
$$

where $s^{\prime}=\frac{1}{a \sin \theta}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(v^{\prime} \sin \theta\right)-\frac{\partial u^{\prime}}{\partial \lambda}\right] \quad$. It can easily be shown that, as in (2.16)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \log p=\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}\left(\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}\right) . \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.30), (2.23) and (2.24) the right hand side of (2.29) can be
simplified and we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \zeta^{\prime}}{\partial t}-\frac{2 \Omega}{a} \sin \theta u^{\prime}+\alpha(z) \frac{\partial \zeta^{\prime}}{\partial \lambda}-\frac{2 \Omega \cos \theta}{\bar{\rho}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\bar{\rho} w^{\prime}\right) \\
& =-2 \Omega \cos \theta\left[\frac{\bar{T}}{q \bar{p}} \frac{d}{d z}\left(\frac{\alpha}{T}\right) \frac{\partial p^{\prime}}{\partial \lambda}-\frac{\alpha}{\bar{T}} \frac{\partial T^{\prime}}{\partial \lambda}\right] . \tag{2.31}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the power series method described preceeding (2.16) we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z}=R\left[\bar{T}+T_{0}+T^{1}\right]\left[-\frac{g \bar{p}}{\bar{p}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\frac{p_{0}}{\bar{p}}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\frac{p^{\prime}}{\bar{p}}\right)\right]
$$

and retaining the third order terms, from the hydrostatic equation we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\frac{p^{\prime}}{\rho}\right)=\frac{g}{R T^{2}} T^{\prime} \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will now linearise the heat transfer equation (2.11), and it is easily shown that from the third order terms we find

$$
\frac{d T}{d t}=\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}\right] T^{\prime}+w^{\prime} \frac{d \bar{T}}{d z}+\frac{u^{\prime}}{a} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \theta} 0
$$

and using (2.24), the expression for $\frac{d T}{d t}$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d T}{d t}=\left[\frac{\partial}{\delta t}+\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}\right] T^{\prime}+w^{\prime} \frac{d \bar{T}}{d z}-\frac{\bar{T}^{2}}{g \bar{p}} \frac{d}{d z}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\bar{T}}\right) \frac{d p^{\prime}}{\partial \lambda} . \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d P}{d t}=\frac{d p^{\prime}}{d t}-w^{\prime} \frac{d \bar{p}}{d z} \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus the heat transfer equation takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\rho} c_{p}\left\{\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\alpha \partial \overline{d \lambda}\right] T^{\prime}+w \frac{d \bar{T}}{d z}-\frac{\bar{T}^{2}}{d \bar{p}} \frac{d}{d z}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\bar{T}}\right) \frac{\partial p^{\prime}}{\partial \lambda}\right\}-\frac{\partial \rho^{\prime}}{\partial t}+w^{\prime} \frac{d \bar{p}}{d z}=k_{1} \frac{d^{2} T^{\prime}}{d z^{2}} . \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that (2.27) can be replaced by the geostrophic equation and using (2.30) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \Omega u^{\prime} \sin \theta \cos \theta=-\frac{R \bar{T}}{a \bar{p}} \frac{\partial p^{\prime}}{\partial \lambda} . \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dominant terms in the equation of continuity, (2.8) are the two
horizontal terms and so we can make the approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(u^{\prime} \sin \theta\right)+\frac{\partial v^{\prime}}{\partial \lambda}=0 . \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can therefore introduce a stream function, $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{\prime} \sin \theta & =-\frac{1}{a} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \lambda},  \tag{2.38}\\
v^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{a} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \theta} . \tag{2.39}
\end{align*}
$$

With $J^{\prime}=1(\operatorname{asin} \theta)\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(v^{\prime} \sin \theta\right)-\frac{\partial u^{\prime}}{\partial \lambda}\right] \quad$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{\prime}=\frac{1}{a^{2}}\left[\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial \theta^{2}}+\cot \theta \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta}+\operatorname{cosec}^{2} \theta \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial \lambda^{2}}\right]=\frac{1}{a^{2}} \nabla^{2} \psi r . \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we combine (2.36) and (2.38) we deduce that

$$
\cos \theta \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \lambda}=\frac{1}{2 \Omega \bar{p}} \frac{\partial p^{\prime}}{d \lambda}
$$

and there is no loss of generality in writing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi \cos \theta=\frac{1}{2 \Omega \bar{\rho}} P^{\prime} \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

We substitute for $u^{\prime}, J^{\prime}$ and $p^{\prime}$ in (2.31) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{a^{2}}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{\alpha}{\partial \lambda}\right] \nabla^{2} \psi+\frac{2 \Omega}{a^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}+\frac{4 \Omega^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta}{9} \frac{\partial}{d z}\left(\frac{\alpha}{T}\right) \frac{\partial \psi r}{\partial \lambda}-2 \Omega \cos \theta \frac{\alpha}{\bar{T}} \frac{\partial T^{\prime}}{\partial \lambda}=\frac{2 \Omega \cos \theta}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\omega^{\prime} \bar{\rho}\right) . \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will look at the ratio of the coefficients of $\frac{d}{\partial \lambda}$ in the second and third terms on the left hand side of (2.42). The ratio is $\left(2 \Omega \cos ^{2} \theta \operatorname{Ta}^{2}\right) \frac{d}{d z}\left(\frac{\alpha}{T}\right) / g$ which can be approximated to $\Omega a^{2} \alpha / g h$ for $\theta=60^{\circ}$. Taking average values, $a=6 \times 10 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~h}=16 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~m}$ (height of troposphere), $a \alpha=10 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}$ and $\mathrm{g}=9.8 \mathrm{~ms}^{-2}(14,15)$ we find $\Omega a^{2} \alpha / g h \sim 1 / 40$ and therefore we can ignore the third term on the left hand side of (2.42), and the simplified form is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{a^{2}}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}\right] \nabla^{2} \psi+\frac{2 \Omega}{a^{2}} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \lambda}-2 \Omega \cos \theta \frac{\alpha}{\bar{T}} \frac{\partial T^{\prime}}{\partial \lambda}=\frac{2 \Omega \cos \theta}{\bar{\rho}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(w^{\prime} \tilde{\rho}\right) \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting for $T^{\prime}=\frac{2 \Omega}{9} \cos \theta \bar{T}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(\psi / \bar{T})$ into (2.43) and (2.37) we
have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{a^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{\alpha \partial}{\partial \lambda}\right) \nabla^{2} \psi+\frac{2 \Omega}{a^{2}} \frac{\partial z}{\partial \lambda}-\frac{l^{2} \alpha \bar{T}}{9} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \lambda \partial z}\left(\frac{\psi r}{T}\right)=\frac{l}{\bar{T}} \frac{\partial}{d z}\left(w^{\prime} \bar{\rho}\right),  \tag{2.44}\\
\frac{k_{1}}{c_{p}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{2}}\left(\bar{T}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\frac{2 r}{T}\right)\right)-\bar{\rho}^{2}\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{\alpha}{\partial \lambda}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\frac{2 \psi}{T}\right)-\frac{d}{d z}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\bar{T}}\right) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \lambda}\right]-\frac{g}{c_{p}} \bar{\rho} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}=\frac{A g}{l} \bar{\rho}_{s} w_{3}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $l=2 \Omega \cos \theta$ and $A=\frac{d \bar{T}}{d z}+9 c_{p} \quad$ (23), is associated with stability of the layer. Here $\mathbf{b}$ and $A$ are both assumed to be constants. Therefore (2.44) yields two equations between $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ and $w^{\prime}$ for a prescribed $\bar{T}(\mathbf{z})$.

Blinova has only the first equation of (2.44) since the second expression is from the heat transfer equation. Blinova proceeds by integrating (2.32) from $z=0$ to $z=\infty$ to obtain an average for $\psi(z, \theta, \lambda, t)$.

By including the heat transfer equation we have a closed system of equations and we proceed by eliminating whetween the two equations of (2.44). We shall take 4 and $W^{\prime}$ as a sum of linear terms

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\psi(z, \theta, \lambda, t)=\sum_{m} \sum_{n} \psi_{m n}(z, \theta, \lambda, t),  \tag{2.45}\\
w^{\prime}(z, \theta, \lambda, t)=\sum_{m} \sum_{n} w_{m n}^{\prime}(z, \theta, \lambda, t),
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $\psi_{m n}$ and $w_{m n}^{\prime}$ are spherical harmonics of the form,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi_{m n}(z, \theta, \lambda, t)=\operatorname{Re}\left\{\phi(z, t) P_{n}^{m}(\cos \theta) e^{i m \lambda}\right\},  \tag{2.46}\\
& w_{m n}^{\prime}(z, \theta, \lambda, t)=\operatorname{Re}\left\{w(z, t) P_{n}^{m}(\cos \theta) e^{i m \lambda}\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

in which $m$ and $n$ are zero or positive integers. Note that $\varnothing(z, t)$ and $W(\mathbf{z}, t)$ depend on $m$ and $n$. We now take $\bar{T}(\mathbf{z})$ to be a constant, $T_{1}$. Thus $T^{\prime}$ will take the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& T^{\prime}(z, \theta, \lambda, t)=\sum_{m} \sum_{n} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{l T_{1}}{g} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} P_{n}^{m}(\cos \theta) e^{i m \lambda}\right\} .  \tag{2.47}\\
& \text { Substituting (2.45) into (2.44) we obtain } \\
& -\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+i m \alpha\right) n(n+1) \phi+2 \Omega m i \phi-\frac{l^{2} a^{2}}{g} i m \alpha \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}=\frac{L^{2}}{\bar{\rho}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(\bar{\rho} w), \\
& \frac{k_{1}}{C_{p}} \frac{\partial^{3} \phi}{\partial z^{3}}-\bar{\rho}\left[\left(m i \alpha+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}+\left(\frac{A}{T_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}-m i \alpha^{\prime}\right) \phi\right]=\frac{A \bar{\rho} g}{l T_{1}} w . \tag{2.48}
\end{align*}
$$

We can proceed to eliminate $W$ in order to obtain a fourth order differential equation for $\varnothing(z, t)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{k_{1}}{C_{\rho} \bar{p}} \frac{\partial^{4} \phi}{\partial Z^{4}}-\left(i m \alpha+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial Z^{2}}+\left[\frac{B \partial}{\partial t}+\frac{\bar{P}^{\prime}}{\bar{\rho}}\left(i m \alpha+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)+i m \alpha B\right] \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial Z} \\
& +\left[\frac{n(n+1) B g}{L^{2} a^{2}}\left(i m \alpha+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)-\frac{B \Omega i m g}{L^{2} a^{2}}+\frac{\bar{\rho}^{\prime}}{\bar{\rho}}\left(i m \alpha^{\prime}-\frac{\partial \partial}{\delta t}\right)+i m \alpha^{\prime \prime}\right] \phi=0 \tag{2.49}
\end{align*}
$$

where $B=A / T_{1}$. From (2.13) and (2.14), we have since $\bar{T}=T_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\rho}=\rho_{1} e^{-\beta z} \tag{2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta=g / R T_{1}$.

## THE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

We have a fourth order partial differential equation for $\varnothing$ so it is necessary to have four boundary conditions to close the system. We will take the following equations:
(1) $W=0$ at $z=0$,
(2) $W=0$ at $z=h$,
(3) It is assumed that there is a time dependent heat source $\nu_{0}(\theta, \lambda, t)$ at the lower surface of the layer so that

$$
\frac{\partial T^{\prime}}{\partial z}=\nu_{0}(\theta, \lambda, t) \text { at } z=0
$$

(4) It is assumed that there is zero heat transfer at the
top of the atmosphere, ie. $\frac{\partial T^{\prime}}{\partial z}=0$ at $z=h$.
The first two boundary conditions, that vertical velocity is zero at the top and bottom of the atmosphere were also used by Blinova. We will take
$(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \mathrm{t})$ as a linear combination of terms

$$
\nu_{0}(\theta, \lambda, t)=\sum_{m} \sum_{n} V_{0}^{m n}(\theta, \lambda, t)
$$

where $\nu_{0}^{m n}$ will take the form of spherical harmonics, namely

$$
V_{0}^{m n}(\theta, \lambda, t)=\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{q}{l T_{1}} V(t) P_{n}^{m}(\cos \theta) e^{i m t}\right\} .
$$

Note that $m$ and $n$ are positive integers and that $\nu(t)$ will depend on $m$ and $n$. By using (2.48) and (2.46) the boundary conditions can be
expressed as conditions on $\varnothing$, namely,
(1) $\epsilon_{p} \frac{k_{1}}{p} \frac{\partial^{3} \phi}{\partial z^{3}}-\left[i m \alpha+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right] \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}+\left[i m \alpha^{\prime}-B_{\partial t}\right] \quad \phi=0$ at $z=0$, $]$
(2) $\frac{k_{1}}{c_{p} j} \frac{\partial^{3} \phi}{\partial z^{3}}-\left[i m \alpha+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right] \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}+\left[i m \alpha^{\prime}-B \frac{d}{\partial t}\right] \phi=0$ at $z=h$,
(3) $\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z^{2}}=V(t)$ at $z=0$,
(4) $\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z^{2}}=0 \quad$ at $z=h$.

FOURIER TRANSFORMS WITH RESPECT TO TIME
To remove the time dependence from the problem we will now take fourier transforms with respect to time of (2.49) and the boundary conditions (2.52). We take

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\bar{\phi}(z, s)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(z, t) e^{\text {is }} d t  \tag{2.53}\\
\bar{V}(s)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \nu(t) e^{i s t} d t
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and remembering that the transform of $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}$ is -is $\bar{\varnothing}$ the system of equations reduce to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\varepsilon}{\bar{\rho}} \frac{d^{4} \bar{\phi}}{d z^{4}}-i(\alpha-\mu) \frac{d^{2} \bar{\phi}}{d z^{2}}+i(\alpha-\mu)(B+\beta) \frac{d \bar{\phi}}{d z} \\
& +i\left[\gamma^{2}(\alpha-\mu)-\frac{B \Omega g}{l^{2} a^{2}}-\beta\left(\alpha^{\prime}+B \mu\right)+\alpha^{\prime \prime}\right] \bar{\phi}=0 \tag{2.54}
\end{align*}
$$

subject to the boundary conditions

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\Sigma}{\bar{\rho}} \frac{d^{3} \bar{\phi}}{d z^{3}}-i\left[(\alpha-\mu) \frac{d \phi}{d z}+\left(\alpha^{\prime}+B \mu\right) \bar{\phi}\right]=0 \text { at } z=0, h, \\
\frac{d^{2} \bar{\phi}}{d z^{2}}=\bar{v}(\mu) \quad \text { at } z=0,  \tag{2.55}\\
\frac{d^{2} \bar{\phi}}{d z^{2}}=0 \quad \text { at } z=h,
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon=\frac{k_{1}}{c_{p} m}, \quad \gamma^{2}=\frac{n(n+1) B g}{l^{2} a^{2}} \text { and } \mu=\frac{s}{m} \tag{2.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

At this stage we simplify the model slightly. We ignore the terms $\left[-\frac{B \Omega q}{L^{2} a^{2}}-\beta\left(\alpha^{\prime}+B \mu\right)\right] \bar{\phi} \quad$. This is equivalent to ignoring variations of the Coriolis parameter in the northward direction when using rectangular coordinates and is equivalent to the neglect of $\beta$ in the model on Part 3 (see Chapter 1, (3.35)). In Part 3 in the final chapter we look at the case for $\beta \neq 0$. In many models this approximation is acceptable and we therefore feel justified in neglecting these terms. We now compare the $\frac{d \bar{\phi}}{d z}$ term with a typical $\bar{\phi}$ term, $\gamma^{\mathbf{2}}(\alpha-\mu) \bar{\varnothing}$. With an average value of $\gamma \boldsymbol{h}$ taken to be 2.4 , the ratio of the two terms, $\mathrm{Bh} / \gamma^{2} h^{2}$ is approximately $1 / 80$. We are therefore justified in ignoring the $\frac{d \bar{\phi}}{d Z}$ term. For the equations to be consistent the terms - i $B \mu \bar{\varnothing}$ must be omitted from the first two boundary conditions in (2.55). Thus the system of equations reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varepsilon}{\bar{\rho}} \frac{d^{4} \bar{\phi}}{d z^{4}}-i(\alpha(z)-\mu)\left[\frac{d^{2} \bar{\phi}}{d z^{2}}-\gamma^{2} \bar{\phi}-\frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(\alpha(z)-\mu)} \bar{\phi}\right]=0 \tag{2.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to the boundary conditions

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\frac{e}{\bar{p}} \frac{d^{3} \bar{\phi}}{d z^{3}}-i\left[(\alpha(z)-\mu) \frac{d \bar{\phi}}{d z}-\alpha^{\prime}(z) \bar{\phi}\right]=0 \text { at } z=0, h,  \tag{2.58}\\
\frac{d^{2} \bar{\phi}}{d z^{2}}=\nu(\mu) \quad \text { at } z=0 \\
\frac{d^{2} \bar{\phi}}{d z^{2}}=0 \quad \text { at } z=h
\end{array}\right\}
$$

VALUES FOR CONSTANTS IN THIS MODEL
It is clear from (2.22) that $\boldsymbol{a} \alpha(\mathbf{z})$ is a steady zonal current and hence we will assume that $\alpha(\mathbf{z})$ is a linear function of $\mathbf{z}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(z)=u_{0}+\left(\frac{z}{h}-1 / 2\right) u_{1} \tag{2.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall take the wind speed at the bottom of the layer to be $600 \mathrm{cms}^{-1}$ (24) and when we take $h$ to be the height of the tropopause ( $h=16 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{cms}$ ), a fair estimate of the speed of the wind at the top of the layer is
$1400 \mathrm{cms}^{-1}$ (24).

We will also take the average values (13)

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
c_{p}=1 \mathrm{Jgm}^{-1} \mathrm{c}^{-1}  \tag{2.60}\\
k_{1}=2.41 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} 0 \mathrm{c}^{-1} \quad \text { (molecular). }
\end{array}\right\}
$$

As in Part 1 the eddy conductivity would be approximately $10^{5}$ times as large as the molecular value.

## CHAPTER 2.3

## APPROXIMATE SOLUTION OF THE STREAM FUNCTION

The quantity $\varepsilon$, defined in (2.56) as $k_{1} / c_{p} m$ is small and of order $\boldsymbol{k}_{\mathbf{1}}$. This fact will be exploited to find a solution for $\bar{\varnothing}(\mathbf{z})$. FOUR SOLUTIONS FOR THE STREAM FUNCTIONS, $\bar{\varnothing}$

The stream function, $\bar{\varnothing}$ must satisfy (2.57), namely

$$
\frac{\varepsilon}{\bar{\rho}} \frac{d^{4} \bar{\phi}}{d z^{4}}-i(\alpha(z)-\mu)\left[\frac{d^{2} \bar{\phi}}{d z^{2}}-\gamma^{2} \bar{\phi}\right]=0
$$

There will be four independent solutions, $\bar{\varnothing}_{s}(z, \mu), s=1-4$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\phi}(z, \mu)=A_{1}(\mu) \bar{\phi}_{1}(z, \mu)+A_{2}(\mu) \bar{\phi}_{2}(z, \mu)+A_{3}(\mu) \Phi_{3}(z, \mu)+A_{4}(\mu) \bar{\Phi}_{4}(z, \mu) \tag{2.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the arbitrary functions $A_{s}(\mu)$ will be determined from the boundary conditions, (2.58). We will follow Heisenberg, Lin and others (20) in the determination of the four solutions for $\bar{\phi}(z, \mu)$.

For two solutions, we assume $\bar{\varnothing}$ can be written as a power series in $\mathcal{E}$,

$$
\bar{\Phi}=q_{0}+\varepsilon q_{1}+\varepsilon^{2} q_{2}+\cdots \cdot
$$

Substituting for $\frac{1}{\varnothing}$ into (2.57) we have the following expressions for $q_{0}$ and $q_{0} ;$

$$
q_{0}^{1}-\gamma^{2} q_{0}=0
$$

$$
q_{1}^{\prime \prime}-\gamma^{2} q_{1}=\frac{q_{0} \bar{p}}{1(\alpha-\mu)}
$$

To a first order approximation we may take

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\bar{\phi}_{1}(z, \mu)=e^{\gamma z}  \tag{2.62}\\
\bar{\phi}_{2}(z, \mu)=e^{-\gamma z},
\end{array}\right\}
$$

For the remaining two functions, we look for solutions in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\phi}=\exp \left\{\epsilon^{-1 / 2} Q(z, \mu)\right\}\left[f_{0}+\varepsilon^{1 / 2} f_{1}+\cdots\right] . \tag{2.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

We substitute (2.63) into (2.57) and by comparing the coefficients of $\varepsilon^{-1}$ and $\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ obtain equations for $Q(z, \mu)$ and $f_{0}(z, \mu)$ which result in
the solutions,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d^{2} Q}{d z^{2}}=[i(\alpha(z)-\mu) \bar{\beta}]^{1 / 2},  \tag{2.64}\\
& f_{0}(z, \mu)=[(\alpha(z)-\mu) \bar{\beta}]^{-5 / 4}, \tag{2.65}
\end{align*}
$$

We can now take, to first order approximation,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\bar{\phi}_{3}=[(\alpha(z)-\mu) \bar{p}]^{-5 / 4} \exp \left\{-\varepsilon^{-1 / 2} Q(z, \mu)\right\}  \tag{2.66}\\
\bar{\phi}_{4}=[(\alpha(z)-\mu) \bar{\rho}]^{-5 / 4} \exp \left\{\varepsilon^{-1 / 2} Q(z, \mu)\right\}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where

$$
Q(z, \mu)=\int_{z_{c}}^{z}[i(\alpha(z)-\mu) \bar{p}(z)]^{1 / 2} d z
$$

and $z_{c}$ is the value of $\mathbf{z}$ for which $\alpha(\mathbf{z})=\mu ; \boldsymbol{z}_{\mathbf{c}}$ is therefore a branch point of $\bar{\varnothing}$ and the correct value must be taken when $0<z_{c}<h$. We follow Lin (20) in the discussion of this "Crossing substitution" problem and take a path from $O$ to $h$ in the complex $Z$ plane such that the real part of $Q$ increases monotonically. In this case the path should be below the critical point $\boldsymbol{z}_{\boldsymbol{c}}$ for nearly real values of $\mu$. Thus, for real $\mu$ we have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{lll}
\alpha-\mu=|\alpha-\mu|, & \arg Q=\pi / 4 & \text { for } z>z_{c},  \tag{2.67}\\
\alpha-\mu=|\alpha-\mu| e^{-i \pi}, & \arg Q=-5 \pi / 4 & \text { for } z<z_{c} .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Therefore, $\bar{\varnothing}_{3}$ decreases exponentially and $\bar{\varnothing}_{4}$ increases exponentially as $z$ increases along the real axis.

## DETERMINATION OF THE ARBITRARY CONSTANTS

We now substitute for $\bar{\varnothing}$ from (2.61) into the boundary conditions in (2.58) using (2.62) and (2.66) to obtain four equations for $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}$ and $A_{4}$. Writing $M \equiv(\alpha-\mu) \frac{d}{d z}-\alpha^{\prime}$ and using the notation $\bar{\phi}_{n 1}=\bar{\phi}_{n}(0)$, $\bar{\phi}_{n 2}=\bar{\phi}_{n}(h), \rho_{0}=\bar{\rho}(0)$ and $\rho_{h}=\bar{\rho}(h)$ the equations in (2.58) reduce to $\left(\begin{array}{lccc}\frac{\epsilon}{\rho_{0}} \bar{\phi}_{11}^{\prime \prime \prime}-i M \bar{\phi}_{11} & \frac{\epsilon}{\rho_{0}} \bar{\phi}_{21}^{\prime \prime \prime}-i M \bar{\phi}_{21} & \frac{\epsilon}{\rho_{0}} \bar{\phi}_{31}^{\prime \prime \prime}-i M \bar{\phi}_{31} & \epsilon \bar{\phi}_{8}^{\prime \prime \prime}-i M \bar{\phi}_{41} \\ \epsilon \bar{\phi}_{12}^{\prime \prime \prime}-i M \bar{\phi}_{12} & \epsilon_{\rho_{h}} \bar{\phi}_{22}^{\prime \prime \prime}-i M \bar{\phi}_{22} & \epsilon_{\rho_{h}} \bar{\phi}_{32}^{\prime \prime \prime}-i M \bar{\phi}_{32} & \frac{\epsilon}{\rho_{h}} \bar{\phi}_{42}^{\prime \prime \prime}-i M \bar{\phi}_{42} \\ \bar{\phi}_{11}^{\prime \prime} & \bar{\phi}_{21}^{\prime \prime} & \bar{\phi}_{31}^{\prime \prime} & \bar{\phi}_{41}^{\prime \prime} \\ \bar{\phi}_{12}^{\prime \prime} & \bar{\phi}_{22}^{\prime \prime} & \bar{\phi}_{32}^{\prime \prime} & \bar{\phi}_{42}^{\prime \prime}\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}A_{1} \\ A_{2} \\ A_{3} \\ A_{4}\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 0 \\ \bar{v} \\ 0\end{array}\right)$.

For a non zero contribution of $\bar{\phi}_{3}$ and $\bar{\phi}_{4}$ to the first two boundary conditions it is necessary to calculate $\left[\frac{\epsilon}{\rho} \frac{d^{3}}{d^{3}}{ }^{3}-i M\right] \bar{\phi}_{5}, s=3,4$, to second order. We take

$$
\phi=\exp \left[e^{-1 / 2} Q\right]\left[f_{0}+\varepsilon^{1 / 2} f_{1}\right]
$$

and we can show that, to second order approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{\epsilon}{\rho} \frac{d^{3}}{d z^{3}}-i M\right] \phi=\left[i(\alpha-\mu)\left[\frac{2 f_{0}^{\prime}}{f_{0}}+\frac{3}{2} \frac{\rho^{\prime}}{\beta}\right]+\frac{5 i \alpha^{\prime}}{2}\right] f_{0} \exp \left[\epsilon^{-1 / 2} \varphi\right] . \tag{2.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the crossing substitutions in (2.67) we have,

$$
\frac{\bar{\phi}_{32}}{\bar{\phi}_{31}}=\frac{\exp \left[-\varepsilon^{-1 / 2}|Q(h, \mu)| e^{-i \pi / 4}\right] f_{0}(h, \mu)}{\exp \left[-\varepsilon^{-1 / 2}|Q(0, \mu)| e^{-5 \pi / 4}\right] f_{0}(0, \mu)}
$$

and as $\operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i \pi / 4}\right\}>0$ and $\operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{5 i \pi / 4}\right\}<0$,

$$
\frac{\bar{\phi}_{32}}{\bar{\phi}_{31}} \sim e^{-a \epsilon^{-1 / 2}} \frac{f_{0}(m, \mu)}{f_{0}(0, \mu)}, a>0 .
$$

Clearly, we can take $\bar{\phi}_{32}=0$. Since to a first order approximation, $\bar{\phi}_{32}^{\prime \prime}=\epsilon^{-1} Q^{12}(h, \mu) \bar{\phi}_{32}$ the same argument as above allows us to assume $\bar{\phi}_{32}^{\prime \prime}=0$. The contribution to the two boundary conditions at $\mathbf{z}=\mathrm{h}$ for $\bar{\phi}_{3}$ can therefore be approximated to zero. Using exactly the same arguments we can show that $\bar{\phi}_{41}=\bar{\phi}_{41}^{\prime \prime}=0$ and so the contribution to the two boundary conditions at $\mathbf{z}=0$ for $\bar{\phi}_{4}$ can be approximated to zero. We can now write (2.68) as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-i M \bar{\phi}_{11} & -i M \bar{\phi}_{21} & i N_{1} \bar{\phi}_{31} & 0  \tag{2.70}\\
-i M \bar{\phi}_{12} & -i M \bar{\phi}_{22} & 0 & i N_{2} \bar{\phi}_{42} \\
\bar{\phi}_{11}^{\prime \prime} & \bar{\phi}_{21}^{\prime \prime} & \bar{\phi}_{31}^{\prime \prime} & 0 \\
\bar{\phi}_{12}^{\prime \prime} & \bar{\phi}_{22}^{\prime \prime} & 0 & \bar{\phi}_{42}^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
A_{1} \\
A_{2} \\
A_{3} \\
A_{4}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
\bar{v} \\
0
\end{array}\right)
$$

in which all the terms are to first order approximation apart from $i N_{1} \bar{\varnothing}_{31}$ and $i N_{2} \bar{\varnothing}_{42}$ which are to second order approximation in $\varepsilon$ and where $N(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(2, \mu)=(\alpha-\mu)\left[\frac{2 f_{0}^{\prime}}{f_{0}}+3 / 2 \frac{p^{\prime}}{\beta}\right]+\frac{5 \alpha^{\prime}}{2} \tag{2.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $N_{1}=N(0, \mu)$ and $N_{2}=N(h, \mu)$. If we write

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
B_{3}=A_{3} \exp \left\{-\varepsilon^{-1 / 2} Q(0, \mu)\right\},  \tag{2.72}\\
B_{4}=A_{4} \exp \left\{\cdot \varepsilon^{-1 / 2} Q(h, \mu)\right\},
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and substitute into (2.70) the values for $\bar{\phi}_{i j}(i=1,-4, j=1,2)$
we have

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-\gamma\left(\alpha_{0}-\mu\right)+\alpha_{0}^{\prime} & \gamma\left(\alpha_{0}-\mu\right)+\alpha_{0}^{\prime} & N_{1} f_{0}(0, \mu) & 0  \tag{2.73}\\
\left(-\gamma\left(\alpha_{h}-\mu\right)+\alpha_{h}^{\prime}\right) e^{\tau h} & \left(\gamma\left(\alpha_{h}-\mu\right)+\alpha_{h}^{\prime}\right) e^{-T h} & 0 & N_{2} f_{0}(h, \mu) \\
\gamma^{2} & \gamma^{2} & i \varepsilon^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0}-\mu\right) \rho_{0} f_{0}(0, \mu) & 0 \\
\gamma^{2} e^{\gamma h} & \gamma^{2} e^{-\gamma h} & 0 & i \varepsilon^{-1}\left(\alpha_{h}-\mu\right) p_{h} f_{0}(h, \mu)
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
A_{1} \\
A_{2} \\
A_{3} \\
A_{4}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
\bar{v} \\
0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\alpha_{0}=\alpha(0)$ and $\alpha_{h}=\alpha(h)$.
We can generalise the coefficients of $A_{1}, A_{2}, B_{3}$ and $B_{4}$ and write (2.73) in the form

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\alpha_{1} A_{1}+\alpha_{2} A_{2}+\alpha_{3} B_{3} & =0  \tag{2.74}\\
\beta_{1} A_{1}+\beta_{2} A_{2}+\beta_{3} B_{4} & =0 \\
\gamma_{1} A_{1}+\gamma_{2} A_{2}+\varepsilon^{-1} \gamma_{3} B_{3} & =\bar{\nu} \\
\delta_{1} A_{1}+\delta_{2} A_{2}+\varepsilon^{-1} \delta_{3} B_{4} & =0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $\alpha_{\mathbf{s}}, \beta_{\mathbf{s}}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mathbf{s}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{s}=1,2,3)$ are independent of $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. The solutions for $A_{1}, A_{2}, B_{3}$ and $B_{4}$ are therefore
$A_{1} x|A|=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}0 & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{3} & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_{2} & 0 & \beta_{3} \\ \bar{\nu} & \gamma_{2} & \varepsilon^{-1} \gamma_{3} & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_{2} & 0 & \varepsilon^{-1} \delta_{3}\end{array}\right|, \quad A_{2} \times|A|=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}\alpha_{1} & 0 & \alpha_{3} & 0 \\ \beta_{1} & 0 & 0 & \beta_{3} \\ \gamma_{1} & \bar{\nu} & \varepsilon^{-1} \gamma_{3} & 0 \\ \delta_{1} & 0 & 0 & \varepsilon^{-1} \delta_{3}\end{array}\right|$,

$$
B_{3} \times|A|=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & 0 & 0 \\
\beta_{1} & \beta_{2} & 0 & \beta_{3} \\
\gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2} & \bar{\nu} & 0 \\
\delta_{1} & \delta_{2} & 0 & \bar{\varepsilon}_{3} \delta_{3}
\end{array}\right|, \quad B_{4} \times|A|=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{3} & 0 \\
\beta_{1} & \beta_{2} & 0 & 0 \\
\gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2} & \varepsilon^{-1} \gamma_{3} & \bar{v} \\
\delta_{1} & \delta_{2} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right|,
$$

where $|A|$ is defined as

$$
|A|=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{3} & 0 \\
\beta_{1} & \beta_{2} & 0 & \beta_{3} \\
\gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2} & \varepsilon^{-1} \gamma_{3} & 0 \\
\delta_{1} & \delta_{2} & 0 & \varepsilon^{-1} \delta_{3}
\end{array}\right| .
$$

There will be singularities in $A_{1}, A_{\mathbf{2}}, B_{\mathbf{3}}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathbf{4}}$ at the points where $|A|=0$. We expand $|A|$ by the last two columns to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|A|= & \delta_{3} \gamma_{3} \epsilon^{-2}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} \\
\beta_{1} & \beta_{2}
\end{array}\right|+\delta_{3} \alpha_{3} \epsilon^{-1}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\beta_{1} & \beta_{2} \\
\gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2}
\end{array}\right| \\
& +\beta_{3} \gamma_{3} \epsilon^{-1}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} \\
\delta_{1} & \delta_{2}
\end{array}\right|+\alpha_{3} \beta_{3}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2} \\
\delta_{1} & \delta_{2}
\end{array}\right| \cdot
\end{aligned}
$$

To a first approximation therefore the singularities will occur when

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2}  \tag{2.75}\\
\beta_{1} & \beta_{2}
\end{array}\right|=0 .
$$

When we substitute for $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ from (2.73) we find that the above equation (2.75) results in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v_{0}-\mu\right)^{2}=\frac{v_{1}^{2}}{\gamma^{2} h^{2}}\left[\frac{\gamma^{2} h^{2}}{4}+1-\gamma h \operatorname{coth} \gamma h\right] . \tag{2.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation (2.75), is exactly the equation of consistency found by Eady and is discussed in detail in Chapter 3.3. This equation yields two
values for $\mu$,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\mu_{1}=v_{0}+\frac{v_{1}}{\gamma h} \eta_{1}  \tag{2.77}\\
\mu_{2}=v_{0}-\frac{v_{1}}{\gamma_{h}} \eta_{1}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $\eta_{1}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{1}=\left[\frac{\gamma^{2} h^{2}}{4}+1-\gamma h \operatorname{coth} \gamma h\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{2.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is found that $\eta_{1}$ is purely real or imaginary as follows:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\eta_{1}=i \eta, 0<\gamma h<2.4,  \tag{2.79}\\
\eta_{1}=\eta, 2.4<\gamma h,
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\left[\left|\frac{\gamma^{2} h^{2}}{4}+1-\gamma h \operatorname{coth} \gamma h\right|\right]^{1 / 2} . \tag{2.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

To approximate the values of $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{\mathbf{2}}, \mathrm{B}_{\mathbf{3}}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathbf{4}}$ we first note that these constants can be expressed as power series in $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. From the third equation of (2.74) we can see that the first non zero term of the expansion of $\mathrm{B}_{\mathbf{3}}$ is of the order $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{3}=\varepsilon b_{3}+\varepsilon^{2} b_{3}^{\prime}+\cdots \cdots \tag{2.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fourth equation of ( $\mathfrak{c} \cdot 74$ ) implies that the first non zero term for $B_{4}$ will be at least of order $\varepsilon$ and so we can take

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
A_{1}=a_{1}+\varepsilon a_{1}^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{2} a_{1}^{2}+\cdots \cdot,  \tag{2.82}\\
A_{2}=a_{2}+\varepsilon a_{2}^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{2} a_{2}^{2}+\cdots \cdots, \\
B_{4}=\varepsilon b_{4}^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{2} b_{4}^{2}+\cdots \cdot
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The first two equations in (2.74) lead to two equations for $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$, namely

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1} \alpha_{1}+a_{2} \alpha_{2}=0, \\
& a_{1} \beta_{1}+a_{2} \beta_{2}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

resulting in the equation of consistency, (2.75). Since (2.75) only holds for two particular values of $\mu$, we will therefore take $a_{1}=a_{2}=0$. From the last equation in (2.74) we find $b_{4}^{\prime}=0$ and solving for $a_{1}^{\prime}$,
$a_{2}^{1}, b_{4}^{2}$ and $b_{3}$ leads to

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
a_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{-\bar{\nu} \alpha_{3} \beta_{2}}{\gamma_{3}\left(\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}-\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}\right)} \\
a_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{\bar{v} \alpha_{3} \beta_{1}}{\gamma_{3}\left(\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}-\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}\right)}  \tag{2.83}\\
b_{3}=\bar{\nu} / \gamma_{3} \\
b_{4}^{2}=\frac{\bar{v}\left[\beta_{2} \delta_{1}-\beta_{1} \delta_{2}\right]}{\gamma_{3} \delta_{3}\left(\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}-\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}\right)}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Note that three coefficients do have singularities when $\left(\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}-\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}\right)=0$, as was found in (2.75).

