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## Chemical information of three inhibitors

Four different chemical information for three inhibitors are provided below:
Table 1: Chemical information of three inhibitors

|  | REGO*** | PI3K-i | MEK-i |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemical formula | $C_{22} H_{16} \mathrm{CIF}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{IN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ |
| Structure |  |  |  |

* The final concentration represents $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of each inhibitor treated to K-Ras cells. All $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were determined according to the manufacturer's instruction(Promega).
** All stock solutions were stored in the dark at room temperature.
*** REGO: its full name is regorafenib as shown at https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/Regorafenib.


## Relative susceptibility and relative efficacy

We calculated two measures for two different treatment time: relative susceptibility and relative efficacy. The following tables (Tables S2 and S3) include those measures. $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ are defined in Figure 2A in main text.

## Comparison of variances of two PCA applications

To investigate the validity of dimension reduction by PCA, we calculate the Euclidian distance between a given condition and WT_NT_24 h in two different space: Data space and PC space (Table S4).

Table 2: Treatment time 24h: Relative susceptibility and relative efficacy

| Condition | RS | RE | $d_{1}$ | $d_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (K-Ras, REGO) | 2.31 | 0.23 | 3.63 | 15.63 |
| (K-Ras, PI3K-i) | 0.59 | 0.53 | 3.63 | 6.91 |
| (K-Ras, MEK-i) | 1.22 | 0.51 | 3.63 | 7.10 |
| (K-Ras/PI3K, REGO) | 0.77 | 1.28 | 5.80 | 4.53 |
| (K-Ras/PI3K, PI3K-i) | 1.38 | 0.35 | 5.80 | 16.35 |
| (K-Ras/PI3K, MEK-i) | 0.99 | 0.70 | 5.80 | 8.35 |

Table 3: Treatment time 48h: Relative susceptibility and relative efficacy

| Condition | RS | RE | $d_{1}$ | $d_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (K-Ras, REGO) | 1.64 | 0.31 | 5.25 | 16.88 |
| (K-Ras, PI3K-i) | 0.52 | 1.10 | 5.25 | 4.78 |
| (K-Ras, MEK-i) | 1.27 | 0.48 | 5.25 | 10.86 |
| (K-Ras/PI3K, REGO) | 1.05 | 1.06 | 15.18 | 14.28 |
| (K-Ras/PI3K, PI3K-i) | 0.88 | 0.93 | 15.18 | 16.27 |
| (K-Ras/PI3K, MEK-i) | 1.25 | 0.77 | 15.18 | 19.66 |

As seen in the table, the two sets of distances from two different space are highly correlated ( $R^{2}=0.99$ ), implying the distance in PC space conserves the distance in the 19-dimensional original space. That is, it is clear that the degree of change in IFAA profile can be appropriately translated and evaluated in the 2D-PC space.

Intracellular free amino acids (IFAA) profiles were obtained from 24 different experimental conditions. For each condition, three IFAA profiling experiments were independently repeated to confirm the reproducibility. The principal component analysis (PCA) was applied to the raw data (i.e. 72 19-by-1 vectors in total), which is called Individual. Also, we applied the PCA to the 24 averaged data, which is called Average. Depending on the data set to which the PCA is applied, it is named: Individual and Average. The following tables provide the proportion of variance explained by each principal component. Corresponding numerical numbers are given in Tables S 5 and S 6 below. The two tables include the first nine components without listing the other ten components whose contributions to total variance were negligible (i.e. less than 0.1 in variance).

## Effect of calculation order on PCA plots

Due to the difficulty of graphical representation of high-dimensional data, we applied PCA to the raw data, and then focused on the first two principal components, i.e., two dimensional PC space. Since two events such as averaging and PCA are applied to our data, there might be the order effect. The meaning of the order effect is whether the fact that which event is first applied affects the results or not. To see if there is the order effect, we compare two different scenarios: (i) application of average followed by PCA, (ii) application of PCA followed by average. Two results are represented in Figure S1. When the total 72 vectors were input to PCA, the centroid of the three points for a given condition was almost identical to the point after applying PCA to the averaged vector, showing no significant difference between the two approaches to obtain the PCA plot (Fig. S1). Thus we here make use of the transformed point of averaged vectors by PCA. Such 24 points are given in Figure S2. Among them, six points corresponding to each treatment are highlighted in different figures: Figure S2 (A) to (D).

