## Supplementary Material: Interview schedule

This interview schedule was approved as part of the ethics application reviewed by the University of Melbourne Faculty of Science Human Ethics Advisory Group in November 2015.

|  |
| --- |
| Thank you for agreeing to be a participant in my research project. As I mentioned when we spoke/I wrote, I am researching the predicted health outcomes that result from the implementation of climate change policy aimed at reducing carbon and other emissions, which I will call mitigation policy. In particular, I am exploring how these predicted health outcomes are accounted for in the development of national and supranational climate change mitigation policy.  The purpose of this interview is to gain insight into how the European Commission accounts for short-term and long-term health consequences in the development of mitigation policy, and what factors influence how health is considered and accounted for. |

|  |
| --- |
| **Main questions** |
| ***Policy-Making Process***  1. How are predicted health outcomes accounted for in the development of climate change mitigation policies?  a) What processes are used to account for multiple considerations in policy-making? |
| ***Factors Influencing the Prioritisation of Health given Multiple Considerations***  2. In your experience, what factors influence whether and how health outcomes inform climate change policy-making?  a) What processes are used to rank or prioritise the different considerations that inform policy-making?  b) Are health impact/integrated assessments regularly used to inform climate change policy-making? |
| ***Barriers and Enablers for the Consideration of Health***  3. a) What do you consider to be potential barriers in accounting for health outcomes in climate change mitigation policy?  3. b) What do you consider to be potential enablers in accounting for health outcomes in climate change mitigation policy? |
| ***The Evidence Base for Policy***  4. To what extent is peer reviewed scientific evidence used as a basis for policy development and program design?  a) How is research accessed and utilised in the policy development process? |
| ***The Role of External Actors and Stakeholders***  5. In what ways do stakeholders and interest groups inform the climate change policy-making process?  a) Are there avenues beyond the formal stakeholder consultation processes that facilitate policy input from stakeholders on climate change policy? |
| ***Communicating Policy Decisions***  6. What are the main drivers associated with communicating policy decisions?  a) Are health benefits and healthcare savings a useful communications frame in communicating climate policy decisions? |

### Closing

|  |
| --- |
| Thank you very much for your time and perspectives.  This interview constitutes one of a number of data sources that will be analysed to build a case study on how the European Commission considers and accounts for possible health outcomes in the development of climate change mitigation policy.  Please don’t hesitate to contact me through my contact details supplied on the Plain Language Statement should you have any questions. |