When we substitute for $\alpha_{s}, \beta_{s}, \gamma_{s}$ and $\delta_{s}(s=1,2,3)$ from (2.73) we find that to a first order approximation $\bar{\varnothing}(\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$ takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{\phi}(z, \mu)= & \frac{\varepsilon \bar{v}(\mu)}{\rho_{1}}\left\{\frac{i \beta h^{2}\left(D_{1}-\gamma \mu\right)}{\left(\mu-\mu_{1}\right)\left(\mu-\mu_{2}\right)} e^{\gamma z}-\frac{i \beta h^{2} e^{2 \gamma h}\left(D_{2}+\gamma \mu\right)}{\left(\mu-\mu_{1}\right)\left(\mu-\mu_{2}\right)} e^{-\gamma h}\right. \\
& \frac{-i\left(\alpha_{0}-\mu\right)^{1 / 4}}{\left[e^{-\beta 2}(\alpha-\mu)\right]^{5 / 4}} \exp \left\{-\varepsilon^{-1 / 2} \int_{0}^{2}(i \bar{\rho}(\alpha-\mu))^{1 / 2} d z\right\} \\
& \left.\frac{-2 \varepsilon \gamma^{3} h^{2}\left(\alpha_{h}-\mu\right)^{5 / 4}\left[\rho_{h}\left(\alpha_{0}-\mu\right)\right]^{1 / 4}}{\left[e^{-\beta z}(\alpha-\mu)\right]^{5 / 4}\left(\mu-\mu_{1}\right)\left(\mu-\mu_{2}\right)} \exp \left\{\varepsilon^{-1 / 2} \int_{h}^{2}(i \bar{\rho}(\alpha-\mu))^{1 / 2} d z\right\}\right\} \tag{2.84}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha(z)=u_{0}+(2 / h-1 / 2) u_{1}, & \alpha_{0}=\alpha(0), \alpha_{h}=\alpha(h),  \tag{2.85}\\
D_{1}=u_{1} h_{h}+\gamma\left(u_{0}+u_{1} / 2\right), & D_{2}=u_{1} / h-\gamma\left(u_{0}+u_{1} / 2\right) .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

## INVERSE FOURIER TRANSFORMS

In order to return to $\varnothing(\mathbf{z}, t)$ we use the inverse transform

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(z, t)=\frac{m}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{\phi}(z, \mu) e^{-i \mu t} d \mu \tag{2.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

remembering that $s=\mu \mathrm{m}$. In general $\bar{\phi}(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$ of (2.84) is a complicated function but a fairly simple result can be found for $\bar{\phi}(0, \mu)$. Since $\bar{\phi}_{4}(0, \mu)$ is small we can approximate $\bar{\phi}(0, \mu)$ to

$$
\bar{\phi}(0, \mu)=A_{1} \bar{\phi}_{1}(0, \mu)+A_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}(0, \mu)+A_{3} \bar{\phi}_{3}(0, \mu) ;
$$

from (2.84) we find that $\bar{\varnothing}(0, \mu)$ takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\phi}(0, \mu)=\frac{i \varepsilon \bar{v}(\mu)}{\rho_{1}}\left\{\frac{\beta h\left[v_{1}\left(1-e^{2 \gamma h}\right)+\gamma h\left(1+e^{2 \gamma h}\right)\left(v_{0}+u_{1} / 2-\mu\right)\right]}{\left(\mu-\mu_{1}\right)\left(\mu-\mu_{2}\right)}-\frac{1}{\left(\alpha_{0}-\mu\right)}\right\} \tag{2.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

To illustrate the theory as simply as possible we now choose $\bar{\nu}(\mu)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{v}(\mu)=\frac{D_{o}}{\mu^{2}+D^{2}} \tag{2.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inverse transformations are dealt with in detail in Appendix A. We find that from (A.3) the inverse transform of $\bar{V}(\mu)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(t)=\frac{D_{0} m}{2 D} e^{-m D|t|} \tag{2.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore $V(t)$ has a maximum at $t=0$ of $D_{0} m / 2 D$ and as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$, $\nu(t) \rightarrow 0$. The constants $D_{0}$ and $D$ are at our disposal. Also from Appendix A, (A.13), (A.14) and (A.23), we have the inverse transform of $\bar{\varnothing}(0, \mu)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{l}
\phi(0, t) \\
0<\gamma h<2.4
\end{array}=-\frac{\varepsilon m D_{0}}{2 \rho_{1}}\left\{\frac{\left(\lambda_{1}-(-1)^{n} i D \lambda_{2}\right) e^{-m D(t)}}{i D\left((-1)^{n} i D+\mu_{1}\right)\left((-1)^{n_{i}} i D+\mu_{2}\right)}-\frac{e^{-m D(t)}}{i D\left(\alpha_{0}+(-1)^{n} i D\right)}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{e^{-i m \alpha_{0} t}}{\left(D^{2}+\alpha_{0}^{2}\right)}+\frac{2\left(\lambda_{1}+\mu_{n} \lambda_{2}\right) e^{-i m \mu_{n} t}}{(-1)^{n}\left(D^{2}+\mu_{n}^{2}\right)\left(\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}\right)}\right\} \text {, } \tag{2.90}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\frac{+\left(\lambda_{1}+\mu_{1} \lambda_{2}\right) e^{-i m \mu_{1} t}}{\left(D^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)}+\frac{\left(\lambda_{1}+\mu_{2} \lambda_{2}\right) e^{-i m \mu_{2} t}}{\left(D^{2}+\mu_{2}^{2}\right)\left(\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}\right)}\right\}, \tag{2.91}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
n=2 \text { for } t>0 \text { and } n=1 \text { for } t<0
$$

and where $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\mathbf{2}}$ are defined as

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\lambda_{1}=\beta h\left[\left(1-e^{2 \gamma h}\right) v_{1}+\gamma h\left(1+e^{2 \gamma h}\right)\left(v_{0}+v_{1} / 2\right)\right],  \tag{2.92}\\
\lambda_{2}=\beta \gamma h^{2}\left(1+e^{2 \gamma h}\right) .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

## CHAPTER 2.4

## DISCUSSION

The stream function $\boldsymbol{y}(0, \theta, \lambda, t)$ defined in (2.45 )and (2.46) contains terms of the form $\phi(0, t) P_{n}^{m}(\cos \theta) e^{\text {imp }}$ and thus, from the definition of $\phi(0, t)$ in (2.90) and (2.91), $\psi$ is a combination of waves of varying amplitudes and speeds. In the complete range for $\gamma$ there is a progressive wave term,


The speed of the wave is $\alpha_{0}=U_{0}-U_{1} / 2$; thus the wave travels with the thermal wind speed at $z=0$. There is also a damped wave term for all values of $\gamma h$, namely
$A e^{-m D l t 1+i m \lambda}$.
In the range $0<\gamma h<2.4$ there are also damped wave terms of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1} \exp \left\{-\left(\frac{u_{1} \eta}{\gamma h}+i u_{0}\right) m t+i m \lambda\right\}_{1} t>0, \\
& A_{2} \exp \left\{\left(-\frac{u_{1} \eta}{\gamma h}+i v_{0}\right) m|t|+i m \lambda\right\}_{2} t<0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

However in the range $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma}>2.4$ there are two extra progressive wave terms,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{3} \exp \left\{-i\left(u_{0}+\frac{u_{1} \eta}{\gamma h}\right) m t+i m \lambda\right\}_{3} \forall t, \\
& A_{4} \exp \left\{-i\left(u_{0}-\frac{u_{1} \eta}{\gamma h}\right) m \dot{t}+i m \lambda\right\}_{2} \forall t .
\end{aligned}
$$

The amplitudes $\mathrm{A}_{\mathbf{3}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{4}$ are defined in (2.91) and their speeds are $u_{0}+u_{1} \eta /$ rh and $u_{0}-u_{1} \eta /$ rh respectively.

The amplitudes of all the waves contain a factor ( $\varepsilon D_{0}$ ). The amplitudes are directly proportional to the value of the thermal conducetivity. We discussed in the General Introduction how we use a larger value than the molecular conductivity to model the turbulence in the atmosphere. When we increase $\boldsymbol{k}_{1}$ therefore, we directly increase the amplitudes of the progressive waves by the same amount. Similarly the amplitudes are proportional to $D_{0}$ and are therefore dependent on the size of the heating function $\nu(t)$ at the surface of the ocean. The speeds of the waves are proportional to the thermal wind speeds.

## APPENDIX A

INVERSE FOURIER TRANSFORMS FOR $\bar{\nu}(\mu)$ AND $\bar{\phi}(0, \mu)$

We use contour integral methods (25) to find the inverse transforms of $\bar{V}(\mu)$ and $\bar{\varnothing}(0, \mu)$ defined in (2.88) and (2.87) respectively.

## THE INVERSE TRANSFORM OF $\bar{v}(\boldsymbol{\omega}$

We have chosen $\bar{\nu}(\mu)$ in (2.88) to take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{v}(\mu)=\frac{D_{0}}{\mu^{2}+D^{2}} \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{0}$ and $D$ are constants. The inverse transform $V(t)$ is therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
\nu(t) & =\frac{m}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{v}(\mu) e^{-i m \mu t} d \mu \\
& =\frac{D_{0} m}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-i m \mu t}}{\mu^{2}+D^{2}} d \mu . \tag{A.2}
\end{align*}
$$

We take a closed curve $\Gamma$ in the complex plane of $\mu$, consisting of the real axis and a semicircle above or below the real axis. For $\mu=\mu_{0}+i \mu_{1}$, we have

$$
V(t)=\frac{D_{0} m}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mu^{2}+D^{2}} \exp \left[m \mu_{1} t-i m \mu_{0} t\right] d t
$$

and therefore for zero contribution on the semicircle we choose $\Gamma$ to be above the real axis for negative $t$ and below the real axis for positive $t$. For $t>0$ the only singularity inside $\Gamma$ is a simple pole at $\mu=-i D$ and

$$
V(t)=\frac{D_{0} m e^{-m D t}}{2 D}, t>0 ;
$$

for $t<0$, there is a simple pole at $\mu=i D$ within $\Gamma$ so that

$$
v(t)=\frac{D_{0} m e^{m D t}}{2 D}, t>0 .
$$

We therefore find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(t)=\frac{D_{0} m}{2 D} e^{-m D|t|}, \forall t . \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## THE INVERSE TRANSFORM OF $\bar{\varnothing}(0, \mu)$

From (2.87) it can be seen that $\bar{\phi}(0, \mu)$ is of the form

$$
\left.\bar{\phi}(0, \mu)=\frac{i \varepsilon \bar{v}(\mu)}{\rho_{1}}\left[\beta^{h} \frac{\left[v_{1}\left(1-e^{2 \gamma h}\right)+\gamma h\left(v_{0}+v_{1} / 2-\mu\right)\left(1+e^{2 \gamma h}\right)\right.}{\left(\mu-\mu_{1}\right)\left(\mu-\mu_{2}\right)}\right]-\frac{1}{\left(\alpha_{0}-\mu\right)}\right]_{(A .4)}
$$

where $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ are defined as

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ccc}
\mu_{1}=v_{0}+i \frac{v_{1} \eta}{\gamma h}, \quad \mu_{2}=u_{0}-\frac{i u_{1} \eta,}{\gamma h}, & 0<\gamma h<2.4, \\
\mu_{1}=v_{0}+\frac{u_{1} \eta}{\gamma_{h}}, \quad \mu_{2}=u_{0}-\frac{u_{1} \eta,}{\gamma h}, & 2.4<\gamma h,
\end{array}\right\}
$$

$$
\phi(0, t)=\frac{m}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{\phi}(0, \mu) e^{-i m \mu t} d \mu ;
$$

by generalising (A. 4 ) and substituting for $\bar{\nu}(\mu)$ from (A.1) we can see that the integrals to be evaluated are of the type,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\lambda_{1}+\mu \lambda_{2}\right) e^{-i m \mu t}}{\left(\mu^{2}+D^{2}\right)\left(\mu-\mu_{1}\right)\left(\mu-\mu_{2}\right)\left(\alpha_{0}-\mu\right)} d \mu=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} J(\mu) d \mu . \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will evaluate I using contour integration methods and take a closed contour $\Gamma$ along the real axis and a semicircle above the real axis for $t<0$ and below the real axis for $t>0$. For the range $0<\gamma h<2.4$ there is one singularity $\left(\mu=\alpha_{0}\right)$ on the real axis, two above ( $\mu=i D, \mu=\mu_{1}$ ) and two below ( $\mu=-i D, \mu=\mu_{\mathbf{2}}$ ) the real axis. For the range $2.4<\gamma h$, there are three singularities $\left(\mu=\alpha_{0}, \mu=\mu_{1}, \mu=\mu_{2}\right)$ on the real axis, one above ( $\mu=i D$ ) and one below ( $\mu=-i D$ ) the real axis. The contour $\Gamma$ must have indentations around the singularities on the real axis. As in Lin (20 )for thesingularities $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ we must go below the real axis (the crossing substitution) and for convenience we will take all indent ions on the real axis below the axis. We will evaluate $\varnothing(0, t)$ in the two regions, $0<\gamma h<2.4$ and $2.4 \leqslant \gamma h$ separately.
(1) $\varnothing(0, t)$ IN THE RANGE $0<\gamma h<2.4$

In this region we have only one singularity along the real axis.

Thus we have for $t>0$
$\frac{\pi\left(\lambda_{1}-i D \lambda_{2}\right) e^{-m D t}}{D\left(\alpha_{0}+i D\right)\left(-i D-\mu_{1}\right)\left(-i D-\mu_{2}\right)}+\frac{2 \pi_{i}^{i}\left(\lambda_{1}+\mu_{2} \lambda_{2}\right) e^{-i m \mu_{2} t}}{\left(\mu_{2}^{2}+D^{2}\right)\left(\alpha_{0}-\mu_{2}\right)\left(\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}\right)}=k_{1}+\left\{\left[\int_{-R}^{\alpha_{0}-\varepsilon}+\int_{\alpha_{0}+\varepsilon}^{R}\right] J(\mu) d \mu\right\}$
and for $t<0$
$\frac{\pi\left(\lambda_{1}+i D \lambda_{2}\right) e^{-m D I t}}{D\left(\alpha_{0}-i D\right)\left(i D-\mu_{1}\right)\left(i D-\mu_{2}\right)}+\frac{2 \pi i\left(\lambda_{1}+\mu_{1} \lambda_{2}\right) e^{-i m \mu_{1} t}}{\left(\mu_{1}^{2}+D^{2}\right)\left(\alpha_{0}-\mu_{1}\right)\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)}=K_{1}+\left\{\left[\int_{-R}^{\alpha_{0} \varepsilon \varepsilon}+\int_{\alpha_{0}+\varepsilon}^{R}\right] J(\mu) d \mu\right\}$
where $K_{1}$ is the contribution to the integral around the singularity at
$\mu=\alpha_{0}$. We take the indentation around $\mu=\alpha_{0}$ to be a semicircle below the real axis of radius $\varepsilon$; writing $\mu=\alpha_{0}+\varepsilon e^{i \theta} K_{1}$ takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}=\int_{\pi}^{2 \pi} \frac{\left(\lambda_{1}+\left(\alpha_{0}+\varepsilon e^{i \theta}\right) \lambda_{2}\right) \exp \left[-i m\left(\alpha_{0}+\varepsilon e^{i \theta}\right] d \theta\right.}{-\varepsilon e^{i \theta}\left(D^{2}+\left(\alpha_{0}+\varepsilon e^{1 \theta}\right)^{2}\right)\left(\alpha_{0}+\varepsilon e^{i \theta}-\mu_{1}\right)\left(\alpha_{0}+\varepsilon e^{\prime \theta}-\mu_{2}\right)} . \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}=\frac{-\pi i\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{0} \lambda_{2}\right) e^{-i m \alpha_{0} t}}{\left(D^{2}+\alpha_{0}^{2}\right)\left(\alpha_{0}-\mu_{1}\right)\left(\alpha_{0}-\mu_{2}\right)} \tag{A.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the limit as $R \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ for $t>0$ from (A.7) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=i \pi\left\{\frac{\left(\lambda_{1}-i D \lambda_{2}\right) e^{-m D t}}{i D\left(\alpha_{0}+i D\right)\left(-i D-\mu_{1}\right)\left(-i D-\mu_{2}\right)}+\frac{2\left(\lambda_{1}+\mu_{2} \lambda_{2}\right) e^{-i m \mu_{2} t}}{\left(\mu_{2}^{2}+D^{2}\right)\left(\alpha_{0}-\mu_{2}\right)\left(\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}\right)}+\frac{\left(\lambda_{1}+\alpha_{0} \lambda_{2}\right) e^{-i m \alpha_{0} t}}{\left(D^{2}+\alpha_{0}^{2}\right)\left(\alpha_{0}-\mu_{1}\right)\left(\mu_{2}-\mu_{2}\right)}\right\} \tag{A.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $t<0$, from (A.8) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=i \pi\left\{\frac{\left(\lambda_{1}+i D \lambda_{2}\right) e^{-m D I t I}}{i D\left(\alpha_{0}-i D\right)\left(i D-\mu_{1}\right)\left(i D-\mu_{2}\right)}+\frac{2\left(\lambda_{1}+\mu_{1} \lambda_{2}\right) e^{-i m \mu_{1} t}}{\left(\mu_{1}^{2}+D^{2}\right)\left(\alpha_{0}-\mu_{1}\right)\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)}+\frac{\left(\lambda_{1}+\alpha_{0} \lambda_{2}\right) e^{-i m \alpha_{0} t}}{\left(D^{2}+\alpha_{0}^{2}\right)\left(\alpha_{0}-\mu_{1}\right)\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)}\right\} \tag{A.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the formulation of $\bar{\varnothing}(0, \mu)$ in(A.4) and (A.11) and (A.12) we obtain the following expressions for $\varnothing(0, t)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\underset{t>0}{\phi(0, t)=}=\frac{\varepsilon m D_{0}}{2 \rho_{1}} & \left\{\frac{\left(\lambda_{1}-i D \lambda_{2}\right) e^{-m D t}}{i D\left(-i D-\mu_{1}\right)\left(-i D-\mu_{2}\right)}-\frac{e^{-m D t}}{i D\left(\alpha_{0}+i D\right)}-\frac{e^{-i m \alpha_{0} t}}{\left(D^{2}+\alpha_{0}^{2}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\left.2\left(\lambda_{1}+\mu_{2} \lambda_{2}\right) e^{-i m \mu_{2} t}\right\}}{\left(D^{2}+\mu_{2}^{2}\right)\left(\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}\right)}\right\} \\
\begin{array}{l}
\phi(0, t)=-\frac{\varepsilon m D_{0}}{2 \rho} 0 \\
t<0
\end{array} & \frac{\left(\lambda_{1}+i D \lambda_{2}\right) e^{-m D|t|}}{i D\left(i D-\mu_{1}\right)\left(i D-\mu_{2}\right)}-\frac{e^{-m D|t|}}{i D\left(\alpha_{0}-i D\right)}-\frac{e^{-i m \alpha_{0} t}}{\left(D^{2}+\alpha_{0}^{2}\right)} \\
& \left.\frac{+2\left(\lambda_{1}+\mu_{1} \lambda_{2}\right) e^{-i m \mu_{1} t}}{\left(D^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)}\right\} \tag{A.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ are defined as

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\lambda_{1}=\beta h\left[\left(1-e^{2 \gamma h}\right) u_{1}+\left(1+e^{2 \gamma h}\right)\left(u_{0}+u_{1} /_{2}\right) \gamma h\right]  \tag{A.15}\\
\lambda_{2}=-\beta h^{2} \gamma\left(1+e^{2 \gamma h}\right)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

(2) $\varnothing(0, t)$ IN TEE RANGE $2.4<\gamma h$

In this region we have three singularities on the real axis. As before we find that for $t>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Pi\left(\lambda_{1}-i D \lambda_{2}\right) e^{-m D t}}{D\left(\alpha_{0}+i D\right)\left(i D-\mu_{1}\right)\left(-i D-\mu_{2}\right)}=k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}+\left\{\left[\int_{-R}^{\mu_{2} \varepsilon}+\int_{\mu_{2}+\varepsilon}^{\alpha-\eta}+\int_{\alpha_{0}+\eta}^{\mu_{1}-\delta}+\int_{\mu_{1}+\delta}^{R}\right] J(\mu) d \mu\right\} \tag{A.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $t<0$
$\frac{\pi\left(\lambda_{+}+i D \lambda_{2}\right) e^{-m D \mid t 1}}{D\left(\alpha_{0}-i D\right)\left(i D-\mu_{1}\right)\left(i D-\mu_{2}\right)}=K_{1}+K_{2}+K_{3}+\left\{\left[\int_{-R}^{\mu_{2}-\varepsilon}+\int_{\mu_{2}+\varepsilon}^{\alpha_{-}-\eta}+\int_{\alpha_{0}+\eta}^{\mu_{1}-\delta}+\int_{\mu_{1}+\delta}^{R}\right] J(\mu) d \mu\right\}$
where $K_{1}, K_{2}$, and $K_{3}$ are the contributions to the integral at the singu--larities $\mu=\mu_{2}, \mu=\alpha_{0}$ and $\mu=\mu_{1}$ respectively. Following the same method as in the previous section we find, when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \eta \rightarrow 0$ and $\delta \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{1}=\frac{-i \pi\left(\lambda_{1}+\mu_{2} \lambda_{2}\right) e^{-i m \mu_{2} t}}{\left(\alpha_{0}-\mu_{2}\right)\left(D^{2}+\mu_{2}^{2}\right)\left(\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}\right)},  \tag{A.18}\\
& K_{2}=\frac{-i \pi\left(\lambda_{1}+\alpha_{0} \lambda_{2}\right) e^{-i m \alpha_{0} t}}{\left(D^{2}+\alpha_{0}^{2}\right)\left(\alpha_{0}-\mu_{1}\right)\left(\alpha_{0}-\mu_{2}\right)}, \tag{A.19}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
k_{3}=\frac{-i \pi\left(\lambda_{1}+\mu_{1} \lambda_{2}\right) e^{-i m \mu_{1} t}}{\left(D^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\alpha_{0}-\mu_{1}\right)\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)}
$$

In the limit as $R \rightarrow \infty, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \eta \rightarrow 0$ and $\delta \rightarrow 0$ we have from (A.16) for $t>0$

$$
\begin{align*}
I= & \pi_{i}\left\{\frac{\left(\lambda_{1}-i D \lambda_{2}\right) e^{-m D t}}{i D\left(\alpha_{0}+i D\right)\left(-i D-\mu_{1}\right)\left(-i D-\mu_{2}\right)}+\frac{\left(\lambda_{1}+\mu_{2} \lambda_{2}\right) e^{-i m \mu_{2} t}}{\left(D^{2}+\mu_{2}^{2}\right)\left(\alpha_{0}-\mu_{2}\right)\left(\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}\right)}+\frac{\left(\lambda_{1}+\alpha_{0} \lambda_{2}\right) e^{-i m \alpha_{0} t}}{\left(D^{2}+\alpha_{0}^{2}\right)\left(\alpha_{0}-\mu_{1}\right)\left(\alpha_{0}-\mu_{2}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.\frac{+\left(\lambda_{1}+\mu_{1} \lambda_{2}\right) e^{-i m \mu_{1} t}}{\left(D^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\alpha_{0}-\mu_{1}\right)\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)}\right\} \tag{A.21}
\end{align*}
$$

and from (A.17) we have for $t<0$

$$
\begin{align*}
I= & \pi i\left\{\frac{\left(\lambda_{1}+i D \lambda_{2}\right) e^{-m D i t 1}}{i D\left(\alpha_{0}-i D\right)\left(i D-\mu_{1}\right)\left(i D-\mu_{2}\right)}+\frac{\left(\lambda_{1}+\mu_{2} \lambda_{2}\right) e^{-i m \mu_{2} t}}{\left(D^{2}+\mu_{2}^{2}\right)\left(\alpha_{0}-\mu_{2}\right)\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)}+\frac{\left(\lambda_{1}+\alpha_{0} \lambda_{2}\right) e^{-i m \alpha_{0} t}}{\left(D^{2}+\alpha_{0}^{2}\right)\left(\alpha_{0}-\mu_{1}\right)\left(\alpha_{0}-\mu_{2}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.\frac{+\left(\lambda_{1}+\mu_{1} \lambda_{2}\right) e^{-i m \mu_{1} t}}{\left(D^{2}+\mu_{1}{ }^{2}\right)\left(\alpha_{0}-\mu_{1}\right)\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)}\right\} . \tag{A.22}
\end{align*}
$$

From the definition of $\bar{\varnothing}(0, \mu)$ in (A.4) and using (A.21) and (A.22) we find the following expression for $\varnothing(0, t)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi(0, t)= & -\frac{\varepsilon m D_{0}}{2 \rho_{1}}\left\{\frac{\left(\lambda_{1}-(-1)^{n} i D \lambda_{2}\right) e^{-m D|t|}}{i D\left((-1)^{n} i D-\mu_{1}\right)\left((-1)^{n} i D-\mu_{2}\right)}-\frac{e^{-m D|t|}}{i D\left(\alpha_{0}+(-1)^{n} i D\right)}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\left(\lambda_{1}+\mu_{1} \lambda_{2}\right) e^{-i m \mu_{1} t}}{\left(D^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)}+\frac{\left(\lambda_{1}+\mu_{2}\right) e^{-i m \mu_{2} t}}{\left(D^{2}+\mu_{2}^{2}\right)\left(\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}\right)}-\frac{e^{-i m \alpha_{0} t}}{\left(D^{2}+\alpha_{0}^{2}\right)}\right\} \tag{A.23}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
n=2 \text { for } t>0 \text { and } n=1 \text { for } t<0
$$

and $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ are defined in (A.15).
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## CHAPTER 3.1

## INTRODUCTICN

In Part 3 we consider a two layer liquid model; the lower layer is assumed to simulate a static ocean and the upper layer a dynamic atmosphere. In both Parts 1 and 2 an external heating function was introduced; however, in Part 3 the emphasis is different. Here, we investigate the stability of the model, retaining thermal conductivity but omitting molecular viscosity.

We choose the standard system of rectangular coordinates, widely used in meterological wave problems. The upper layer equations of motion and heat transfer are linearised upon a basic West-East thermal wind. This thermal wind is produced by a basic temperature field which varies linearly in the northward direction and in the vertical direction. It is shown that the resulting perturbation equations lead to a fourth order ordinary differential equation for the perturbation pressure. The lower layer is assumed to be in a stationary state but capable of thermal conduction; thus the heat transfer equation results in a second order crdinary differential equation for the perturbation temperature. There are six boundary conditions; two of them arise from the vanishing of the vertical velocity at the boundaries of the upper layer and four are heating conditions at the boundaries of both layers. The solution for the perturbation terperature in the lower layer can be determined so that the problem is reduced to the solution of a fourth order
differential equation for the perturbation pressure in the upper layer subject to four boundary conditions.

It is shown that in the absence of the lower layer and the neglect of thermal conductivity of the upper layer the model reduces to the well known Eady problem. Criteria for the onset of instability are found following Eady and by using difference methods.

For the two layer model we first use difference methods to find approximate analytical and numerical formulae for the onset of instability. A second approach to the two layer model, following Meksyn (26) also results in analytical criteria for instability, producing first order correction terms to the Eady results. Finally, using the methods of Meksyn again the model is extended to include a variable coriolis parameter and an approximate stability equation is found.

It is clear that a gaseous model for the upper layer would be more realistic but for simplicity we have chosen a liquid model. In Appendix $B$ we aim to show that the main characteristics of a liquid model will carry over to agaseous model.

## CHAPTER 3.2

FORMULATION OF THE TWO LAYER LIQUID MODEL

We will use a rectangular coordinate system ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ ) with x increasing eastwards, y northwards and z vertically upward. This is mathematically permissible when the length of the wave is small compared with the circumference of the zonal circle along which the wave moves. However, in practice it has been found to be far more flexible than the mathematics would suggest and has been widely used by Rossby (27), Eady (28) and Charney (24). The governing equations for the two layers are as follows.

## THE GOVERNING EQUATIONS FOR THE LOWER LAYER

The lower layer is in a static state so that the only equation governing the layer is the heat transfer equation (9), which with no external heating function takes the form

$$
\frac{d Q^{*}}{d t}=\frac{1}{\rho_{2}} \operatorname{div}\left(k_{2} \operatorname{grad} T^{*}\right)
$$

where $Q^{*}$ is the heat content per unit mass, $\rho_{2}$ is the constant density, $T^{*}$ the temperature and $k_{2}$ the thermal conductivity of the lower layer. For a liquid (10) we have

$$
\delta Q^{*}=c_{2} \delta T^{*}
$$

where $c_{2}$ is the specific heat of the layer. We assume that $k_{2}$ is constant and that it is the vertical component, $\boldsymbol{k}_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{T}^{*}}{\partial z^{2}}$ which is the important part of the conduction term in the heat transfer equation. Accordingly the heat transfer equation may be approximated to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial T^{*}}{\partial t}=\frac{k_{2}}{\rho_{2} c_{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} T^{*}}{\partial z^{2}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

THE GOVERNING EQUATIONS FOR THE UPPER LAYER
We take the horizontal equations of motion in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\frac{v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}-l v=-\frac{1}{\rho}, \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}, ~}{\text {, }} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}+u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}+v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}+l u=-\frac{1}{\rho_{1}} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u$ and $v$ are the velocity components in the $x$ and $y$ directions, $p$ is the pressure, $P_{1}$ is a mean density of the upper layer, and where $l$ is defined by
$l=2 \Omega \sin \theta$
with $\Omega$ as the angular velocity of the Earth and $\theta$ as the geographical latitude. In (3.2) and (3.3) we have ignored viscous terms which would increase the order of our final differential equation for the perturbation pressure from four to ten, making the solution far more difficult. Viscosity can cause instability (29) and it is not clear without a detailed study how it would effect our conclusions if these terms were retained ( 40,41 ). The vertical equation of motion is approximated to the hydrostatic equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z}-g . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a liquid the equation of continuity is approximated to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial z}=0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{W}$ is the velocity component in the z direction.

The heat transfer equation (9), with no external heat sources can be written as

$$
\frac{d Q}{d t}=\frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div}(k, \operatorname{grad} T)
$$

where $Q$ is the heat content per unit mass, $T$ the temperature and $k_{1}$ the thermal conductivity of the upper layer. As for the lower layer (10) we have

$$
\delta Q=c_{1} \delta T
$$

where $c_{1}$ is the specific heat of the upper layer. Again we assume the dominant variations of temperature are in the vertical direction and assuming that $k_{\text {g }}$ is constant we approximate the heat transfer equation
to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t}+u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}+v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y}+w \frac{\partial T}{\partial z}=\frac{k_{1}}{c_{1} p_{1}} \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial z^{2}} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Boussinesq approximation (9) has been used in (3.2), (3.3) and (3.7) to replace $\rho$ by a mean density $\rho_{1}$ and in (3.6) to ignore variations of $\rho$. We will now form the linearised perturbation equations for the two layers.