Table 4: Euclidian distances between WT_NT_24h and each condition in 2-dimensional PC and 19dimensional spaces

| Conditions | Distances in 2-dimensional PC space | Distances in 19-dimensional space |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| WT_NT_24h | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| K-Ras_NT_24h | 1.51 | 3.63 |
| K-Ras/PI3K_NT_24h | 4.44 | 5.80 |
| WT_REGO_24h | 10.12 | 10.64 |
| K-Ras_REGO_24h | 23.25 | 23.84 |
| K-Ras/PI3K_REGO_24h | 11.31 | 11.50 |
| WT_PI3K-i_24h | 11.22 | 13.16 |
| K-Ras_PI3K-i_24h | 8.55 | 10.28 |
| K-Ras/PI3K_PI3K-i_24h | 20.81 | 22.08 |
| WT_MEK-i_24h | 17.87 | 17.92 |
| K-Ras_MEK-i_24h | 21.72 | 21.76 |
| K-Ras/PI3K_MEK-i_24h | 21.69 | 22.32 |
| WT_NT_48h | 0.85 | 3.33 |
| K-Ras_NT_48h | 5.43 | 6.81 |
| K-Ras/PI3K_NT_48h | 14.62 | 15.35 |
| WT_REGO_48h | 11.23 | 13.41 |
| K-Ras_REGO_48h | 22.88 | 24.01 |
| K-Ras/PI3K_REGO_48h | 23.27 | 23.46 |
| WT_PI3K-i_48h | 12.18 | 13.15 |
| K-Ras_PI3K-i_48h | 10.69 | 11.38 |
| K-Ras/PI3K_PI3K-i_48h | 23.48 | 24.67 |
| WT_MEK-i_48h | 18.39 | 18.47 |
| K-Ras_MEK-i_48h | 26.50 | 26.62 |
| K-Ras/PI3K_MEK-i_48h | 34.37 | 35.46 |

## Representation of hierarchical clustering with heatmaps

A two-dimensional heatmap (or heat map) displays the results of a cluster analysis by permuting the rows and columns of a matrix-formed data to place similar values near each other with representing the numerical values into colors. Generally, rows or columns with large values dominantly influence the cluster analysis in the heatmap in comparison with other rows or columns containing small values. As seen in Fig. S3 (A), most columns of the heatmap have similar colors except the last three columns with relatively large numbers. The clustering result of the standardized data can be graphically represented into a heatmap as shown in Fig. S3 (B).

Table 5: Variance for each principal component of PCA applied to 24 averaged vectors

| Principal components | Variance | Percentage of variance (\%) | Cumulative percentage (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PC1 | 92.5 | 65.8 | 65.8 |
| PC2 | 27.9 | 19.8 | 85.6 |
| PC3 | 15.1 | 10.8 | 96.4 |
| PC4 | 3.6 | 2.5 | 98.9 |
| PC5 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 99.5 |
| PC6 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 99.7 |
| PC7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 99.8 |
| PC8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 99.9 |
| PC9 | $<0.1$ | $<0.1$ | $>99.9$ |

Table 6: Variance for each principal component of PCA applied to72 raw vectors and subsequently averaged in PC space

| Principal components | Variance | Percentage of variance (\%) | Cumulative percentage (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PC1 | 100.7 | 59.3 | 59.3 |
| PC2 | 35.4 | 20.9 | 80.3 |
| PC3 | 17.9 | 10.5 | 90.7 |
| PC4 | 12.5 | 7.3 | 98.1 |
| PC5 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 99.0 |
| PC6 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 99.5 |
| PC7 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 99.7 |
| PC8 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 99.9 |
| PC9 | 0.1 | $<0.1$ | $>99.9$ |




$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { O:WT_NT } & \triangle: \text { K-Ras_NT } & +: \text { K-ras/PI3K_NT } \\
\text { X:WT_REGO } & \diamond: \text { K-Ras_REGO } & \nabla: \text { K-ras/PI3K_REGO } \\
\triangle: \text { WT_PI3K-i } & \text { *:K-Ras_PI3K-i } & \oplus: \text { K-ras/PI3K_PI3K-I } \\
\text { (1:WT_MEK-i } & \text { 㐫: K-Ras_MEK-i } & \text { 田:K-ras/PI3K_MEK-i }
\end{array}
$$

Figure 1: Comparison of PCA plots. (A) PCA plot for 24 averaged vectors. (B) PCA plot for 72 raw vectors which were averaged into 24 loci in the PC space (i.e. one loci of the PCA plot is the centroid of the three points to which three raw vectors corresponding to a treatment condition are projected).
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$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\Delta & \text { K-Ras (24 h) } & \text { K-Ras/PI3K (24 h) } \\
\Delta & \text { K-Ras (48 h) } & \square \text { K-Ras/PI3K (48 h) }
\end{array}
$$

Figure 2: Original PCA plots with 24 loci. (A) The three types of non-treated (NT) cells are highlighted. The three types of cells treated with REGO, PI3K-im and MEK-I are highlighted in (B), (C) and (D), respectively.


Figure 3: Heatmaps to represent the result of clustered groups of conditions. (A) Non-standardized clustering. (B) Standardized clustering.

