SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Stixilamides A and B, two new phenolic amides from the leaves of *Stixis suaveolens*

Quoc Anh Ngo^a,*, Thi Yen Tran^a, Thuy Hang Nguyen^a, Van Tuyen Nguyen^a, Hong Anh Duong^b, and Hung Viet Pham^b,*

^a Institute of Chemistry, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology, 18 Hoang Quoc Viet, CauGiay, 100000 Hanoi, Viet Nam

^bCentre for Environmental Technology and Sustainable Development (CETASD), VNU University of Science

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +84 2437564312; fax: +84 2438361283; e-mail: <u>ngoqanh@ich.vast.vn</u>

* Corresponding author: Tel.: +84 243 8587964; fax: +84 243 8588152; e-mail: <u>phamhungviet@hus.edu.vn</u>.

Abstract

The ethyl acetate extracts produced from the leaves of *Stixis suaveolens* (Roxb.) was characterized on the basis of NMR spectra combined with extensive mass spectroscopic techniques. The chemical characterization revealed presence of two new phenolic amides which were named as stixilamides A and B.

Keywords: Stixis suaveolens, Phenolic amide, Stixilamide

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +84 2437564312; fax: +84 2438361283; e-mail: ngoqanh@ich.vast.vn

^{*} Corresponding author: Tel.: +84 243 8587964; fax: +84 243 8588152; e-mail: phamhungviet@hus.edu.vn.

Table of Contents:	Pages:
Figure S1. Chemical structure of compound 1 and 2	4
Figure S2. COSY and HMBC correlations in compound 1 and 2	4
Figure S3. ¹ H spectrum of compound ${f 1}$	6
Figure S4. 13 C spectrum of compound 1	7
Figure S5. COSY spectrum of compound 1	8
Figure S6. HMBC spectrum of compound 1	9
Figure S7. HSQC spectrum of compound 1	10
Figure S8. NOESY spectrum of compound 1	11
Figure S9. ESI-HRMS spectrum of compound 1	12
Figure S10. ¹ H spectrum of compound 2	10
Figure S11. ¹³ C spectrum of compound 2	11
Figure S12. COSY spectrum of compopund 2	13
Figure S13. HMBC spectrum of compound 2	16
Figure S14. HSQC spectrum of compound 2	17
Figure S15. NOESY spectrum of compound 2	18
Figure S16. ESI-HRMS spectrum of compound 2	19
Table S1. ¹ H- and ¹³ C-NMR data for compounds 1 , 2	5

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General experimental procedures.

NMR spectra were recorded on a BRUKER - 500 spectrometer, operating at 125.76 MHz for ¹³C NMR and at 500.13 MHz for ¹H-NMR. ¹H chemical shifts were referenced to CD₃OD at 3.31 ppm, while the ¹³C chemical shifts were referenced to the central peak at 49.0 (CD₃OD). For HMBC experiments the delay (1/2J) was 70 ms. The HR-ESI-MS spectra were measured on a SCIEX X500R-QTOF mass spectrometer. Silica gel plate (Merck F254) was used for analytical TLC and for flash column chromatography, respectively. All solvents used throughout the experiments were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich company.

Assay for NO inhibitory effect using RAW 264.7 cells.

RAW 264.7 cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with penicillin G sodium (100 units/mL), streptomycin sulfate (100 μ g/mL), amphotericin B (0.25 μ g/mL), and 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). The cells were seeded in 96-well culture plates with 1 × 105 cells/well and incubated for 24 h at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂. The cells were treated with samples dissolved in phenol red-free DMEM for 30 min followed by 1 μ g/mL of LPS treatment for 20 h. The amount of NO in the cultured medium was measured by the Griess reagent. The standard curve was created by using known concentrations of sodium nitrite, and the absorbance was measured at 540 nm. To evaluate the cytotoxic effect of samples in RAW 264.7 cells in the assay condition, SRB assay was performed. Briefly, after the fixation with 10% trichloroacetic acid (TCA), cells were stained with 0.4% SRB solution in 1% acetic acid followed by dissolving bound SRB in 10 mM Trisbuffer. The optical density was determined at 515 nm.

Plant Materials

The leaves of *Stixis suaveolens* were collected in Ha Giang province in December 2016, and identified by Dr Nghiem Duc Trong, Hanoi University of Pharmacy. A voucher specimen (HNIP-18481) was deposited at the Herbarium of Medicinal Plants in Vietnam - Hanoi University of Pharmacy.

Extraction and Isolation

The dried plant sample (5.0 kg) was ground into powder and extracted with MeOH three times (5L each time) in sonication bath at room temperature. After solvent evaporation, (220 g) crude methanol extract was suspended in water and partitioned with dichloromethane, ethyl acetate. The obtained ethyl acetate layer was evaporated to remove solvent under reduced pressure to give a crude ethyl acetate part (13 g). This residue was subjected to reserve phase C-18 (RP-18) resin column and eluted with methanol/water (3/1, v/v) to give four fractions E1-E4. The E2 fraction was continued to subject to silica gel column chromatography with solvent system hexane/ethyl acetate/methanol (1/1/0.1, v/v/v) to give six smaller fractions E2A-E2F. Compounds 1 (7.5 mg) and 2 (3.0 mg) were isolated from fraction E2E using RP-18 column and eluted with acetone/water (1/1.5, v/v).