## THE PERTURBATION EQUATION FOR THE LOWER LAYER

We assume that $T^{*}$ comprises of a basic steady temperature distribution, $\bar{T}_{\mathbf{2}}+\left(A_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{z}-\mathrm{B}_{\mathbf{2}} y\right)$ and a small departure from the basic field, $T_{2}^{\prime}(x, y, z, t)$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*}=\bar{T}_{2}+\left(A_{2} z-B_{2} y\right)+T_{2}^{\prime}(x, y, z, t) . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the above expression

$$
\bar{T}_{2} \gg\left(A_{2} z-B_{2} y\right) \gg T_{2}^{\prime}(x, y, z, t) ;
$$

$\bar{T}_{2}$ is the dominant term with $\left(A_{2} z-B_{2} y\right)$ and $T_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ being of decreasing importance in numerical magnitude. The orders of magnitude will be referred to as $O(1), O(2)$ and $O(3)$ for $\bar{T}_{2},\left(A_{2} z-B_{2} y\right)$ and $T_{2}^{\prime}$ respectively. The linearised heat transfer equation for $T_{2}^{\prime}$ reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial T_{2}^{1}}{\partial t}=\frac{k_{2}}{c_{2} \rho_{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} T_{2}^{1}}{\partial z^{2}} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will look for solutions of $T_{2}^{\prime}$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{2}^{\prime}(x, y, z, t)=\phi(z) e^{i k(x-c t)} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It will be noticed that $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{2}}^{\prime}$ is not dependent on y so that there will be no variation in the northward direction. This is a simplifying device which has been used by both Eady and Charney $(28,24)$. Substituting for $T_{2}^{\prime}$ in (3.9) results in the second order differential equation for $\phi(\boldsymbol{z})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{k_{2}}{c_{2} \rho_{2}} \frac{d^{2} \phi}{d z^{2}}+i k c \phi=0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

THE PERTURBATION EQUATIONS FOR THE UPPER LAYER
As in the above section we will assume that there is a basic steady
state and a small departure from that state. We will assume that the complete temperature distribution $T$ takes the form
where the constants. $A$ and $B$ will be related to $A_{2}$ and $B_{2}$ as defined in (3.8) due to continuity of temperature and heat transfer at the interface of the two layers. The complete density distribution will be defined as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\rho=\underset{o(1)}{\rho_{1}-\alpha\left(A_{2}-B y\right)} \underset{o(z)}{\alpha T^{\prime}(x, y, z, t)}  \tag{3.13}\\
o(3)
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\rho_{1}$ is the constant density as introduced in (3.2) and (3.3) and $\alpha$ is an experimentally determined constant, being the coefficient of cubical expansion (13). Similarly, the pressure function will be taken in the form

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
p=\begin{array}{c}
\bar{p}(z) \\
o(1)
\end{array}+p_{0}(y, z) & 0(2) & p^{\prime}(x, y, z, t)  \tag{3.14}\\
0(z)
\end{array}
$$

where $p(z)$ is the hydrostatic pressure given by $p(z)=-g p_{1} z+$ constant. The velocity field will be as follows

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
u= & u(z) & +u(x, y, z, t) \\
v= & v(x, y, z, t) \\
w= & &  \tag{3.17}\\
& & \\
& o(1) & o(z)
\end{array}
$$

The $O(2)$ term, $U(z)$ is referred to as the thermal wind as is due to the temperature field (Az-By) and is determined as follows. When $O$ (2) terms are retained in (3.2) and (3.3) we obtain

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
l U(z)=-\frac{1}{\rho_{1}} \frac{\partial p_{0}}{\partial y},  \tag{3.18}\\
\frac{\partial p_{0}}{\partial z}=g \alpha\left(A_{z}-B y\right) .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

We eliminate $p_{0}$ between the two equations to produce

$$
l \frac{d U}{d z}=-\frac{1}{\rho_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}[g \alpha(A z-B y)]
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(z)=\frac{g \alpha B z}{\rho_{1} l}+\text { constant. } \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now consider the $O$ (3) terms. Using the $O$ (2) results, (3.2) and (3.3) may be rewritten in the linearised form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+u(z) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+w \frac{\partial u(z)}{\partial z}-l v=-\frac{1}{\rho_{1}} \frac{\partial p^{\prime}}{\partial x}  \tag{3.20}\\
& \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}+u(z) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

In addition (3.5) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
g \alpha T^{\prime}=\frac{\partial p^{\prime}}{\partial z} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for the equation of continuity we may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d u}{\partial x}+\frac{d v}{d y}+\frac{d w}{\partial z}=0 . \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (3.7) we replace the term $W \frac{\partial T}{\partial z}$ by $W A$ and $V \frac{\partial T}{\partial y}$ by $-V B$ using the approximation $T \sim \bar{T}(z)+A z-B y$ and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial T^{\prime}}{\partial t}+v(z) \frac{\partial T^{\prime}}{\partial x}-v B+w A=\frac{k_{1}}{c_{1} p_{1}} \frac{\partial^{2} T^{\prime}}{\partial z^{2}} \cdot \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus (3.20)-(3.24) are the basic equations for the $O$ (3) terms.

$$
\text { Now proceeding to eliminate } p^{\prime} \text { between }(3.20) \text { and (3.21) we obtain }
$$

$$
\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+U(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right]\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)+l\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}\right)+v \frac{d l}{\partial y}-u^{\prime}(z) \frac{d w}{\partial y}=0
$$

and by using (3.23) the equation can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+U(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right]\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)+\beta v=l \frac{\partial W}{\partial z}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial y} U^{\prime}(z) \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta=\frac{\partial l}{\partial y}$. This is the vorticity equation and the terms $\frac{l d w}{\partial z}$ and $U^{\prime}(\mathbf{z}) \frac{d W}{\partial y}$ on the right hand side are 'baroclinic' additions to the equation. We now introduce the geostrophic approximation (21) to (3.20) and (3.21), namely,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
u=-\frac{1}{l \rho_{1}} \frac{\partial p^{\prime}}{\partial y},  \tag{3.26}\\
v=\frac{1}{l \rho_{1}} \frac{\partial p^{\prime}}{\partial x} ;
\end{array}\right\}
$$

we follow charney (24) in the use of this approximation, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=\frac{1}{l \rho_{1}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} p^{\prime}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} p^{\prime}}{\partial y^{2}}\right) . \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.26) and (3.27) in (3.25) results in the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+U(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right]\left(\frac{\partial^{2} p}{\partial x^{\prime}}+\frac{\partial^{2} p^{\prime}}{\partial y^{2}}\right)+\beta \frac{\partial p^{\prime}}{\partial x}=\rho_{1} l^{2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}+\rho_{1} l U(z) \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} . \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now have in (3.28) a relation between $P^{\prime}$ and $W$. $A$ second expression and between $P^{\prime}$ and $W$ can be found from (3.24), using (3.22), (3.26) And (3.27), of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+U(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right] \frac{\partial p^{\prime}}{\partial z}-\frac{g \alpha \beta}{b p_{1}} \frac{\partial p^{\prime}}{\partial x}+g \alpha A w=\frac{k_{1}}{c_{1} p_{1}} \frac{\partial^{3} p}{\partial z^{3}} . \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

We look for solutions of $P^{\prime}(x, y, z, t)$ and $W(x, y, z, t)$ in the same form as $T_{2}^{\prime}(x, y, z, t)$ as defined in (3.10) for consistency between the two layers; thus we take

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
P^{\prime}(x, y, z, t)=P(z) e^{i k(x-c t)}  \tag{3.30}\\
W(x, y, z, t)=W(z) e^{i k(x-c t)},
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $k=2 \pi / L$ with $L$ being the wave length in the $x$ direction. We substitute for $P^{\prime}$ and $w$ into (3.28) and (3.29) to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& i k\left[-k^{2}(U(z)-c)+\beta\right] P=\rho_{1} l^{2} \frac{d W}{d z}  \tag{3.31}\\
& i k\left[(U(z)-c) \frac{d P}{d z}-\frac{g \alpha B P}{L \rho_{0}} P\right]-\frac{k_{1}}{c_{1} \rho_{1}} \frac{d^{3} P}{d z^{3}}=-g \alpha A W . \tag{3.32}
\end{align*}
$$

We can now eliminate $W$ between (3.31) and (3.32) to form a fourth order differential equation for $P$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{k_{1}}{c_{1} \rho_{1}} \frac{d^{4} p}{d z^{4}}-i k(U(z)-c)\left\{\frac{d^{2} p}{d z^{2}}-\frac{g \alpha A k^{2}}{\rho_{1} l^{2}} p\right\}-\frac{i k \beta g \alpha A}{\rho_{1} l^{2}} P=0 . \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the earlier part of the work we take $\beta=0$, thus ignoring variations in the coriolis parameter but in Chapter 3.6 we consider the case when $\beta \neq 0$. At this stage, however (3.33) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{k_{1}}{c_{1} p_{1}} \frac{d^{4} p}{d z^{4}}-i k(U(z)-c)\left[\frac{d^{2} p}{d z^{2}}-\frac{g \alpha A k^{2} p}{\rho_{1} l^{2}}\right]=0 . \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

## THE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

Thus (3.11) and (3.34) are the two basic equations we wish to solve. To complete the system of equations we need to introduce six boundary conditions and we choose the following:
(1) The vertical velocity is zero at the atmosphere/ocean interface,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=0 \text { at } z=0 \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) The vertical velocity is zero at the top of the atmosphere,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=0 \text { at } z=h \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3) Continuity of temperature at the atmosphere/ocean interface,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=T^{*} \quad \text { at } z=0 \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4) Continuity of heat transfer at the atmosphere/ocean interface,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z}=k_{2} \frac{\partial T^{*}}{\partial z} \text { at } z=0 \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

(5) It is assumed that there is no heat transfer at the bottom of the ocean, $z=-h_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial T^{*}}{}=0 \quad \text { at } z=-h_{2} . \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

(6) It is assumed that there is no heat lost at the top of the atmosphere,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial T}{\partial z}=0 \quad \text { at } \quad z=h \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.32) the first two boundary conditions become
(1) $\frac{k_{1}}{c_{1} p_{1}} \frac{d^{3} P}{d z^{3}}-i k\left[(U(z)-c) \frac{d P}{d z}-U^{\prime}(z) P\right]=0$ at $z=0$,
(2) $\frac{k_{1}}{c_{1} p_{1}} \frac{d^{3} p}{d z^{3}}-i k\left[(v(z)-c) \frac{d p}{d z}-v^{\prime}(z) p\right]=0$ at $z=h$.

Using (3.22), boundary conditions (3.37) and (3.38) and (3.40) become
(3) $\frac{1}{g \alpha} \frac{d P}{d z}=\phi$ at $z=0$,
(4) $\frac{k_{1}}{g \alpha} \frac{d^{2} p}{d z^{2}}=k_{2} \frac{d \phi}{d z}$ at $z=0$,
(6) $\quad \frac{d^{2} p}{d z^{2}}=0 \quad$ at $z=h$.

## THE SOLUTION FOR THE LOWER LAYER

We can now solve (3.11) and using the boundary condition (3.39) we obtain the solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(z)=D \cosh \left(3\left(z+h_{z}\right)\right) \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D$ is an arbitary constant and

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{2}=-k c \rho_{2} c_{2} / k_{2} . \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substituting (3.46) into the boundary conditions (3.43) and (3.44) we obtain

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left.\frac{1}{g \alpha} \frac{d P}{d z}\right|_{z=0}=D \cosh \left(S h_{2}\right), \\
\left.\frac{k}{g \alpha} \frac{d^{2} P}{d z^{2}}\right|_{z=0}=k_{2} S D \sinh \left(\zeta h_{2}\right) .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

produce one boundary equation for $P$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} P}{d z^{2}}-\frac{k_{2} S}{k_{1}} \tanh \left(5 h_{2}\right) \frac{d P}{d z}=0 \quad \text { at } z=0 \tag{3.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now have a fourth order differential equation,(3.34) and four boundary conditions, (3.41), (3.42), (3.45) and (3.49) for P. We will define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon=k_{1} / c k, \tag{3.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\gamma^{2}=g \alpha A k^{2} / p_{1} l^{2}$,
$H=k_{2} J \tanh \left(\zeta h_{2}\right) / k_{2}$,
and thus the system of equations to be solved is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho_{1}} \frac{d^{4} p}{d z^{4}}-i(U(z)-c)\left[\frac{d^{2} p}{d z^{2}}-\gamma^{2} p\right]=0 \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho_{1}} \frac{d^{3} P}{d z^{3}}-i\left[(U(z)-c) \frac{d P}{d z}-U^{\prime}(z) P\right]=0 \text { at } z=0, h \\
\frac{d^{2} P}{d z^{2}}-H \frac{d P}{d z}=0 & \text { at } z=0  \tag{3.54}\\
\frac{d^{2} P}{d z^{2}}=0 & \text { at } z=h
\end{array}
$$

## VALUES FOR CONSTANTS IN THE MODEL

In the following work we take the height of the upper lay $\in \mathrm{r}$ to be the height of the troposphere. We have taken the following average values for the constants in the model (13, 15):

| UPPER LAYER | LOWER LAYER |
| :--- | :--- |
| $h=16 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~cm}$ | $h_{2}=5 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| $\rho_{1}=1.28 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{gcm}^{-3}$ | $\rho_{2}=0.1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| $c_{1}=1 \mathrm{Jgm}$ |  |
| $k_{1} 0 \mathrm{c}^{-1}$ | $c_{2}=4.22 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{gm}^{-1} \mathrm{o}^{-1}$ |
| $k_{1}=2.41 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{Jcm}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{c}^{-1}$ | $k_{2}=5.61 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \circ \mathrm{c}^{-1}$ |

We have used here the molecular values of thermal conductivity. For eddy conductivities the values of $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are approximately $10^{5}$ times as large (5). It is clear that $\varepsilon$, being the same order of magnitude as $k_{1}$ is a small quantity and thus will be exploited in the methods used to solve (3.53). We have found that $U(2)$ is a linear function of $z$. Using average values, $U(0)=600 \mathrm{cms}^{-1}, U(h)=1400 \mathrm{cms}^{-1}$ (24) we can formulate $U(z)$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(z)=U_{0}+\left(z / h-1 / 2 u_{1}\right) \tag{3.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
U_{0}=1000 \mathrm{cms}^{-1}  \tag{3.57}\\
U_{1}=800 \mathrm{cms}^{-1}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

From (3.52) and (3.47) we find that $H$ takes the form

$$
H=\frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}}(1-i)\left[k c \rho_{2} c_{2} / 2 k_{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \tanh \left[(1-i) h_{2}\left[k c \rho_{2} c_{2} / 2 k_{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\right]
$$

Using (3.50) we can rewrite $H$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=(1-i) \varepsilon^{-1 / 2} c^{1 / 2}\left[\rho_{2} c_{2} k_{2} / 2 k_{1} c_{1}\right]^{1 / 2} \tanh \left[(1-i) h_{2}\left[k c \rho_{2} c_{2} / 2 k_{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\right] \tag{3.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

For large wavelengths $k$, we can assume $\left(h_{2}\left[k c \rho_{2} c_{2} / 2 k_{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\right)$ is large and the asymptotic expansion for tanh can be used. Since $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are of similar orders of magnitude, $\left[\rho_{2} c_{2} k_{2} / 2 k_{1} c_{1}\right]^{1 / 2}$ will not be a large quantity. We may theefore take $H$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\varepsilon^{-1 / 2} c^{1 / 2}(1-i) \lambda \tag{3.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\left[\rho_{2} c_{2} k_{2} / 2 k_{1} c_{1}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{3.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

## CHAPTER 3.3

## THE EADY MODEL

Before solving the two layer problem defined by (3.53) subject to (3.54) we will look at a simplified situation, studied by Eady (28).

## FORMULATION OF THE MODEL

If we consider only the upper layer of the model the governing equations will be (3.2), (3.3), (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7). Further if we ignore thermal conductivity, the heat transfer equation (3.7) will reduce to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t}+u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}+v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y}+w \frac{\partial T}{\partial z}=0 . \tag{3.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following the same procedure as in Chapter 3.2 we find that the pressure function $P(z)$ satisfies the second order ordinary differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} p}{d z^{2}}-r^{2} p=0 \tag{3.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma^{2}$ is defined in $(3,51)$. This is the model investigated by Eady. The two boundary conditions chosen by Eady were

$$
w=0 \text { at } z=0 \text { and } z=h .
$$

The simplified form of (3.33) for this problem is

$$
-g \alpha A W=i k\left[(U(z)-c) \frac{d P}{d z}-U^{\prime}(z) P\right] ;
$$

thus the boundary conditions become

$$
(U(z)-c) \frac{d P}{d z}-U^{\prime}(z) P=0 \text { at } z=0, h
$$

The Eady problem thus reduces to the solution of


THE SOLUTION FOLLOWING EADY
The solution of the differential equation in (3.63) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(z)=C e^{\gamma z}+D e^{-\gamma z} \tag{3.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ and $D$ are arbitary constants. If we substitute (3.64) into the boundary conditions we obtain two equations for $C$ and $D$, namely

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
C\left[\gamma\left(u_{0}-u_{1} / 2-c\right)-u_{1} / h\right]+D\left[-\gamma\left(u_{0}-u_{1 / 2}-c\right)-u_{1} / h\right]=0,  \tag{3.65}\\
C e^{\gamma h}\left[\gamma\left(u_{0}+u_{1} / 2-c\right)-u_{1} / h\right]+D e^{-\gamma h}\left[-\gamma\left(u_{0}+u_{1 / 2}-c\right)-u_{1} / h\right]=0,
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where
$U=U_{0}+U_{1}(z / h-1 / 2)$.
The two equations for $C$ and $D$ in (3.65) leads to an equation of consistency, namely

$$
e^{-\gamma h}\left[-\gamma\left(U_{0}+U_{1} / 2-c\right)-u_{1} / h\right]\left[\gamma\left(U_{0}-v_{1 / 2}-c\right)-U_{1} / h\right]=e^{\gamma h}\left[\gamma\left(v_{0}+U_{1} / 2-c\right)-U_{1} / h\right]\left[-\gamma\left(v_{0}-U_{1} / 2-c\right)-u_{1} /\right]
$$

After simplification this can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{0}-c\right)^{2}=\frac{U_{1}^{2}}{\gamma^{2} h^{2}}\left\{\frac{\gamma^{2} h^{2}}{4}+1-\gamma h \text { coth } \gamma h\right\} . \tag{3.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Before discussing (3.66) we will look at another method which yields the same equation of consistency.

## THE SOLUTION BY DIFFERENCE NETHODS

We will show that difference methods yield the consistency equation, (3.66) without solving the differential equation defined in (3.63). Using central differencing formulae (30) for the boundary conditions at $z=0$ and $z=h$ respectively, we have the following ( $n+1$ ) equations for $q_{i}, i=0,1, \ldots \ldots n$,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left(v_{0}-c+v_{1}\left(\frac{1}{n}-1 / 2\right)\right) P_{0}-\left(v_{0}-c-v_{1 / 2}\right) P_{1}=0,  \tag{3.67}\\
P_{i}-\left(2+r^{2} n^{2} / n^{2}\right) P_{i+1}+P_{i+2}=0, \quad 0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1, \\
\left(-v_{0}+c-v_{1} / 2\right) P_{n-1}+\left(v_{0}-c+v_{1}\left(v_{2}-1 / n\right)\right) P_{n}=0 .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The ( $n+1$ ) equations thus result in the equation of consistency which can be written in the form

The smallest value $n$ can take is 2 , and we will show later that in the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain the exact consistency equation, (3.66).

For $n=2$, ( 3.68 ) reduces to

$$
-\frac{\gamma^{2} h^{2}}{4}\left(u_{0}-c\right)^{2}=0
$$

so that the solution for $c$ is

$$
c=U_{0} .
$$

For $n=3$ the equation of consistency reduces to

$$
\left(u_{0}-c\right)^{2}=\frac{u_{1}^{2}}{36} \frac{\left(-2+r^{2} h^{2} / a\right)}{\left(2+r^{2} h^{2} / 9\right)}
$$

For $0<\gamma_{h}<4.28 \mathrm{c}$ will be a complex quantity and for $4.28<\gamma_{h}$, $c$ is purely real. With $\mathrm{n}=4$ we find that

$$
\left(v_{0}-c\right)^{2}=\frac{u_{1}^{2}}{16} \frac{\left(1+\gamma^{2} h^{2} / 16\right)^{2}-3}{\left(2+\gamma^{2} h^{2} / 16\right)^{2}-1}
$$

so that for $0<\gamma \mathrm{h}<3.42 \mathrm{c}$ is complex and $3.42<\gamma \mathrm{h}$ c is real. Finally for $n=5$,

$$
\left(U_{0}-c\right)^{2}=\frac{u_{1}^{2}}{100} \frac{\left(9 \lambda^{3}-12 \lambda^{2}-26 \lambda+8\right)}{\lambda\left(\lambda^{2}-2\right)}
$$

where $\lambda=2+\gamma^{2} h^{2} / 25$ and so for $0<\gamma h<2.5 c$ is complex and for $2.5<\gamma \mathrm{h}$ c is real. When we discuss (3.66) we will find that the values for $c$ at $n=5$ are very accurate approximations to the exact results.

We will now look at the general equation of consistency (3.68). Using the notation that $\Delta_{n+1}$ is the whole determinant and. $\Delta_{s}$ is the determinant of the first $s$ rows and columns in the lower right hand corner, the following equations can be deduced:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\Delta_{n+1} & =\left(u_{0}-c+v_{1}\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right) \Delta_{n}+\left(v_{0}-c-u_{1} / 2\right) \Delta_{n-1}=0,  \tag{3.69}\\
\Delta_{n} & =-\left(2+r^{2} h^{2} / n^{2}\right) \Delta_{n-1}-\Delta_{n-2}, \\
\vdots \\
\Delta_{s+1} & =-\left(2+r^{2} h^{2} / n^{2}\right) \Delta_{s}-\Delta_{s-1}, \\
\vdots \\
\Delta_{3} & =-\left(2+r^{2} h^{2} / n^{2}\right) \Delta_{2}-\Delta_{1}, \\
\Delta_{2} & =-\left(2+r^{2} h^{2} / n^{2}\right) \Delta_{1}+\left(v_{0}-c+u_{1} / 2\right), \\
\Delta_{1} & =u_{0}-c+u_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{n}\right) .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

A solution for $\Delta_{S}$ can be found in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{s}=A \cosh s \theta+B \sinh s \theta . \tag{3.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the result that

$$
\Delta_{s+1}+\Delta_{s-1}=2 \cosh \theta \Delta_{s},
$$

we can define $\theta$ from (3.69) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\cosh ^{-1}\left[-1-\gamma^{2} h^{2} / 2 n^{2}\right] . \tag{3.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equations for $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$ will define $A$ and $B$. From the equation for $\Delta_{2}$ we have
$A \cosh 2 \theta+B \sinh 2 \theta=2 \cosh \theta[A \cosh \theta+B \sinh \theta]+U_{0}-c+U_{1} / 2$.
and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=-v_{0}+c-v_{1} / 2 . \tag{3.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation for $\Delta_{1}$ leads to an equation between $A$ and $B$,
$A \cosh \theta+B \sinh \theta=U_{0}-C+U_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{n}\right)$
and by substituting for $A$ and $\cosh \theta$ from (3.71) and (3.72) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
B \sinh \theta=-\frac{\gamma^{2} h^{2}}{2 n^{2}}\left(u_{0}-c+u_{1} / 2\right)-u_{1} / n \tag{3.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

where from (3.71)

$$
\sinh \theta=-\frac{\gamma h}{n}\left[1+\frac{\gamma^{2} h^{2}}{4 n^{2}}\right]^{1 / 2} .
$$

The equation of consistency, $\Delta_{n+1}=0$ can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(u_{0}-c+u_{1}\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)[A \cosh n \theta+B \sinh n \theta] \\
& +\left(u_{0}-c-u_{1} / 2\right)[A \cosh (n-1) \theta+B \sinh (n-1) \theta]=0 . \tag{3.74}
\end{align*}
$$

When we substitute for $A, B$ and $\theta$ from (3.71), (3.72) and (3.73), (3.74) becomes an equation for $c$.

When we substitute $n=2,3,4$ an $d 5$ (3.74) will reduce to the formulae found earlier in this chapter. We now wish to find the values of c when $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty$.

From (3.74) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A\left\{\left(v_{0}-c-u_{1 / 2}\right)[(1+\cosh \theta) \cosh n \theta-\sinh \theta \sinh n \theta]+u_{1} / n \operatorname{coshn} \theta\right\} \\
+ & B\left\{\left(u_{0}-c-u_{1 / 2}\right)[(1+\cosh \theta) \sinh n \theta-\sinh \theta \cosh n \theta]+u_{1 / n} \sinh n \theta\right\}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

It is necessary to find the limits of $\cosh (n \theta)$ and $\sinh (n \theta)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. From (3.71) we obtain

$$
e^{\theta}+e^{-\theta}=2\left(1+\gamma^{2} n^{2} / 2 n^{2}\right)
$$

and we multiply the equation by $\boldsymbol{e}^{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ to produce a quadratic equation for $e^{\ominus}$; taking the positive root we find

$$
e^{\theta}=1+\frac{r^{2} h^{2}}{2 n^{2}}+\frac{\gamma h}{n}\left[1+\frac{r^{2} h^{2}}{4 n^{2}}\right]^{1 / 2} .
$$

When n is sufficiently large we can now write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{\theta}=1+\frac{\gamma h}{n}+o\left(\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right), \\
& e^{-\theta}=1-\frac{\gamma h}{n}+o\left(\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

With the result that

$$
\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty}(1+x / n)^{n}=e^{x}
$$

we have

$$
\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{n \theta}=\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[1+\frac{\gamma h}{n}+o\left(\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right)\right]^{n}=e^{x h}
$$

and hence

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \cosh n \theta=\cosh \gamma h,  \tag{3.76}\\
\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sinh n \theta=\sinh \gamma h
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Substituting into (3.75) the values of $A$ and $B$ from (3.72) and (3.73) and using the limits (3.76), retaining terms of order $\frac{1}{n}$ yields

$$
\left(-u_{0}+c-u_{1 / 2}\right)\left[\left(u_{0}-c-u_{1} / 2\right) \gamma h \tanh \gamma h+u_{1}\right]+u_{1}\left(u_{0}-c-u_{1} / 2\right)+\frac{u_{1}}{\gamma h} \tanh \gamma h=0
$$

which simplifies to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{0}-c\right)^{2}=\frac{u_{1}^{2}}{\gamma^{2} h^{2}}\left(1+\frac{\gamma^{2} h^{2}}{4}-\gamma h \text { coth } \gamma h\right) . \tag{3.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the same equation found by solving the differential equation.

## INVESTIGATION OF THE EQUATION OF CONSISTENCY

The equation of consistency, (3.66) found by both methods can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v_{0}-c\right)^{2}=\frac{u_{1}^{2}}{\gamma^{2} h^{2}}\left[\frac{\gamma h}{2}-\operatorname{coth} \frac{\gamma_{h}}{2}\right]\left[\frac{\gamma_{h}}{2}-\tanh \frac{\gamma h}{2}\right] \tag{3.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U_{0}$ is the mean velocity of the zonal current and $U_{1}=g \alpha B h / \rho_{1} L$. The right hand side of (3.78) has one zero at approximately $\gamma h=2.4$. When $0<\gamma h<2.4$ the right hand side of (3.78) is negative and when $2.4<\gamma h$ the right hand side is positive. Thus if we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(\gamma h)=\left[\left|1+\gamma^{2} h^{2} / 4-\gamma h \operatorname{coth} \gamma h\right|\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{3.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have the following values for $c$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
c=u_{0} \pm \frac{i u_{1}}{\gamma h} d(\gamma h), & 0<\gamma h<2.4, \\
c=u_{0} \pm \frac{u_{1}}{\gamma h} d(\gamma h), & 2.4<\gamma h . \tag{3.81}
\end{array}
$$

To interpret (3.80) and (3.81) we return to the formulation of the perturbation pressure, $P^{\prime}(x, z, t)$ which in (3.30) we defined as

$$
P^{\prime}(x, z, t)=P(z) e^{i k(x-c t)}
$$

When c has a positive imaginary term, the resulting wave term grows exponentially and is therefore unstable. For the region $2.4<\gamma h$, $c$ is purely real and so only progressive waves are formed. For the range $0<\gamma h<2.4$ however $c$ is complex. There are two values for $c$; when $c=U_{0}-i \underline{v}_{1} d(\gamma h)$ the wave is damped and tends to a zero amplitude with increasing time but when $c=U_{0}+i \underline{U}_{h} d\left(\gamma_{h}\right)$ an unstable wave exists.

The unstable wave will double its amplitude in a time $t_{\text {, }}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{k U_{1}}{\gamma h} d(\gamma h) t_{1}=0.693 . \tag{3.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $d(\gamma h)$ is zero at $\gamma h=0$ and $\gamma h=2.4$ and attains a maximum at $\gamma \mathrm{h}=1.61$ of 0.3 . The maximum growth rate is associated with a wavelength $k_{0}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{k_{0} h}{l}\left[g \alpha A / \rho_{1}\right]^{1 / 2}=1.61 \tag{3.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

since the definition of $\gamma$ from (3.51) is

$$
\gamma=\frac{k}{l}\left[g \alpha A / \rho_{1}\right]^{1 / 2} .
$$

AN APPROXIMATE SOLUTION OF THE STABILITY PROBLEM USING DIFFERENCE

## METHODS

From Chapter 3.3 the method of differencing has been successful in obtaining a consistency equation and so the same method will be used here on the two layer model formulated in Chapter 3.2. The system of equations to be solved is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\epsilon}{\rho_{1}} \frac{d^{4} p}{d z^{4}}-i(u(z)-c)\left[\frac{d^{2} p}{d z^{2}}-\gamma^{2} p\right]=0, \quad 0 \leq z \leq h, \tag{3.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\epsilon}{\rho_{1}} \frac{d^{3} p}{d z^{3}}-i\left[(U(z)-c) \frac{d p}{d z}-u^{\prime}(z) P\right]=0 \text { at } z=0, h, \\
\frac{d^{2} p}{d z^{2}}-H \frac{d P}{d z}=0 & \text { at } z=0,  \tag{3.85}\\
\frac{d^{2} p}{d z^{2}}=0 & \text { at } z=h .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

As before we take $U(z)$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(z)=U_{0}+U_{1}(z / h-1 / 2) \tag{3.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

## THE DIFFERENCE METHOD

We divide the space $z=0$ to $z=h$ into $n$ intervals and using the central differencing (30) formulae for $P_{i}{ }^{i V}$ and for $P_{i}{ }^{\prime \prime}$,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
P_{i}^{\prime V}=\left[P_{i+2}-4 P_{i+1}+6 P_{i}-4 P_{i-1}+P_{i-2}\right] /(h / n)^{4},  \tag{3.87}\\
P_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\left[P_{i+1}-2 P_{i}+P_{i-1}\right] /(h / n)^{2},
\end{array}\right\}
$$

we transform (3.84) into ( $n-3$ ) equations for $P_{j}, 2 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\epsilon}{\rho_{0}}\left[P_{j+2}-4 P_{j+1}+6 P_{j}-4 P_{j-1}+P_{j-2}\right] \\
& -\frac{i h^{2}}{n^{2}}\left[U_{0}-c+U_{1}\left[j / n^{-1} 2\right]\right]\left[P_{j+1}-\left(2+\frac{\gamma^{2} h^{2}}{n^{2}}\right) P_{j}+P_{j-1}\right]=0,2 \leq j \leqslant n-1 \tag{3.88}
\end{align*}
$$

We use the following forward difference formulae (30)

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
P_{i}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\left[P_{i+3}-3 P_{i+2}+3 P_{i+1}-P_{i}\right] /\left(\frac{n}{n}\right)^{3},  \tag{3.89}\\
P_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\left[P_{i+2}-2 P_{i+1}+P_{i}\right] /\left(\frac{h}{n}\right)^{2}, \\
P_{i}^{\prime}=\left[P_{i+1}-P_{i}\right] /\left(\frac{h}{n}\right),
\end{array}\right\}
$$

to transform the two boundary conditions $z=0$ to

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{2}-2 P_{1}+P_{0}-\frac{H h}{n}\left[P_{1}-P_{0}\right]=0,  \tag{3.90}\\
& \frac{\epsilon}{P_{0}}\left[P_{3}-3 P_{2}+3 P_{1}-P_{0}\right]-\frac{i h^{2}}{n^{2}}\left(v_{0}-\frac{v_{1}}{2}-c\right)\left[P_{1}-P_{0}\right]+\frac{i h^{3}}{n^{3}} \frac{v_{1}}{h} P_{0}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly using the backward difference formulae(30),

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
P_{i}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\left[P_{i}-3 P_{i-1}+3 P_{i-2}-P_{i-3}\right] /\left(\frac{h}{n}\right)^{3}, \\
P_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\left[P_{i}-2 P_{i-1}+P_{i-2}\right] /\left(\frac{h}{n}\right)^{2}, \\
P_{i}^{\prime}=\left[P_{i}-P_{i-1}\right] /\left(\frac{h}{n}\right), \tag{3.92}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

the boundary conditions at $z=h$ can be written in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\epsilon}{\rho_{0}}\left[P_{n}-3 P_{n-1}+3 P_{n-2}-P_{n-3}\right]-\frac{i h^{2}}{n^{2}}\left(v_{0}+\frac{v_{1}}{2}-c\right)\left[P_{n}-P_{n-1}\right]+\frac{i h^{3}}{n^{3}} \frac{v_{1}}{h} P_{n}=0,  \tag{3.93}\\
& P_{n}-2 P_{n-1}+P_{n-2}=0 . \tag{3.94}
\end{align*}
$$

We now have $(n+1)$ equations in $(n+1)$ unknowns, namely $P_{0}$,
$P_{1}, \ldots \ldots P_{n}$. The equations can be written in a matrix form,

$$
A_{n} P=O
$$

where $A_{n}$ is the $(n+1) x(n+1)$ matrix formed by the coefficinets of in the equations (3.88), (3.90), (3.91), (3.93) and (3.94). The system is homogeneous, producing an eigen value type problem. For the equations to be consistent,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A_{n}\right|=0 . \tag{3.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus there are only a finite set of values for $c$ for which the equation
(3.95) holds. Using the notation
$\left.\begin{array}{l}u_{j}=\left(u_{0}+\left(j / n^{-1 / 2}\right) u_{1}-c\right) \\ \delta=2+\frac{r^{2} h^{2}}{n^{2}} \\ m=h^{2} / n^{2} \\ E=\epsilon / \rho_{1}\end{array}\right\}$
we can write (3.95) as


As in Chapter 3.3 we can now take small values of $n$ in (3.97) to determine approximate values for $c$. The smallest value of $n$ in this case is 4 , producing a (5 $\times 5$ ) determinant. The cases $n=4$ and $n=5$ are dealt with in Appendix C. We will look at a general case immediately and look for solutions for c for general n . We will be able to check these formulae for $c$ with the particular roots found in Appendix C.

TWO PROPERTIES OF THE DETERMINANT |An|
Equation (3.97) gives the general form of $\left|A_{n}\right|$, an $(n+1) x(n+1)$ determinant. The determinant should produce a term of the form $\alpha \varepsilon^{n-1}$. However it is easy to show that $\alpha \equiv 0$. This term is found by retaining
the first and last rows and only terms containing $\mathcal{E}$ in the remaining rows. This determinant, $A_{n}^{\prime}$ is of the form


It can easily be seen that row $2+$ (row $3+\ldots . .+$ row $n-1$ ) row $n$ and thus $A_{n}^{\prime}=0$.

The second result may be obtained in the following way. We consider now the term independent of $\varepsilon$, ignoring the $\varepsilon$ dependence in $H$. This term, denoted as $A_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ takes the form


The determinant in the above expression, (3.99) is a quadratic in ( $U_{0}-c$ ) and it can be shown that the coefficient of $\left(U_{0}-c\right)^{2}$ is independent of H. We substitute $U_{1}=0$ into the determinant and find the coefficient of ( $\left.U_{0}-c\right)^{2}$, denoted by $A_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}$, takes the form

By adding columns 1 and 2 it can easily be shown that $A_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ is independent of H .

