Supporting Information

Unveiling the Takai Olefination Reagent via Tris(*tert*-butoxy)siloxy Variants

Daniel Werner^a and Reiner Anwander*,^a

[a] Prof. Dr. R. Anwander, Institut für Anorganische Chemie, University of Tübingen (EKUT) Auf der Morgenstelle 18, 72076, Germany, E-mail: <u>reiner:anwander@uni-tuebingen.de;</u> E-Mail: <u>daniel.werner@uni-koeln.de</u>

Table of Contents

Experimental	S3
General	S3
Synthesis of precursors and organochromium reagents	S3
Reactions with TMEDA	S6
Test and blank reactions	S7
Reactions of the organochromium reagents with either benzaldehyde or 4-tert-butyl-cyclohexanone	S7
Preliminary reactions between organochromium reagents and allyl benzyl ether	
X-Ray Crystallography	S10
Table S1. X-ray crystallographic parameters for complexes 2-10	S10-11
Supplementary Figures and Schemes Associated with the Manuscript (Figures S1-S14)	S12
Figure S1. Connectivity structure of [Cr ₂ Cl ₄ (CHI)(thf) ₄] (1), along with provided bond lengths	S12
Figure S2. ² D NMR spectrum of [Cr ₂ Cl ₄ (CDI)(thf) _{3.5}] (1 ^D) in THF	S12
Figure S3. Crystal structure of [CrCl ₂ I(thf) ₃] (2)	S13
Scheme S1. Likely formation of Cr-I species through decomposition of an Cr-CHI2 intermediate	S13
Figure S4. Crystal structure of [Cr(OSi(OtBu) ₃) ₂ I(thf) ₃] (4)	S14
Figure S5. ¹ H NMR spectrum of [Cr(OSi(OtBu) ₃) ₂] with half an equivalent of CHI ₃	S15
Figure S6. Crystal structure of [Cr ₂ I(OSi(OtBu) ₃) ₄] (6a).	S16
Figure S7. Crystal structure of [CrCl ₂ (tmeda) ₂][I]·THF (7)	S17
Figure S8. Stacked ² D NMR spectra of [Cr ₂ Cl ₄ (CDI)(thf) _{3.5}] (1) with TMEDA in THF	S18
Figure S9. Crystal structure of [Cr(OSi(OtBu) ₃) ₂ (tmeda)] (8)	S19
Figure S10. Stacked ¹ H NMR spectra of [Cr(OSi(OtBu) ₃) ₂ (tmeda)] (8) and its reaction with CHI ₃ .	S20
Figure S11. Crystal structure of [Cr(OSi(OtBu) ₃) ₂ I(tmeda)] (10)	S21
Figure S12. ¹ H NMR spectra of <i>in situ</i> generated 9 and benzaldehyde	S22
Figure S13. ¹ H NMR spectrum of [Cr(OSi(OtBu) ₃) ₂] and benzaldehyde	S22
Figure S14. Connectivity structure of [Cr(OSi(OtBu) ₃) ₂ I(benzaldehyde)]·C ₆ D ₆ (11)	
Additional Relevant Spectra	S24
Figure S15. ¹ H NMR spectrum of the reaction between CrCl ₂ , iodoform, and benzaldehyde	S24
Figure S16. ¹ H NMR spectrum of the reaction between 1 and half an equivalent of benzaldehyde,	S25
Figure S17. ¹ H NMR spectrum of <i>in situ</i> generated 5a and half an equivalent of benzaldehyde	S26
Figure S18. ¹ H NMR spectrum of 1 and one an equivalent of 4-tert-butylcyclohexanone	S27
Figure S19. ¹ H NMR spectrum of <i>in situ</i> generated 5a and 4- <i>tert</i> -butylcyclohexanone	S28
Figure S20. ¹ H NMR spectrum of <i>in situ</i> generated 9 and 4- <i>tert</i> -butylcyclohexanone.	S29
Figure S21. 2 D NMR spectrum of 1^{D} with two equivalents of TMEDA and one equivalent of allyl benzyl ether	S30
References	S31

Experimental

General. All manipulations were performed using glovebox (MBraun 200B; <0.1 ppm O₂, <0.1 ppm H₂O), or Schlenk line techniques under an atmosphere of purified argon in oven dried glassware. Solvents (THF, *n*-hexane, and toluene) were purified by Grubbs columns (MBraun SPS, solvent purification system), and stored in a glovebox. THF was further dried over NaK, distilled under argon, and freshly degassed before use. Chromium(II) chloride was purchased from Sigma Aldrich (99.99% pure, trace metal basis), and used as received. TMEDA was purchased from Sigma Aldrich, and dried over molecular sieves. Iodoform was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, and sublimed before use. CDI₃ and $HOSi(OtBu)_3$ were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received. $[Cr(OSi(OtBu)_3)_2]_2$ was synthesized according to a literature procedure.¹ Benzaldehyde, and benzyl allyl ether were each purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, and were dried over pre-dried 3 Å molecular sieves, and further purified by distillation. 4-tert-Butyl-cyclohexanone was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and was purified by sublimation prior to use. The NMR spectra of air and moisturesensitive compounds were performed in pre-dried (over NaK) benzene-d₆ (C₆D₆), or THF-d₈ (further purified by distillation), with J. Young valve NMR spectroscopy tubes. Analyses were performed at 300 K with a Bruker AVII+400 (¹H: 400.13 MHz, ¹³C: 100.16 MHz), and where specified either a Bruker-Avance II 500 (¹H: 500.13 MHz; ²D: 76.77 MHz, ¹³C: 125.77 MHz), or a Bruker DRX250 (¹H: 250 MHz, ¹³C 63 MHz) machine. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Nicolet 6700 FTIR spectrometer ($\tilde{v} = 4000-600 \text{ cm}^{-1}$), using a DRIFT chamber with dry KBr/sample mixtures and KBr windows. Samples for elemental analysis (C, H, N) were taken from the bulk material, and were analysed on an Elementar Vario Micro cube. Magnetic susceptibilities and μ_{eff} were calculated by the Evans method.^{2,3} ¹H NMR measurements were performed with a scan range of both 20 ppm (-5 - 15 ppm) and 200 ppm (-100 - 100 ppm), however, as there were no resonances observed below -5 ppm and beyond 15 ppm, the wide-range NMR spectra are not presented.

