

# ROLE OF REQUIREMENTS IN SCIENTIFIC SOFTWARE

## SCIENTIFIC SOFTWARE: PRACTICES, CONCERNS, AND SOLUTION STRATEGIES (PART II OF II)

**JARED O'NEAL**

Mathematics & Computer Science  
Argonne National Laboratory

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS & FUNDING

- This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science, Office of Advanced Scientific Computing Research (ASCR), and by the Exascale Computing Project (17-SC-20-SC), a collaborative effort of the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science and the National Nuclear Security Administration.
- This work was performed in part at the Argonne National Laboratory, which is managed by UChicago Argonne, LLC for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357



<https://ideas-productivity.org>



EXASCALE COMPUTING PROJECT

<https://www.exascaleproject.org>



<https://bssw.io>

# INFORMAL DEFINITION

A complete collection of well-defined, mutually-consistent statements that define what you want to build and why these statements are important.

- What qualifies as “complete” is up to team
- Well-defined & mutually-consistent should not be optional

## Requirements

- help understand **what we want** before we address **how to build it**,
- should be **verifiable**, and
- should be documented.

# FUNCTIONAL VS. NON-FUNCTIONAL

Functional Requirements communicate what services should or should not be provided. This can include how they react to

- inputs and
- to corner/edge cases.

**Example:** A new feature shall be added to the SW such that simulations Z can be configured at runtime to use a lower-order, but more performant solver.

Non-functional Requirements communicate constraints on the services and functionality. These could be related to performance, portability, process, *etc.*

**Example:** The SW shall be developed as an open source project that is hosted on a Git-based version control host and shall have automated testing integrated in the repository for use with Continuous Integration.

# LOW-LEVEL REQUIREMENTS

- Technically-detailed or result of heavy constraints
- Possibly informed by implementation ideas & constraints
- Overly specific can hinder design, creativity, & freedom
- Functions, classes, and sub-systems can be developed through **design by contract** (interface specification)

**Example:** The SW architecture shall be upgraded such that a simulation can be run on nodes with Model X CPUs and Model Y GPUs. The use of GPUs shall be determined by the pre-processor.

# HIGH-LEVEL REQUIREMENTS

- Broad ideas, concepts, constraints, and abstractions
- Little technical detail
- Can be understood by people from different disciplines
- Not affected as strongly by changes
- Can be difficult for non-experts to turn into implementations

**Example:** The SW architecture shall be upgraded such that a simulation can be built to run on a node with only CPUs or on a node with accelerators.

# EXTERNALLY-IMPOSED

Functional or Non-functional requirements due to

- Use of third-party libraries
- Working as a team of teams, or
- Including standardization (e.g. xSDK Community Package Policies)

# PARTICIPANTS

Requirements should capture viewpoints of different roles related to the development, maintenance, and use of the SW so that we discover more constraints & identify problems early

- Domain experts can define need, limits, & tolerances
- Developers & technical experts understand technical constraints
- Users define interfaces



# EXAMPLE DESIGN WORKFLOW

- Science/Engineering Cases
- Derive Requirements from S/E Cases
  - Requirement elicitation, specification, & validation
  - Determine tests needed to confirm that requirements are satisfied
- Convert Requirements into Design
  - Generate low-level technical specifications
  - Create design that satisfies specifications
- Implement
- Verification – did we satisfy the requirements
- Validation – do the requirements result in SW that has correct/useful results

# USER STORIES

## A form of requirement elicitation

As a ..., I would like ... so that ....

These statements

- express what needs to be done or a constraint on what we can do and
- encapsulate the reasons why the need or constraint should be considered.

User stories should start a discussion that concludes with requirements and possibly tasks to start work.

# ELICITATION & SPECIFICATION

**As a user of the SW, I would like the storage of data to make good use of HPC resources and to leverage pre-existing libraries for reading data so that my simulations run in less time and time to results is reduced.**

**V1:** The SW shall record simulation results, configuration values, hardware information, and telemetry via a parallel IO library and using a standard file format.


**V2:** The SW shall record simulation results, configuration values, hardware information, and telemetry via a parallel IO library and using a file format that is included in python, R, MATLAB, and C/C++.

**V3:** The SW shall record simulation results, configuration values, hardware information, and telemetry via parallel IO library XYZ v1.2.3 or greater.


# ITERATION & PROTOTYPING

## Requirements require refining

Larger/Formal



Smaller/Exploratory



# DOCUMENTATION

## Requirements Management

- Documents should be clear, readable by many, & living
- Documentation maintenance should be easy & simple
- Design-by-contract requirements & motivation can be comments and inline documentation
- Should high-level or system-level requirements
  - Go into dedicated document?
  - Be included in the developer's guide or adapted for user guide?
  - Be a history of static requirements documents?
  - Be encoded in system-level test cases?

# ARE REQUIREMENTS FOR CSE?

## The Bad & Ugly

- Can be challenging and frustrating
- Can be seen as impediment to immediate progress
- Requirements change
  - Due to changing environment
  - Due to improved understanding
- Hard to know when enough is enough

# ARE REQUIREMENTS FOR CSE?

## The Good

- Achieve a clear & shared understanding of what needs to be done,
- Arrive at definitions & concepts that are understood by all,
- Bring out in the open ideas that seem obvious to some and usually go unstated,
- Bridge differences between disciplines & levels of expertise,
- Discover constraints/problems early,
- Link requirements with verification,
- Build a team where members feel like an important part of the process, and
- Arrive at idea of SW architecture through structuring/grouping requirements.

# SOURCES

## Selected Books

### Textbooks

1. Ian Sommerville, **Software Engineering**.
2. Benjamin S. Blanchard and Wolter J. Fabrycky, **Systems Engineering and Analysis**.

### Popular books

1. Andrew Hunt and David Thomas, **The Pragmatic Programmer**.
2. Steve McConnell, **Code Complete 2**.

### Chapters

1. Alberto Sillitti and Giancarlo Succi, "Requirements Engineering for Agile Methods" in **Engineering and Managing Software Requirements**.



# SOURCES

## Selected Articles

1. Yang Li, Emitza Guzman & Bernd Brügge, **Effective Requirements Engineering for CSE Projects: A Lightweight Tool**, 2015.
2. Dustin Heaton & Jeffrey C. Carver, **Claims about the use of software engineering practices in science: A systematic literature review**, 2015.
3. Yang Li, Matteo Harutunian, Nitesh Narayan, Bernd Brügge and Gerrit Buse, **Requirements Engineering for Scientific Computing: A Model-Based Approach**, 2011.
4. Sarah Thew, Alistair Sutcliffe, Rob Procter, Oscar de Bruijn, John McNaught, Colin C. Venters, & Iain Buchan, **Requirements Engineering for E-science: Experiences in Epidemiology**, 2009.