TWO SERIES EXPANSIONS FORC
When $\left|A_{n}\right|$ is expanded, it can be written as a series in powers of $\varepsilon^{1 / 2}$ remembering that $H=(1-i) \lambda \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{c} \frac{1}{2}}$. We can write (3.97) in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{i h^{2}}{n^{2}}\right)^{n-1}\left[Q_{1}^{n-1}(c)+\varepsilon^{-1 / 2} c^{1 / 2} Q_{2}^{n-2}(c)\right]+\left(\frac{i h^{2}}{n^{2}}\right)^{n-2} \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho_{1}}\left[Q_{3}^{n-2}(c)+\varepsilon^{-1 / 2} c^{1 / 2} Q_{4}^{n-2}(c)\right]+\cdots \\
& \cdots \cdot \cdots+\frac{i h^{2}}{n^{2}}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho_{1}}\right)^{n-2}\left[Q_{2 n-3}^{1}(c)+\varepsilon^{-1 / 2} c^{1 / 2} Q_{2 n-2}^{\prime}(c)\right]=0 \tag{3.101}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q_{i}^{r}(c)$ is a polynomial of order $r$ in $c$. Note that the two properties shown above have been used in writing (3.101). The first two terms in the expansion (3.101) can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{1}^{n-1}(c)+\varepsilon^{-1 / 2} c^{1 / 2} Q_{2}^{n-2}(c)= & u_{2} u_{2} \cdots u_{n-2}\left[b_{1}\left(u_{0}-c\right)^{2}+b_{2}\left(u_{0}-c\right) u_{1}+b_{3} u_{1}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\varepsilon^{1 / 2} c^{1 / 2}(1-i) \frac{\lambda h}{n}\left(d_{1}\left(u_{0}-c\right) u_{1}+d_{2} u_{1}^{2}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

When we substitute for these terms in (3.101) the equation in $c$ becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{i h^{2}}{n^{2}}\right)^{n-1} v_{2} u_{3} \cdots \cdot v_{n-2}\left[b_{1}\left(u_{0}-c\right)^{2}+b_{2}\left(u_{0}-c\right) u_{1}+b_{3} v_{1}^{2}+\varepsilon^{-1 / 2} c^{1 / 2}(1-i) \frac{\lambda_{h}}{n}\left(d_{1}\left(v_{0}-c\right) u_{1}+d_{2} u_{1}^{2}\right)\right] \\
& +\left(\frac{i h^{2}}{n^{2}}\right)^{n-2} \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho_{1}}\left[Q_{3}^{n-2}(c)+\varepsilon^{1 / 2} c^{1 / 2} Q_{4}^{n-2}(c)\right]+\cdots \cdot+\frac{i h^{2}}{n^{2}}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\beta}\right)^{n-2}\left[Q_{2 n-3}^{\prime}(c)+\varepsilon^{-1 / c^{1 / 2}} Q_{2 n-2}^{\prime}(c)\right]=0 . \tag{3.102}
\end{align*}
$$

We will now consider the roots of $c$. The solutions fall into two classes. In the first place there are solutions for $c$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=c_{0}+\varepsilon^{1 / 2} c_{1}+\varepsilon c_{2}+\cdots \cdot \tag{3.103}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are $(n-1)$ values for $c_{0}$ found by equating the term $\varepsilon^{-1 / 2}$ to zero in (3.102),

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{0}^{1}=0, \\
& \left.c_{0}^{2}=u_{2}=u_{0}-\left(\frac{2}{n}-\frac{1}{2}\right) u_{1}\right)  \tag{3.104}\\
& \vdots \\
& \vdots \\
& c_{0}^{i}=u_{i}=u_{0}-\left(\frac{i}{n}-\frac{1}{2}\right) u_{1}, \\
& \vdots \\
& \vdots \\
& \left.c_{0}^{n-2}=u_{n-2}=u_{0}-\left(\frac{n-2}{n}-\frac{1}{2}\right) u_{1}\right) \\
& c_{0}^{n-1}=u_{0}+\frac{d_{2}}{d_{1}} u_{1} \cdot
\end{align*}
$$



In the second place there are large roots for $c$ found by equating $Q_{1}^{n-1}(c)$ and $\varepsilon^{-1 / 2} c^{1 / 2} Q_{2}^{n-2}(c)$ in (3.101). The series for $c$ takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\frac{a_{0}}{\varepsilon}+\frac{a_{1}}{\varepsilon^{1 / 2}}+a_{2}+\cdots \cdots \cdot \tag{3.105}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus a $a_{0}$ is found by equating the term $\varepsilon^{-n}$ to zero in (3.102) and we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}=\left[\frac{(1-i) \lambda h d_{1} U_{1}}{n b_{1}}\right]^{2} \tag{3.106}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution $a_{0}=0$ does not produce a new root for $c$ as it can easily be shown by equating the term $\varepsilon^{-n+1 / 2}$ in (3.102) corresponding to $a_{0}=0$, that the next term in the expansion of $c$, namely $a_{1}$ is also zero. This value of $c$ now reduces to the root corresponding to $c=c_{0}+\varepsilon^{1 / 2} c_{1}+\cdots \cdot$

We have found $n$ values for $c$ for which $\left|A_{n}\right|=0$. Using a simple example in the form of (3.102) we aim to show that these are all roots. We choose an equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(c-\alpha)^{3}-\varepsilon^{-1 / 2} c^{1 / 2}(c-\beta)(c-\gamma)=0 . \tag{3.107}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the expansion (3.103), $c=c_{0}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{1 / 2} c_{1}+\ldots$. , we have three values for $c_{0}$ which are $\beta, \gamma$ and 0 and from the expansion (3.105),
$c=\frac{a_{0}}{\varepsilon}+\frac{a_{1}}{\varepsilon^{1 / 2}}+\cdots$ we have one new root with $a_{0}=1$. If we square (3.107) we produce a sixth order equation for $c$ with roots at approximately $0,1 / \varepsilon$, $\beta$ (twice) and $\gamma$ (twice). We can see now that we have indeed found all the roots of (3.107). By the same argument an equation of the form

$$
(c-d)^{r}-\varepsilon^{-1 / 2} c^{1 / 2}\left(c-f_{1}\right)\left(c-f_{2}\right) \cdots\left(c-f_{r-1}\right)=0
$$

has $(r+1)$ roots.

## GENERAL FORMULAE FOR THE ROOTS OF C

We will now proceed to find the general formulae for the $n$ roots of c.
(1) The ( $n-2$ ) Values for $c_{0}$

To find expressions for $c_{0}$ we need to look at $\left|A_{n}\right|$ with $\varepsilon=0$, ignoring the dependence of $H$ on $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. This term, denoted by $\Delta_{n}$ will take the form

where

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
a=\frac{u_{1}}{n}-b  \tag{3.109}\\
b=-\left(u_{0}-c\right)+u_{1} / 2, \\
\alpha=\left(u_{0}-c\right)+u_{1} / 2, \\
\beta=-\alpha+u_{1} / n
\end{array}\right\}
$$

It is the $H$ dependent terms of $\Delta_{n}$, denoted by $\Delta_{n}^{\prime}$, which when equated to zero produce the $(n-1)$ roots, $c_{0}^{1}, c_{0}^{2} \ldots . . c_{0}^{n-1}$.


The first ( $n-2$ ) roots are found by equating the factors outside the determinant in (3.109) to zero as shown in (3.104). The root $c_{0}^{n-1}$ is found by equating the determinant in (3.109), referred to as $D_{n}^{\prime}$ to zero. Expanding by the first two rows $D_{n}^{\prime}$ reduces to

where $\left|D_{n-2}\right|$ is of order $(n-1) x(n-1)$.

We can evaluate $\left|D_{n-2}\right|$ by using recurrence relationships. Using the notation that $\left|D_{s}\right|$ is the $(s+1) x(s+1)$ determinant in the bottom right hand corner of $\left|D_{n-2}\right|$, we can form the following recurrence relationships:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\left|D_{n-2}\right|=\delta\left|D_{n-3}\right|-\left|D_{n-4}\right|  \tag{3.111}\\
\vdots \\
\left|D_{s+1}\right|=\delta\left|D_{s}\right|-\left|D_{s-1}\right| \\
\vdots \\
\left|D_{2}\right|=\delta\left|D_{1}\right|-\beta \\
\left|D_{1}\right|=\alpha+2 \beta
\end{array}\right\}
$$

We will assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D_{s}\right|=A \cosh s \theta+B \sinh s \theta, \quad 1 \leqslant s \leqslant n-2 \tag{3.112}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|D_{s+1}\right|+\left|D_{s-1}\right| & =A[\cosh (s+1) \theta+\cosh (s-1) \theta]+B[\operatorname{sunh}(s+1) \theta+\sinh (s-1) \theta] \\
& =2 \cosh \theta\left|D_{s}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

we can take $\delta=2 \cosh \theta$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cosh \theta=1+r^{2} h^{2} / 2 n^{2} \tag{3.113}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the equation for $\left|D_{2}\right|$ in (3.111) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\beta \tag{3.114}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from the equation for $\left|D_{1}\right|$ in (3.111) and (3.114) we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=(\alpha+2 \beta-\beta \cosh \theta) / \sinh \theta . \tag{3.115}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.113), it can be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sinh \theta=\frac{\gamma h}{n}\left[1+\frac{\gamma^{2} h^{2}}{4 n^{2}}\right]^{1 / 2} . \tag{3.116}
\end{equation*}
$$

We finally have for $\left|D_{n-2}\right|$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D_{n-2}\right|=\beta \cosh (n-2) \theta+(\alpha+2 \beta-\beta \cosh \theta) \frac{\sinh (n-2) \theta}{\sinh \theta} . \tag{3.117}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting for $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in (3.109) produces

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D_{n-2}\right|=\left[-v_{0}+c+v_{1}\left(\frac{1}{n}-1 / 2\right)\right] \cosh (n-2) \theta+\left[\frac{u_{1}}{n}-\frac{\sigma^{2} h^{2}}{2 n^{2}}\left(-v_{0}+c+v_{1}\left(\frac{1}{n}-1 / 2\right)\right)\right] \frac{\sinh (n-2) \theta}{\sinh \theta} \tag{3.118}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $c{ }_{0}^{n-1}$ is the value of $c$ for which $\left|D_{n-2}\right|=0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{0}^{n-1}=U_{0}+\frac{u_{1}\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{n}\right) \cosh (n-2) \theta-\left(\frac{1}{n}+\frac{r^{2} h^{2}}{2 n^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{n}\right)\right) \sinh (n-2) \theta / \sinh \theta\right]}{\cosh (n-2) \theta-\frac{r^{2} h^{2}}{2 n^{2}} \sinh (n-2) \theta / \sinh \theta} . \tag{3.119}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) The ( $n-1$ ) Values for $c_{1}$

To find the values for $c$, we equate the term in $\varepsilon^{0}$ to zero in (3.102). It is easily shown that the value of $c_{1}$ corresponding to $c_{0}^{1}, c_{0}^{2}, \ldots .$. $c_{0}^{n-3}$ and $c_{0}^{n-2}$ is zero and so it only remains to find the value of $c_{1}$, referred to as $c_{1}^{n-1}$ corresponding to $c_{0}^{n-1}$. From (3.102) we can see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}^{n-1}=\frac{\left[b_{1}\left(u_{0}-c_{0}^{n-1}\right)^{2}+b_{2}\left(u_{0}-c_{0}^{n-1}\right) u_{1}+b_{3} u_{1}^{2}\right]}{\left(c_{0}^{n-1}\right)^{1 / 2} d_{1} u_{1} \lambda h(1-i) / n} \cdot \tag{3.120}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, from (3.102) $\left|D_{n-2}\right|$ corresponds to the term $\left(d_{1}\left(U_{0}-c\right)+d_{2} U_{1}\right)$ we can easily find $d_{1}$ from (3.118) as it is the coefficient of ( $-c$ ) and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{1}=\frac{1}{n}\left[-\cosh (n-2) \theta+\left(\gamma^{2} h^{2} / 2 n^{2}\right) \sinh (n-2) \theta / \sinh \theta\right] . \tag{3.121}
\end{equation*}
$$

To find an expression for the numerator in (3.120) we first take the $H$ independent terms of the determinant in (3.108), namely

When we expand by the first two rows we find

$$
\left|d_{n}\right|=(b+2 a)\left|d_{n-2}\right|-a\left|d_{n-3}\right|
$$

but for $r \leqslant n-2,\left|d_{r}\right| \equiv\left|D_{r}\right|$ and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|d_{n}\right|=(b+2 a)\left|D_{n-2}\right|-a\left|D_{n-3}\right| \tag{3.123}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the value of the numerator of $c_{1}^{n-1}$ in (3.120) we substitute $c=c_{0}^{n-1}$ into $\left|d_{n}\right|$. Since $\left|D_{n-2}\right|$ is zero when $c=c_{0}^{n-1}$ we only need to evaluate -a $\left|D_{n-3}\right|$ at $c=c_{0}^{n-1}$. Thus, the formula for $c_{1}^{n-1}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}^{n-1}=\frac{U_{1}\left(\frac{d_{2}}{d_{1}}+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{n}\right)\left[\left(\frac{d_{2}}{d_{1}}+\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{2}\right) \cosh (n-3) \theta+\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{\gamma^{2} h^{2}}{n^{2}}\left(\frac{d_{2}}{d_{1}}+\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right) \sinh (n-3) \theta / \sinh \theta\right]}{d_{1}(1-i) \lambda h\left(U_{0}+\frac{d_{2}}{d_{1}} U_{1}\right)^{1 / 2} / n} \tag{3.124}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{2} / d_{1}$ is the coefficient of $U_{1}$ in (3.119).
(3) The Value of $a_{0}$

From (3.106) we find that $a_{0}$ is defined by

$$
a_{0}=\left[(1-i) \lambda h d_{1} v_{1} / b_{1} n\right]^{2}
$$

We already have an expression for $\alpha_{1}$ in (3.121) so it only remains to find $b_{1}$. Since $\left|d_{n}\right|$ is the $\operatorname{term}\left[b_{1}\left(u_{0}-c\right)^{2}+b_{2}\left(U_{0}-c\right) v_{1}+b_{3} U_{1}^{2}\right]$ in (3.102) we can find $b_{1}$ by substituting $U_{1}=0$ in $\left|d_{n}\right|$. Using (3.123) and (3.118) we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}=\cosh (n-3) \theta-\cosh (n-2) \theta-\frac{r^{2} h^{2}}{2 n^{2} \sinh \theta}[\sinh (n-3) \theta-\sinh (n-2) \theta] \tag{3.125}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}=\left[\frac{(1-i) \lambda h u_{1}\left(-\operatorname{cosin}(n-2) \theta+\left(\sigma^{2} h^{2} / 2 n^{2}\right) \sinh (n-2) \theta / \sinh \theta\right)}{n\left[\cosh (n-3) \theta-\cosh (n-2) \theta-\frac{r^{2} h^{2}}{2 n^{2} \sinh \theta}(\sinh (n-3) \theta-\sinh (n-2) \theta)\right]}\right]^{2} \tag{3.126}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4) The Value of $a_{1}$

The next term in the expansion for $c$ in (3.105) is found by equating the term of $\varepsilon^{-n+1 / 2}$ to zero. It is easily shown that $a_{1}$ is zero.
of $c$. . These can be summarised as follows

where $c_{0}^{n-1}, c_{1}^{n-1}$ and $a_{0}$ are defined in (3.119), (3.124) and (3.126) respectively. The formulae of (3.127) are checked by substituting $n=4$ and $n=5$ and comparing the results with Appendix C.

## LIMITING VALUES OF THE ROOTS FOR C

Following the method introduced in Chapter 3.3 the next step would be to look at the coefficients in (3.127) in the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The $n-3$ roots of $c, c_{0}^{2}, \ldots . . c_{0}^{n-2}$ will tend to the continuous function,

$$
c=U(z)
$$

which is the root produced by ignoring the fourth order differential term in the equation for $P$,

$$
\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho_{1}} \frac{d^{4} p}{d z^{4}}-i(U(z)-c)\left[\frac{d^{2} p}{d z^{2}}-\gamma^{2} p\right]=0 .
$$

Unfortunately the limiting process for $c^{n-1}$ and $c^{n}$ produced difficulties, and although a limit for $c_{0}^{n-1}$ could be found, namely

$$
\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{0}^{n-1}=U_{0}+\frac{U_{1}}{\gamma h}\left[\frac{\gamma h}{2}-\tanh \gamma h\right],
$$

$c_{1}^{n-1}$ and $a_{0}$ did not have finite limits. We found

$$
\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{1}^{n-1}=\infty, \quad \operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{0}=0
$$

The fact that $a_{0} \rightarrow 0$ may imply that this root does not exist for the exact equations but it is hard to explain the limit of $c_{1}^{n-1}$. However for finite $n$ we can use the formulae in (3.127) for approximate solutions of c.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We have seen that the method of differencing produces $n$ roots for c. To investigate stability of the system we return to the formulation of $P^{\prime}$ and $W$ in (3.30). Both functions contain the factor $e^{-i k c t}=e^{-i k c_{R} t} e^{k c_{1} t} \quad$ where $c=c_{R}+i c_{I}$. Thus when $c_{I}>0$, an unstable wave exists. Looking at the roots in (3.127) the roots $c^{2}, \ldots . c^{n-2}$ are to the order $\varepsilon^{1 / 2}$ purely real and therefore do not produce instabilities. From (3.126), ao is a negative imaginary term which would produce a damped wave; the only root to produce an unstable wave is therefore $c^{n-1}=c_{0}^{n-1}+\varepsilon^{1 / 2} c_{1}^{n-1}+\cdots \cdot$.

From (3.119) we see that $c_{0}^{n-1}$ is real and from (3.124) $c_{1}^{n-1}$ is complex. Writing $c^{n-1}$ as,

$$
c^{n-1}=c_{R}^{n-1}+i c_{I}^{n-1}
$$

it can easily be seen that $c_{R}^{n-1}$ is approximately $c_{0}^{n-1}$.

For $n=4$ and $n=5$, the analytical solutions for $c^{n-1}$ are relatively simple and following Eady we investigate the value of $c_{I_{1}^{n-1}}^{n}$ as a function of $\gamma \mathrm{h}$. Graphs of the two functions $c_{I}^{3}$ and $c_{I}^{4}$ are shown in $F i g$. 1 and 2 using constants as in (3.58) with wave lengths of order 1000 kms , - an average wave length for such disturbances. These values are for molecular thermal conductivities. For eddy values $\varepsilon$ is $10^{\mathbf{5}}$ times larger, thus $c_{\boldsymbol{R}}^{n-1}$ will be unchanged and $c_{\boldsymbol{I}}^{n-1}$ which is of order $\varepsilon^{1 / 2}$ will increase by a factor of $10^{5 / 2}$. Unlike the Eady model instability occurs for all $\gamma \mathrm{h}$ with a maximum value at $\gamma \mathrm{h}=3$ for $\mathrm{n}=4$ and $\gamma \mathrm{h}=2.5$ for $\mathrm{n}=5$. It must be remembered that these unstable waves grow slowly as they are produced by second order terms. The waves travel at a speed, $c_{\boldsymbol{R}}^{n-1}$ which is of the same order as the thermal wind.

For larger values of $n$ the computer is needed to calculate $c^{n-1}$ and we now have a choice of method. The formulae from (3.119) and (3.124) can be employed immediately or one could return to the initial formulation
of the consistency equation (3.97) and use computer methods to reduce the determinant and find the roots. Both methods were used and the results from the two methods were the same within our needs of accuracy. The details of the determinant method are given in Appendix D. Values for $c^{n-1}$ are presented in the Tables 1 and 2 for $n=5-11$ and for various values of $\gamma h$ and wavelength $L=2 \pi / k$. The main points to notice from these tables are:
(1) For all $n$, the speed of the wave, $c_{R}^{n-1}$ increases with $\gamma h$ and is independent of $L$ and is of the order of $U_{0}$, the speed of the thermal wind.
(2) For all $n$, there is a maximum of $c_{I}^{n-1}$ for a small value of $\gamma \mathrm{h}$, ( $n=5$, maximum at $\gamma_{h}=5$, for $n=10$ maximum at $\gamma_{h}=1$ ) and the value of $\gamma_{h}$ for the maximum decreases with increasing $n$.
(3) As $n$ increases, more maxima and minima occur for $C_{I}^{n-1}$ and some negative values do occur.
(4) As L increases, $C_{I}^{n-1}$ increases.

Thus to sum up, we have found unstable waves for all values of $\gamma \mathrm{h}$, unlike the Eady model. However the growth rates are very much slower as the imaginary part. of $c$ is found in second order terms. We have not found the Eady unstabilities in the region $0<\boldsymbol{\gamma} h<2.4$ appearing as first order terms in the series expansion of $c$. This is perhaps to be expected as the equation of consistency for this model, (3.97) cannot be reduced to the Eady equation of consistency, (3.68) merely by substituting $\varepsilon=0$. In the next chapter we follow methods of Meksyn and find that the Eady results there are first order terms in a series expansion of $c$.


Figure 1: Variation of $c_{\text {I with }}^{\mathbf{3}} \gamma_{h}(L=1000 \mathrm{kms})$


Figure 2: Variation of $C_{I}^{4}$ with $\gamma_{h}(L=1000 \mathrm{kms})$

| $\gamma \mathrm{h}$ | $n=5$ |  | $\mathrm{n}=6$ |  | $\mathrm{n}=7$ |  | $\mathrm{n}=8$ |  | $\mathrm{n}=9$ |  | $\mathrm{n}=10$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $c_{k}^{5}$ | c. ${ }^{5}$ | $c_{R}^{6}$ | $c_{x}^{6}$ | $c_{R}^{7}$ | $C_{\text {I }}{ }^{7}$ | $c_{R}^{8}$ | $c_{1}^{8}$ | $c_{R}^{9}$ | $c_{1}^{9}$ |
| 0.0 | 800.0 | 0.0 | 760.0 | 0.0 | 733.3 | 0.0 | 714.3 | 0.0 | 700.0 | 0.0 | 688.9 | 0.0 |
| 1.0 | 811.8 | $4.0^{-4}$ | 789.0 | $1.1^{-3}$ | 778.9 | $2.0^{-3}$ | 774.2 | $2.8{ }^{-4}$ | 772.2 | $3.6{ }^{-4}$ | 777.8 | $5.5^{-5}$ |
| 2.0 | 840.0 | $9.7^{-4}$ | 850.5 | $1.9^{-3}$ | 866.9 | $1.2^{-3}$ | 882.4 | $3.0{ }^{-4}$ | 895.7 | $3.4{ }^{-4}$ | 906.9 | $3.7{ }^{-4}$ |
| 2.5 | 856.2 | $1.1^{-3}$ | 881.4 | $1.7^{-3}$ | 907.4 | $2.0^{-4}$ | 929.1 | $2.1^{-4}$ | 946.6 | $2.2{ }^{-4}$ | 960.8 | $2.3{ }^{-4}$ |
| 3.0 | 872.0 | $1.1^{-3}$ | 909.1 | $1.3^{-3}$ | 941.8 | $1.4^{-4}$ | 967.4 | $1.4^{-4}$ | 987.5 | $1.3^{-4}$ | 1003.5 | $1.3^{-4}$ |
| 5.0 | 922.0 | $6.8^{-4}$ | 984.0 | $4.1^{-4}$ | 1027.9 | $2.7^{-5}$ | 1059.3 | $3.7^{-5}$ | 1082.8 | $1.2^{-5}$ | 1100.9 | $1.1^{-5}$ |
| 6.0 | 938.5 | $4.8{ }^{-4}$ | 1005.6 | $2.1{ }^{-4}$ | 1051.3 | $1.2^{-5}$ | 1083.7 | $9.1^{-6}$ | 1107.7 | $1.2^{-5}$ | 1126.2 | $2.0^{-6}$ |
| 10.0 | 972.4 | $1.3^{-4}$ | 1046.9 | $3.5-5$ | 1095.9 | $3.0^{-6}$ | $1130 \cdot 3$ | $3.5^{-6}$ | 1155.7 | $4.3^{-6}$ | 1175.2 | $5.2^{-6}$ |
| 50.0 | 998.7 | $1.9^{-5}$ | 1078.4 | $2.9^{-5}$ | 1131.4 | $4.1^{-6}$ | 1169.3 | $5.5^{-6}$ | 1197.5 | $7.1^{-6}$ | 1219.4 | $8.9^{-6}$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Variation of } c^{n-1} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}\left(c^{n-1}=c_{R}^{n-1}+i c_{I}^{n-1}\right) \text { with } \gamma_{h} \text { with } \\
& L=1000 \mathrm{kms} \text {. The subscript denotes the power of ten by which } \\
& \text { the entry should be multiplied. }
\end{aligned}
$$

| Oh | $\mathrm{L}=250 \mathrm{kms}$ |  | $\mathrm{L}=500 \mathrm{kms}$ |  | $\mathrm{L}=750 \mathrm{kms}$ |  | $\mathrm{L}=1000 \mathrm{kms}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $c_{n}^{4}$ | $\mathrm{c}_{1}^{4}$ | $c_{k}^{4}$ | $\mathrm{c}_{1}^{4}$ | $c_{R}^{4}$ | $\mathrm{c}_{1}^{4}$ | $\mathrm{c}_{R}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{c}_{\text {I }}^{4}$ |
| 0.0 | 800.0 | 0.0 | 800.0 | 0.0 | 800.0 | 0.0 | 800.0 | 0.0 |
| 1.0 | 811.8 | $2.0{ }^{-4}$ | 811.8 | $2.99^{-4}$ | 811.8 | $3.5{ }^{-4}$ | 811.8 | $4.0{ }^{-4}$ |
| 2.5 | 856.2 | $5.5^{-4}$ | 856.2 | $7 \cdot 7^{-4}$ | 856.2 | $9.5{ }^{-4}$ | 856.2 | $1.1^{-3}$ |
| 3.0 | 872.0 | $5.5^{-4}$ | 872.0 | $7.8^{-4}$ | 872.0 | $9.5{ }^{-4}$ | 872.0 | $1.1^{-3}$ |
| 5.0 | 922.0 | $3.4^{-4}$ | 922.0 | $4.8^{-4}$ | 922.0 | $5.9{ }^{-4}$ | 922.0 | $6.8^{-4}$ |
| 10.0 | 972.4 | $6.1^{-5}$ | 972.4 | $8.8^{-5}$ | 972.4 | $1.0^{-4}$ | 972.4 | $1.3^{-4}$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Table 2. Variation of } c^{4} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}\left(c^{4}=c_{\boldsymbol{R}}^{4}+i c_{\boldsymbol{I}}^{4}\right) \text { with } \gamma \mathrm{h} \\
& \text { and } L \text {. The subscript denotes the power of ten by } \\
& \text { which the entry should be multiplied. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## CHAPTER 3.5

AN APPROXIMATE SOLUTION OF THE STABILITY PROBLEM FOLLOWING MEKSYN

We now aim to find criteria for stability following methods of Meskyn (26). From Cheaper 3.2, (3.53) and (3.54), the problem is to find a solution of

$$
\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho_{1}} \frac{d^{4} p}{d z^{4}}-i(u(z)-c)\left[\frac{d^{2} p}{d z^{2}}-r^{2} p\right]=0
$$

subject to the boundary conditions,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{e}{\rho_{1}} \frac{d^{3} p}{d z^{3}}-i\left[(U(z)-c) \frac{d p}{d z}-U^{\prime}(z) p\right]=0 \text { at } z=0, h, \\
& \frac{d^{2} p}{d z^{2}}-H \frac{d p}{d z}=0 \quad \text { at } z=0, \\
& \frac{d^{2} p}{d z^{2}}=0 \quad \text { at } z=h .
\end{aligned}
$$

## THE SOLUTION FOLLOWING MEKSYN

We introduce a new parameter $Z$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(z)-c=U_{1}\left(z-Z_{2}\right), \quad 0 \leq z \leq 1 \tag{3.128}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z$ is a complex constant. The above equations can now be rewritten in a nondimensional form, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{4} p}{d z^{4}}-i \lambda\left(z-z_{c}\right)\left[\frac{d^{2} p}{d z^{2}}-\gamma_{1}^{2} p\right]=0 \tag{3.129}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d^{3} p}{d z^{3}}-i \lambda\left[\left(z-z_{c}\right) \frac{d P}{d z}-P\right]=0 \text { at } z=0,1,  \tag{3.130}\\
\frac{d^{2} P}{d z^{2}}-h H \frac{d P}{d z}=0 \quad \text { at } z=0, \\
\frac{d^{2} p}{d z^{2}}=0 \quad \text { at } z=1 .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The nondimensional quantities, $\lambda$ and $\gamma_{1}$ are defined by

$$
\lambda=u_{1} h^{2} \rho_{1} / \varepsilon \quad, \quad \gamma_{1}=\gamma h .
$$

It should be noted that $\lambda$ is a large quantity and plays the part of a Reynolds Number (31).

Following Heisenberg and others (20) we can look for solutions of $P(Z)$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(z)=\psi^{0}(z)+\lambda^{-1} \psi^{\prime}(z)+\lambda^{-2} \psi^{2}(z)+\cdots \tag{3.131}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $4^{\circ}(Z)$ will satisfy the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} u^{0}}{d z^{2}}-\gamma_{1}^{2} \psi=0 ; \tag{3.132}
\end{equation*}
$$

clearly the two solutions for $\Psi^{\circ}(Z)$ are

$$
\psi^{\circ}(z)=e^{ \pm \gamma_{1} z} .
$$

It is convenient to have the first two solutions for $P$ in a slightly different form from $\Psi^{\circ}(Z)$ and we shall write them as $P_{1}(Z)$ and $P_{2}(Z)$, where

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
P_{1}(z)=e^{\gamma_{1}\left(z-z_{c}\right)},  \tag{3.133}\\
P_{2}(z)=e^{-\gamma_{1}\left(z-z_{c}\right)}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

It can easily be seen that a boundary layer will exist in the neighbourhood of $Z=Z_{c}$. The solutions $P_{1}(Z)$ and $P_{2}(Z)$ are good approximations of the solution to (3.129) away from the boundary layer.

We now introduce yet another new parameter, $\eta$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\lambda^{1 / 3}\left(z-z_{c}\right) . \tag{3.134}
\end{equation*}
$$

The system of equation, (3.129) and (3.130) become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{4} p}{d \eta^{4}}-i \eta\left[\frac{d^{2} P}{d \eta^{2}}-R^{2} P\right]=0 \tag{3.135}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{d^{3} p}{d \eta^{3}}-i\left[\eta \frac{d p}{d \eta}-p\right]=0 & \text { at } \eta=\eta_{0}, \eta_{h},  \tag{3.136}\\
\frac{d^{2} p}{d \eta^{2}}-M \frac{d p}{d \eta}=0 & \text { at } \eta=\eta_{0} . \\
\frac{d^{2} p}{d \eta^{2}}=0 & \text { at } \eta=\eta_{h} .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where the constants $R, M, \eta_{0}$ and $\eta_{h}$ are defined by,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
R^{2}=\lambda^{-2 / 3} \gamma_{1}^{2}  \tag{3.137}\\
M=h \lambda^{1 / 3} H \\
\eta_{0}=-\lambda^{1 / 3} Z_{c} \\
\eta_{h}=\lambda^{1 / 3}\left(1-Z_{c}\right)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Later it will be necessary to know where $Z_{c}$ lies in the complex
plane. As in Part 2, we use heuristic arguments to assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{c}=a-i b \tag{3.138}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a \gg b$ and $0 \leqslant a, b, \leqslant 1$.

Meksyn (26) has used the Laplace Integral method to resolve equations of the form (3.135) and so we will look for a solution for $P(\eta)$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\eta)=\int_{c} X(t) \exp \left(-t \eta e^{-i \pi / 6}\right) d t \tag{3.139}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is some curve in the complex $t$ plane; this will be a solution of
(3.135) provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{c}\left(t^{4} e^{-i \frac{\pi i}{3}}-i \eta t^{2} e^{-i \pi / 3}+i \eta R^{2}\right) x e^{\phi} d t=0 \tag{3.140}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\phi=-t \eta e^{-i \pi / 6}
$$

We integrate by parts the last two terms in (3.140) to obtain

$$
\left[i e^{i \pi / 6}\left(t^{2} e^{-i \pi / 3}-R^{2}\right) x e^{\phi}\right]_{c}+\int_{c}\left(t^{4} e^{-\frac{2 i \pi}{3}} x-\frac{d}{d t}\left(e^{2 i \pi / 3}\left(t^{2} e^{-i \pi / 3}-R^{2}\right) x\right)\right) e^{\phi} d t=0
$$

Accordingly a solution of the form (3.138) is possible provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left[e^{2 \pi i / 3} x(t)\left(t^{2} e^{-i \pi / 3}-R^{2}\right)\right]-t^{4} e^{-2 i \pi / 3} x(t)=0 \tag{3.141}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(t^{2} e^{-i \pi / 3}-R^{2}\right) x(t) e^{\phi}\right]_{c}=0 \tag{3.142}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can rewrite (3.141) as

$$
\left(t^{2}-R^{2} e^{i \pi / 3}\right) \frac{d x}{d t}+\left(t^{4}+2 t\right) x=0
$$

The variables can now be separated to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x}{x}+d t\left[t^{2}+R^{2} e^{i \pi / 3}+\frac{R^{4} e^{2 i \pi / 3}}{\left(t^{2}-R^{2} e^{i \pi / 3}\right)}+\frac{2 t}{t^{2}-R^{2} e^{i \pi / 3}}\right]=0 \tag{3.143}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be integrated to obtain the solution for $\chi$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(t)=\left(t-R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-i R^{3} / 2}\left(t+R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1+\frac{i R^{3}}{2}} \exp \left[-y / t^{3}-t R^{2} e^{i \pi / 3}\right] \cdot \tag{3.144}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus a solution for $P(\eta)$ exists in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\eta)=\int_{c}\left(t-R e^{\pi \pi / 6}\right) \frac{-1-\frac{i i^{3}}{2}}{2}\left(t+R e^{1 \pi / 6}\right)^{-1+\frac{i^{2}}{2}} \exp \left[-\frac{\pi / 3}{} t^{3}-t R^{2} e^{i \pi / 3}-t \eta e^{-i \pi / 6}\right] d t \tag{3.145}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the curve $c$ is chosen so that, from (3.142)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(t-R e^{i \pi / 6)^{-i e^{3}}}\left(t+R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{\frac{i R^{3}}{3}} \exp \left[-1 / 3^{3}-t R^{2} e^{i \pi / 3}-t \eta e^{-i \pi / 6}\right]\right]_{c}=0 .\right. \tag{3.146}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.146) we can see that $t=\operatorname{Re}^{i \pi / 6}$ and $t=-\operatorname{Re}^{i \pi / 6}$ are branch points. If we write $t=r e^{i \theta}$ then

$$
-t^{3}=-r^{3}(\cos 3 \theta+i \sin 3 \theta)
$$

Accordingly $\exp \left(-\frac{1}{3} t^{3}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ provided that $\cos 3 \theta>0$; the following cases are therefore possible

$$
\left.\begin{array}{clc}
-\frac{\pi}{2}<3 \theta<\frac{\pi}{2} & \text { i.e. } & -\frac{\pi}{6}<\theta<\frac{\pi}{6}, \\
\frac{3 \pi}{2}<3 \theta<\frac{5 \pi}{2} & \text { i.e. } & \frac{\pi}{2}<\theta<\frac{5 \pi}{6}, \\
\frac{7 \pi}{2}<3 \theta<\frac{9 \pi}{2} & \text { i.e. } & \frac{7 \pi}{6}<\theta<\frac{3 \pi}{2},
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and the three choices for $c$ are shown in Fig. 1. As in the case discussed by Meksyn only two of the curves, $\alpha_{1} \beta_{1}, A_{3} B_{3}$ and $A_{4} B_{4}$ are independent. The three paths, when described consecutively in the same direction correspond to a closed path round the origin. Provided the curves lie within the branch points $\pm R e^{i \pi / 6}$, the integrand is regular inside the closed contour and the integral vanishes.