Synthesis of precursors and organochromium reagents.

[Cr₂Cl₄(CHI)(thf)₃] (1, x = 4 or 3.5), [CrCl₂I(thf)₃] (2), and [Cr₂Cl₂(OSi(OfBu)₃)₂(CHI)(thf)₄] (3): CrCl₂ (0.165 g, 1.3 mmol, four equiv) was stirred in THF (2-3 mL) at ambient temperature, giving a pale blue/pale green slurry. The mixture was then cooled to -35 °C, and a cold (-35 °C) THF solution of iodoform (0.133 g, 0.34 mmol, one equiv) was slowly added dropwise at -35 °C. Upon slowly warming to ambient temperature (over the course of half an hour), the solution turned deep red, and an orange precipitate formed (later identified as [CrCl₂I(thf)₃] (2)). After filtration from 2, the light red solution was concentrated and stored at -35 °C, giving red crystals and a red supernatant solution. The crystals diffracted poorly, despite suitable size, but gave the overall connectivity of [Cr₂Cl₄(CHI)(thf)₄] (1, see Figure S1). One set of crystals contained one unit in the asymmetric unit: *a* = 10.83(5) Å, *b* = 17.19(8) Å, *c* = 13.61(6) Å, β = 90.19(8) °; one set contained three units within the asymmetric unit: *a* = 17.269(2) Å, *b* = 41.0444(4) Å, *c* = 10.940(1) Å, $\alpha=\beta=\gamma=90^{\circ}$. Upon drying *in vacuo*, partial loss of THF was observed giving a red amorphous powder of [Cr₂Cl₄(CHI)(thf)_{3.5}] (1). Yield: 0.10 g (47%, dried crystal yield). DRIFT: *v* = 2971 (w), 2895 (w), 1615 (present only upon air exposure), 1470 (vw), 1456 (w), 1447 (w), 1345 (vw), 1296 (vw), 1245 (w), 1175 (vw), 1021 (s), 1014 (s), 957 (w), 922 (m), 865 (vs), 858 (vs), 679 cm⁻¹ (m). Elemental analysis calcd. (%) for C₁₅H₂₉Cl₄Cr₂IO₄, (674.14 g mol⁻¹): C 30.29, H 4.93; ¹H NMR spectroscopy did not show any signals in the range -100 to 100 ppm.

Characterization of [*CrCl*₂*I*(*thf*)₃] (**2**): the microcrystalline powder was washed with toluene and evaporated to dryness (*in vacuo*), giving [CrCl₂I(thf)₃] (**2**). Yield: 0.36 g (120% calculated for a single reaction path producing solely **1** and **2** in equal amounts; thus the high yield indicates an alternative reaction path during the synthesis of **1**). DRIFT: v = 2973 (w), 2899 (w), 1488 (vw), 1457 (vw), 1448 (vw), 1341 (w), 1295 (vw), 1244 (vw), 1176 (vw), 1140 (vw), 1040 (m), 1011 (s), 958 (vw), 919 (m), 853 (vs), 685 (m), 578 cm⁻¹ (w). Elemental analysis calcd. (%) for C₁₂H₂₄Cl₂CrIO₃ (466.12 g mol⁻¹): C 30.92, H 5.18; Found: C 31.16, H 5.00.

Notes on the synthesis of 1: Note A: when the reaction was repeated at ambient temperature, although a red solution was obtained, only crystals of either [CrCl₃(thf)₃] or [CrCl₂I(thf)₃] (2) were identified after filtration from insoluble materials. Note B: in one synthesis attempt, toluene was added to the THF solution after the initial filtration from 2. This caused formation of additional 2 due to the instability of 1 in toluene. After filtration small crystals of 1 were obtained which also diffracted poorly (contained two molecules within the asymmetric unit, unit cell: a = 24.776(8) Å, b = 11.019(4) Å, c = 13.817(4) Å, $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^{\circ}$). Upon exposure to vacuum a light red powder was obtained and identified by elemental analysis as [Cr₂Cl₄(CHI)(thf)_{3,25}]. Elemental analysis calcd. (%) for C₁₄H₂₇Cl₄Cr₂IO_{3,25} (620.07 g mol⁻¹): C 27.11, H 4.38; found C 27.02, H 4.52. Note C: when four equivalents of [K(OSi(OtBu)₃)] were added to a pre-stirred reaction mixture of $CrCl_2$ (four equiv.)/CHI₃ (one equiv.), the produced [CrCl₂I(thf)₃] (2) redissolved. Crystallization from *n*-hexane at -35 °C yielded multiple species, one of which was identified by X-ray crystallography as $[Cr_2Cl_2(OSi(OtBu)_3)_2(CHI)(thf)_4]$ (3), in the form of a few small red block crystals. Note D: synthesis of the deuterated derivative $[Cr_2Cl_4(CHI)(thf)_{3.5}]$ (1^D) could be achieved by performing the synthesis of 1 with CDI₃ instead of CHI₃, the resulting product was a red microcrystalline powder. Upon analysis of the product by ²D NMR spectroscopy using (35.0 mg, 0.06 mmol) only three weak signals in the ²D NMR were observed for 1^D. ²D NMR (76.77 MHz, 300 K, THF(non-deuterated)): $\delta = 1.69$ (m, THF-d), 2.10 (m), 3.54 ppm (m, THF-d). However, over time only the two peaks remained that were attributed to deuterated THF-d. ²D NMR (76.77 MHz, 300 K, THF): $\delta = 1.69$ (m), 3.55 ppm (m); resonances did not change upon heating the reaction mixture at 50 °C for 16 h.

