# Controlling readability of large Field-of-View Head-Mounted Displays Aeolus

### Alexander Toet, Frank L Kooi

AEOLUS Human Performance Innovation Center, TNO

Soesterberg, the Netherlands

### Introduction

Information displayed on Head-Mounted Displays (HMDs) can only be read by making eye movements, since head movements have no effect on the ocular image position.

Hypotheses :

### **Results**

Crowding time is heavily influenced by polarity and aniseikonia:



- <u>Opposite</u> target-flanker <u>polarity</u> significantly increases reading speed (symbol legibility) by reducing crowding (clutter).
- Aniseikonia (asymmetric image magnification in both eyes: a common visual deficit) significantly limits the readability of large field-of-view (FoV) binocular HMDs and causes eye strain.

### **Methods**

#### Procedure

To investigate the dynamics of information uptake from HMDs as a function of eccentricity and 'clutter' level, we measured

- the ability to quickly determine the orientation of target Ts (T vs  $\perp$ ) surrounded by 4 randomly oriented (T,  $\perp$ ,  $\vdash$ ,  $\dashv$ ) flanker T's,
- quantified through reaction time and percentage correct,
- as a function of target-flanker spacing and eccentricity,
- for the same and opposite target and flanker luminance polarity,
- with and without a  $2\frac{1}{2}$ % aniseikonic lens.

In addition we measured the subjective eye strain.

The additional delay caused by the four flankers is adopted as the 'Crowding-Time' (= part of the overall reaction time).

In the aniseikonic condition, a lens placed in front of one eye optically enlarged the image by 21/2%, simulating a common optometric condition.

Opposite-Polarity reduced Crowding-Time by a factor of 2.6 (p< 0.001) compared to the Same-Polarity condition.



Unexpectedly, mild aniseikonia:

- doubled Crowding-Time (p<0.001), and</li>
- caused the highest level of eye strain (p < 0.001).

At large eccentricities, participants also complain of eye-strain.

#### **Participants**

N= 12, all scored normal on relevant optometric tests (stereopsis, visual acuity, Awaya aniseikonia test, phoria).

#### Stimuli

Participants fixated the  $\bot$  in the middle of the screen. After pressing the space bar to start a trial, they successively looked at the target T's in the four corners and remembered which ones were standing upright, and subsequently pressed the space bar again to stop the trial.





In the aniseikonia condition a  $2\frac{1}{2}$ % enlarging lens was placed before the left eye.



# Conclusions

For all eccentricities and target-flanker spacings, Crowding-Time is :

- reduced more than twofold by opposite polarity,
- doubled by 2½% un-habituated aniseikonia.

Thus, optimal deployment of large FoV HMDs requires:

- decluttering to optimize overall display readability, and
- screening-out of common optometric conditions.

## **Practical implications**

Even users with mild aniseikonia are likely to experience problems while reading large FoV HMDs.

Currently the F35 HMDS is the only wide FoV symbology HMD in use, but more are bound to follow.

Current screening norms do no exclude mild aniseikonia: Dutch pilots who just pass the norm for anisometropia (<2D) can exhibit 2½% aniseikonia\* which doubles Crowding-Time.

Design: A decluttered HMD design significantly increases information uptake.

The different stimulus configurations used in this study.



\* corrected by spectacles if Refractive Anisometropia or contact lenses if Axial Anisometropia.

### Acknowledgement

Effort sponsored by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Air Force Material Command, USAF, under grant number FA9550-18-1-7022.

### References

Kooi, F.L. (1997) Visual strain: a comparison of monitors and head-mounted displays. Imaging Sciences and Display Technologies, SPIE-2949, pp. 162-171. DOI: 10.1117/12.266346.