We will choose the curves $A_{3} B_{3}$ and $A_{4} B_{4}$ for the two independent solutions, $P_{3}(\eta)$ and $P_{4}(\eta)$ respectively so that,


Fig. 1: Three possible paths of integration for $P(\eta)$

The two solutions $P_{1}(Z)$ and $P_{2}(Z)$ can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{1}(z)=P_{1}(\eta)=e^{\eta R}, \\
& P_{2}(z)=P_{2}(\eta)=e^{-\eta R} \tag{3.149}
\end{align*}
$$

The general solution for $P(\eta)$ is therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\eta)=A P_{1}(\eta)+B P_{2}(\eta)+C P_{3}(\eta)+D P_{4}(\eta) \tag{3.150}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A, B, C$ and $D$ are arbitrary constants.

## FORMULATION OF THE EQUATION OF CONSISTENCY

We substitute (3.150) into the homogeneous boundary conditions (3.136)
to obtain four equations for the arbitary constants A, B, C and D,


The operators $L$ and $J$ in (3.151) are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L \equiv \frac{d^{3}}{d \eta^{3}}-i \eta \frac{d}{d \eta}, \\
& J \equiv \frac{d^{2}}{d \eta^{2}}-M \frac{d}{d \eta}
\end{aligned}
$$

The four equations of (3.151) lead to the equation of consistency, namely
$\left|\begin{array}{llll}(L+i) P_{1}\left(\eta_{0}\right) & (L+i) P_{2}\left(\eta_{0}\right) & (L+i) P_{3}\left(\eta_{0}\right) & (L+i) P_{4}\left(\eta_{0}\right) \\ (L+i) P_{1}\left(\eta_{h}\right) & (L+i) P_{2}\left(\eta_{h}\right) & (L+i) P_{3}\left(\eta_{h}\right) & (L+i) P_{4}\left(\eta_{h}\right) \\ J P_{1}\left(\eta_{0}\right) & J P_{2}\left(\eta_{0}\right) & J P_{3}\left(\eta_{0}\right) & J P_{4}\left(\eta_{0}\right) \\ P_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta_{h}\right) & P_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta_{h}\right) & P_{3}^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta_{h}\right) & P_{4}^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta_{h}\right)\end{array}\right|=0$.

The terms in the last two columns of the determinant in (3.152) are integrals which are evaluated by the method of stationary points and the details of the method are presented in Appendix E. It is found that $P_{3}\left(\eta_{0}\right)$ and $P_{4}\left(\eta_{h}\right)$ are negligibly small and so their contributions to the boundary conditions at $\eta_{0}$ and $\eta_{h}$ respectively are taken to be zero. When we have substituted into (3.152) the functions $P_{1}(\eta)$ and $P_{2}(\eta)$ from (3.149) and using (E.43), (E.44), (E.70) and (E.71) from Appendix E, the equation of consistency becomes


## APPROXIMATE CRITERIA FOR STABILITY

We will look at the order of magnitude of the various terms in (3.153), From (3.137) and Appendix $E$ we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=O\left(\lambda^{1 / 6}\right), B=O\left(\lambda^{1 / 6}\right), M=O\left(\lambda^{5 / 6}\right), R=O\left(\lambda^{-1 / 3}\right), \eta=O\left(\lambda^{1 / 3}\right) \tag{3.154}
\end{equation*}
$$

After dividing the third row of the determinant in (3.153) by $\lambda^{1 / 2}$ and the fourth row of the determinant in (3.153) by $\lambda^{-\frac{2}{3}}$, we can write (3.153) in the general form,

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\lambda^{-1} A_{1}+a_{1} & \lambda^{-1} B_{1}+b_{1} & 0 & \alpha_{1} \lambda^{-1 / 2}  \tag{3.155}\\
\lambda^{-1} A_{2}+a_{2} & \lambda^{-1} B_{2}+b_{2} & \alpha_{2} \lambda^{-1 / 2} & 0 \\
\lambda^{-7 / 6} A_{3}+a_{3} & \lambda^{-7 /} B_{3}+b_{3} & 0 & \alpha_{3} \lambda^{1 / 2} \\
a_{4} & b_{4} & \alpha_{4} \lambda & 0
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

where $A_{i}, a_{i}, B_{i}, b_{i}$ and $\alpha_{i} \quad(i=1,2,3,4)$ are independent of $\lambda$ and in the last two columns we have retained the largest terms only, namely

$$
\alpha_{1} \lambda^{1 / 2}=\frac{i R^{2} \eta_{0}}{B e^{-1 \pi / 6}}, \quad \alpha_{2} \lambda^{1 / 2}=-\frac{i R^{2} \eta_{n}}{\alpha e^{i n / 6}}, \quad \alpha_{3} \lambda^{1 / 2}=M B e^{-i \pi / 6}, \alpha_{4} \lambda=\alpha^{2} e^{i \pi / 3}
$$

We expand the determinant by the last two columns to give

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \lambda^{-1}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda^{-7 / 6} A_{3}+a_{3} & \lambda^{-7 / 6} B_{3}+b_{3} \\
a_{4} & b_{4}
\end{array}\right|+\alpha_{4} \alpha_{1} \lambda^{1 / 2}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda^{-1} A_{2}+a_{2} & \lambda^{-1} B_{2}+b_{2} \\
\lambda^{-7 / 6} A_{3}+a_{3} & \lambda^{-7 / 6} B_{3}+b_{3}
\end{array}\right| \\
& -\alpha_{3} \alpha_{4}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda^{-1} A_{1}+a_{1} & \lambda^{-1} B_{1}+b_{1} \\
a_{4} & b_{4}
\end{array}\right|+\alpha_{3} \alpha_{4} \lambda^{3 / 2}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda^{-1} A_{1}+a_{1} & \lambda^{-1} B_{1}+b_{1} \\
\lambda^{-1} A_{2}+a_{2} & \lambda^{-1} B_{2}+b_{2}
\end{array}\right|=0 \tag{3.156}
\end{align*}
$$

We will approximate the equation (3.156) by retaining the terms of order $\lambda^{3 / 2}$ and $\lambda^{1 / 2}$, namely,

$$
\lambda^{3 / 2} \alpha_{3} \alpha_{4}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & b_{1}  \tag{3.157}\\
a_{2} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right|+\lambda^{1 / 2} \alpha_{3} \alpha_{4}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
A_{1} & B_{1} \\
A_{2} & B_{2}
\end{array}\right|+\lambda^{1 / 2} \alpha_{1} \alpha_{4}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2} & b_{2} \\
a_{3} & b_{3}
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

which is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.B^{2} e^{-i \pi_{3}} M\left[\left.\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\left(1-\eta_{0} R\right) e^{\eta_{0} R} & \left(1+\eta_{0} R\right) e^{-\eta_{0} R} \\
\left(1-\eta_{h} R\right) e^{\eta_{h} R} & \left(1+\eta_{h} R\right) e^{-\eta_{h} R}
\end{array}\right|+R^{6} \right\rvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
e^{\eta_{0} R} & e^{-\eta_{0} R} \\
e^{\eta_{h} R} & e^{-\eta_{h} R}
\end{array}\right]\right] \\
& +R^{3} \eta_{0} M \lambda^{-\eta_{2}}\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\left(1-\eta_{h} R\right) e^{\eta_{h} R} & \left(1+\eta_{h} R\right) e^{-\eta_{4} R} \\
-e^{\eta_{0} R} & e^{-\eta_{0} R}
\end{array}\right|=0 . \tag{3.158}
\end{align*}
$$

At this stage we will return to the original parameters and re-
introduce $U(z)$, the thermal wind, remembering that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta_{0}=-\lambda^{1 / 3} z_{c}, \eta_{h}=\lambda^{1 / 3}\left(1-z_{c}\right) \\
& U(z)-c=U_{1}\left(z-Z_{c}\right), \\
& U(Z)=U_{0}+U_{1}(z-1 / 2), \quad 0 \leqslant Z \leqslant 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the above formulae, with $c=c o+i c,(3.158)$ becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2}\left(u_{0}+\frac{u_{1}}{2}-c_{0}-c_{1}\right)\left[-\frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{u_{1}^{2}}\left(u_{0}-c_{0}-i c_{1}\right)^{2}+\frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{4}-\gamma_{1} \operatorname{coth} \gamma_{1}+1\right] \\
& -\lambda^{-1} \gamma_{1}^{3} e^{i \pi / 3}\left(u_{0}-c_{0}-i c_{1}+u_{1} / 2\right)\left[\frac{\gamma_{1}}{u_{1}}\left(u_{0}-c_{0}-i c_{1}-\frac{u_{1}}{2}\right)+\operatorname{coth} \gamma_{1}\right] \\
& +\lambda^{-1} \frac{\gamma_{1}^{3}}{2}\left[u_{0}+\frac{u_{1}}{2}-c_{0}-c_{1}\right]=0 . \tag{3.159}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus $c$ can be written as a series of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=A_{0}+i A_{1}+\lambda^{-1}\left(B_{0}+i B_{1}\right)+o\left(\lambda^{-3 / 2}\right) \tag{3.160}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the term of order $\lambda^{-3 / 2}$ in (3.160) will arise by retaining the term

$$
\alpha_{3} \alpha_{2}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & b_{1} \\
a_{4} & b_{4}
\end{array}\right|
$$

from (3.156) in the equation of consistency, (3.157). It is also important to note that the series (3.160) is not the same series expansion found for $c$ in Part 2, which was a series in powers of $\lambda^{-1 / 2}$.

The first term, ( $A_{0}+i A_{1}$ ) in the series (3.160) for $c$, satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{0}-A_{0}-i A_{1}\right)^{2}=\frac{u_{1}^{2}}{\gamma_{1}^{2}}\left[1+\frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{4}-\gamma_{1} \operatorname{coth} \gamma_{1}\right] . \tag{3.161}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is, of course, the Lady result found in Chapter 3.3. If we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=\left[1+\gamma_{1}^{2 / 4}-\gamma_{1} \operatorname{coth} \gamma_{1}\right]_{2}^{1 / 2} \\
& d\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=\left[11+\gamma_{1}^{2} / 4-\gamma_{1} \operatorname{coth} \gamma_{1} \mid\right]_{1}^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

then we find as before,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
D\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=i d, & A_{0}+i A_{1}=u_{0} \pm \frac{i U_{1} d}{\gamma_{1}}, \tag{3.162}
\end{array} \quad 0<\gamma_{1}<2.4,\right\}
$$

To find the second term, ( $B_{0}+i B_{1}$ ) in the series (3.160) we substitute the first two terms of the series in (3.160) into (3.159) and retain terms of order $\lambda^{-1}$ to obtain the following equation

$$
B_{0}+i B_{1}=\frac{\left.\left.e^{i \pi_{3}\left[\gamma_{1}^{3}\right.} \vec{U}_{1}^{( }\left(U_{0}-A_{0}-i A_{1}\right)^{2}-U_{1}^{2} / 4\right)+\gamma_{1}^{2}\left(U_{0}-A_{0}-i A_{1}+U_{U_{2}}\right) \operatorname{coth} \gamma_{1}\right]-\gamma_{1}^{2} / 2\left[U_{0}+U_{2}-A_{0}-A_{1}\right]}{\gamma_{1} / U_{1}^{2}\left[U_{0}-A_{0}-i A_{1}\right]\left[U_{0}+U_{1} / 2-A_{0}-A_{1}\right]} .
$$

We substitute for ( $A_{0}+i A_{1}$ ) from (3.161) and find that

$$
B_{0}+i B_{1}=v_{1} \gamma_{1}^{2} \frac{\left[e^{\left.i \pi / 3\left(1-\gamma_{1 / 2} \operatorname{coth} \gamma_{1} \pm D \operatorname{coth} \gamma_{1}\right)-\gamma_{1 / 4} \pm d_{12}\right]}\right.}{ \pm D\left(\gamma_{1 / 2} \pm d\right)} .
$$

As for ( $A_{0}+i A_{1}$ ) there are two values for ( $B_{0}+i B_{1}$ ) for the ranges $0<\gamma_{1}<2.4$ and $2.4<\gamma_{1}$ and we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{0}+i B_{1}= \frac{4 \gamma_{1}^{2}}{0<\gamma_{1}<2 \cdot 4} \pm d\left(\gamma_{1 / 2} \pm d\right) \\
&\left.\left.+i\left[-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}+\frac{\gamma_{1}}{4} \mp \frac{d}{2}+\frac{\gamma_{1}}{4} \operatorname{coth} \gamma_{1}\right) \pm \frac{d}{2} \operatorname{coth} \gamma_{1} \pm \frac{d \sqrt{3}}{2} \operatorname{coth} \gamma_{1}\right]\right\},  \tag{3.163}\\
& B_{0}+i B_{1}= \frac{u \gamma_{1}^{2}}{ \pm d\left(\gamma_{1} \pm d\right)}\left\{\left[\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\gamma_{1}}{4} \operatorname{coth} \gamma_{1} \mp \frac{d}{2} \operatorname{coth} \gamma_{1}-\frac{\gamma_{1}}{4} \mp \frac{d}{2}\right]\right. \\
&\left.2 \cdot 4<\gamma_{1}\right]  \tag{3.164}\\
&\left.+i\left[\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(1-\frac{\gamma_{1}}{2} \operatorname{coth} \gamma_{1} \pm d \operatorname{coth} \gamma_{1}\right)\right]\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

The formulae for ( $B_{0}+i B_{1}$ ) in (3.164) and(3.165) are complicated and so we will look at the regions $\gamma_{1}$ small, $\gamma_{1} \sim 2.4$ and $\gamma_{1}$ large in more depth.
(1) Small Values of $\gamma_{1}$

For small $\gamma_{1}$ we can approximate $\operatorname{coth} \gamma_{1}$ to $\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}+\frac{\gamma_{1}{ }^{2}}{}+\ldots \ldots ..\right)$ and $D\left(\gamma_{1}\right)$ becomes approximately $\pm i \gamma_{1} / \sqrt{12}$. Thus we find that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
D\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=+\frac{i \gamma_{1}}{\sqrt{12}}: \quad A_{0}+i A_{1} \sim u_{0}+\frac{i u_{1}}{\sqrt{12}}, & B_{0}+i B_{1} \sim u_{1}\left[2-\frac{i}{2}\right]  \tag{3.165}\\
D\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=-\frac{i \gamma_{1}}{\sqrt{12}}: \quad A_{0}+i A_{1} \sim U_{0}-\frac{i v_{1}}{\sqrt{12}}, \quad B_{0}+i B_{1} \sim-5 u_{1}[1-i] .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

(2) $\quad \gamma_{1} \sim 2.4$

To approximate $\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{o}}+\mathrm{i} \mathrm{B}_{1}\right)$ near $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{1}=2.4$, we write $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{1}=2.4+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$, where $\varepsilon$ is assumed to be small. We substitute for $\gamma_{1}$ in (3.159) to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\left[u_{0}+\frac{v_{1}}{2}-c_{0}-c_{1}\right]\left[-\frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{u_{1}^{2}}\left(u_{0}-c_{0}-i c_{1}\right)^{2}+\mu \varepsilon\right] \\
& -\lambda^{-1} \gamma_{1}^{3} e^{-i \pi / 3}\left(u_{0}-c_{0}-i c_{1}+u_{1} / 2\right)\left[\gamma_{1} u_{1}\left(u_{0}-c_{0}-i c_{1}-u_{1} / 2\right)+c o t h \gamma_{1}\right] \\
& +\lambda^{-1} \frac{\gamma_{1}^{3}}{2}\left(u_{0}+u_{1 / 2}-c_{0}-c_{1}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mu=\gamma_{1} \operatorname{coth}^{2} \gamma_{1}-\operatorname{coth} \gamma_{1}-\gamma_{1} / 2$. When $\varepsilon$ is small compared with $\lambda^{-1}$ we have

$$
\left(v_{0}-c_{0}-i c_{1}\right)^{2}=\gamma_{1} \lambda^{-1} v_{1}^{2} \frac{\left[-2 e^{-i \pi / 3}\left(v_{0}-c_{0}-i c_{1}+\frac{u_{2}}{2}\right)\left(\tilde{v}_{1}\left(v_{0}-c_{0}-c_{1}-v_{1 / 2}\right)+c_{0}+h \gamma_{1}\right)+\left(v_{0}+v_{1 / 2}-c_{0}-c_{1}\right)\right]}{\left(v_{0}+v_{1} / 2-c_{0}-c_{1}\right)} .
$$

Thus, by comparing orders of magnitude we have that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{0} \sim v_{0}+\mu_{1} \lambda^{-1} U_{1}, \quad c_{1} \sim \mu_{2} \lambda^{-1} U_{1} \quad\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right. \text { finite constants) } \tag{3.166}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since from (3.160) we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{0}=A_{0}+\lambda^{-1} B_{0}+\cdots, \\
& c_{1}=A_{1}+\lambda^{-1} B_{1}+\cdots,
\end{aligned}
$$

we can see that $B_{0}$ and $B_{1}$ remain finite in this region.
(3) Large Values of $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{1}$

For large $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{1}$ we can approximate $\operatorname{coth} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{1}$ to 1 and $D\left(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{1}\right)$ becomes approximately $\pm(1-\gamma / 2)$ and we find that,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
D\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \sim\left(1-\gamma_{1} / 2\right): & A_{0}+i A_{1} \sim U_{0}-\frac{v_{1}}{2},  \tag{3.167}\\
B_{0}+i B_{1} \sim\left(\lambda_{1} \gamma_{1}+i \lambda_{2} \gamma_{1}^{2}\right), \\
D\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \sim-\left(1-\gamma_{1} / 2\right): & A_{0}+i A_{1} \sim U_{0}+\frac{v_{1}}{2}, \\
B_{0}+i B_{1} \sim\left(-\lambda_{3} \gamma_{1}-i \lambda_{4} \gamma_{1}^{2}\right) .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $\lambda_{i}(i=1-4)$ are positive constants.

DISCUSSION
We shall return to the formulation of the perturbation pressure as defined in (3.30),

$$
P^{\prime}(x, z, t)=P(z) e^{i k(x-c t)}
$$

and notice that when $c$ has a positive imaginary term unstable waves can exist. Unlike the Eady model we now have instability for all values of $\gamma_{1}$.

For the range $0<\gamma_{1}<2.4$ we have shown that the second term in the expansion of $c,\left(B_{o}+i B_{1}\right)$ remains finite and it is therefore the Eady term $\left(A_{0}+i A_{1}\right)$ which dominates. However, for the region $2.4<\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{1}$ where instability does not exist in the Eady model the second order term ( $B_{0}+i B_{1}$ ) is important. As is seen above $B_{1}$ can be positive and is of order $\gamma_{1}^{2}$ and thus for large $\gamma_{1}$ the term $i \lambda^{-1} B_{\mathbf{1}}$. can be large and produce unstable growth. These unstable waves will travel at great speeds as $\lambda^{-1} B_{0}$ will also be large for large $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mathbf{1}}$.

For the term $\lambda^{-1} B_{1}$ to be of the same order of magnitude as the Eady instability term $\left(U_{1} \frac{d}{\gamma_{1}}\right)$, of order $U_{1}$, we find that

$$
\gamma_{1} \sim U_{1} h\left(\rho_{1} / \varepsilon\right)^{1 / 2} .
$$

Using the values in (3.55) and (3.57) we deduce the following :

| $\gamma_{1} \sim 10^{9}$ | (for molecular conductivity), |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\gamma_{1} \sim 10^{7}$ | (for eddy conductivity). |

For these values of $\gamma_{1}, \quad \lambda^{-1} B_{0} \quad$ is small and so the speed of the unstable wave is approximately $A_{0}=U_{0}-U_{1} / 2$.

## CHAPTER 3.6

AN APPROXIMATE SOLUTION OF THE STABILITY PROBLEM FOLLOWING MEKSYN,

## RETAINING THE $\beta$ TERM

EORMULTITON OF THE MODEL
In Chapter 3.2, we formulated a fourth order differential equation for $P(z),(3.35)$. At that stage, we used the approximation, $\beta=0$.

Using the methods introduced in Chapter 3.5 it is now possible to retain the $\beta$ term and find a solution for $P(z)$. The differential equation for $P(z)$, from (3.35) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{k_{1}}{c_{1} p_{1}} \frac{d^{4} p}{d z^{4}}-i k(U(z)-c)\left[\frac{d^{2} p}{d z^{2}}-\frac{g \alpha A k^{2} p}{p_{1} L^{2}}\right]-\frac{i k \beta g \alpha A}{p_{1} l^{2}} P=0 \tag{3.168}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will denote

$$
\varepsilon=\frac{k_{1}}{c_{1} k}, \quad \gamma^{2}=\frac{g \alpha A k^{2}}{\rho_{1} l^{2}}, m=\frac{\beta g \alpha A}{\rho_{1} l^{2}},
$$

and thus (3.168) simplifies to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\epsilon}{\rho_{1}} \frac{d^{4} p}{d z^{4}}-i(U(z)-c)\left[\frac{d^{2} p}{d z^{2}}-\gamma^{2} P\right]-i m P=0 . \tag{3.169}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now use the change of variable as in Chapter 3.5, namely

$$
U(z)-c=U_{1}\left(z-z_{c}\right), \quad 0 \leqslant z \leqslant 1 ;
$$

the equation $(3.169)$ reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{4} p}{d z^{4}}-i \lambda\left(z-z_{c}\right)\left[\frac{d^{2} p}{d z^{2}}-\gamma_{1}^{2} p\right]-i \lambda M^{\prime} P=0 \tag{3.170}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\lambda=U_{1} h^{2} \rho_{1} / \varepsilon, \quad \gamma_{1}=\gamma h \text { and } M^{\prime}=h^{2} m / U_{1}
$$

Finally we introduce the variable $\eta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\lambda^{1 / 3}\left(z-Z_{c}\right) \tag{3.171}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the resulting equation for $P$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{4} p}{d \eta^{4}}-i \eta\left[\frac{d^{2} p}{d \eta^{2}}-R^{2} P\right]-i M_{1} P=0 \tag{3.172}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
R^{2}=\lambda^{-2 / 3} \gamma_{1}^{2} \text { and } M_{1}=\lambda^{-1 / 3} M^{\prime}
$$

The boundary equations are not changed by retaining the $\beta$ term, from (3.136), the boundary conditions are

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{d^{3} p}{d \eta^{3}}-i\left[\eta \frac{d p}{d \eta}-p\right]=0 & \text { at } \eta=\eta_{0}, \eta_{h},  \tag{3.173}\\
\frac{d^{2} p}{d \eta^{2}}-M \frac{d p}{d \eta}=0 & \text { at } \eta=\eta_{0}, \\
\frac{d^{2} p}{d \eta^{2}}=0 & \text { at } \eta=\eta_{h}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

As in Chapter 3.5 we use heuristic arguments to assume that

$$
z_{c}=a-i b
$$

where

$$
0 \leqslant a, b \leqslant 1, \quad a \gg b
$$

THE SOLUTION FOLLOWING MEKSYN
Following the method of Meksyn (26) described in Chapter 3.5 we will look for a solution for $P(\eta)$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\eta)=\int_{c} x(t) \exp \left[-t \eta e^{-i \pi / 6}\right] d t . \tag{3.174}
\end{equation*}
$$

We substitute $P(\eta)$ from (3.174) into (3.172) and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{c}\left(t^{4} e^{-2 \pi i_{3}}-i \eta t^{2} e^{-i \pi / 3}+i \eta R^{2}-i M_{1}\right) x e^{\phi} d t=0 \tag{3.175}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\phi(\eta)=-t \eta e^{-i \pi / 6}
$$

We integrate by parts the middle two terms in (3.175) and it then becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{c}\left(t^{4} e^{-\frac{2 \pi i}{3}} x-i M_{1} x-\frac{d}{d t}\left(e^{\frac{i \pi}{3}}\left(t^{2} e^{-i \pi / 3}-R^{2}\right) x\right)\right) e^{\phi} d t \\
& +\left[i e^{i \pi / 6}\left(t^{2} e^{-i \pi / 3}-R^{2}\right) x e^{\phi}\right]_{c}=0 \tag{3.176}
\end{align*}
$$

A solution of the form (3.174) does therefore exist provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left[e^{2 \pi i / 3}\left(t^{2} e^{i \pi / 3}-R^{2}\right) x\right]+\left[-t^{4} e^{-2 \pi i / 3}+i M_{1}\right] x=0 \tag{3.177}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(t^{2} e^{-i \pi / 3}-R^{2}\right) x e^{\phi}\right]_{c}=0 . \tag{3.178}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expanding (3.177) results in the following differential equation for $\mathcal{X}(t)$ :

$$
\left(t^{2}-R^{2} e^{i \pi / 3}\right) \frac{d x}{d t}+\left(t^{4}+2 t-i M_{1} e^{2 \pi i / 3}\right) \chi=0
$$

We can separate the variables in the above equation and the solution for
$\chi(t)$ takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\left(t-R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-M_{2}}\left(t+R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1+M_{2}} \exp \left[-1 / 3 t^{3}-t R^{2} e^{i \pi / 3}\right] \tag{3.179}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{2}=\frac{M_{1}}{2 R}+\frac{i R^{3}}{2} \tag{3.180}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $M_{2}$ is a complex quantity with $\operatorname{Re}\left\{M_{2}\right\}=\frac{M^{\prime}}{2} \gamma_{1}>0$ and $\operatorname{Re}\left\{M_{2}\right\} \gg \operatorname{Im}\left\{M_{2}\right\}$.

The solution for $P(\eta)$ is therefore

$$
P(\eta)=\int_{c}\left(t-R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-M_{2}}\left(t+R e^{i \pi / 6)^{-1+M_{2}}} \exp \left[-1 / 3 t^{3}-t R^{2} e^{i \pi / 3}-t \eta e^{-i \pi / 6}\right] d t(3.181)\right.
$$

where $c$ must be chosen so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left(t-R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-\mu_{2}}\left(t+R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{+\mu_{2}} \exp \left[-1 / 3 t^{3}-t R^{2} e^{i \pi / 3}-t \eta e^{-i \pi / 6}\right]\right]_{c}=0} \\
& \text { If we write } t=r e^{1 \theta} \text { then }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
-t^{3}=-r^{3}(\cos 3 \theta+i \sin 3 \theta)
$$

and $\exp \left\{-1 / 3 t^{3}\right\} \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ provided that $\cos 3 \theta>0$. As in Chapter 3.5, (3.148) we have three possible cases for $\theta$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{\pi}{6}<\theta<\pi / 6  \tag{3.182}\\
& \frac{\pi}{2}<\theta<5 \pi / 6 \\
& 7 \pi / 6
\end{align*},
$$

As in Chapter 3.5, three possible contours for c are shown in Fig. 1, namely $\alpha_{1} \beta_{1}, A_{3} B_{3}$ and $A_{4} B_{4}$. It has been shown that only two of these are independent and again we will choose $A_{3} B_{3}$ and $A_{4} B_{4}$ for two independent solutions $P_{3}(\eta)$ and $P_{4}(\eta)$ so that

There are however a group of three more contours, $\alpha_{2} \beta_{2}, A_{1} B_{1}$ and $A_{2} B_{2}$ as shown in Fig. 2 which satisfy one of the conditions in (3.182). The three curves circle the singularity $-\mathrm{Re}^{i \pi / 6}$ and tend to infinity in the

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{s}(\eta)=\int\left(t-R e^{i \pi_{6}}\right)^{-1-\mu_{2}}\left(t+R e^{i \pi_{6}}\right)^{-1+\mu_{2}} \exp \left[-1 / 3 t^{3}-t R^{2} e^{i \pi_{3}}-t \eta e^{-i \pi_{6}}\right] d t, \\
& \mathrm{As}_{s} \mathrm{~B}_{5} \\
& s=3,4 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

acceptable shaded areas in which one of the conditions of (3.182) hold. The singularity at $R e^{i \pi / 6}$ is to be avoided since $P(\eta) \rightarrow \infty$ at this point. Again, the three curves are not independent. Since the contribution to the integral of the singularity $-R e^{i \pi / 6}$ is zero ( $\left.\operatorname{Re}\left\{M_{2}\right\}>0\right)$ the integral inside the closed contour of the three paths. $\alpha_{2} \beta_{2}, A_{1} B_{1}$ and $A_{2} B_{2}$ is zero. We will choose $A_{1} B_{1}$ and $A_{2} B_{2}$ for two independent solutions $P_{1}(\eta)$ and $P_{2}(\eta)$ so that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
P_{s}(\eta)=\int_{A_{5} B_{5}}\left(t-R e^{1 \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-M_{2}}\left(t-R e^{1 \pi / 6}\right)^{-1+M_{2}} \exp \left[-1 / 3 t^{3}-t R^{2} e^{1 \pi / 3-t \eta p} e^{-i \pi / 6}\right] d t,  \tag{3.183}\\
S=1,2,3,4 .
\end{array}
$$

The four solutions are independent since $A_{1} B_{1}$ and $A_{2} B_{2}$ cannot be deformed into $A_{3} B_{3}$ and $A_{4} B_{4}$ without leaving the shaded areas. The general solution for $P(\eta)$ is therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\eta)=\alpha P_{1}(\eta)+\beta P_{2}(\eta)+\gamma P_{3}(\eta)+\delta P_{4}(\eta) . \tag{3.184}
\end{equation*}
$$

## FORMULATION OF THE EQUATION OF CONSISTENCY

The equation of consistency arising from the four homogeneous boundary conditions, (3.173) leads to an equation of the form

$$
\left|\begin{array}{llll}
(L+i) P_{1}\left(\eta_{0}\right) & (L+i) P_{2}\left(\eta_{0}\right) & (L+i) P_{3}\left(\eta_{0}\right) & (L+i) P_{4}\left(\eta_{0}\right)  \tag{3.185}\\
(L+i) P_{1}\left(\eta_{h}\right) & (L+i) P_{2}\left(\eta_{h}\right) & (L+i) P_{3}\left(\eta_{h}\right) & (L+i) P_{4}\left(\eta_{h}\right) \\
J P_{1}\left(\eta_{0}\right) & J P_{2}\left(\eta_{0}\right) & J P_{3}\left(\eta_{0}\right) & J P_{4}\left(\eta_{0}\right) \\
P_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta_{h}\right) & P_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta_{h}\right) & P_{3}^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta_{h}\right) & P_{4}^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta_{h}\right)
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

where the operators $L$ and $J$ are defined as

$$
L \equiv \frac{d^{3}}{d \eta^{3}}-i \eta \frac{d}{d \eta}, \quad J \equiv \frac{d^{2}}{d \eta^{2}}-M \frac{d}{d \eta} .
$$

The terms in (3.185) are calculated in Appendix F; the determinant in (3.185) is simplified by approximations made in the Appendix and (3.185)


Fig. 1: Three possible paths of integration for $P(\eta)$


Fig. 2: Three more possible paths of integration

$$
\left|\begin{array}{llll}
c_{1} \alpha_{1} & D_{2}^{\prime} c & 0 & \alpha_{1} \\
D_{1}^{\prime} A & c_{2} \beta_{1} & \beta_{1} & 0 \\
c_{1} \alpha_{2} & D_{2}^{\prime} D & 0 & \alpha_{2} \\
D_{1}^{\prime} B & c_{2} \beta_{2}^{\prime} & \beta_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

which when expanded, reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{A C}{\alpha_{1} \beta_{1}}-\frac{C B}{\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}}-\frac{A D}{\beta_{1} \alpha_{2}}+\frac{D B}{\alpha_{2} \beta_{2}}=0 \tag{3.186}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}=i\left[\frac{\eta_{0} R^{2}-M_{1}}{B e^{-i / T_{4}}-R}+\frac{R^{2}}{\left.\left(B e^{-i M_{2}}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}}\right] \text {, } \\
& \alpha_{2}=\left(B e^{-i \pi / 6}-R\right)\left(B e^{-i \pi / 6}-R+M\right) \text {, } \\
& \beta_{1}=i\left[\frac{-R^{2} \eta_{h}+M_{1}}{\alpha e^{i \pi / 6}+R}+\frac{R^{2}}{\left(\alpha e^{i \pi / 6}+R\right)^{2}}\right], \\
& \beta_{2}=\left(\alpha e^{i \pi / 6}+R\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The function $W_{k \prime m}(\tau)$ in the expression, (3.187) is the Whitaker function (32) defined by

$$
W_{k, m}(\tau)=\frac{e^{-1 / 2 \tau} \tau^{k}}{\Gamma\left(\xi_{2}-k+m\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-k-1 / 2+m}\left(1+\frac{t}{\tau}\right)^{k-1 / 2+m} e^{-t} d t .
$$

find that

$$
\alpha_{1}=O\left(\lambda^{-1 / 2}\right), \alpha_{2}=O(\lambda), \beta_{1}=O\left(\lambda^{-1 / 2}\right), \beta_{2}=O\left(\lambda^{1 / 3}\right),
$$

and if we assume from the recurrence regions (33) that the Whittaker functions in (3.187) are all of the same order then

$$
B / A=O\left(\lambda^{-2 / 3}\right), C / A=0\left(\lambda^{0}\right), D / A=0\left(\lambda^{1 / 2}\right) .
$$

We can therefore approximate (3.186) to
$A C=0$
where the variables $\tau_{h}, \tau_{0}$ and $Z_{c}$ are defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{0}=2 \gamma_{1} z_{c}, \quad \tau_{h}=-2 \gamma_{1}\left(1-z_{c}\right) \\
& z_{c}=a-i b, \quad 0<a, b<1, a \gg b .
\end{aligned}
$$

The nondimensional quantity $\gamma_{1}$ is of finite magnitude and in the models of Eady and of Chapter 3.5 the important range for $\gamma_{1}$ is $0<\gamma_{1}<5$. Within this range of $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mathbf{1}}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}$ and $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\boldsymbol{h}}$ are of finite magnitude and thus the use of asymptotic expansions for the Whittaker function is, we note, of limited value. Since these functions are not tabulated the solution of (3.189) would best be found using a computer. This numerical problem is suggested as one possible extension to the author's work.

SUMMARY

The work in Part 3 is based on the one layer model of Eady. In his model, Eady superposed a wave perturbation on a sheared flow and solved the linearised perturbation equations, subject to homogeneous boundary conditions, to obtain criteria for stability. In this present work, by using difference methods the author was able to find identical criteria for stability without having to solve the perturbation equations.

It was of primary interest in Part 3 to extend the work of Eady to a two layer model and to include thermal conductivity in the heat transfer process. Consequently, a second layer was introduced, the thermal conductivity term was retained in the heat transfer equation and the boundary conditions of continuity of heat transfer and temperature between the two layers were added to complete the model.

Both the method of direct solution of the perturbation equations and of difference techniques were used to find the criteria for stability in this extended model. Unfortunately, unlike the Eady model, the two methods did not yield the same results: as expected, the method of direct solution of the perturbation equations produced the stability criteria of Eady with first order correction terms. By contrast, this was not the case for the criteria found by using difference methods. Although more weight must be placed on the results found by solving the perturbation equations directly, the difference technique must not be disregarded. This method is extremely valuable in finding stability criteria of models where the complexity of the perturbation equations prohibits a direct solution.