[Cr(OSi(OtBu)₃)₂I(thf)₃] (4) from the reaction of [Cr(OSi(OtBu)₃)₂] with CHI₃ in THF: [Cr(OSi(OtBu)₃)₂] (35.3 mg, 0.06 mmol, three equiv), was stirred in THF, and a THF solution of CHI₃ (8.0 mg, 0.02 mmol, one equiv) was added causing an immediate color change to yellowish orange. The solution was stirred for two h, before concentration (under vacuum), and addition of *n*-hexane. Storage at -35 °C gave light yellow, twinned crystals of [Cr(OSi(OtBu)₃)₂I(thf)₃] (4), amongst blue crystals of [Cr(OSi(OtBu)₃)₃(thf)₂], identified by unit cell comparisons with the literature.⁴ The crystals of 4 were washed with *n*-hexane and dried, yielding ~30 mg (~50%). DRIFT: v = 2968 (m), 2927 (w), 1456 (w), 1385 (m), 1362 (s), 1238 (s), 1216 (m), 1196 (s), 1189 (s), 1098 (w), 1087 (m), 1062 (s), 1051 (vs), 1044 (s), 1030 (s), 1001 (s), 942 (s), 922 (w), 908 (s), 895 (w), 871 (vs), 866 (vs), 854 (m), 821 (s), 799 (w), 699 (s), 686 (vs), 641 (w), 626 (vw), 570 (vw), 559 (vw), 537 (w), 521 (w), 506 (m), 480 (s), 480 cm⁻¹ (vs). Elemental analysis calcd. (%) for C₃₆H₇₈CrIO₁₁Si₂ (922.06 g mol⁻¹): C 46.89, H 8.52; found: C45.99, H 7.63.

 $[Cr_2I_2(OSi(OtBu)_3)_2(CHI_2)] \cdot \frac{1}{2n}$ -hexane $(5a \cdot \frac{1}{2n}$ -hexane) from treatment of $[Cr(OSi(OtBu)_3)_2]$ with one equiv of CHI_3: an ¹H NMR tube was charged with $[Cr(OSi(OtBu)_3)_2]$ (78.0 mg, 0.11 mmol, one equiv) and CHI_3 (53.0 mg, 0.14 mmol, one equiv) was added. Upon dissolution in C₆D₆ (~0.5 mL) a deep brown solution was obtained. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 400 MHz, 300 K): $\delta = 1.39$ (s, underneath broad peak), 0.03-3.35 (br s), 3.99 ppm (s, CHI_3). The solution was filtered S4

and dried (*in vacuo*), *n*-hexane was added and CHI₃ precipitated (~10 mg, recovered: 20%). The supernatant solution was separated from CHI₃, concentrated, and stored at -35 °C, producing crystals of CHI₃ and purple/yellow dichroic crystals of $[Cr_2I_2(OSi(OtBu)_3)_2(CHI_2)]^{.1}/_2n$ -hexane (**5a** $^{.1}/_2n$ -hexane). Attempted isolation and purification of **5a** failed, as attempted isolation by fractional crystallization gave only green/brown dichroic block crystals of $[Cr_2I(OSi(OtBu)_3)_2(CHI_2)]^{.1}/_2n$ -hexane), indicating that ligand redistribution had occurred.

[Cr₂I₂(OSi(OtBu)₃)₂(CHI₂)]·¹/₂n-hexane (5a⁻¹/₂n-hexane) and [Cr₂I(OSi(OtBu)₃)₄]·¹/₂ n-hexane (6a⁻¹/₂n-hexane) from treatment of [Cr(OSi(OtBu)₃)₂] with half an equivalent of CHI₃: an ¹H NMR tube was charged with [Cr(OSi(OtBu)₃)₂] (29.0 mg, 0.05 mmol, one equiv) and CHI₃ (10 mg, 0.025 mmol, half an equiv) was added. Upon dissolution in C₆D₆ (~0.5 mL) a deep brown solution formed. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 400 MHz, 300 K): δ = 0.08 (s), 1.38 (s, underneath broadened peak), 1.52 (s, underneath broadened peak), 0.03-3.35 (br s), 3.99 ppm (s, CHI₃). The reaction mixture was filtered and dried (*in vacuo*), and *n*-hexane was added (2-3 mL), precipitating CHI₃. The supernatant solution was separated from the precipitate, was concentrated, and stored at -35 °C. Crystals of CHI₃, [Cr₂I(OSi(OtBu)₃)₄]·¹/₂ n-hexane (6a⁻¹/₂n-hexane), and [Cr₂I₂(OSi(OtBu)₃)₂(CHI₂)]·¹/₂n-hexane (5a⁻¹/₂n-hexane) were obtained and identified by unit cell comparisons with the genuine samples. Attempted ²D NMR spectroscopy of a reaction mixture between [Cr(OSi(OtBu)₃)₂] (17.7 mg, 0.031 mmol) and CDI₃ (7.8 mg, 0.020 mmol) in toluene led to a spectrum consisting of CDI₃ and deuterated toluene. ²D NMR (77 MHz, 300 K, THF): δ = 2.11 (s, toluene), 3.93 (s, CDI₃), 7.09 ppm (m, toluene).

 $Cr_2(OSi(OtBu)_3)_4(CHI_2)]^{\cdot 1}/_{2n}$ -hexane (5b^{·1}/_{2n}-hexane) and [Cr₂I(OSi(OtBu)_3)_4]⁻¹/₂ *n*-hexane (6a^{·1}/_{2n}-hexane) from treatment of [Cr(OSi(OtBu)_3)_2] with a third of an equivalent of CHI_3: [Cr(OSi(OtBu)_3)_2] (91.6 mg, 0.16 mmol, three equiv) and CHI₃ (21.0 mg, 0.05 mmol, one equiv) were combined in toluene (3 mL) with stirring. After one h, the reaction mixture was dried (*in vacuo*), and *n*-hexane was added. Storage at -35 °C, gave dichroic crystals of 6a^{·1}/_{2n}hexane, along with orange/red crystals of [Cr₂(OSi(OtBu)_3)_4(CHI_2)]^{·1}/₂n-hexane (5b^{·1}/₂n-hexane), identified by X-ray crystallography. Attempted separation of 5b led to continuous contamination of crystalline 6a. Note: the crystals of 5b^{·1}/₂n-hexane were submerged in crystallography oil, and were noted to rapidly decompose to a yellow amorphous material. Elemental analysis calcd. (%) for 6a^{·1}/₂n-hexane, C₅₁H₁₁₅Cr₂IO₁₆Si₄ (1326.70 g mol⁻¹, loss of lattice *n*-hexane by pre-drying the crystals prior analysis): C 44.88, H 8.47; found: C 44.48, H 7.71.