(1) (*E*)-*N*-(*erythro*-1-hydroxy-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)propan-2-yl)-3-(4-hydroxyphenyl) acrylamide : Colorless amorphous powder, $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ (c 0.1, MeOH) = +8.5; HR-ESI-MS: *m/z* 336.1199 [M+Na]⁺ (Calcd: C₁₈H₁₉NO₄Na⁺ *m/z* 336.1206); ¹H (CD₃OD, 500 MHz) and ¹³C (CD-₃OD,125 MHz), see table S1.

(2) (*E*)-*N*-(*erythro*-1-hydroxy-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)propan-2-yl)-3-(4-hydroxy-3methoxyphenyl)acrylamide : Colorless amorphous powder, $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ (c 0.1, MeOH) = +10.0; HR-ESI-MS: *m/z* 366.1305 [M+Na]⁺ (Calcd: C₁₉H₂₁NO₅Na⁺ *m/z* 366.1312); ¹H (CD₃OD, 500 MHz) and ¹³C (CD₃OD,125 MHz), see table S1.



(*E*)-*N*-(*erythro*-1-hydroxy-1-(4hydroxyphenyl)propan-2-yl)-3-(4hydroxyphenyl)acrylamide



*(E)-N-(erythro-*1-hydroxy-1-(4hydroxyphenyl)propan-2-yl)-3-(4-hydroxy-3methoxyphenyl)acrylamide

Figure S1: Chemical structures of compounds 1 and 2 isolated from *Stixis suaveolens*.



Figure S2: Important COSY and HMBC correlations in compound 1 and 2.

No.	1		2		
	${}^{\mathrm{a,b}} \delta_{\mathrm{C}}$	$^{\mathrm{a,c}}\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ (mult., $J = \mathrm{Hz}$)	${}^{\mathrm{a,b}} \delta_{\mathrm{C}}$	$^{\mathrm{a,c}}\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ (mult., $J = \mathrm{Hz}$)	
1	127.8	-	128.4	-	
2	130.5	7.41 (d, 8.5)	111.6	7.01 (d, 2.0)	
3	116.7	6.80 (d, 8.5)	149.3	-	
4	160.5	-	149.8	-	
5	116.7	6.80 (d, 8.5)	116.5	6.69 (d, 8.5)	
6	130.5	7.41 (d, 8.5)	123.2	6.92 (dd, 2.0, 8.5)	
7	141.8	7.43 (d, 15.5)	142.1	7.31 (d, 16.0)	
8	118.6	6.42 (d, 15.5)	118.9	6.33 (d, 16.0)	
9	168.6	-	168.6	-	
1′	134.4	-	134.4	-	
2	128.6	7.24 (d, 8.5)	128.6	7.12 (d, 8.5)	
3	115.8	6.76 (d, 8.5)	115.8	6.65 (d, 8.5)	
4′	157.7	-	157.7	-	
5′	115.8	6.76 (d, 8.5)	115.8	6.65 (d, 8.5)	
б	128.6	7.24 (d, 8.5)	128.6	7.12 (d, 8.5)	
7	76.8	4.70 (d, 4.5)	76.9	4.58 (d, 4.5)	
8	52.3	4.23 (dq, 4.5, 6.5)	52.3	4.12 (dq, 4.5, 6.5)	
9	14.9	1.13 (d, 6.5)	15.0	1.01 (d, 6.5)	
OMe-3'	-		56.4	3.78 (s)	

Table S1: ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR data for compounds 1, 2.

Recorded in ^{a)} CD₃OD, ^{b)} 125 MHz, ^{c)} 500 MHz



Figure S3.¹H spectrum of compound 1



Figure S3.1.¹H spectrum of compound 1



Figure S3.2.¹H spectrum of compound 1



Figure S4. ¹³C spectrum of Compound 1



Figure S5. COSY spectrum of compound 1



Figure S6. HMBC spectrum of compound 1



Figure S7. HSQC spectrum of compound 1



Figure S8. NOESY spectrum of compound 1



Sample name:13E1 Operator: Le Anh VHH Method: +IDA TOF MS Date: 2019.02.25



Device Model: SCIEX X500 QTOF

Figure S9. ESI-HRMS spectrum of compound 1



Figure S10. ¹H spectrum of compound 2



Figure S10.1. ¹H spectrum of compound 2



Figure S10.2. 1 H spectrum of compound 2



Figure S11. ¹³C spectrum of compound 2



Figure S12. COSY spectrum of compound 2



Figure S13. HMBC spectrum of compound 2



Figure S14. HSQC spectrum of compound 2



Figure S15. NOESY spectrum of compound 2





Figure S16. ESI-HRMS spectrum of compound 2

Device Model: SCIEX X500 QTOF