The model was developed further by including a variable coriolis parameter. The perturbation equations were solved, subject to the same

```
homogeneous boundary conditions of the authors model and a stability
equation was found. Clearly, the application of the difference method
to this model would yield interesting results.
    From the work of Namias* it was assumed that two separate stable
regimes might exists. It is of interest to note that the stability
criteria of a two layer model of Davies*, in which heat transfer was not
considered, reproduced two regions of stability. However, neither the
Eady results nor those of the author's model retain this pattern. Further
study in this field is therefore necessary to fully understand stability
of one and two layer models in which heat transfer is included.
```

t It is possible that the Meksyn method and the difference method are both valid and are reflections of the two different unstable regimes in the work of Davis. Further work using a slightly modified approach to the difference method might reconcile the apparent disagreement.

## APPENDIX B

## A COMPARISON OF LIQUID AND FLUID MODELS ON A ROTATING SPHERE

In the liquid model considered in Chapter 3.2 the equations of motion were approximated to (3.2), (3.3) and (3.5). For completeness we will retain $w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}$ and $w \frac{\partial v}{\partial z}$ in (3.2) and (3.3) and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}-l v=-\frac{1}{\rho_{1}} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}, \tag{B,1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}+u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}+v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}+w \frac{\partial v}{\partial z}+u=-\frac{1}{\rho_{1}} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z}-g . \tag{B.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation of continuity is approximated to (3.6), namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial z}=0 . \tag{B.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the heat equation of (3.7) only the vertical component of thermal conductivity is retained, resulting in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t}+u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}+v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y}+w \frac{\partial T}{\partial z}=\frac{k_{1}}{c_{1} \rho_{1}} \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial z^{2}} . \tag{B.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To compare the above system of equations with that of a fluid we will introduce a new co-ordinate system and change from the $(x, y, z, t)$ indepen--dent variables to $(x, y, p, t)$ where $p$ is the pressure function. We take the isobaric surface (39), at which the pressure is $p$, to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=H(x, y, p(x, y z, t), t) . \tag{B.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is assumed that $p$ always satisfies the hydrostatic equation, (B.3) and thus if we differentiate (B.6) partially with respect to $x, y, z$ and $t$ respectively we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=H_{x}+H_{P} P_{x}, \tag{B.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=H_{y}+H_{p} P_{y},  \tag{By}\\
& 1=H_{p} P_{z},  \tag{B.9}\\
& 0=H_{t}+H_{p} P_{t}, \tag{B.10}
\end{align*}
$$

When we combine (B.9) and (B.3) we have a new form for the hydrostatic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
g \frac{\partial H}{\partial P}=-\frac{1}{\rho}, \tag{B.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which when substituted into (B.7) and (B.8) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& g H_{x}=\frac{1}{\rho} P_{x},  \tag{B.12}\\
& g H_{y}=\frac{1}{\rho} P_{y} . \tag{B.13}
\end{align*}
$$

The quantity $g H$ is often refered to as the geopotential.

$$
\text { We will take the complete transformation from ( } x, y, z, t \text { ) to }
$$ ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{t}$ ) as follows:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
x=X,  \tag{B.14}\\
y=Y, \\
z=H(X, Y, p, \tau), \\
t=\tau .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Thus we obtain

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+H_{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial z},  \tag{B.15}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial y}=\frac{\partial}{\partial y}+H_{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial p}= \\
\frac{H_{p}}{} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+H_{\tau} \frac{\partial}{\partial z},
\end{array}\right\}
$$

with the inverse formulae


If we take

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\frac{1}{H_{p}}\left[w-H_{\tau}-u H_{x}-v H_{y}\right] \tag{B.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can write the first two equations of motion in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau}+u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+W \frac{\partial u}{\partial p}-l v=-g \frac{\partial H}{\partial x},  \tag{B.18}\\
& \frac{\partial v}{\partial \tau}+u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}+v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}+W \frac{\partial v}{\partial p}+l u=-g \frac{\partial H}{\partial y} . \tag{B.19}
\end{align*}
$$

It can be seen that $W$ is taking the place of the vertical velocity in the new co-ordinate system and it can easily be shown from (B.16) and (B.17) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
W \equiv \frac{d p}{d t} \tag{B.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. that $W$ is the rate of change of pressure following the motion in the ( $x, y, z, t$ ) coordinate system. It is also interesting to note that can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=H_{\tau}+u H_{x}+v H_{y}+W H_{p} \tag{B.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $w$ is the rate of change of $H(x, y, p, \tau)$ following the motion. We transform the equation of continuity for a fluid,

$$
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(u p)+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}(v \rho)+\frac{\partial}{\partial z}(\rho w)=0,
$$

using the same process, to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial W}{\partial p}=0, \tag{B.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which now has the same structure as (B.4).

Finally we consider the heat equation in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \frac{d Q}{d t}=k_{1} \nabla^{2} T \tag{B.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q$ is the heat content per unit mass and can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta Q=c_{1} \delta T-\frac{1}{\rho} \delta p=c_{1} T \frac{\delta \theta}{\theta} \tag{B.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta$ is the potential temperature. For a liquid therefore $d Q$ can be approximated to $c_{1} \delta T$. For a fluid, retaining only the vertical thermal conductivity term in (B.23) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\rho T}{\theta} c_{1} \frac{d \theta}{d t}=k_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial z^{2}} . \tag{B.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the new system of co-ordinates (B.25) is transformed to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \tau}+u \frac{\partial \theta}{d x}+v \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y}+W \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial p}=\frac{k_{1} R}{c_{1} p_{0}} \frac{\theta^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma}}}{T^{\frac{1}{2}} H_{p}^{2}}\left[\frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial p^{2}}-\frac{H_{p p}}{H_{p}} \frac{\partial T}{\partial p}\right] . \tag{B.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

If thermal conductivity is ignored then the heat equation is preserved with $T$ being replaced by $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. It is clear that the use of ( $x, y, p, t$ ) as a new co-ordinate system simplifies the structure of the equations of motion, continuity and heat transfer for a fluid. Comparing the equations for a liquid and a fluid we have:

| LIQUID | FLUID |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}-l v=-\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$ | $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau}+u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+w \frac{\partial u}{\partial p}-l v=-g \frac{\partial H}{\partial x}$ |
| $\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}+\frac{u \partial v}{\partial x}+v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}+w \frac{\partial y}{\partial z}+l u=-\frac{1}{p_{1}} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}$ | $\frac{\partial v}{\partial \tau}+u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \partial y+W \frac{\partial v}{\partial p}+l u=-g \frac{\partial H}{\partial y}$ |
| $0=-\frac{d p}{\partial z}-g^{\alpha} T$ | $0=g P^{\frac{1}{r}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial P}+\frac{R \theta}{P_{0}^{1-\frac{1}{r}}}$ |
| $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial z}=0$ | $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial W}{\partial P}=0$ |
| $\frac{\partial T}{\partial t}+u \frac{u}{\partial x}+\frac{v \partial T}{\partial y}+w \frac{\partial T}{\partial z}=\frac{k_{1}}{c_{1} p_{1}} \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial z^{2}}$ | $\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \tau}+u \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}+\frac{v \partial \theta}{\partial Y}+W \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \rho}=\frac{k_{1} R}{c_{1} P_{0}} \frac{\theta^{\frac{1}{1} \gamma}}{T_{I=-1}^{x}} H_{p}^{2}\left[\frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial p^{2}}-\frac{H_{p p}}{H_{p}} \frac{\partial T}{\partial p}\right]$ |

where for the liquid we have taken $\rho=\alpha \mathbb{T}$.

The boundary conditions for the model must also be considered when changing from a liquid into a fluid. The conditions that $w=0$ at $z=0$ and $z=h$ are transformed, using (B.14) and B.21) to

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\tau}+u H_{x}+v H_{y}+W H_{p}=0 \text { at } H=0, h . \tag{B.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a far more complicated expression for the two boundary conditions. The formulation of the remaining boundary conditions, (3.44) - (3.47) remains the same so that comparing the conditions, with $T^{*}$ as the temperature of the ocean we have:

| LIQUID | FLUID |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & W=0 \text { at } z=0, h \\ & T=T^{*} \text { at } z=0 \\ & k_{1} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z}=k_{2} \frac{\partial T^{*}}{\partial z} \text { at } z=0 \\ & k_{2} \frac{\partial T^{*}}{\partial z}=0 \text { at } z=-h_{2} \\ & k_{1} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z}=0 \text { at } z=h \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & H_{\tau}+U H_{x}+v H_{y}+W H_{p}=0 \text { at } H=0, h \\ & T=T^{*} \text { at } H=0 \\ & k_{1} \frac{\partial T}{\partial p}=k_{2} \frac{\partial T^{*}}{\partial p} \text { at } H=0 \\ & \frac{k_{2}}{H_{p} \frac{\partial T^{*}}{\partial p}=0 \text { at } H=-h_{2}} \\ & \frac{k_{1}}{H_{p}} \frac{\partial T}{\partial p}=0 \text { at } H=h \end{aligned}$ |

The corresponding equations for the fluid are now very similar to those of the liquid with the main differences in the hydrostatic equation, the thermal conductivity term in the heat equation and the boundary con--dition, $W=0$ at $z=0, h$. Thus we can infer that some of the basic results for a liquid will also be found, possibly in a more complicated form, in a fluid model.

## APPENDIX C

APPROXIMATE SOLUTIONS FOR C FOR THE CASES $n=4$ AND $n=5$.

In the Chapter 3.4 we present the use of the difference method to formulate an equation of consistency, (3.97). For small $n$, the determinant in (3.97) can be expanded and solutions for $c$ are found. We present the cases of $n=4$ and $n=5$ in detail below.
(1) The Case $n=4$

The equation of consistency, (3.97) for $n=4$ is
$\left|A_{4}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}1+h H / 4 & -2-h H / 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -E+i m\left(u_{0}-c-u_{1} / 4\right) & 3 E+i m\left(-u_{0}+c+u_{/ 2}\right) & -3 E & E & 0 \\ E & -4 E-i m\left(u_{0}-c\right) & 6 E+i m \delta\left(u_{0}-c\right) & -4 E-i m\left(u_{0} c\right) & E \\ 0 & -E & -3 E & -3 E+i m\left(u_{0}-c+v_{V_{2}}\right) E+i m\left(-u_{0}+c-u_{1} 4\right) \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1\end{array}\right|=0$
where

$$
m=h^{2} / 16, E=\varepsilon / \rho_{1}, \delta=2+\gamma^{2} h^{2} / 16=2+\beta .
$$

The constant $\beta$ is nondimensional. The determinant is expanded and written in powers of $E$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|A_{4}\right|= & E^{2} \operatorname{im}\left[-(2+\beta h H / 4)\left(v_{0}-c\right)+2 W\right] \\
& +E m^{2}\left[(2 \beta+\beta h H / 2)\left(U_{0}-c\right)^{2}+(H h / 2+\beta h H / 4)\left(U_{0}-c\right) W-\frac{h H W^{2}}{2}\right] \\
& +i m^{3}\left[\beta\left(v_{0}-c\right)^{3}+(h H / 4+\beta h H / 4)\left(u_{0}-c\right)^{2} W+h H / 4\left(U_{0}-c\right) W^{2}\right] \tag{C.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $W=U_{1} / 4$. We substitute for $H$ from (3.60),

$$
H=\varepsilon^{-1 / 2} c^{1 / 2} \lambda(1-i),
$$

to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|A_{4}\right| & =\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho_{0}}\right)^{2} i m\left[2 W-2\left(u_{0}-c\right)-\varepsilon^{-1 / 2} c^{1 / 2} \frac{\lambda \beta h}{4}(1-i)\left(u_{0}-c\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho_{0}} m^{2}\left[2 \beta\left(u_{0}-c\right)^{2}+\varepsilon^{-1 / c^{1 / 2}} \lambda(1-i)\left(\frac{\beta h}{2}\left(u_{0}-c\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{h}{2}+\frac{\beta h}{4}\right)\left(u_{0}-c\right) W-\frac{h}{2} W^{2}\right)\right] \\
& +i m^{3}\left[\beta\left(u_{0}-c\right)^{3}+\varepsilon^{-1 / 2} c^{1 / 2} \lambda(1-i)\left(\left(\frac{h}{4}+\frac{\beta h}{4}\right)\left(u_{0}-c\right)^{2} w-\frac{h}{4}\left(u_{0}-c\right) W^{2}\right)\right]=0 . \tag{c.3}
\end{align*}
$$

We assume thatc can be expanded in powers of $\varepsilon$ in the following two ways

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=c_{0}+\varepsilon^{1 / 2} c_{1}+\varepsilon c_{2}+\cdots \cdot \tag{C.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$c=\frac{a_{0}}{\varepsilon_{0}}+\frac{a_{1}^{1 / 2}}{\varepsilon_{12}}+a_{2}+\cdots \cdot$
where the coefficientscon $\boldsymbol{c}_{0}, \boldsymbol{c}_{\mathbf{i}}, \ldots .$. and $\boldsymbol{a}_{0}, \boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbf{1}} \ldots . .$. are independent of $\varepsilon$. By comparing coefficients of $\varepsilon^{-1 / 2}$ in (C.3) we find three possible values for $c_{0}$ in (C.4), namely

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
c_{0}^{1}=0  \tag{c.6}\\
c_{0}^{2}=u_{0}, \\
c_{0}^{3}=v_{0}-w /(1+\beta)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The corresponding values of $c_{1}$ to the values of $c_{0}$ in (C.6) are obtained by comparing coefficients of $\varepsilon$ in (C.3) and are found to be

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
c_{1}^{\prime}=0,  \tag{C.7}\\
c_{1}^{2}=0, \\
c_{1}^{3}=\frac{(1-i) \beta W}{h \lambda(1+\beta)^{3}\left(U_{0}-W /(1+\beta)\right)^{1 / 2}}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The second series, (C.5) for c produces one more root for c. By comparing the powers of $\varepsilon^{-3}$ in (C.3) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}=\frac{\left.-i \lambda^{2} w^{2} l 1+\beta\right)^{2} h^{2}}{8 \beta^{2}} \tag{C.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second term in the expansion, $\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbf{1}}$, found by comparing coefficients of $\varepsilon^{-5 / 2}$, is zero. The four values for $c$ are therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{1}=o(\varepsilon)  \tag{C.9}\\
& c_{2}=U_{0}+o(\varepsilon)  \tag{C.10}\\
& c_{3}=U_{0}-\frac{W}{1+\beta}+\varepsilon^{1 / 2} \frac{(1-i) \beta W}{h \lambda(1+\beta)^{3}\left(U_{0}-\frac{W}{1+\beta}\right)^{1 / 2}}+o(\varepsilon)  \tag{C.11}\\
& c_{4}=-\varepsilon^{-1} \frac{i}{2}\left[\frac{\lambda h W(1+\beta)}{2 \beta}\right]^{2}+o\left(\varepsilon^{0}\right) \tag{C.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Higher order terms for the expansion of $c$ can be found in the same way.
(2) The Case $\mathrm{n}=5$

The equation of consistency, (3.97) for $n=5$ reduces to
$\left|A_{5}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cccccc}1+h H / 5 & -2-h H / 5 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -E+i m(V-3 W) & 3 E+i m(-V+5 w) & -3 E & E & 0 & 0 \\ E & -4 E+i m(-V+W) & 6 E+i m \delta(v-w) & -4 E+i m(-V+w) & E & 0 \\ 0 & E & -4 E+i m(v+w) & 6 E+i m \delta(v+w) & -4 E+i m(v+w) & E \\ 0 & 0 & -E & 3 E & -3 E+i m(v+5 w) & E+i m(-V-3 w) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1\end{array}\right|=0$
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=h^{2} / 25, E=\epsilon / \rho_{1}, \delta=2+\frac{\delta^{2} h^{2}}{25}=2+\beta, V=U_{0}-c, W=U_{1} / 10 . \tag{c.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before we expand the determinant in powers of $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$, namely

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|A_{5}\right|=\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{p_{1}}\right)^{3} \operatorname{im}\left[(2+\beta) w+\varepsilon^{-1 / 2} c^{1 / 2} X(1-i)\left[-2 \beta\left(u_{-} c\right)+(-8+\beta) w\right]\right] \\
& +\left(\frac{\xi}{P_{1}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{im}^{2}\left[\left(-1+6 \beta+\beta^{2}\right)\left(u_{0}-c\right)^{2}-(28+14 \beta)\left(u_{0}-c\right) W+\left(6 \beta-\beta^{2}\right) W^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\varepsilon^{-1 / 2} c^{1 / 2} \alpha(1-i)\left[\left(-2+9 \beta+2 \beta^{2}\right)\left(U_{\sigma}-c\right)^{2}+(94+54 \beta)\left(v_{0}-c\right) W+\left(-20+13 \beta-24 \beta^{2}\right) W^{2}\right]\right] \\
& +\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho_{1}} i^{3}\left[\left(-1+8 \beta+2 \beta^{2}\right)\left(v_{0}-c\right)^{3}-(1+\beta)\left(v_{0}-c\right)^{2} W+\left(6+6 \beta-2 \beta^{2}\right)\left(v_{0}-c\right) W^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+z^{-4} c^{1 / 2} \alpha(1-i)\left[\left(3 \beta+2 \beta^{2}\right)\left(u_{0}-c\right)^{3}+\left(6+2 y \beta+4 \beta^{2}\right)\left(0_{0}-c\right)^{2} W+\left(-24+13 \beta-2 \beta^{2}\right)\left(u_{0}-c\right) W^{2}+\left(1 \beta \beta-4 \beta^{2}\right) w^{4}\right)\right] \\
& +m^{+}\left[\left(-2 \beta-\beta^{2}\right)\left(U_{0}-c\right)^{4}+2 \beta^{2}\left(U_{0}-c\right)^{2} W^{2}+\left(2 \beta-\beta^{2}\right) W^{4}\right. \\
& +\varepsilon^{-1 / 2} c^{1 / 2} \alpha(1-i)\left[\left(-2-6 \beta-\beta^{2}\right)\left(u_{0}-c\right)^{3} W+\left(6-2 \beta-2 \beta^{2}\right)\left(u_{0}-c\right)^{2} W^{2}+\left(2+6 \beta+2 \beta^{2}\right)\left(u_{0}-c\right) W^{3}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\left(-6+2 \beta+2 \beta^{2}\right) \omega^{+}\right]\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have substituted for $H$ from (3.60) and $\alpha$ is defined as

$$
\alpha=h \lambda / 5 .
$$

As in the previous section we find $c$ as series expansions in $\varepsilon$ and obtain the five roots;

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}=o(\varepsilon), \tag{C.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{2}=u_{0}+w+o(\varepsilon),  \tag{C.16}\\
& c_{3}=u_{0}-w+o(\varepsilon),  \tag{C.17}\\
& c_{4}=u_{0}-\frac{\left(6-2 \beta-2 \beta^{2}\right) W}{\left(2+6 \beta+2 \beta^{2}\right)}+c_{1}^{4} \varepsilon^{1 / 2}+o(\varepsilon),  \tag{C.18}\\
& c_{5}=-2 i\left[\frac{\alpha W\left(2+6 \beta+2 \beta^{2}\right)}{\left(2 \beta+\beta^{2}\right)}\right]^{2} \varepsilon^{-1}+o\left(\varepsilon^{0}\right), \tag{c.19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{1}^{\boldsymbol{4}}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}^{4}=\frac{(1+i)\left[\left(-2 \beta-\beta^{2}\right)\left(U_{0}-c_{0}^{4}\right)^{4}+2 \beta^{2}\left(U_{0}-c_{0}^{4}\right)^{2} W^{2}+\left(2 \beta-\beta^{2}\right) W^{4}\right]}{2\left(c_{0}^{4}\right)^{1 / 2} \alpha W\left(3\left(-2-6 \beta-2 \beta^{2}\right)\left(U_{0}^{-} C_{0}^{4}\right)^{2}+2\left(6-2 \beta-2 \beta^{2}\right)\left(U_{0}^{-}-c_{0}^{4}\right) W+\left(2+6 \beta+2 \beta^{2}\right) W^{2}\right)} . \tag{C.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

## APPENDIX D

## COMPUTATIONAL METHODS TO SOLVE $\left|A_{n}(C)\right|=0$

The problem to be solved is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A_{n}(c)\right|=0 . \tag{D.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|A_{n}(C)\right|$ is an $(n+1) x(n+1)$ determinant with complex coefficients, dependent on a variable $C$ which has to be determined. The problem therefore breaks down into two distinct parts, the first being to multiply out the determinant and the second to determine values of $c$ such that (D.1) holds. The first part is resolved by using Crout's method and the second by using Muller's method and discussions of both are presented below.

## CROUT'S METHOD

Before describing Crout's method, let us consider the simplest method of evaluating determinants which is attributed to Gauss(34). We shall consider the example

$$
\left|\begin{array}{lll}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13}  \tag{D.2}\\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\
a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33}
\end{array}\right|
$$

We use $a_{11}$ to eliminate $a_{21}$ and $a_{31}$ by the row operations $r_{2}-\left(a_{21} / a_{11}\right) r_{1}$ and $r_{3}-\left(a_{31} / a_{11}\right) r_{1}$ where $r_{1}, r_{2}$ and $r_{3}$ are the first, second and third rows. We then use the new element in the second row and second column, $\left(a_{22}-a_{21} a_{12} / a_{11}\right)$ to eliminate the term in the second column and third row thus producing an upper triangular determinant,

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13}  \tag{D.3}\\
0 & v_{22} & v_{23} \\
0 & 0 & v_{33}
\end{array}\right|
$$

and so the value of the determinant is $\left(a_{11} U_{22} U_{33}\right)$. In this elimination process the row used to eliminate terms is called the pivotal row. The element in the pivotal row which eliminates the rest of the column is called the pivot. In the above example $a_{11}$ is the pivot for the first two
operations. It is clear that this method breaks down when ever a pivot is zero. A unique process to avoid this difficulty is to choose as pivot the largest element in the relevant column of the determinant, taking the columns successively so that the other elements are eliminated in natural order. This method is called partial pivoting. The determinant thus formed may no longer be an upper triangular form, but can easily be transformed by interchanging certain rows and the value of the determinant will be $(-1)^{P}$ times the diagonal terms where $p$ is the number of interchanges. An added advantage to this method is that by choosing the largest element as pivot, the accuracy of the results increases when calculations are rounded.

However, the above method forces us to compute the determinant after each row operation, producing a lengthy computation. The more sophisticated method of Crout $(35,36)$ has been devised so that the final form is found without calculating and recording the elements of the intermediate determinants. The determinant, $|A|$ say, is found in the form of a product of determinants, ILIIUV where ILI is a lower triangular and IUI an upper triangular determinant. Crout's method ensures that $|U|$ is a unit triangular determinant. This can be made clearer if we consider an example. If $|A|$ is defined by

$$
|A|=\left|\begin{array}{llll}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14}  \tag{D.4}\\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} \\
a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} \\
a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44}
\end{array}\right|
$$

then the computation is performed by means of the following equations. For the first row,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
u_{11}=a_{11},  \tag{D.5}\\
v_{15}=a_{15} / u_{11} \quad 5>1,
\end{array}\right\}
$$

for the second row,

$$
\begin{align*}
& n_{12}=a_{21}, \\
& u_{22}=a_{22}-n_{21} v_{12},  \tag{D.6}\\
& v_{25}=\left(a_{25}-n_{21} v_{1 s}\right) / u_{22}, s>2,
\end{align*}
$$

for the third row,

$$
\begin{align*}
& n_{3 i}=a_{31}, \\
& n_{32}=a_{32}-n_{31} v_{12}, \\
& u_{33}=a_{33}-n_{31} v_{13}-n_{32} v_{23},  \tag{D.7}\\
& v_{35}=\left(a_{35}-n_{31} v_{15}-n_{32} v_{25}\right) / u_{33}, s>3,
\end{align*}
$$

and finally for the fourth row,

$$
\begin{align*}
& n_{41}=a_{41}, \\
& n_{42}=a_{42}-n_{41} v_{12}, \\
& n_{43}=a_{43}-n_{41} v_{13}-n_{32} v_{23},  \tag{D.8}\\
& u_{44}=a_{44}-n_{41} v_{14}-n_{42} v_{24}-n_{43} v_{34},
\end{align*}
$$

so that the decomposition of $|A|$ by Crout's method is

$$
|A|=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
u_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{D.9}\\
n_{21} & u_{22} & 0 & 0 \\
n_{31} & n_{32} & u_{33} & 0 \\
n_{41} & n_{42} & n_{43} & u_{44}
\end{array}\right|\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & v_{12} & v_{13} & v_{14} \\
0 & 1 & v_{23} & v_{24} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & v_{34} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right| .
$$

We note that the first determinant on the right hand side of (D.9), is a lower triangular determinant and the second, $|U|$, is a unit upper triangular determinant. The method is similar to that of Gauss but more complicated operations are needed to find the determinant $|U|$.

Again a breakdown of the method can occur when elements in $|A|$ are zero, and yet $|A|$ is not zero. Clearly, a method similar to partial pivoting for the Gauss elimination mustbeincorporated into Crout's method. The pivots are chosen so that the off-diagonal elements in ILI will not exceed unity and the diagonal elements of $|U|$ are as large as possible.
at each stage. In the first stage the possible values of $U_{11}$ are the elements in the first column of $|A|$ and the largest element is chosen, interchanging rows if necessary. The first column of $|L|$ is now fixed and the values for the elements in the first row of $|U|$ are found but not fixed. The term $U_{22}$ is now chosen to be the largest and again it may be necessary to interchange rows. Thus the process continues and again the value of $|\mathrm{A}|$ is $(-1)^{P}$ times the product of diagonal terms of |L| where $p$ is the number of interchanges of rows.

For the methods described here we have taken real values for the elements of $|A|$. When the elements are complex the same procedure is followed and no further discussion is needed for this case.

## MULLER'S METHOD

We now have $\left|A_{n}(c)\right|$ in the form,
$\left|A_{n}(c)\right|=f(c)+i g(c)$
where will in general be complex, $C=C_{R}+i C_{I}$. Thus $\left|A_{n}(C)\right|$ has roots when

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
f\left(c_{R}+i c_{I}\right)=0, \\
g\left(c_{R}+i c_{I}\right)=0,
\end{array}\right\}
$$

or when the modulus of $(f(c)+i g(c))$ is zero, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{2}\left(c_{R}+i c_{I}\right)+g^{2}\left(c_{R}+i c_{I}\right)=0 \tag{D.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

A method by Muller $(37,38)$ is used which finds any prescribed number of zeros, real or complex of an arbitary function. The method is iterative and converges almost quadratically in the vicinity of a root. Another advantage is that it does not require the evaluation of the derivative of the function. To explain briefly the method we will take a general example of finding the roots of $f(x)=0$. Let $x_{i}, x_{i-1}, x_{i-2}$ be three distinct approximations to a root and let $f_{i}=f\left(x_{i}\right), f_{i-1}=f\left(x_{i-1}\right)$, $f_{i-2}=f\left(x_{i-2}\right)$ be the corresponding values of $f(x)$. Three points deter-
mine a unique quadratic in one variable. We wish to determine the polynomial which passes through $\left(x_{i}, \cdot f_{i}\right),\left(x_{i-1}, f_{i-1}\right)$ and $\left(x_{i-2}, f_{i-2}\right)$. Assuming the polynomial can be expressed in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x)=a_{2} x^{2}+a_{1} x+a_{0} \tag{D.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
a_{2} x_{i}^{2}+a_{1} x_{i}+a_{0}=f_{i}  \tag{D.13}\\
a_{2} x_{i-1}^{2}+a_{1} x_{i-1}+a_{0}=f_{i-1} \\
a_{2} x_{i-2}^{2}+a_{1} x_{i-2}+a_{0}=f_{i-2}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and $\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbf{2}}, \boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbf{0}}$ can be determined. The roots of this polynomial are determined from the quadratic formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{2 a_{0}}{-a_{1} \pm\left(a_{1}^{2}-4 a_{0} a_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \tag{D.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sign before the radical is chosen so that the denominator is largest in magnitude and the corresponding root is taken as the next approximation $x_{i+1}$. The process is repeated using $x_{i+1}, x_{i}$ and $x_{i-1}$ as the new approximations to the root.

For accuracy and convenience, the sequence of steps proposed by Muller, alter slightly from above and are described below

1) Choose $x_{i}, x_{i-1}, x_{i-2}$ as approximations to a zero of $f(x)$.

Compute $f_{i}, f_{i-1}, f_{i-2}$.
2) Compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h=x_{i}-x_{i-1}, \\
& \lambda_{i}=\frac{h}{x_{i-1}-x_{i-2}}, \\
& \delta=1+\lambda_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

3) Compute

$$
g_{i}=f_{i-2} \lambda_{i}^{2}-f_{i-1} \delta_{1}^{2}+f_{i}\left(\lambda_{i}+\delta_{i}\right)
$$

4) Compute

$$
\lambda_{i+1}=\frac{-2 f_{i} i}{-g_{i} \pm\left(g_{i}^{2}-4 f_{i} \delta_{i} \lambda_{i}\left(f_{i-2} \lambda_{i}-f_{i-1} \delta_{i}+f_{i}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}}
$$

choosing the sign so the denominator is largest.
5) $x_{i+1}=x_{i}+h \lambda_{i+1}$ is the next approximation.
6) Compute $f\left(x_{i+1}\right)=f_{i+1} \cdot$
7) Repeat 1) to 6) until

$$
\left|f_{i+1}\left(x_{i+1}\right)\right|<\eta \quad: \quad \text { for a prescribed } \eta
$$

The above iterative alogorithm was performed using the Leicester
University Cyber 72 computer. Each root was obtained to an accuracy of, four significant figures.

## APPENDIX E

CONTRIBUTIONS OF $\mathrm{P}_{3}(\eta)$ AND $\mathrm{P}_{4}(\eta)$ TO THE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS
To solve the equation of consistency in Chapter 3.5 , (3.152) the individual contributions to the boundary conditions in (3.136) from $P_{1}(\eta)$, $P_{2}(\eta), P_{3}(\eta)$ and $P_{4}(\eta)$ have to be evaluated. For reference purposes the four conditions are:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{d^{3} p}{d \eta^{3}}-i\left[\eta \frac{d p}{d \eta}-p\right]=0 & \text { at } \eta=\eta_{0}, \\
\frac{d^{3} p}{d \eta^{3}}-i\left[\eta \frac{d p}{d \eta}-p\right]=0 & \text { at } \eta=\eta_{h}, \\
\frac{d^{2} p}{d \eta^{2}}-M \frac{d p}{d \eta}=0 & \text { at } \eta=\eta_{0}, \\
\frac{d^{2} p}{d \eta^{2}}=0 & \text { at } \eta=\eta_{h} . \tag{4}
\end{array}
$$

The contributions made by $P_{1}(\eta)$ and $P_{2}(\eta)$ are easily determined and we present below the details of the evaluation of the contributions made by $P_{3}(\eta)$ and $P_{4}(\eta)$ only, using the method of stationary points(26)throughout. The function $P_{3}(\eta)$ and $P_{4}(\eta)$ are defined in (3.148) to be
and the contours $A_{\mathbf{s}} B_{\mathbf{s}}$ are defined in Fig. 1 of Chapter 3.5.
(1) $P_{4}(\eta)$ at $\eta_{h},(\eta$ large, arg $\eta=0)$

We will aim to show that $P_{4}\left(\eta_{h}\right)$ can be approximated to zero.
From (5) we have
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, \eta)=-\frac{1}{3} t^{3}-t R^{2} e^{1 \pi / 3}-t \eta e^{-i \pi / 6} \tag{E.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can use the method of stationary points to find $P_{4}\left(\eta_{h}\right)$. The
stationary points of $f\left(t, \eta_{h}\right)$ are given by $f^{\prime}(t)=0$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{2}=-\eta / h e^{-i \pi / 6}-R^{2} e^{i \pi / 3} \tag{E.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and since $\left|\eta_{h}\right|$ is sufficiently large compared to $R$ to dominate the right hand side of (E.3), there will be two stationary points $\pm t_{0}$ where $t_{0}$ may be approximated to

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{0}=\eta_{h}^{1 / 2} e^{\frac{5 i \pi}{12}} \tag{E.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The path of integration $A_{4} B_{4}$, in the complex plane must be chosen to contain the stationary points. From the definition of $A_{4} B_{4}$ in Fig. 1 of Chapter 3.5 it is clear that $-t_{0}$ cannot lie on the curve. Thus we choose $A_{4} B_{4}$ to pass through $t_{0}$ and disregard $-t_{0}$. In the neighbourhood of $t_{0}$ we write

$$
t=t_{0}+\tau
$$

and so we have, retaining terms up to $\tau^{2}$,

$$
f(t)=f\left(t_{0}\right)+1 / 2 \tau^{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)
$$

where we can take the approximate values of $f\left(t_{0}\right)$ and $f^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)$ to be

$$
f\left(t_{0}\right)=-\frac{2}{3} \eta_{h}^{3 / 2} e^{i \pi / 4}, \quad f^{4}\left(t_{0}\right)=-2 \eta_{h}^{1 / 2} e^{5 i \pi / 12} .
$$

We shift the origin to $t_{0}$ and obtain an approximate solution for $P_{4}\left(\eta_{h}\right)$ as

$$
P_{4}\left(\eta_{h}\right) \sim \int_{-\omega}^{\omega}\left(t_{0}-R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-\frac{-i R^{3}}{2}}\left(t_{0}+R e^{i \pi / 6)^{-1+\frac{i i^{3}}{2}}} \exp \left[f\left(t_{0}\right)+1 / 2 \tau^{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\right] d \tau\right.
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is small and generally complex. The greatest part of the integral comes from small values of $\tau\left(\operatorname{Re}\left[f^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\right]<0\right)$ and so we can extend the limits of integration to $\pm \infty$ resulting in

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{4}\left(\eta_{h}\right) \sim t_{0}^{-2} \exp \left(t_{0}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left[-\tau^{2} \eta_{h}^{1 / 2} e^{\frac{5 i \pi}{12}}\right] d \tau \tag{E.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We write

$$
\tau=\eta_{h}^{-1 / 4} e^{-\frac{5 i \pi}{24}} \varepsilon
$$

noting that the direction arg $\tau=\frac{-5 i \pi}{24}$ conforms with the general direction of $A_{4} B_{4}$, so from (E.5) we obtain
$P_{4}\left(\eta \eta_{h}\right) \sim t_{0}^{-2} \exp f\left(t_{0}\right)\left[\eta_{h}^{1 / 4} e^{\frac{5 i \pi}{24}}\right]^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\varepsilon^{2}} d \varepsilon$
and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{4}\left(\eta_{h}\right) \sim \pi^{1 / 2} \eta_{h}^{-5 / 4} e^{-\frac{25 i \pi}{24}} \exp \left[-2 / 3 \eta_{h}^{3 / 2} e^{i \pi / 4}\right] \tag{E.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $P_{4}\left(\eta_{h}\right) \sim 0$.
(2) $P_{3}(\eta)$ at $\eta_{0},(\eta$ large, arg $\eta=\pi)$

Exactly the same argument can be used to show that $P_{3}\left(\eta_{0}\right) \sim 0$. From (5) we have
with $f(t, \eta)$ defined in (E.2). The two stationary points of $f\left(t, \eta_{0}\right)$ are $\pm \boldsymbol{t}_{\text {, }}$, where

$$
t_{1}=\left.\operatorname{l\eta _{0}}\right|^{1 / 2} e^{\frac{11 i \pi}{12}}
$$