 $Cr_2I_2(OSi(OtBu)_3)_2$] (6b) and $[Cr_2I(OSi(OtBu)_3)_4] \cdot \frac{1}{2}$ *n*-hexane (6a·1/2*n*-hexane) from treatment of $[Cr(OSi(OtBu)_3)_2]$ with a fourth of an equivalent of CHI_3: $[Cr(OSi(OtBu)_3)_2]$ (94.9 mg, 0.16 mmol, four equiv) and CHI₃ (16.0 mg, 0.04 mmol, one equiv) were combined in toluene (3 mL) with stirring. After one h, the reaction mixture was dried (*in vacuo*), and *n*-hexane was added. Storage at -35 °C, gave crystals of dichroic 6a·1/2*n*-hexane, along with colorless crystals of $[Cr_2I_2(OSi(OtBu)_3)_2]$ (6b), identified by X-ray crystallography. Some crystals of 6a·1/2*n*-hexane and 6b were easily separable by hand picking, and both were dried under vacuum prior analysis. Characterization of 6a: yield: ~14 mg, (~13%); elemental analysis calcd. (%) for 6a·1/2*n*-hexane: $C_{51}H_{115}Cr_2IO_{16}Si_4$ (1326.70 g mol⁻¹, loss of lattice *n*-hexane by pre-drying the crystals prior analysis) C 44.88, H 8.47; found: C 44.74, H 7.94. Characterization 6b: yield: ~12 mg, (~16%); DRIFT: v = 2974 (s), 2870 (w), 1471 (m), 1456 (m), 1392 (s), 1367 (vs), 1244 (s), 1191 (m),

1084 (s), 1029 (w), 978 (s), 897 (vs), 819 (m), 800 (w), 707 (s), 620 (m), 541 (vs), 528 cm⁻¹ (vs); elemental analysis calcd. (%) for $C_{24}H_{54}Cr_2I_2O_8Si_2$ (884.66 g mol⁻¹): C 32.58, H 6.15; found: 32.09, H 6.06.

Reactions with TMEDA.

Reaction between isolated 1/1^D and TMEDA: *method a, bulk synthesis:* $[Cr_2Cl_4(CDI)(thf)_{3.5}]$ (34.8 mg, 0.05 mmol, one equiv) was stirred in THF and TMEDA (12.0 mg, 0.01 mmol, two equiv) was added as a THF solution. The mixture was stirred, and after two h, a yellow solution was obtained. Upon storage at -35 °C, small colorless, poorly diffracting crystals were isolated. However, when the reaction was repeated (at -35 °C) and an excess of TMEDA was used (2 drops), a similar color change occurred, and crystals of $[CrCl_2(tmeda)_2][I]$ ·THF (7·THF, containing two molecules within the asymmetric unit) were isolated amongst colorless poorly diffracting crystals. *method b, by ²D NMR:* $[Cr_2Cl_4(CDI)(thf)_{3.5}]$ (35.0 mg, 0.06 mmol, one equiv) was treated with TMEDA (12.3 mg, 0.01 mmol, two equiv added as a THF solution) in a J. Young NMR tube. The reaction mixture was analysed by ²D NMR spectroscopy, showing that initially three peaks were present in the ²D NMR spectrum. ²D NMR (76.77 MHz, 300 K, THF): $\delta = 1.69$ (m, THF), 2.16 (m), 3.58 ppm (m, THF). The resonance at 2.15 ppm decreased rapidly (gone within 2 h), until only resonances attributable to deuterated THF-d were observed. ²D NMR (76.77 MHz, 300 K, THF): $\delta = 1.70$ (s, THF), 3.57 ppm (s, THF). Heating the reaction did not change the observed resonances.

[Cr(OSi(O*t*Bu)₃)₂(tmeda)] (8): addition of excess TMEDA to a *n*-hexane solution of [Cr(OSi(O*t*Bu)₃)₂]₂ caused immediate and quantitative formation of [Cr(OSi(O*t*Bu)₃)₂(tmeda)] (8), as brown/colorless block crystals. The crystals were washed with cold *n*-hexane removing excess TMEDA. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 500 MHz, 300 K): δ = 2.10 ppm (br s). DRIFT: *v* = 2972 (m), 2900 (w), 2806 (vw), 1716 (vw), 1699 (vw), 1652 (vw), 1558 (vw), 1540 (vw), 1471 (m), 1456 (m), 1383 (m), 1361 (s), 1283 (w), 1237 (s), 1193 (s), 1124 (w), 1085 (m), 1060 (vs), 1049 (vs), 1034 (vs), 1014 (vs), 1007 (vs), 952 (m), 909 (w), 819 (s), 806 (m), 770 (w), 693 (vs), 648 (m), 592 cm⁻¹ (w). Elemental analysis calcd. (%) for C₃₀H₇₂CrN₂O₈Si₂ (695.02 g mol⁻¹): C 51.85, H 10.15, N 4.03; found: C 51.50, H 9.81, N 4.14; μ_{eff} = 5.73 B. M (0.035 Mol, ΔHz = 752 Hz).

[Cr(OSi(OtBu)₃)₂)I(tmeda)]·¹/₂C₆D₆ (10·¹/₂C₆D₆) from the Reaction of 8 with CXI₃ (X = H or D): *method a, with CHI*₃: [Cr(OSi(OtBu)₃)₂(tmeda)] (20.3 mg, 0.03 mmol, two equiv) was dissolved in C₆D₆, and CHI₃ (5.8 mg, 0.02 mmol, one equiv) was added, generating a dark purple/blue reaction mixture. The reaction mixture was analysed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy where only a large broad resonance was observed. No change was observed in the NMR spectrum when re-analysed after 24 h. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): 0.10 (s), 0.89 (s), 1.23 (s), 1.38 (s), 2.05 ppm (br s). Attempted isolation of any reactive species led only to the isolation of [Cr(OSi(OtBu)₃)₂)I(tmeda)]·¹/₂C₆D₆ (10·¹/₂C₆D₆), identified as purple and pink crystals. *Method b, with CDI*₃: [Cr(OSi(OtBu)₃)₂(tmeda)] (25.5 mg, 0.04 mmol, two equiv) was stirred in toluene with CDI₃ (6.7 mg, 0.02 mmol, one equiv), and then analysed by ²D NMR spectroscopy. Three peaks were observed which did not change over time. ²D NMR (76.77 MHz, toluene, 300 K): δ = 2.10 (m, toluene), 4.10 (m), 7.04 ppm (m, toluene).

