Supporting Information

Single-neuron comparison of the olfactory receptor response to deuterated and nondeuterated odorants

Mihwa Na^{$\dagger,\ddagger}$, Min Ting Liu^{$\dagger,\$}$, Minh Nguyen^{\perp}, and Kevin Ryan ^{$\dagger,\ddagger,\*}</sup></sup>

[†] Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, The City College of New York, 160 Convent Avenue, New York, New York, 10031

Doctoral Programs in Biochemistry[‡] and Chemistry[§], The City University of New York Graduate Center, 365 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York, 10016

⁺Developmental Neural Plasticity Section, National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20892

Торіс	Figure	Page
Odorant structures	Figure S1	2
Odorant IR spectra	Figures S2(a-d)	3-4
Odorant LC/MS	Figures S3-S4	5
Odorant Gas chromatography traces	Figures S5(a-h)	6-14
Uncategorized ORN cells	Figure S6	15
Uncategorized UbI 7 ORN cells	Figure S7	16-17

Supplementary Information Table of Contents

This document contains Supplemental Figures S1-S7. Supplemental tables of heatmaps showing all cell responses are included as separate worksheets within a single Excel file. In that Excel file, Table S1 contains in heatmap format the tabulated responses of all odorant-responding ORNs, while Table S2 contains a summary of each experiment (i.e. mouse). The calcium imaging traces for any of the cells shown in heatmap format are available upon request.



Figure S1. Odorant structures



Figure S2b. IR spectra of 1-undecanol (blue) and 1-undecanol-d₂₃ (red)



Figure S2c. IR spectra of octanal (blue) and octanal-d16 (red)



Figure S2d. IR spectra of p-cymene (red) and p-cymene-d14 (blue)



Figure S3. HPLC-MS base-peak chromatogram for the deuterated and non-deuterated 1-octanol, 1-undecanol, and octanal (injected as mixture). The differences in the HPLC retention times of the isotopomers of 1-octanol, 1-undecanol, and octanal are shown. Y-axis represents the intensity of the most abundant peak in the mass spectrum. (Conditions: Column, Thermo-Scientific AclaimTM 120; C18 3µm 120A 2.1X100mm, Dionex Ultimate-3000, High-resolution Bruker's maXis-II ETD ESI-QqTOF), using a gradient system (A-solution: H₂O with 0.1% formic acid) and B-solution (acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid) at 0.2 mL/min.



Figure S4. HPLC trace for deuterated and non-deuterated *p*-cymene. (Conditions: Agela Technologies Durachell C18 5µm column, 100Å, 4.6X150mm. Mobile phase: 50% methanol in water, flow rate 0.4 mL/min, UV detection)



Figure S5a. Gas Chromatography trace for non-deuterated 1-octanol

Printed GC traces were scanned and the contrast was increased in Adobe Photoshop to improve visibility.



Figure S5b. Gas Chromatography trace for deuterated 1-octanol



Figure S5c. Gas Chromatography trace for non-deuterated 1-undecanol



Figure S5d. Gas Chromatography trace for deuterated 1- undecanol



Figure S5e. Gas Chromatography trace for non-deuterated octanal



Figure S5e (cont'd). 1H-NMR spectrum of non-deuterated octanal used in calcium imaging experiments.



Figure S5f. Gas Chromatography trace for deuterated octanal



Figure S5g. Gas Chromatography trace for non-deuterated p-cymene



Figure S5h. Gas Chromatography trace for deuterated p-cymene



Figure S6. Calcium imaging recordings of uncategorized cells. During the testing of the 23,812 forskolin-positive cells, three cells responded in such a way as not to fit into any of the four categories to which were assigned the 1,610 responding cells. Two of these were observed for 1-undecanol (top) and one for octanal (bottom).





Figure S7. Calcium imaging recordings of UbI7 cells showing ambiguous responses. During the testing of the forskolin-positive UbI7 cells, 14 cells gave ambiguous responses and thus were excluded from the H vs. D analysis. (d-oct: deuterated octanal, oct: non-deuterated octanal)