Again, we disregard $-t_{1}$, as it does not lie on the path of integration,
$A_{3} B_{3}$. In the neighbourhood of $t_{1}$ we write

$$
t=t_{1}+\tau
$$

and so for $\eta_{0}$ we have

$$
f\left(t, \eta_{0}\right)=f\left(t_{1}\right)+1 / 2 \tau^{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{1}\right)
$$

where

$$
f\left(t_{1}\right)=\left.\frac{2}{3}\left|\eta_{0}^{3 / 2} e^{3 i \pi / 4}, f^{4}\left(t_{1}\right)=-2\right| \eta_{0}\right|^{1 / 2} e^{\frac{11 i \pi}{12}}
$$

We shift the origin to $t_{1}$ and obtain the approximate solution for $P_{3}\left(\eta_{0}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{3}\left(\eta_{0}\right) \sim t_{1}^{-2} \exp f\left(t_{1}\right) \int_{-\omega}^{\omega} \exp \left[-\tau^{2}\left|\eta_{0}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{1 i \pi}{12}}\right] d \tau . \tag{E.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before we can extend the limits of integration to $\pm \infty$ and writing

$$
\tau=\left.\varepsilon e^{13 i \pi / 24} \eta_{0}\right|^{-1 / 4}
$$

we note that the direction arg confirms with the general direction of $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~B}_{3}$. We finally have

$$
P_{3}\left(\eta_{0}\right) \sim t_{1}^{-2} \exp f\left(t_{1}\right)\left[\left\lvert\, \eta_{0}^{1 / 4} e^{\frac{11 \pi}{24}}\right.\right]^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\varepsilon^{2}} d \varepsilon
$$

and hence

$$
\left.P_{3}\left(\eta_{0}\right) \sim \pi^{1 / 2} \eta_{0}\right|^{-3 / 4} e^{-\frac{31 i \pi}{24}} \exp \left[2 / 3\left|\eta_{0}\right|^{3 / 2} e^{\frac{3 i \pi}{4}}\right]
$$

Thus $P_{3}\left(\eta_{0}\right) \sim 0$.
(3) Boundary Conditions (2) and (4) for $P_{4}(\eta)$

The contribution to (2) from $P_{4}(\eta)$ will be

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}^{4}=\frac{d^{3} P_{4}}{d \eta^{3}}-\left.\left.i\left[\eta \frac{d P_{4}}{d \eta}-P_{4}\right]\right|_{\eta=\eta_{h}} \equiv(L+i) P_{4}\right|_{\eta=\eta_{h}} \tag{E.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using (E.1)

We can take the operator ( $L+i$ ) inside the integral sign and therefore obtain

The integral $I_{2}^{4}$ therefore is essentially the same type of integral as (E.1) and so with no further discussion we can infer that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}^{4}=0 \tag{E.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The contribution to (4) from $\mathrm{P}_{4}(\eta)$ will be

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{4}^{4}=\left.\frac{d^{2} p_{4}}{d \eta^{2}}\right|_{\eta=\eta_{h}} \tag{E.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again we can take the operator $\frac{d^{2}}{d \eta^{2}}$ inside the integral sign of (E.1) to. obtain

$$
I_{4}^{4}=\int_{A_{A} B_{4}} t^{2} e^{-i \pi / 3}\left(t-R e^{i \pi / 6)^{-1-i R^{3}}}\left(t+R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1+\frac{i R^{3}}{2}} \exp \left[-1 / 3 t^{3}-t R^{2} e^{i \pi / 3}-t \eta_{h} e^{-i \pi / 6}\right] d t\right.
$$

and we find again that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{4}^{4}=0 \tag{E.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4) Boundary Conditions (1) and (3) for $P_{3}(\eta)$

The contribution to (1) from $P_{3}(\eta)$ will be

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{3}^{1}=\frac{d^{3} P_{3}}{d \eta^{3}}-\left.i\left[\frac{d P_{3}}{d \eta}-P_{3}\right]\right|_{\eta=\eta_{0}}=\left.(L+i) P_{3}\right|_{\eta=\eta_{0}} . \tag{E.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We substitute for $P_{3}(\eta)$ from (5) and take the operator (L+i) inside the integral to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad I_{3}^{1}=\int_{A_{3} B_{2}}\left(--\eta_{0}^{3} t e^{-i \pi / 6}+i\right)\left(t-R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-\frac{i R^{3}}{2}}\left(t+R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1+i \frac{i e^{3}}{2}} \exp \left[-1 / 3 t^{3}-t R^{2} e^{i \pi / 3}-t \eta e_{0}^{-i \pi / 6}\right] d t \\
& \text { and using the same method as in the previous section we find }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{3}^{\prime}=0 . \tag{E.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Similarly the contribution to (3) from } P_{3}(\eta), \\
& I_{3}^{3}=\frac{d^{2} P_{3}}{d \eta^{2}}-\left.M \frac{d P}{d \eta}\right|_{\eta=\eta_{0}} \tag{E.17}
\end{align*}
$$

results in the integral

$$
I_{3}^{3}=\int_{A_{3} B_{3}}\left(t^{2} e^{-i \pi / 3}+M t e^{-i \pi / 6}\right)\left(t-R e^{\left.i \pi / 6)^{-i \frac{i k^{3}}{2}}\left(t+R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1+\frac{i k^{3}}{2}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{3} t^{3}-t R^{2} e^{i \pi / 3}-t \eta_{0} e^{-i \pi / 6}\right] d t . t\right]}\right.
$$

and thus

$$
I_{3}^{3}=0
$$

(5) Boundary Condition (1) for $P_{4}(\eta)$

For the remaining boundary conditions we will approximate $P_{4}(\eta)$ to

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{4}(\eta)=\int_{A_{4} B_{4}}\left(t-R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1}\left(t+R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1} \exp \left[-1 / 3 t^{3}-t R^{2} e^{i \pi / 3}-t \eta e^{-i \pi / 6}\right] d t \tag{E.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

 $\left(t+R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)$ as $R$ is a small quantity, of order $\lambda^{-1 / 3}$.

The boundary condition, (1) is

$$
\frac{d^{3} p}{d \eta^{3}}-i\left[\eta \frac{d p}{d \eta}-p\right]=0 \quad \text { at } \eta=\eta_{0}
$$

We can take the differential equation (3.135) and write it as

$$
\frac{d}{d \eta}\left[\frac{d^{3} p}{d \eta^{3}}-i \eta \frac{d P}{d \eta}+i p\right]+i \eta R^{2} p=0 .
$$

Therefore an alternative form for the boundary condition (1) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
-i R^{2} \int_{\infty}^{\eta_{0}} \eta P d \eta=0 \tag{E.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The contribution of $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ to the boundary contribution can be written as

$$
I_{1}^{4}=-i R^{2} \int_{\infty}^{\eta_{0}} \eta \int_{A_{4} B_{4}}\left(t-R e^{1 \pi / 6}\right)^{-1}\left(t+R e^{1 \pi / 6}\right)^{-1} \exp \left[-1 / 3 t^{3}-t R^{2} e^{i \pi / 3}-t \eta e^{-i \pi / 6}\right] d t d \eta
$$

We change the order of integration and using the result that

$$
\int_{\infty}^{\eta_{0}} \eta \exp \left[-t \eta e^{-i \pi / 6}\right] d \eta=-\left[\frac{\eta_{0} e^{i \pi / 6}}{t}+\frac{e^{i \pi / 3}}{t^{2}}\right] \exp \left[-t \eta_{0} e^{-i \pi / 6}\right]
$$

we find $I_{1}^{\infty}$ takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}^{4}=i R^{2} \int_{A_{4} B_{4}}\left[\frac{\eta_{0} e^{i \pi / 6}}{t}+\frac{e^{i \pi / 3}}{t^{2}}\right]\left(t-R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1}\left(t+R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1} \exp \left[-1 / 3 t^{3}-t R^{2} e^{i \pi / 3}-t \eta e^{-i \pi / 6}\right] d t \tag{E.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will choose the path $A_{4} B_{4}$ in the following way:

$$
A_{4} B_{4}=A_{4} L+L M+M B_{4}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A_{4} L: t=R e^{i \pi / 6}+r e^{i 2 \pi / 3} & , \infty \leqslant r \leqslant \varepsilon \\
L M: t=R e^{i \pi / 6}-\varepsilon e^{i \theta} & , 2 \pi / 3 \leqslant \theta \leqslant 0, \\
M B_{4}: t=R e^{i \pi / 6}+r & , \varepsilon \leqslant r \leqslant \infty \tag{E.24}
\end{array}
$$

We now look at the integral $I_{1}^{4}$ along the three parts of $A_{4} B_{4}$. The integral along $A_{4} L$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}=-i R^{2} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty}\left[\frac{n_{0}}{R+i r}+\frac{1}{(R+i r)^{2}}\right] \frac{1}{r}\left(2 R e^{i \pi / 6}+r e^{2 \pi i / 3}\right)^{-1} \exp \phi_{1}(r) d r \tag{E.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we approximate the exponential term to the largest coefficient of each power of $r$ (neglecting $R$ compared to $\eta_{0}$ ), to $\varnothing_{1}(r)$, namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{1}(r)=-1 / 3 r^{3}+i R r^{2}-i \eta_{0} r-R \eta_{0} . \tag{E.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral along LM becomes
and the integral along $\mathrm{MB}_{4}$ reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{3}=i R^{2} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty}\left[\frac{\eta_{0} e^{i \pi / 6}}{R e^{i \pi / 6}+r}+\frac{e^{i \pi / 3}}{\left(R e^{i \pi / 6}+r\right)^{2}}\right] \frac{1}{r}\left(2 R e^{i \pi / 6+r)^{-1}} \exp \phi_{2}(r) d r\right. \tag{E.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we approximate the exponential term to $\varnothing_{2}(r)$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{2}(r)=-1 / 3 r^{3}-R e^{i \pi / 6} r^{2}-r \eta_{0} e^{-i \pi / 6}-\eta_{0} R . \tag{E.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
I_{1}^{4}=I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}
$$

and we can now take the limit, $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ in $I_{1}$ and $I_{\mathbf{3}}$. We will use the method of stationary points to evaluate $I_{1}$ and $I_{3}$.

For $I_{1}$ the function $\phi_{1}(r)$ is, from (E.26)

$$
\phi_{1}(r)=-1 / 3 r^{3}+i R r^{2}-i \eta_{0} r-R \eta_{0} .
$$

The last term is a constant which can be taken outside the integral. The term $i R r^{2}$ is small as $R$ is of the order $\lambda^{-1 / 3}$, and is therefore not as important as $\left(-1 / 3 r^{3}-i \eta_{0} r\right)$. From the definition of $\eta$ and $Z_{c}$ in (3.134) and
(3.138) we have
$-i \eta_{0}=\lambda^{1 / 3}(b+i a)$.
The dominant terms of $\phi_{1}(r)$ are therefore

$$
-1 / 3 r^{3}+\lambda^{1 / 3} b r .
$$

We will write

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}=-i R^{2} e^{-\eta_{0} R} \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{1}(r) \exp \left[-1 / 3 r^{3}+\lambda^{1 / 3} b r\right] d r \tag{E.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}(r)=\frac{1}{r}\left[\frac{\eta_{0}}{R+i r}+\frac{1}{(R+i r)^{2}}\right]\left(2 R e^{i \pi / 6}+r e^{2 \pi i / 3}\right)^{-1} \exp \left[i R r^{2}+i a \lambda^{1 / 3} r\right] \tag{E.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The stationary points of $\left(-1 / 3 r^{3}+\lambda^{1 / 3} b r\right)$ are $\pm A$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\lambda^{1 / 6} b^{1 / 2} \tag{E.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

We disregard the negative value as -A is not along the line of integration. In the neighbourhood of A we write

$$
r=A+\tau
$$

and so retaining terms up to $\tau^{2}$,

$$
I_{1}=-i R^{2} e^{-\eta_{0} R} \int_{-\omega}^{\omega} f_{1}(A) \exp \left[2 / 3 A^{3}-A \tau^{2}\right] d \tau
$$

where $\boldsymbol{W}$ is small. As before we can extend the limits of integration to $\pm \infty$ and so we finally have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}=-i R^{2} e^{-\eta_{0} R} f_{1}(A) \frac{\Pi^{1 / 2}}{A^{1 / 2}} \exp \left[2 / 3 A^{3}\right] \tag{E.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now use the same method to evaluate $\mathrm{I}_{\mathbf{3}}$. From (3.134) and (3.138)
we have

$$
-\eta_{0} e^{-i \pi / 6}=\frac{\lambda^{1 / 3}}{2}[(a-b)+i(-a-b \sqrt{3})]
$$

The dominant terms of $\phi_{2}(r)$ are

$$
-1 / r^{3}+\frac{\lambda}{2}^{1 / 3}(a-b) r
$$

We therefore write $I_{\mathbf{3}}$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{3}=i R^{2} e^{-\eta_{0} R} \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{2}(r) \exp \left[-1 / 3 r^{3}+\frac{\lambda^{1 / 3}}{2}(a-b) r\right] d r \tag{E.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2}(r)=\frac{1}{r}\left[\frac{\eta_{0}}{R e^{\pi \pi / 6}+r}+\frac{1}{\left.R e^{\pi / 6}+r\right)^{2}}\right]\left(2 R e^{i \pi / 6}+r\right)^{-1} \exp \left[-\operatorname{Re}^{i \pi / 6} r^{2}-\frac{\lambda^{1 / 3}}{2} i(a+b \sqrt{3}) r\right] . \tag{E.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The stationary points of (E.34) are $\pm B$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\left[\lambda^{1 / 3}(a-b) / 2\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{E.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and again we disregard the negative value of $B$ as it is not along the line
of integration. In the neighbourhood of $B$ we write

$$
r=B+C
$$

and retaining terms up to $\tau^{2}$ we have for $I_{3}$,

$$
I_{3}=i R^{2} e^{-\eta_{0} R} \int_{-\omega}^{\omega} f_{2}(\theta) \exp \left[2 / 3 B^{3}-B \tau^{2}\right] d \tau
$$

where w is small. We can now extend the limits of integration to $\pm \infty$ to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{3}=i R^{2} e^{-\eta_{0} R} f_{2}(B) \frac{\pi^{1 / 2}}{B^{1 / 2}} \exp \left[2 / 3 B^{3}\right] \tag{E.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The complete contribution therefore of this boundary condition from $P_{4}(\eta)$
is therefore

$$
I_{1}^{4}=i R^{2} e^{-\eta_{0}^{R}}\left[-\frac{\Pi^{1 / 2}}{A 1_{2}} f_{1}(A) e^{2 / 3 p^{3}}+\frac{\Pi_{i} e^{-i \pi / 6}}{3 R^{2}}\left[\eta_{0}+\frac{1}{R}\right]+\frac{\Pi^{1 / 2}}{B^{1 / 2}} f_{2}(B) e^{2 / 3 B^{3}}\right]
$$

## (6) Boundary Condition (3) for $P_{4}(\eta)$

The contribution from the boundary condition (3),

$$
\frac{d^{2} P}{d \eta^{2}}-M \frac{d P}{d \eta}=0 \text { at } \eta=\eta_{0} \text {, }
$$

due to $P_{4}$ would produce the following integral,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{3}^{4}=\int_{A_{4} B_{4}}\left(t^{2} e^{-i \pi / 3}+M t e^{-i \pi / 6}\right)\left(t-R e^{i \pi / 6)^{-1}}\left(t+R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1} \exp \left[-1 / 3 t^{3}-t R^{2} e^{i \pi / 3}-t \eta_{0}^{-i \pi / 6}\right] d t\right. \tag{E.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is exactly the same form of integral as in section (5) and the path for $\mathrm{A}_{4} \mathrm{~B}_{4}$ will be chosen in the same way. The two stationary points will be A and $B$, defined in (E.32) and (E.36) as before. The difference is in the functions $f_{1}(r)$ and $f_{2}(r)$. For $I_{1}$, along $A_{4} L$ we have

$$
I_{1}=-\int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty}\left(R+r e^{i \pi / 2}\right)\left(R+r e^{i \pi / 2}+M\right) \frac{1}{r}\left(2 R e^{i \pi / 6}+r e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{3}}\right)^{-1} \exp \phi_{1}(r) d r .
$$

Along LM we obtain

$$
I_{2}=\frac{\pi_{i}(R+M)}{3} e^{-\eta_{0} R-\frac{i \pi}{6}}
$$

and along $M B$,

$$
I_{3}=\int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty}\left(R+r e^{-i \pi / 6}\right)\left(R+r e^{-1 \pi / 6}+M\right) \frac{1}{r}\left(2 R e^{i \pi / 6}+r\right)^{-1} \exp \phi_{2}(r) d r .
$$

Thus we find that the contribution to the boundary condition from $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ is
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}(A)=(R+i A)(R+i A+M) \frac{1}{A}\left(2 R e^{i \pi / 6}+A e^{2 \pi i / 3}\right)^{-1} \exp \left[i R A^{2}+i \lambda^{1 / 3} a A\right], \tag{E.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{2}(B)=\left(R+B e^{-i \pi / 6}\right)\left(R+B e^{-i \pi / 6}+M\right) \frac{1}{B}\left(2 R e^{i \pi / 6}+B\right)^{-1} \exp \left[-R B^{2} e^{i \pi / 6}-i B(a+b \sqrt{3})\right] . \tag{E.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

The stationary point $B$ is much larger than $A$ and the term involving $B$ in $I_{\mathbf{3}}^{\mathbf{4}}$ and $I_{1}^{4}$ is dominant and so we will ignore the other two terms. The functions $f_{2}(B)$ and $g_{2}(B)$ contain many common factors and when we divide the fourth column of the determinant in (3.152) by these common terms we have remaining

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{1}^{4}=i R^{2}\left[\frac{\eta_{0}}{\left(R+B e^{-1 \pi / 6}\right)}+\frac{1}{\left(R+B e^{1 \pi / 6}\right)^{2}}\right]  \tag{E.43}\\
& I_{3}^{4}=\left(R+B e^{-i \pi / 6}\right)\left(R+B e^{-i \pi / 6}+M\right) \tag{E.44}
\end{align*}
$$

(7) The Boundary Condition (2) for $B_{3}(\eta)$

$$
\text { As for } P_{4}(\eta) \text {, we now approximate } P_{3}(\eta) \text { to }
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{3}(\eta)=\int\left(t-R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1}\left(t+R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1} \exp \left[-1 / 3 t^{3}-t R^{2} e^{i \pi / 3}-t \eta e^{-i \pi / 6}\right] d t \tag{E.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

ignoring $\frac{A_{3} R_{3}}{2}$ in the powers of $\left(t-R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)$ and $\left(t+R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)$. We can take the boundary condition (2) in an integral form, namely

$$
-i R^{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\eta_{h}} \eta P d \eta=0
$$

and so the contribution of $P_{3}$ to the boundary condition (2) is

$$
I_{2}^{3}=-i R^{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\eta / 4} \eta \int_{A_{3} 8_{3}}\left(t-R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1}\left(t+R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1} \exp \left[-1 / 3 t^{3}-t R^{2} e^{i \pi / 3}-t \eta e^{-i \pi / 6}\right] d t d \eta
$$

As before we can change the order of integration and the integral takes the
form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}^{3}=-i R^{2} \int_{A_{3} B_{3}}\left[\frac{\eta_{h} e^{i \pi / 6}}{t}+\frac{e^{i \pi / 3}}{t^{2}}\right]\left(t-R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1}\left(t+R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1} \exp \left[-1 / 3 t^{3}-t R^{2} e^{i \pi / 3}-t \eta_{h}^{-i \pi / 6}\right] d t \tag{E.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

The path $A_{3} B_{3}$ is chosen as follows:

$$
A_{3} B_{3}=A_{3} L+L M+M B_{3}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{3} L: t=-R e^{i \pi / 6}+r e^{4 \frac{\pi i}{3}}, \infty \leqslant r \leqslant \varepsilon,  \tag{E.47}\\
& L M: t=-R e^{i \pi / 6}-\varepsilon e^{i \theta}, 4 \pi / 3 \leqslant \theta \leqslant 2 \pi / 3,  \tag{E.48}\\
& M B_{3}: t=-R e^{i \pi / 6}+r e^{2 \pi i / 3}, \varepsilon \leqslant r \leqslant \infty . \tag{E.49}
\end{align*}
$$

Along $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~L}$ the integral becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}=-i R^{2} \int^{\infty}\left[\frac{\eta_{h}}{-R+r e^{2 \pi i}}+\frac{1}{\left(-R+r e \frac{\pi \Gamma i}{6}\right)^{2}}\right] \frac{1}{r}\left(-2 R e^{i \pi / 6}+r e^{4 \pi i / 3}\right)^{-1} \exp \phi_{3}(r) \tag{E.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varnothing_{\mathbf{3}}(r)$ is approximated to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{3}(r)=-1 / 3 r^{3}+R e^{\sin / 6} r^{2}+\eta_{h} e^{i \pi / 6} r+\eta_{h} R \tag{E.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral along LM takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}=-i R^{2} \int_{2 \pi / 3}^{4 \pi / 3}\left[-\frac{\eta_{h}}{R}+\frac{1}{R^{2}}\right] \frac{e^{-\eta_{h} R}}{2 R e^{i \pi / 6}} i d \theta=\frac{\pi}{3} e^{\eta_{h} R-i \pi_{6}}\left[-\eta_{h}+\frac{1}{R}\right] \tag{E.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

and finally the integral along $\mathrm{MB}_{\mathbf{3}}$ will be

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{3}=i R^{2} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty}\left[\frac{\eta_{h}}{-R+i r}+\frac{1}{(-R+i r)^{2}}\right] \frac{1}{r}\left(-2 R e^{1 \pi / 6}+r e^{2 \pi i / 3}\right)^{-1} \exp \phi_{4}(r) d r \tag{E.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varnothing_{4}(r)$ is approximated to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{4}(r)=-1 / 3 r^{3}-i R r^{2}-i \eta_{h} r+\eta_{h} R . \tag{E.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore we have that

$$
I_{2}^{3}=I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}
$$

and since $I_{2}$ has been evaluated we can take the limit, $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ in $I_{1}$ and $I_{3}$ and use the method of stationary points to evaluate the integrals.

In $I_{1}$, the term $\eta_{h} e^{i \pi / 6}$ can be written as,

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta_{h} e^{i \pi / 6} & =\frac{\lambda^{1 / 3}}{2}[(\sqrt{3}(1-a)-b)+i(1-a+b \sqrt{3})] \\
& =d_{1}+i d_{2} . \tag{E.55}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the important terms in $\phi_{3}(r)$ are

$$
-1 / 3 r^{3}+d_{1} r
$$

and so we write $I_{1}$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}=-i R^{2} e^{\eta_{n} R} \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{3}(r) \exp \left[-1 / 3 r^{3}+d_{1} r\right] d r \tag{E.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{3}(r)=\left[\frac{\eta_{h}}{-R+r e^{7 \pi i / 6}}+\frac{1}{\left(-R+r e^{T \pi / 6}\right)^{2}}\right] \frac{1}{r}\left(-2 R e^{i \pi / 6}+r e^{4 \pi i / 3}\right)^{-1} \exp \left[R e^{5 \pi i / 6} r^{2}+i d_{2} r\right] \tag{E.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

The stationary points of ( $-\frac{1}{3} r^{3}+d_{1} r$ ) are $\pm \alpha$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\sqrt{d_{1}}=\left[\lambda^{1 / 3}(\sqrt{3}(1-a)-b) / 2\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{E.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

and weneglect $-\alpha$ as it is not along the line of integration. In the neighbourhood of $\alpha$ we take

$$
r=\alpha+\tau
$$

and so retaining terms up to $\tau^{2}$ we have

$$
I_{1}=-i R^{2} e^{\eta_{n} R} \int_{-\omega}^{\omega} f_{3}(\alpha) \exp \left[2 / 3 \alpha^{3}-\alpha \tau^{2}\right] d \tau
$$

We extend the limits of integration to $\pm \infty$ and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}=-i R^{2} e^{\eta, R} f_{3}(\alpha) \frac{\eta^{1 / 2}}{\alpha^{1 / 2}} e^{2 / 3 \alpha^{3}} \tag{E.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the integral $I_{3}$, the term $-i \eta_{h}$ in $\phi_{4}(r)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
-i \eta_{h}=\lambda^{1 / 3}[b-i(1-a)] \tag{E.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so the important terms in $\phi_{4}(r)$ are $\left(-1 / 3 r^{3}+\lambda^{1 / 3} b r\right)$ and we write $I_{3}$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{3}=i R^{2} e^{\eta} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{4}^{\infty}(r) \exp \left[-1 / 3 r^{3}+\lambda^{1 / 3} b r\right] d r \tag{E.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{4}(r)=\left[\frac{\eta_{h}}{-R+i r}+\frac{1}{(-R+i r)^{2}}\right] \frac{1}{r}\left(-2 R e^{i \pi / 6}+r e^{2 \pi i / 3}\right)^{-1} \exp \left[-i R r^{2}-i \lambda^{1 / 3}(1-a) r\right] \tag{E.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

The stationary points of ( $\left(-1 / 3 r^{3}+\lambda^{1 / 3} b r\right.$ ) are $\pm \beta$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\left[\lambda^{1 / 3} b\right]^{1 / 2} . \tag{E.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before $-\beta$ is neglected and in the neighbourhood of $\beta$ we write

$$
r=\beta+\tau
$$

so that

$$
I_{3}=i R^{2} e^{\eta_{R} R} \int_{-\omega}^{\omega} f_{4}(\beta) \exp \left[2 / 3 \beta^{3}-\beta \tau^{2}\right] d \tau
$$

We extend the limits of integration to $\pm \infty$ and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{3}=i R^{2} e^{\eta_{n} R} f_{4}(\beta) \frac{\pi^{1 / 2}}{\beta / 2} e^{2 / 3 \beta^{3}} . \tag{E.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the integral $\mathrm{I}_{2}^{3}$ will be

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}^{3}=i R^{2} e^{n^{R}}\left[-\frac{\Pi^{1 / 2}}{\alpha^{1 / 2}} f_{3}(\alpha) e^{2 / 3 \alpha^{3}}-\frac{i \pi e^{-i \pi / 6}}{3 R^{2}}\left[-\eta_{h}+\frac{1}{R}\right]+\frac{\Pi^{1 / 2}}{\beta^{1 / 2}} f_{4}(\beta) e^{2 / 3 \beta^{3}}\right] . \tag{E.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

(8) The Boundary Condition (4) for $P_{3}(\eta)$

As in section (4) the contribution of $P_{3}(\eta)$ to the boundary condition (4) will take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{4}^{3}=\int_{A_{3} B_{3}} t^{2} e^{-i \pi / 3}\left(t-R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1}\left(t+R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1} \exp \left[-1 / 3 t^{3}-t R^{2} e^{1 \pi / 3}-t \eta_{h} e^{-i \pi / 6}\right] d t \tag{E.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is exactly the same type of integral as in the previous section. Using the same path for $A_{3} B_{3}$ the integral splits up into three parts as before. Along $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~L}^{2}$

$$
I_{1}=-\int_{\epsilon}^{\infty}\left(R+r e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{r}\left[-2 R e^{i \pi / 6}-r e^{4 i \pi / 3}\right]^{-1} \exp \phi_{3}(r) d r,
$$

along LM we have

$$
I_{2}=\int_{2 \pi / 3}^{4 \pi / 3}-\frac{R^{2} e^{\eta_{h} R}}{2 R e^{i \pi / 6}} i d \theta=\frac{i \pi R}{3} e^{\eta_{h} R-i \pi / 6}
$$

and finally along $M B$

$$
I_{3}=\int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty}(-R+i r)^{2} \frac{1}{r}\left(-2 R e^{i r / 6}+r e^{2 \pi i / 3}\right)^{-1} \exp \phi_{4}(r) d r .
$$

Following the method of the previous section we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{4}^{3}=e^{\eta_{n} R}\left[-\frac{\pi^{1 / 2}}{\alpha^{1 / 2}} g_{3}(\alpha) e^{2 / 3 \alpha^{3}}-\frac{i \pi R}{3} e^{-i \pi / 6}+\frac{\pi^{1 / 2}}{\beta 1 / 2} g_{4}(\beta) e^{2 / 3 \beta^{3}}\right] \tag{E.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{3}(\alpha)=\left(R+\alpha e^{1 \pi / 6}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\alpha}\left[-2 R e^{i \pi / 6}+\alpha e^{4 \pi / 3}\right]^{-1} \exp \left[R \alpha^{2} e^{3 \pi i / 6}+i d_{2} \alpha\right],  \tag{E.68}\\
& g_{4}(\beta)=(-R+i \beta)^{2} \frac{1}{\beta}\left[-2 R e^{i \pi / 6}+\beta e^{2 \pi i / 3}\right]^{-1} \exp \left[-i R \beta^{2}-i \lambda^{1 / 3}(1-\alpha) \beta\right] . \tag{E.69}
\end{align*}
$$

The stationary point $\alpha$ is much larger than $\beta$ and so we can approximate the terms $I_{\mathbf{2}}^{\mathbf{3}}$ and $I_{\mathbf{4}}^{\mathbf{3}}$ by retaining the term involving $\alpha$. The functions $f_{3}(\alpha)$ and $g_{3}(\alpha)$ have terms in common as before and if we divide the third column of the determinant in (3.152) by these factors we have remaining

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{2}^{3}=i R^{2}\left[\frac{-\eta_{h}}{\left(R+\alpha e^{1 \pi / 6}\right)}+\frac{1}{\left(R+\alpha e^{1 \pi / 6)^{2}}\right.}\right],  \tag{E.70}\\
& I_{4}^{3}=\left(R+\alpha e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{2} . \tag{E.71}
\end{align*}
$$

## APPENDIX F

CONTRIBUTIONS OF $P_{1}(\eta), P_{2}(\eta), P_{3}(\eta)$ AND $P_{4}(\eta)$ TO THE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS OF THE MODEL RETAINING THE $\beta$ TERM

To solve the equation of consistency in Chapter 3.6, (3.184) the individual contributions of $P_{1}(\eta), P_{2}(\eta), P_{3}(\eta)$ and $P_{4}(\eta)$ to the boundary conditions in (3.173) need to be evaluated. The conditions are presented below for reference.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{d^{3} p}{d \eta^{3}}-i\left[\eta \frac{d p}{d \eta}-p\right]=0 & \text { at } \eta=\eta_{0}, \\
\frac{d^{3} p}{d \eta^{3}}-i\left[\eta \frac{d p}{d \eta}-P\right]=0 & \text { at } \eta=\eta_{\eta} . \\
\frac{d^{2} p}{d \eta^{2}}-M \frac{d p}{d \eta}=0 & \text { at } \eta=\eta_{0}, \\
\frac{d^{2} \rho}{d \eta^{2}}=0 & \text { at } \eta=\eta_{m} . \tag{4}
\end{array}
$$

The functions $P_{1}(\eta), P_{2}(\eta), P_{3}(\eta)$ and $P_{4}(\eta)$ are defined in (3.183) as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{s}(\eta)=\int_{A_{s} B_{5}}\left(t-R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-N_{2}}\left(t+R e^{i \pi / 6)^{-1+N N_{2}}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{3} t^{3}-t R^{2} e^{i \pi / 3}-t \eta e^{-i \pi / 6}\right] d t\right. \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the contours $A_{s} B_{s}$ are defined in Figs. 1 and 2 of Chapter 3.6.
(1) $P_{4}(\eta)$ at $\eta_{n}$ and $P_{3}(\eta)$ at $\eta_{0}$

The functions $P_{4}(\eta)$ and $P_{3}(\eta)$ are almost identical to the corresponding functions $P_{4}(\eta)$ and $P_{3}(\eta)$ in Appendix $E$, the only difference being that $\frac{i p^{3}}{2}$ is replaced by $M_{2}$. The behaviour of $P_{4}\left(\eta_{h}\right)$ and $P_{3}\left(\eta_{0}\right)$ is not altered by this change and so with no further discussion we take

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{4}\left(\eta_{h}\right)=0, \quad P_{3}\left(\eta_{0}\right)=0, \tag{F.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore

$$
(L+i) P_{4}\left(\eta_{h}\right)=0, \frac{d^{2} P_{4}\left(\eta_{n}\right)=0}{d \eta^{2}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(L+i) P_{3}\left(\eta_{0}\right)=0,\left[\frac{d^{2}}{d \eta^{2}}-M \frac{d}{d \eta}\right] P_{3}\left(\eta_{0}\right)=0, \tag{F.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operator $L$ is defined as

$$
L \equiv \frac{d^{3}}{d \eta^{3}}-i \eta \frac{d}{d \eta}
$$

(2) Boundary Condition (1) for $P_{4}(\eta)$

The differential equation for $P_{4}(\eta)$ in (3.172) can be written as

$$
\frac{d}{d \eta}\left[\frac{d^{3} p}{d \eta^{3}}-i\left(\eta \frac{d P}{d \eta}-P\right)\right]+i R^{2} \eta P-i M_{1} P=0
$$

Thus the boundary condition (1) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \int_{\infty}^{\eta_{0}}\left(M_{1}-\eta R^{2}\right) P d \eta=0 \tag{F.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The contribution of $P_{4}(\eta)$ to (1) can take the form

$$
I_{4}^{\prime}=i \int_{\infty}^{\eta_{0}}\left(M_{1}-\eta R^{2}\right) P_{4} d \eta
$$

hence, substituting for $P_{4}(\eta)$ from (5)

$$
I_{4}^{1}=i \int_{\infty}^{\eta_{0}}\left(M_{1}-\eta R^{2}\right) \int_{A_{4} B_{4}}\left(t-R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-\mu_{2}}\left(t+R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1+M_{2}} \exp ^{2}\left[-1 / 3 t^{3}-t R^{2} e^{i \pi / 3}-t \eta e^{-i \pi / 6}\right] d t d \eta .
$$

The order of integration may be changed, thus (F.6) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{4}^{\prime}=i \int_{R_{4} B_{4}}\left[\left(R^{2} M_{0}-M_{1}\right) e^{i \pi / 6}+\frac{R^{2} e^{i \pi_{3}}}{t^{2}}\right]\left(t-R e^{\left.i \pi_{4}\right)^{-i-\mu_{2}}\left(t-R e^{i \pi / 4}\right)^{-1+M_{2}} \exp \left[-1 / 3 t^{3}-t R^{2} e^{i \pi / 3}-t \eta_{0}^{-\pi / \pi_{6}}\right] d t . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~}\right. \tag{F.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We must choose a slightly different path for $A_{4} B_{4}$ than in the previous chapter as $t=R e^{i \pi / 6}$ is a singularity which must be avoided; however the singularity at $t=-R e^{i \pi / 6}$ is well behaved since $\operatorname{Re}\left\{M_{2}\right\}>0$ and so we take

$$
A_{4} B_{4}=A_{4} K+K N+N B_{4}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A_{4} K: t=-R e^{i \pi / 6}+r e^{2 \pi i / 3}, & \infty \leqslant r \leqslant \varepsilon, \\
K N: t=-R e^{i \pi / 6}-\varepsilon e^{i \theta}, & 2 \pi / 3 \leqslant \theta \leqslant 0, \\
N B_{4}: t=-R e^{i \pi / 6}+r, & \varepsilon \leqslant r \leqslant \infty .
\end{array}
$$