Test and blank reactions.

CDI₃ exchange with THF: CDI₃ (30 mg, 0.08 mmol), was dissolved in 0.4 mL THF and was analysed by ²D NMR spectroscopy, showing only CHI₃. Over time, two new peaks (next to those of CDI₃), emerged. ²D NMR (76.77 MHz, THF, 300 K): $\delta = 1.68$ (s, 0.8 D, THF), 3.54 (s, 1 D, THF), 3.98 ppm (s, 25 D, CDI₃).

CDI₃ exchange with toluene: CDI₃ (30.0 mg, 0.08 mmol) was dissolved in 0.4 mL toluene and analysed by ²D NMR spectroscopy, showing only CDI₃. ²D NMR (76.77 MHz, toluene, 300 K): $\delta = 2.12$ (m, 0.5 D, toluene), 3.96 (s, 31.5 d, CDI₃), 6.09 (s, 1 D), 7.08 ppm (m, 1 D, toluene).

One-pot reaction between CrCl₂, CHI₃, and benzaldehyde in a 4:1:1 ratio: CrCl₂ (18.8 mg, 0.15 mmol, four equiv), and benzaldehyde (4.0 mg, 0.04 mmol, 1 equiv added from a standard solution) were stirred in THF-d₈ (1 mL) at -35 °C. Iodoform (15 mg, 0.04 mmol, 1 equiv, dissolved in THF-d₈ (1 mL)) was cooled to -35 °C, and then added dropwise to the above mixture at -35 °C. The reaction mixture was slowly warmed to ambient temperature, leaving a light yellow/orange solution and an orange/red precipitate. The reaction mixture was filtered into a J. Young NMR tube and analysed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy, indicating a mixture of benzaldehyde and (E)-(2-iodovinyl)benzene, in a ratio of approximately 1:1. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, THF-d₈, 300 K): δ = 7.00 (d, ³*J*_{H-H}: 14.8 Hz, 1 H, Ph-C*H*-C), 7.24 (m, 3 H, Ar-H), 7.30 (m, 2 H, Ar-H), 7.41 (d, ³*J*_{H-H}: 14.8 Hz, 1 H, C-CI*H*), 7.49 (m, 2 H, benzaldehyde, 3,5-Ar-H), 7.56 (m, 1 H, benzaldehyde, 4-Ar-H), 7.82 (m, 2 H, benzaldehyde, 2,6-Ar-H), 9.91 ppm (s, 1 H, benzaldehyde, C*H*O); note: potential resonances for the (*Z*)-(2-iodovinyl)benzene isomer could not be observed due to the overlapping resonances of the aldehyde.

Treatment of Cr(OSi(OtBu)₃)₂] with benzaldehyde: $[Cr(OSi(OtBu)_3)_2]$ (18.7 mg, 0.03 mmol, one equiv) was dissolved in C₆D₆, and benzaldehyde (1.7 mg, 0.02 mmol, half an equiv) was added as a C₆D₆ solution. The solution turned immediately light green upon addition. ¹H NMR spectroscopy indicated the appearance of only paramagnetically broadened signals.

Reactions of the organochromium reagents with either benzaldehyde or 4-tert-butyl-cyclohexanone.

Reaction between isolated 1 and half an equiv of benzaldehyde: **1** (27.6 mg, 0.04 mmol, one equiv) was dissolved in THF-d₈ and cooled to -35 °C. Benzaldehyde (2.2 mg, 0.03 mmol, half an equiv as a cold THF-d₈ solution), was added dropwise to the stirring solution of **1** at -35 °C. The reaction mixture was warmed to ambient temperature over the space of 10 min and then filtered into a J. Young NMR tube. ¹H NMR spectroscopic analysis indicated the formation of both (E)-(2-iodovinyl)benzene (94%) and (Z)-(2-iodovinyl)benzene (6%) in a ratio of 15.7:1.0.

Reaction between isolated 1 and one equiv of benzaldehyde: **1** (10.3 mg, 0.016 mmol, one equiv in slight excess) was dissolved in THF-d₈ and cooled to -35 °C. Benzaldehyde (1.5 mg, 0.014 mmol, one equiv as a cold THF-d₈ solution), was added dropwise to the stirring solution of **1** at -35 °C. The reaction mixture was warmed to ambient temperature

over the space of 10 min and then filtered into a J. Young NMR tube. ¹H NMR analysis indicated the formation of both (E)-(2-iodovinyl)benzene (94%) and (Z)-(2-iodovinyl)benzene (6%). No resonances for benzaldehyde were observed.

Treatment of *in situ* generated 5a and benzaldehyde: $[Cr(OSi(OtBu)_3)_2]$ (10.3 mg, 0.02 mmol, four equiv) and CHI₃ (3.5 mg, 0.01 mmol, two equiv) were stirred in C₆D₆, giving a green/brown solution. Benzaldehyde (0.5 mg, 0.05 mmol, one equiv) was added as a C₆D₆ solution, causing a color change to light brown. ¹H NMR spectroscopy indicated the formation of (*E/Z*)-(2-iodovinyl)benzene. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, large broadened paramagnetic resonances below 5.00 ppm are not presented, some aromatic resonances of (*E/Z*)-(2-iodovinyl)benzene located under the C₆D₆ resonances): 6.12 (d, ³*J*_{H-H}: 8.40 Hz, 1 H, CHI, resonance disappeared when the reaction was repeated with CDI₃), 6.41 (d, ³*J*_{H-H}: 14.86 Hz, ~0.5 H, resonance disappeared when the reaction was repeated with CDI₃), 6.82 (m, 2 H, Ar-3,5 H), 6.98 (m, ~2 H, located near C₆D₆ satellite, Ar-H(4) + Ar-CH=C), 7.50 ppm (*d* of multiplets, ³*J*_{H-H}: 7.51 Hz, 2 H, Ar-H(3,6).