Along $\mathrm{A}_{4} \mathrm{~K}$, using (C.8) $I_{4}^{\prime}$ becomes

$$
I_{1}=-e^{\frac{2 i \pi M_{2}}{3}} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty}\left[\frac{\eta_{0} R^{2}-M_{1}}{R+i r}+\frac{1}{(R+i r)^{2}}\right]\left(r e^{2 \pi i / 3}-2 R e^{1 \pi / / 2}\right)^{-1-M_{2}} r-1+N_{2} \exp \phi_{1}(r) d r
$$

where $\varnothing_{1}(r)$ is approximated to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{1}(r)=-1 / 3 r^{3}-i R r^{2}-i \eta_{0} r+\eta_{0} R . \tag{F.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

On KN , the integral $I_{4}^{\prime}$ reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}=i \int_{0}^{2 \pi / 3}\left[\frac{R^{2} \eta_{0}-M_{1}}{-R}+1\right]\left(-2 R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-M_{2}} e^{\eta_{0} R} \varepsilon^{M_{2}} i d \theta \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \tag{F.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and along $\mathrm{NB}_{4}$ we have

$$
I_{3}=i \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty}\left[\frac{\eta_{0} R^{2}-M_{1}}{r e^{-\pi M_{0}}-R}+\frac{R^{2}}{\left(r e^{-1 N_{L}}\right)^{2}}\right]\left(r-2 R e^{1 \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-\mu_{2}} r^{-1+M_{2}} \exp \phi_{2}(r) d r
$$

where $\varnothing_{2}(r)$ is taken as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{2}(r)=-1 / 3 r^{3}+r^{2} R e^{i \pi / 6}-\eta_{0} r e^{-i \pi / 6}+\eta_{0} R \tag{F.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $I_{4}^{\prime}=I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}$ and we can now let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and use the method of stationary points to evaluate $I_{1}$ and $I_{\mathbf{3}}$. It is useful to note that the integral $I_{1}$ and $I_{3}$ are very similar to the integrals of Appendix $E$, (E.25) and (E.28). The value of the stationary points for $I_{1}$ and $I_{3}$ will be exactly the same, namely

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { for } I_{1}: r=A=\lambda^{1 / 3} b^{1 / 2},  \tag{F.16}\\
& \text { for } I_{3}: r=B=\left[\lambda^{1 / 3}(a-b) / 2\right]^{1 / 2} . \tag{F.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus we can follow the same method as Appendix E which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{4}^{\prime}=e^{R \eta_{0}}\left[-\frac{i \pi^{1 / 2}}{A^{1 / 2}} f_{1}^{\prime}(A) e^{2 / 3 A^{3}}+\frac{i \pi^{1 / 2}}{B^{1 / 2}} f_{2}^{\prime}(B) e^{2 / 3 B^{3}}\right], \tag{F.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}^{\prime}(A)=\left[\frac{\eta_{0} R^{2}-M_{1}}{A i+R}+\frac{R^{2}}{(A i+R)^{2}}\right]\left(A e^{2 \pi i / 3}-2 R e^{1 / 4 / 6}\right)^{-1-M_{2}} A^{-1+M_{2}} \exp \left[-i R A^{2}+i \lambda^{1 / 3} a A+\frac{2 \pi i M_{2}}{3}\right], \tag{F.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2}^{\prime}(B)=\left[\frac{\eta_{0} R^{2}-M_{1}}{B e^{-i \pi / 6}-R}+\frac{R^{2}}{\left(B e^{-1 \pi / 2} / R\right)^{2}}\right]\left(B-2 R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-M_{2}} B^{-1+M_{2}} \exp \left[B^{2} R e^{i \pi / 6}-\frac{i B}{2} \lambda^{1 / 3}(a+b \sqrt{3})\right] . \tag{F.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

## (3) The Boundary Condition (3) for $P_{4}(\eta)$

The contribution of $\mathrm{P}_{4}(\eta)$ to boundary condition (3) will take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{4}^{3}=\int_{A_{4} B_{4}}\left(t^{2} e^{-i \pi / 3}+M t e^{-i \pi / 6}\right)\left(t-R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-M_{2}}\left(t+R e^{i \pi /)^{-i+M M_{2}}} \exp \left[-\pi t^{3}-t R^{2} e^{i \pi / 3}-t \eta e^{-i \pi / 6}\right] d t .\right. \tag{F.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the same path as in the previous section $I_{4}^{\mathbf{3}}$ splits up into the following integrals, along $\mathrm{A}_{4} \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{KN}$ and $\mathrm{NB}_{4}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1}=e^{-2 \pi i M_{2}} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}(i r-R)(i r-R+M)\left(r e^{2 \pi i i_{3}}-2 R e^{1 \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-M_{2}} r^{-i+N_{2}} \exp \phi_{1}(r) d r, \\
& I_{2}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi / 3}-R(-R+M)\left(-2 R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-M_{2}} e^{\eta_{0} R} \varepsilon^{H_{2}} i d \theta \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \\
& I_{3}=\int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty}\left(r e^{-i \pi /-R}\right)\left(r e^{-i \pi / 6}-R+M\right)\left(r-2 R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-M_{2}} r^{-1+M_{2}} \exp \phi_{2}(r) d r,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the functions $\phi_{1}(r)$ and $\phi_{2}(r)$ are defined in (F.12) and (F.15). We can now let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and using the method of stationary points the resulting value of $I_{\mathbf{4}}^{\mathbf{3}}$ will be

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{4}^{3}=e^{\eta_{0} R}\left[-\frac{\pi^{1 / 2}}{A^{1 / 2}} g_{1}^{\prime}(A) e^{2 / 3 A^{3}}+\frac{\pi^{1 / 2}}{B}{ }^{1 / 2} g_{2}^{\prime}(B) e^{2 / 3 B^{3}}\right] \tag{F.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
g_{1}^{\prime}(A)=(i A-R)(i A-R+M)\left(A e^{2 \pi i / 3}-2 R e^{1 \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-M / 2} A^{-1+M_{2}} \exp \left[-i R A^{2}+i \Lambda^{1 / 3} a A\right] \text {, }
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{2}^{\prime}(B)=\left(B e^{-1 \pi / 6}-R\right)\left(B e^{-i \pi / 6}-R+M\right)\left(B-2 R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-M_{2}} B^{-1+M / 2} \exp \left[B^{2} R e^{i \pi / 6}-\frac{i B}{2} \lambda^{1 / 3}(a+b \sqrt{3})\right] . \tag{F.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before in Appendix $E$ we note that $B \gg A$ and so $I_{4}^{1}$ and $I_{4}^{3}$ are approximated to the terms from the stationary point of $B$. When substituting into the fourth column of the determinant in (3.184) we may divide the column by the common factors of $I_{4}^{\prime}$ and $I_{4}^{3}$ and the remaining expressions will be

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{4}^{\prime}=i\left[\frac{\eta_{0} R^{2}-M_{1}}{B e^{-1 \pi / 6}-R}+\frac{R^{2}}{\left(B e^{-i \pi / 6}-R\right)^{2}}\right],  \tag{F.25}\\
& I_{4}^{3}=\left(B e^{-i \pi / 6}-R\right)\left(B e^{-i \pi / 6}-R+M\right), \tag{F.26}
\end{align*}
$$

(4) The Boundary Condition (2) for $P_{3}(\eta)$

Taking the differential equation for $P(\eta)$ in the form of (3-172) we can write the boundary equation (2),

$$
\frac{d^{3} p}{d \eta^{3}}-i\left[\eta \frac{d p}{d \eta}-p\right]=0 \quad \text { at } \eta=\eta_{h}
$$

in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \int_{-\infty}^{\eta_{n}}\left(M_{1}-\eta R^{2}\right) P d \eta=0 . \tag{F.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The contribution of $P_{3}(\eta)$ to the boundary condition takes the form

$$
I_{3}^{2}=i \int_{-\infty}^{\eta_{n}}\left(M_{1}-\eta R^{2}\right) P_{3}(\eta) d \eta ;
$$

substituting for $P_{3}(\eta)$ from (5) we have

$$
I_{3}^{2}=i \int_{-\infty}^{I_{m}}\left(M_{1}-\eta R^{2}\right) \int_{A_{3} B_{3}}\left(t-R e^{i \pi / 6)^{-1-M_{2}}}\left(t+R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1+\mu_{2}} \exp \left[-y_{3} t^{3}-t R^{2} e^{n \pi / 3}-t \eta e^{-i \pi / 6}\right] d t\right.
$$

and changing the order of integration $I_{\mathbf{3}}^{\mathbf{2}}$ becomes

We can choose the path $A_{3} B_{3}$ as in Appendix $E$,

$$
A_{3} B_{3}=A_{3} L+L M+M B_{3}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A_{3} L: t=-R e^{i \pi / 6}+r e^{4 i \pi / 3}, & \infty \leqslant r \leqslant \varepsilon, \\
L M: t=-R e^{i \pi / 6}-\varepsilon e^{i \theta}, & 4 \pi / 3 \leqslant \theta \leqslant 2 \pi / 3, \\
M B_{3}: t=-R e^{i \cdot \pi / 6}+r e^{2 \pi i / 3}, & \varepsilon \leqslant r \leqslant \infty
\end{array}
$$

Using the method of stationary points we can evaluate $I_{3}^{2}$ along $A_{3} B_{3}$. Along $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathbf{3}}^{\mathbf{2}}$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}=i e_{\varepsilon}^{4 i \frac{i \pi M_{2}}{3}} \int^{\infty}\left[\frac{R^{2} \eta_{1}-M_{1}}{\left(r e^{1 \pi / 6}+R\right)}-\frac{R^{2}}{\left(r e^{\pi / 6 / 6+R}\right)^{2}}\right]\left(r e^{4 \pi i / 3}-2 R e^{1 \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-M_{2}} r^{-1+m_{2}} \exp \phi_{3}(r) d r \tag{F.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { where }(r)=-1 / 3 r^{3}+R r^{2} e^{5 i \pi / 6}+\eta_{h} r e^{i \pi / 6}+\eta_{h} R .  \tag{F.33}\\
& \varnothing_{3}(r) \text { the integral reduces to } \\
& \text { For LM, then }
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}=i \int_{2 \pi / 3}^{4 \pi / 3}\left(\eta_{h} R^{2}-M_{1}-R\right)(-R)^{-1}\left(-2 R e^{i \pi / 6)^{-1-M_{2}}} e^{\eta_{h}^{R}} \varepsilon^{M_{2}} i d \theta \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 .\right. \tag{F.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally for $\mathrm{MB}_{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{3}=i e^{\frac{2 \pi i M_{2}}{3}} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty}\left[\frac{R^{2} \eta_{h}-M_{1}}{i r-R}+\frac{R^{2}}{(i r-R)^{2}}\right]\left(r e^{2 \pi i j_{3}}-2 R e^{i \pi / 6)^{-1-M_{2}} r^{-1+m_{2}}} \exp \phi_{4}(r) d r\right. \tag{F.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{4}(r)=-1 / 3 r^{3}-i R r^{2}-i \eta_{n} r+\eta_{n} R$.
Thus $I_{\mathbf{3}}^{\mathbf{2}}=I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}$ and we can take the limit, $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
The stationary points for $I_{\mathbf{2}}$ and $I_{3}$ are as in (E.58) and (E.63),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha=\left[\sqrt{3} \lambda^{1 / 3}(1-a) / 2\right]^{1 / 2},  \tag{F.37}\\
& \beta=\left[\lambda^{1 / 3} b\right]^{1 / 2} . \tag{F.38}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore we have for $I_{3}^{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{3}^{2}=i e^{\eta_{n} R}\left[\frac{\pi^{1 / 2}}{\alpha^{1 / 2}} f_{3}^{\prime}(\alpha) e^{z_{3} \alpha^{3}}+\frac{\Pi^{1 / 2}}{\beta^{1 / 2}} f_{4}^{\prime}(\beta) e^{2 / 3 \beta^{3}}\right] \tag{F.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{4}^{\prime}(\beta)=\left[\frac{R^{2} \eta_{h}-M_{1}}{i \beta-R}+\frac{R^{2}}{(i \beta-R)^{2}}\right]\left(\beta e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{3}}-2 R e^{\left.i \Pi_{6}\right)^{-1-M_{2}}} \beta^{-1+M_{2}} \exp \left[-i R \beta^{2}-i \lambda^{1 / 3} \beta+\frac{2 \pi i M_{2}}{3}\right] .\right. \tag{F.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

(5) The Boundary Condition (4) for $P_{3}(\eta)$

The contribution of $P_{3}(\eta)$ to the boundary condition (4) will be

- $I_{3}^{4}=\int_{A_{3} B_{3}} t^{2} e^{-i \pi / 3}\left(t-R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-M_{2}}\left(t+R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1+M_{2}} \exp \left[-1 / 3 t^{3}-t R^{2} e^{i \pi / 3}-t \eta_{h} e^{-i \pi / 6}\right] d t$.
(F.42)

Using the same path as above, the integral breaks into three parts, along $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{LM}$ and $\mathrm{MB}_{3}:$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1}=-e^{4 \pi \frac{\pi i \mu_{2}}{3}} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty}\left(r e^{i \pi / 6}+R\right)^{2}\left(r e^{4 \pi / 3}-2 R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-\mu_{2}} r^{-1+\mu_{2}} \exp \phi_{3}(r) d r, \\
& I_{2}=-\int_{2 \pi / 3}^{\pi \pi / 3} R\left(-2 R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-\mu_{2}} e^{\eta R^{R}} \varepsilon^{\mu_{2}} i d \theta \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \\
& I_{3}=e^{2 \pi i \mu_{2}} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty}(i r-R)^{2}\left(r e^{2 \pi i / 3}-2 R e^{i \pi / 6)^{-1-\mu_{2}} r^{-1+\mu_{2}} \exp \phi_{4}(r) d r,}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\varnothing_{3}(r)$ and $\phi_{4}(\boldsymbol{r})$ are defined in (F.33) and (F.36). Using methods as above we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{3}^{4}=e^{\eta_{n} R}\left[\frac{\Pi^{1 / 2}}{\alpha} g_{3}^{1}(\alpha) e^{2 / 3 \alpha^{3}}+\frac{\Pi^{1 / 2}}{\beta^{1 / 2}} g_{4}^{1}(\beta) e^{2 / 3 \beta^{3}}\right] \tag{F.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{3}^{\prime}(\alpha)=\left(\alpha e^{i \pi / 6}+R\right)^{2}\left(\alpha e^{4 \pi i / 3}-2 R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-M_{2}} \alpha^{-1+M_{2}} \exp \left[R \alpha^{2} e^{5 \pi i / 6}+\frac{\lambda^{1 / 3}}{2} i \alpha+\frac{4 \pi M_{2}}{3}\right],  \tag{F.44}\\
& g_{4}^{\prime}(\beta)=(i \beta-R)^{2}\left(\beta e^{\left.\frac{2 \pi}{3}-2 R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-M / 2}} \beta^{-1+M_{2}} \exp \left[-i R \beta^{2}-\lambda^{1 / 3} \beta i+\frac{2 \pi i \mu_{2}}{3}\right] .\right. \tag{F.45}
\end{align*}
$$

As before as $\alpha \gg \beta$ we can approximate $I_{3}^{2}$ and $I_{3}^{4}$ to the terms involving $\alpha$ only. The common factors of $f_{3}^{\prime}(\alpha)$ and $g_{3}^{\prime}(\alpha)$ can be cancelled through the third column of the determinant in (3.184). The remaining terms are therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{3}^{2}=i\left[\frac{-R^{2} \eta_{h}+M_{1}}{\alpha e^{i \pi / 6}+R}+\frac{R^{2}}{\left(\alpha e^{i \pi / 6}+R\right)^{2}}\right],  \tag{F.46}\\
& I_{3}^{7}=\left(\alpha e^{i \pi / 6}+R\right)^{2} . \tag{F.47}
\end{align*}
$$

## (6) The Boundary Conditions (1) and (3) $P_{1}(9)$

The function $P_{1}(\eta)$ in (5) takes the path $A_{1} B_{1}$ defined in Fig. 2 of Chapter 3.6 which can be split up into three section
$A_{1} B_{1}=A_{1} L+L M+M B_{1}$
$A_{i} L: t=-R e^{i \pi / 6}+r, \quad \infty \leqslant r \leqslant \varepsilon$,
LM: $t=-R e^{i \pi / 6}+\varepsilon e^{i \theta}, \quad 0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant 2 \pi$,
$M B_{1}: t=-R e^{i \pi / 6}+r e^{2 \pi i}, \varepsilon \leqslant r \leqslant \infty$.

Along $A_{1} L$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}=-\int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty}\left(r-2 R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-M_{2}} r^{-1+M_{2}} \exp \psi_{1}(r) d r \tag{F.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi_{1}(r)=-1 / 3 r^{3}+R e^{i \pi / 6 r^{2}}-\eta r e^{-i \pi / 6}+\eta R$.
The term from LM is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(-2 R e^{i \pi / 6)^{-1-M_{2}}} \varepsilon^{M_{2}} e^{\eta R} i d \theta \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0\right. \tag{F.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the integral from $\mathrm{MB}_{1}$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{3}=e^{2 \pi i \mu_{2}} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty}\left(r e^{2 \pi_{i}}-2 R e^{i \pi_{6}}\right)^{-1-M_{2}} r^{-1+M_{2}} \exp \psi_{i}(r) d r . \tag{F.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can see that $I_{\mathbf{3}}=-e^{2 \pi \frac{j}{3} \mu_{2}} I_{1}$ and as we can now take the limit, $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we have for $P_{1}(\eta)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.P_{1}(\eta)=\left[e^{2 i i \pi}-1\right]\right]_{0}^{\infty}\left(r-2 R e^{i \pi / 6)^{-1-M_{2}}} r^{-1+M_{2}} \exp \psi_{1}(r) d r .\right. \tag{F.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral in (F.55) is in fact exactly the same integral as the integral for $\mathrm{P}_{4}(\eta)$ along $\mathrm{NB}_{4}$.

We take the formulation as in (F.5) for the boundary condition (1) and so the contribution of $P_{1}(\eta)$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}^{\prime}=\left(e^{2 \pi i M_{2}}-1\right) \int_{\infty}^{\eta_{0}} i\left(M_{1}-\eta R^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(r-2 R e^{i \pi / 6}\right) r^{-1-r_{2}} 1+M_{2} \exp \psi_{1}(r) d r \tag{F.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by changing the order of integration we have

$$
I_{1}^{\prime}=i\left(e^{2 \pi i M_{2}}-1\right) \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\frac{\eta_{0} R^{2}-M_{1}}{r e^{-i \pi} / \pi}-R+\frac{R^{2}}{\left(r e^{-1 / 4 / 6} R\right)^{2}}\right]\left(r-2 R e^{1 \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-M_{2}} r^{-1+M_{2}} \exp \phi_{2}(r) d r
$$

where $\phi_{2}(r)$ is exactly the same function as in (F.15), namely

$$
\phi_{2}(r)=-1 / 3 r^{3}+r^{2} R e^{i \pi / 6}-\eta_{0} r e^{-i \pi / 6}+\eta_{0} R
$$

Thus we can use the results from section (2) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}^{\prime}=\left(e^{2 \pi / 1 M_{2}}-1\right) e^{R \eta_{0}} i \frac{\pi^{1 / 2}}{B^{1 / 2}} f_{2}^{\prime}(B) e^{2 / 3 B^{3}} \tag{F.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{2}^{\prime}(B)$ is defined in (F.20).
For later work it is useful to write here,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}^{\prime}=i C_{1}\left[\frac{\eta_{0} R-M_{1}}{B e^{-1 \pi / 6}-R}+\frac{R^{2}}{\left(B e^{-1 \pi /( }-R\right)^{2}}\right] \tag{F.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}=\left(e^{2 \pi i M_{2}}-1\right) \frac{\pi^{1 / 2}}{B} B^{-1+M_{2}}\left(B-2 R e^{1 \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-M_{2}} \exp \left[B^{2} R^{2} e^{i \pi / 3}-\frac{i B}{2} \lambda^{1 / 3}(a+b \sqrt{3})+R \eta_{0}+2 / 3 B^{3}\right] . \tag{F.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly the contribution of $P_{1}(\eta)$ to boundary condition (3) will be
and so, using the results from section (3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}^{3}=\left(e^{2 \pi i M_{2}}-1\right) e^{R \eta_{0}} \frac{i \pi^{1 / 2}}{B^{1 / 2}} g_{2}^{\prime}(B) e^{4 / 3 B^{3}} \tag{F.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{2}^{\prime}(B)$ is defined in (F.24), and we will write $I_{1}^{3}$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}^{3}=C_{1}\left(B e^{-i \pi / 6}-R\right)\left(B e^{-i \pi / 6}-R+M\right) \tag{F.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

(7) The Boundary Conditions (2) and (4) for $P_{2}(\eta)$

The function $P_{2}(\eta)$ in (5) takes the path $A_{2} B_{2}$ defined in Fig. 2 in
Chapter 3.6 which is separated into three regions,

$$
A_{2} B_{2}=A_{2} L+L M+M B_{2}
$$

where
$A_{2} L: t=-R e^{i \pi / 6}+r e^{4 \pi i / 3}, \infty \leqslant r \leqslant \varepsilon$,
LM: $t=-R e^{i \pi / 6}+\varepsilon e^{i \theta}, \quad 0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant 2 \pi$,
$M B_{2}: t=-R e^{i \pi / 6}+r e^{4 \pi i / 3+2 \pi i}, \varepsilon \leqslant r \leqslant \infty$.

Along $\mathrm{A}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{L}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}=-e^{\frac{4 \pi i M_{2}}{3}} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty}\left(r e^{4 \pi i / 3}-2 R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-M_{2}} r^{-1+M_{2}} \exp \psi_{2}(r) d r . \tag{F.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

The term along LM will be

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(-2 R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-M_{2}} \varepsilon^{M_{2}} e^{n R} i d \theta \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \tag{F.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the integral along $\mathrm{MB}_{2}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.I_{3}=\exp \left[\frac{\pi i i M_{2}}{3}+2 \pi i M_{2}\right]\right]_{\varepsilon}^{\infty}\left(r e^{4 \pi i / 3}-2 R e^{i \pi / 6)^{-1-M_{2}}} r^{-1+M / 2} \exp \psi_{2}(r) d r\right. \tag{F.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{2}(r)=-1 / 3 r^{3}+R e^{5 \pi i M_{2}} r^{5 \pi}+\eta r e^{-i \pi / 6}+\eta R$.
We can see that $I_{3}=-e^{2 \pi i M_{2}} I_{1}$, and as we can now take the limit, $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we have for $P_{\mathbf{2}}(\eta)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{2}(\eta)=\left[e^{2 \pi i \mu_{2}}-1\right] e^{4 \pi i \mu_{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(r e^{4 \pi r_{3}}-2 R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-\mu_{2}} r^{-1+\mu_{2}} \exp \psi_{2}(r) d r . \tag{F.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral in (F.70) is the same integral of $P_{3}(\eta)$ along $A_{3} L$.

We take the boundary condition (2) in the form of (F.27) and so the contribution of $P_{2}(\eta)$ is

$$
I_{2}^{2}=\left(e^{2 \pi i \mu_{2}}-1\right) e^{4 \pi i M_{2}} \int_{\infty}^{\eta_{n}} i\left(M_{1}-\eta R^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(r e^{4 \pi i / 3}-2 R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-M_{2}} r^{-1+M_{2}} \exp \psi_{2}(r) d r
$$

and by changing the order of integration we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}^{2}=\left(e^{2 \pi i M_{2}}-1\right) e^{4 \frac{\pi i M_{2}}{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\frac{R^{2} \eta_{1}-M_{1}}{\left(r r^{1 \pi / 6}+R\right)}-\frac{R^{2}}{\left(r e^{1+1 / 6}+R\right)^{2}}\right]\left(r e^{4 \pi i / 3}-2 R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-M_{2}} r^{-1+M_{2}} e^{x p} \phi_{3}(r) d r \tag{F.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{3}(r)$ is defined in ( $F \cdot 33$ ),

$$
\phi_{3}(r)=-1 / 3 r^{3}+R r^{2} e^{5 \pi i / 6}+\eta_{n} r e^{-i \pi / 6}+\eta_{n} R .
$$

Thus we can use the results from section (4) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}^{2}=i\left(e^{2 \pi i M_{2}}-1\right) e^{\eta_{n} R} \frac{\pi^{1 / 2}}{\alpha / 2} f_{3}^{\prime}(\alpha) e^{2 / 3 \alpha^{3}} \tag{F.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{3}^{\prime}(\alpha)$ is defined in (F.40). We will rewrite $I_{2}^{2}$ in the form
where

$$
C_{2}=-\frac{\Pi^{1 / 2}}{\alpha^{1 / 2}}\left(e^{2 \pi i \mu_{2}}-1\right)\left(\alpha e^{4 \pi i v_{3}}-2 R e^{-\pi / 6}\right)^{-1-M_{2}} \alpha^{-1+M_{2}} \exp \left[\eta_{n}^{R+2 / 3 \alpha^{3}}+\alpha^{2} R e^{5 \pi i / 6}+\frac{\lambda^{1 / 3} i \alpha}{2}\right]
$$

$$
\text { Similarly the contribution of } P_{2}(\eta) \text { to boundary condition (4) will }
$$ be

$$
I_{2}^{4}=\left(e^{2 \pi i N_{2}}-1\right) e^{4 \pi i M_{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(r e^{i \pi \pi_{6}}+R\right)^{2}\left(r e^{4 \pi i / 3}-2 R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-M_{2}} r^{-1+\mu_{2}} \exp \phi_{3}(r) d r
$$

and so using the results from section (5) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}^{4}=\left(e^{2 \pi i N_{2}}-1\right) e^{n_{1}^{R}} \frac{\Pi^{1 / 2}}{\alpha}{ }^{1 / 2} g_{3}^{\prime}(\alpha) e^{2 / 3 \alpha^{3}} \tag{F.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{3}^{\prime}(\alpha)$ is defined in (F.44) and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}^{4}=C_{2}\left(\alpha e^{i \pi / 6}+R\right)^{2} \tag{F.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

(8) Boundary Conditions (2) and (4) for $P_{1}(\eta)$

Using the original form of boundary condition (2), these two conditions (2) and (4) produce the following two integrals as the contributions of $P_{1}(\eta)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{1}^{2}=e^{\eta_{h} R}\left[e^{2 \pi i \mu_{2}}-1\right] \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(-r^{3} e^{-i \pi / 2}+i \eta_{h} r e^{-i \pi / 6}+i\right)\left(r-2 R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-M_{2}} r^{-1+\mu_{2}} \exp Q_{1}(r) d r, \\
& I_{1}^{4}=e^{\eta h^{R}}\left[e^{2 \pi i \mu_{2}}-1\right] \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{2} e^{-i \pi / 3}\left(r-2 R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-\mu_{2}} r^{-1+\mu_{2}} \exp Q_{1}(r) d r, \tag{F.77}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q_{1}(r)=-1 / 3 r^{3}+r^{2} R e^{i \pi / 6}-\eta n^{r} e^{-i \pi / 6}$.
We have that

$$
\eta_{n}=\lambda^{1 / 3}[(1-a)+i b]
$$

and so $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\eta_{n} e^{-i \pi / 6}\right\}>0$, and therefore because $\eta_{h}$ is large we can approximate $Q_{1}(r)$ to $-\eta_{h} r e^{-i \pi / 6}$. Substituting a new variable, $u$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\eta_{n} r e^{-i \pi / 6} \tag{F.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

the integrals $I_{1}^{2}$ and $I_{1}^{4}$ become

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{1}^{2}=D_{1} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\frac{u^{3}}{\eta_{h}^{3}}+i u+i\right]\left(1+\frac{u}{-2 R \eta_{h}}\right)^{-1-M_{2}} u^{-1+M_{2}} e^{-u} d u  \tag{F.81}\\
& I_{1}^{4}=D_{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{u^{2}}{\eta_{n}^{2}}\left(1+\frac{u}{-2 R \eta_{h}}\right)^{-1-M_{2}} u^{-1+M_{2}} e^{-u} d u \tag{F.82}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\quad D_{1}=\eta_{n}\left(e^{2 \pi i M_{2}}-1\right)\left(-2 R e^{1 \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-M_{2}} \exp \left(\eta_{h} R-i \pi / 6\right)$.
The integrals are therefore summations of Whittaker functions. In general
we have (32)

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{k, m}(z)=\frac{e^{-1 / 2} z^{k}}{\Gamma(1 / 2-k+m)} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-k-1 / 2+m}\left(1+\frac{t}{2}\right)^{k-1 / 2+m} e^{-t} d t . \tag{F.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1}^{2}=D_{1}^{\prime}[ & \frac{1}{\eta_{h}^{3}} \Gamma\left(3+M_{2}\right)\left(-\tau_{h}\right)^{3 / 2} W_{-3 / 2}-M_{2,1}\left(-\tau_{h}\right)+i \Gamma\left(1+M_{2}\right)\left(\tau_{h}\right)^{1 / 2} W_{-1 / 2-M_{2}, O^{h}}\left(-\tau_{h}\right)  \tag{F.85}\\
& \left.+i \Gamma\left(M_{2}\right) W_{-M_{2}}\left(-\tau_{2} \tau_{h}\right)\right] \\
I_{1}^{4}=D_{1}^{\prime} & {\left[\frac{1}{\eta_{h}^{2}} \Gamma\left(2+M_{2}\right) \underset{-1-M_{2}, M_{2}}{\underset{\sim}{1}}\left(-\tau_{h}\right)\right] } \tag{F.86}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\tau_{h}=2 R \eta_{h}\right)  \tag{F.87}\\
& D_{1}^{\prime}=D_{1} e^{-1 / 2 \tau_{h}}\left(-\tau_{h}\right)^{M_{2}} \tag{F.88}
\end{align*}
$$

(9) Boundary Conditions (1) and (3) for $P_{2}(\eta)$

Using the original form of the boundary condition (1) the contributions of $P_{2}(\eta)$ to (1) and (3) are

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{2}^{\prime}=\left(e^{2 \pi i M_{2}}-1\right) e^{\eta e^{R}} e^{4 \pi i M_{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(r^{3} e^{\pi i y_{2}}-i \eta_{0}^{r i e^{i / 4}}+i\right)\left(r e^{4 \pi j / 3} 2 R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-\mu_{2}} r^{-1+M_{2}} \exp Q_{2}(r) d r,  \tag{F.89}\\
& I_{2}^{3}=\left(e^{2 \pi i M_{2}}-1\right) e^{\eta_{0}} e^{4 \pi i M_{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(r^{2} e^{i \pi / 3}-M r e^{1 \pi / 6}\right)\left(r e^{4 \pi i / 3}-2 R e^{i \pi / 6}\right)^{-1-\mu_{2}} r^{-1+r / 2} \exp Q_{2}(r) d r, \tag{F.90}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q_{2}(r)=-1 / 3 r^{3}+R r^{2} e^{5 \pi i / 6}+\eta_{0} r e^{i \pi / 6}$.
Noting that $\eta_{0}=-\lambda^{1 / 3}[a-i b]$ we can approximate $Q_{2}(r)$ to $\eta_{0} r e^{i \pi / 6}$
since $\eta_{0}$ is large. Thus using a new variable, v where

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=-\eta_{0} r e^{i \pi / 6} \tag{F.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{2}^{\prime}=D_{2} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[-\frac{v^{3}}{\eta_{0}^{3}}+i v+i\right]\left(1+\frac{v}{-2 R \eta_{0}}\right)^{-1-M_{2}} v^{-1+M_{2}} e^{-v} d v,  \tag{F.93}\\
& I_{2}^{3}=D_{2} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\frac{v^{2}}{\eta_{0}^{2}}+\frac{M_{v}}{\eta_{0}}\right]\left(1+\frac{v}{-2 R \eta_{0}}\right)^{-1-M_{2}} v^{-1+M_{2}} e^{-v} d v \tag{F.94}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{2}=\left[1-e^{2 \pi i \mu_{2}}\right] \eta_{0}\left(-2 R \eta_{0}\right)^{-1-\mu_{2}} \exp \left(\eta_{0}^{R}+\frac{4 \pi i M_{2}}{3}+\left(\frac{i \pi}{6}\right)^{-2 M_{2}-1}\right) \text {. } \tag{F.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again the integrals are summations of Whittaker functions,

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{2}^{\prime}=D_{2}^{\prime} {\left[-\frac{1}{\eta_{0}^{3}} \Gamma\left(3+M_{2}\right)\left(-\tau_{0}\right)^{3 / 2} W_{-3 / 2 / 2}\left(-\tau_{0}\right)+i \Gamma\left(1+M_{2}\right)\left(-\tau_{0}\right)^{1 / 2} W_{-1_{2}-M_{2}, 0}\left(-\tau_{0}\right)\right.} \\
&\left.+i \Gamma\left(M_{2}\right) W_{-M_{2},-1 / 2}\left(-\tau_{0}\right)\right],  \tag{F.96}\\
& I_{2}^{3}=D_{2}^{\prime} {\left[\frac{1}{\eta_{0}^{1} \Gamma\left(2+M_{2}\right)\left(-\tau_{0}\right) W_{--M_{2}, / 2}\left(-\tau_{0}\right)+\frac{M}{\eta_{0}} \Gamma\left(1+M_{2}\right)\left(-\tau_{0}\right)^{1 / 2} W_{-1 / 2}\left(-\tau_{2}, 0\right.}\left(\begin{array}{l}
0
\end{array}\right],\right.}  \tag{F.97}\\
& \text { where } D_{2}^{\prime}=D_{2} e^{-1 / 2 \tau_{0}}\left(-\tau_{0}\right)^{M_{2}}  \tag{F.98}\\
& \text { and } \tau_{0}=2 R \eta_{0} . \tag{F.99}
\end{align*}
$$
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## ABSTRACT

We investigate in three problems some effects of heat transfer in linked ocean/atmosphere models. In all the problems the term involving vertical thermal conduction is retained in the heat transfer equation and both molecular and eddy values for the conductivity are considered.

In Part 1 we look at a two layer model, ignoring all macroscopic motion; the governing equation for both layers is therefore the heat transfer equation. With suitable boundary conditions the 'phase lag' between a heat source in the upper layer and the temperature at the inteface of the layers (the sea surface) is studied.

In Part 2 we consider a one layer model. A perturbation model due to Blinova is extended to include the heat transfer equation. One boundary condition introduces a time dependent heat source at the bottom of the layer, simulating a heating at the sea surface. The stream function is obtained at the bottom of the layer.

Finally, in Part 3, the stability of a two layer liquid model is examined. Macroscopic motion in the lower layer is ignored. The perturbation equations for the two layers are solved and homogeneous boundary equations yield an equation of consistency for the system which leads to criteria for stability. These criteria are found using difference methods and, following Meksyn we produce first order correction terms to Eady's well known stability results. Using Meksyn's methods once more, the model is extended to include a variable coriolis parameter and a stability equation is found.
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