Reaction between in situ generated [Cr(OSi(OtBu)₃)₂(tmeda-CHI)][I] (9) with benzaldehyde: [Cr(OSi(OtBu)₃)₂(tmeda)] (8, 22.0 mg, 0.03 mmol, two equiv) was dissolved in C₆D₆, and CHI₃ (6.3 mg, 0.02 mmol, one equiv in slight excess) was added. To the formed dark purple solution, benzaldehyde (1.5 mg, 0.01 mmol, as a C₆D₆ solution), was added causing an immediate color change to lime green. ¹H NMR spectroscopic analysis did not indicate the formation of any (Z)-(2-iodovinyl)benzene, and only broadened resonances (see Figure S11). Crystallization from *n*-hexane/C₆D₆ led to poor quality lime green crystals of [Cr(OSi(OtBu)₃)₂(I(tmeda)(benzaldehyde)]·C₆D₆ (**11**·C₆D₆).

Reaction between isolated 1 and 4-*tert***-butyl-cyclohexanone**: **1** (7.0 mg, 0.01 mmol, one equiv in slight excess) was dissolved in THF-d₈, and cooled to -35 °C. 4-*tert*-Butyl-cyclohexanone (1.5 mg, 0.01 mmol, one equiv) was added, as a cold THF-d₈ solution, dropwise to the stirring solution of **1** at -35 °C. The reaction mixture was warmed to ambient temperature over 10 min giving a red/orange solution. Initial ¹H NMR spectroscopic analysis indicated the formation of 1-(*tert*-butyl)-4-(iodomethylene)cyclohexane (69%) together with unreacted 4-*tert*-butyl-cyclohexanone (29%), in a ratio of approximately 2.3:1. The NMR tube was first warmed in a water bath (approx. 40 °C) for 20 min, before it was heated at 50 °C for ten min within the spectrometer. Analysis at ambient temperature gave a new ratio of 5.3:1, or 84% conversion of 4-*tert*-butyl-cyclohexanone.

Treatment of *in situ* generated 5a with 4-*tert*-butylcyclohexanone: $[Cr(OSi(OtBu)_3)_2]$ (12.4 mg, 0.021 mmol, four equiv) and CHI₃ (4.0 mg, 0.01 mmol, two equiv) were stirred in C₆D₆. 4-*tert*-Butylcyclohexanone (1 mg, 0.01 mmol, one equiv) was added. ¹H NMR spectroscopy indicated no reaction. Re-analysis by ¹H NMR spectroscopy after 16 h indicated the formation of minor resonances which could be attributable to 1-(*tert*-butyl)-4-(iodomethylene)cyclohexane in minute amounts. The reaction mixture was heated to 50 °C for 24 h, but only decomposition was observed.

Isolation of crystalline $[Cr(OSi(OtBu)_3)_2(tmeda-CHI)][I] \cdot C_6D_6$ (9 · C₆D₆) from the reaction of $[Cr(OSi(OtBu)_3)_2(tmeda)]$ (10) with CHI₃ and 4-*tert*-butylcyclohexanone: $[Cr(OSi(OtBu)_3)_2(tmeda)]$ (10, 10.0 mg, 0.01 mmol, two equiv) was dissolved in C₆D₆ and CHI₃ (3.0 mg, 0.01 mmol, one equiv in slight excess) was added. To the resulting dark purple solution 4-*tert*-butyl-cyclohexanone (1.0 mg, 0.01 mmol, one equiv, as a C₆D₆ solution) was S8

added, and the solution lightened in color. ¹H NMR spectroscopic analysis indicated minimal to no formation of 1-(*tert*butyl)-4-(iodomethylene)cyclohexane. After several h, small blue/purple dichroic crystals of $[Cr(OSi(OtBu)_3)_2(tmeda-CHI)][I] \cdot C_6D_6$ (9 · C₆D₆) had formed, along with crystals of $[Cr(OSi(OtBu)_3)_2I(tmeda)] \cdot C_6D_6$ (10), and colorless poorly diffracting crystals. Re-analysis by ¹H NMR spectroscopy indicated an apparent decomposition process by the appearance of multiple new peaks in the spectrum.

Preliminary reactions between organochromium reagents and allyl benzyl ether.

Reaction between isolated 1^D and an equiv of allyl benzyl ether, with addition of TMEDA: 1^D (18.8 mg, 0.03 mmol, one equiv) was stirred in THF, and TMEDA (7.0 mg, 0.06 mmol, two equiv) was added as a THF solution, followed by the immediate addition of allyl benzyl ether (4.3 mg, 0.03 mmol, added as a THF solution). The reaction mixture was stirred for two h giving a light yellow solution. The reaction mixture was stored at -35 °C for several h to remove insoluble crystalline material (crystals diffracted poorly and no solid-state structure could be resolved). The reaction mixture was filtered into a J. Young NMR Tube, and ²D NMR spectroscopy indicated the *cis-trans* mixture of (2-iodocyclopropyl)methyl benzyl ether. ²D NMR (76.77 MHz, 300 K, THF): $\delta = 1.69$ (s, THF), 2.14 (s, 3.25 D, *trans*), 2.25 (m, 1 D, *cis*), 3.54 ppm (s, THF).

Reaction between in situ generated $5a^{D}$ and allyl benzyl ether: $[Cr(OSi(OtBu)_3)_2]$ (4.7 mg, 0.08 mmol, four equiv) and CDI₃ (16 mg, 0.04 mmol, two equiv) were stirred in toluene giving a green/light brown solution. Allyl benzyl ether (3.0 mg, 0.02 mmol, one equiv) was added as a toluene solution. After stirring for several minutes, the reaction mixture turned brown. The contents were transferred into a J. Young NMR tube and analysed by ²D NMR spectroscopy, which did not indicate the formation of any (2-iodocyclopropyl)methyl benzyl ether, and only resonances for CDI₃ and toluene were observed. ²D NMR (76.77 MHz, toluene, 300 K): $\delta = 2.11$ (m, toluene), 3.95 (m, CDI₃), 7.09 ppm (toluene).

Reaction between in situ generated 9^{D} **and allyl benzyl ether**: **8** (24.0 mg, 0.03 mmol, two equiv) was dissolved in toluene and CDI₃ (6.7 mg, 0.02 mmol, one equiv) was added. The reaction mixture turned dark purple, and allyl benzyl ether (2.5 mg, 0.02 mmol, one equiv) was added. After stirring for several h at ambient temperature, filtration from formed insoluble species, and analysis by ²D NMR spectroscopy indicated no formation of (2-iodocyclopropyl)methyl benzyl ether, giving a spectrum containing only CDI₃ and toluene.

X-ray crystallography

All compounds were examined on a 'Bruker APEX-II CCD' diffractometer. The crystals were mounted on a fiber loop in paratone-*n* crystallography oil. Absorption corrections were completed using Apex II program suite.⁵ Structural solutions were obtained by either charge flipping or direct methods and refined using full matrix least squares methods against F^2 using SHELX2013,^{6,7} within the OLEX 2 graphical interface.⁸ A list of the parameters are found in Table S1.

Table S1. X-ray	crystallographic	parameters for co	omplexes 2-6a			
Compound	[CrCl ₂ I(thf) ₃]	[Cr ₂ Cl ₂ (OSi(OtBu) ₃) ₂ (CHI)(thf) ₄]	$[Cr_2(OSi(OtBu)_3)_2I(thf)_3]$	$[Cr_2I_2(OSi(OtBu)_3)_2 (CHI_2)]^{\cdot 1/2}n-hexane$	$[Cr_2(OSi(OtBu)_3)_4(CHI_2)]^{\cdot 1/2}n\text{-hexane}$	$[Cr_2I(OSi(OtBu)_3)_4]$ · ¹ / ₂ n-hexane
Sample code	2	3	4	$5a^{1/2}$ -hexane	5b \cdot ¹ / ₂ <i>n</i> -hexane	$6a^{1/2}n$ -hexane
CCDC No.#	1811553	1811554	1858040	1811552	1811547	1811556
Empirical formula	$C_{12}H_{24}Cl_2CrIO_3$	C41H87Cl2Cr2IO12Si 2	C36H78CrIO11Si2	$C_{28}H_{62}Cr_2I_4O_8Si_2$	C52H116Cr2I2O16Si4	C49.5H111.5Cr2IO16Si 4
Formula weight	466.12	1130.09	922.06	1194.56	1467.61	1306.15
Temperature/K	100	100	99.99	100	100	100.1
Crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic	triclinic	triclinic	triclinic	triclinic
Space group	$P2_{1}/c$	$P2_{1}/n$	P-1	<i>P</i> -1	<i>P</i> -1	<i>P</i> -1
a/Å	8.3985(2)	16.4739(12)	10.4074(9)	10.0937(14)	13.6739(4)	13.3190(8)
b/Å	12.6561(4)	17.6022(12)	15.2740(14)	11.5433(16)	14.7859(4)	13.6739(8)
c/Å	15.8544(4)	20.0801(14)	17.3686(17)	21.451(3)	19.0519(5)	21.8226(13)
α/°	90.00	90.00	114.788(5)	79.581(3)	94.5434(14)	80.7633(9)
β/°	92.0756(13)	109.3055(11)	90.356(5)	89.987(3)	107.6252(14)	80.5611(9)
γ/°	90.00	90.00	109.864(5)	66.108(3)	93.1097(14)	62.7355(9)
Volume/Å ³	1684.09(8)	5495.3(7)	2321.4(4)	2240.1(5)	3647.15(17)	3468.4(4)
Z	4	4	2	2	2	2
$\rho_{calc}g/cm^3$	1.838	1.366	1.319	1.771	1.336	1.251
μ/mm ⁻¹	2.830	1.149	1.012	3.332	1.264	0.882
F(000)	924.0	2368.0	974	1164.0	1532.0	1387.0
Crystal size/mm ³	$0.1 \times 0.1 \times 0.05$	$0.2 \times 0.2 \times 0.1$	$0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.05$	$0.2 \times 0.1 \times 0.1$	$0.4 \times 0.4 \times 0.3$	$0.3 \times 0.3 \times 0.2$
	MoK α (λ =	MoK α (λ =	MoK α (λ =	ΜοΚα (λ =	MoK α (λ =	MoK α (λ =
Radiation	0.71073)	0.71073)	0.71073)	0.71073)	0.71073)	0.71073)
20 range for data collection/°	4.12 to 55	2.78 to 60.16	2.62 to 50.14	3.88 to 52	3.24 to 56.74	1.9 to 54.38
Index ranges	$-10 \le h \le 10, -16 \le k \le 16, -20 \le l \le 20$	$-23 \le h \le 23, -24 \le k \le 24, -28 \le l \le 28$	$\begin{array}{l} -12 \leq h \leq 12, -18 \leq \\ k \leq 18, -17 \leq l \leq 20 \end{array}$	$-12 \le h \le 12, -14 \le k \le 14, -26 \le l \le 26$	$-18 \le h \le 18, -19 \le k \le 19, -25 \le l \le 25$	$-17 \le h \le 17, -17 \le k \le 17, -28 \le l \le 28$
Reflections collected	29060	121258	7730	36768	117347	49689
Independent reflections	$3874 \ [R_{int} = 0.0271, \\ R_{sigma} = 0.0168]$	$\begin{array}{l} 16111 \; [R_{int} = \\ 0.0765, R_{sigma} = \\ 0.0462] \end{array}$	7730 [$R_{int} = 0.0000$, $R_{sigma} = 0.0758$]	$\begin{array}{l} 8677 \; [R_{int} = 0.0453, \\ R_{sigma} = 0.0407] \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 18203 \; [R_{int} = \\ 0.0312, \; R_{sigma} = \\ 0.0220] \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 15420 \; [R_{int} = \\ 0.0434, \; R_{sigma} = \\ 0.0435] \end{array}$
Data/restraints/pa rameters	3874/0/172	16111/0/612	7730/78/479	8677/0/425	18203/0/722	15420/18/714
Goodness-of-fit on F ^{2[a]}	1.070	1.098	1.247	1.069	1.050	1.132
Final R indexes [I>=2σ (I)] ^{[b][c]}	$R_1 = 0.0312, wR_2 = 0.0896$	$R_1 = 0.0439, wR_2 = 0.0985$	$R_1 = 0.0977, wR_2 = 0.2493$	$R_1 = 0.0654, wR_2 = 0.1821$	$R_1 = 0.0287, wR_2 = 0.0641$	$R_1 = 0.0339, wR_2 = 0.0964$
Final R indexes	$R_1 = 0.0361$, $wR_2 =$	$R_1 = 0.0726, wR_2 =$	$R_1 = 0.1163, wR_2 =$	$R_1 = 0.0784, wR_2 =$	$R_1 = 0.0396$, $wR_2 =$	$R_1 = 0.0453, wR_2 =$
[all data]	0.0926	0.1152	0.2593	0.1940	0.0704	0.1144
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å ⁻³	2.09/-1.07	1.52/-1.17	2.40/-1.96	3.71/-2.16	2.01/-0.87	2.02/-0.74
^[a] GOF = $[\Sigma w (F_0^2 - F_c^2)^2]$	$(n_0 - n_p)]^{1/2}$. [b]R1 = Σ ($ F_0 - F_c) / \Sigma F_0 , F_0 > 0$	$4\sigma(\mathbf{F}_0).\ ^{[\mathbf{c}]}\mathbf{w}\mathbf{R}_2 = \{\Sigma[w(\mathbf{F}_0), \mathbf{w}_0]\}$	$F_0^2 - F_c^2)^2 / \Sigma[w(F_0^2)^2] \}^{1/2}$		

	<u> </u>	1 1	1		
Compound	$[Cr_2I_2(OSi(OtBu)_3)_2]$	[CrCl ₂ (tmeda) ₂]I·thf	[Cr(OSi(OtBu) ₃) ₂ (tmed a)]	$[Cr(OSi(OtBu)_3)_2(CHI N(Me)_2C_2H_4NMe_2)](I). C_6D_6$	$[CrI(OSi(OtBu)_3)_2(tme da)]^{.1/2}C_6D_6$
Sample code	6b	7.THF	8	9.C6D6	$10^{-1}/_{2}C_{6}D_{6}$
CCDC No.#	1811548	1811550	1811555	1811551	1811549
Empirical formula	C24H54Cr2I2O8Si2	C16H40Cl2CrIN4O	C30H70CrN2O8Si2	C43 75H77CrI2N2O8Si2	C33H73CrIN2O8Si2
Formula weight	884.65	554.32	695.06	1121.05	861.01
Temperature/K	100	100	100	150.0	150.0
Crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic	triclinic
Space group	$P2_1/n$	P2/c	$P2_1$	C2/c	<i>P</i> -1
a/Å	17.8832(19)	11.379(2)	10.4960(9)	27.7924(18)	9.2746(13)
b/Å	9.1254(10)	11.659(2)	17.3331(14)	9.4487(6)	14.813(2)
c/Å	23.860(3)	18.521(4)	10.9890(9)	42.685(3)	17.529(2)
a/°	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	82.759(2)
β/°	104.6588(19)	102.867(4)	96.7186(12)	101.3700(10)	87.291(2)
γ/°	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	73.148(2)
Volume/Å ³	3767.0(7)	2395.5(8)	1985.5(3)	10989.2(12)	2286.3(5)
Z	4	4	2	8	2
ρ _{calc} g/cm ³	1.560	1.537	1.163	1.355	1.251
μ/mm ⁻¹	2.316	2.001	0.392	1.420	1.020
F(000)	1776.0	1132.0	760.0	4604.0	908.0
Crystal size/mm ³	$0.1\times0.05\times0.05$	$0.1\times0.01\times0.01$	$0.47\times0.414\times0.255$	$0.5\times0.2\times0.2$	$0.4\times0.2\times0.2$
Radiation	MoK α ($\lambda = 0.71073$)	MoK α ($\lambda = 0.71073$)	MoK α ($\lambda = 0.71073$)	MoK α ($\lambda = 0.71073$)	MoK α ($\lambda = 0.71073$)
2O range for data collection/°	2.56 to 53.6	3.5 to 52.3	3.74 to 60.12	2.98 to 61.98	2.9 to 57.34
Index ranges	$\begin{array}{l} -22 \leq h \leq 22, 11 \leq k \leq \\ 11, 30 \leq l \leq 28 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} -14 \leq h \leq 14, -14 \leq k \leq \\ 14, -22 \leq l \leq 22 \end{array}$	$-14 \le h \le 14, -24 \le k \le 24, -15 \le 1 \le 15$	$-39 \le h \le 40, -13 \le k \le 13, -61 \le 1 \le 61$	$\begin{array}{l} -12 \leq h \leq 12, -19 \leq k \leq \\ 19, -23 \leq l \leq 23 \end{array}$
Reflections collected	46122	20541	26916	149998	71129
Independent	$8049 [R_{int} = 0.0897,$	$4769 [R_{int} = 0.1572]$	$11617 [R_{int} = 0.0444,$	$17429 [R_{int} = 0.0421,$	$11751 [R_{int} = 0.0800,$
reflections	$R_{sigma} = 0.0556$]	$R_{sigma} = 0.1732$]	$R_{sigma} = 0.0668$]	$R_{sigma} = 0.0237$]	$R_{sigma} = 0.0529$]
Data/restraints/para meters	8049/24/381	4769/36/236	11617/1/410	17429/68/611	11751/0/446
Goodness-of-fit on $F^{2[a]}$	1.054	1.131	1.016	1.194	1.044
Final R indexes [I>=2σ (I)] ^{[b][c]}	$R_1 = 0.0398, wR_2 = 0.0793$	$R_1 = 0.1002, wR_2 = 0.1315$	$R_1 = 0.0382, wR_2 = 0.0766$	$R_1 = 0.0456, wR_2 = 0.1116$	$R_1 = 0.0309, WR_2 = 0.0680$
Final R indexes [all	$R_1 = 0.0661$, $wR_2 =$	$R_1 = 0.1768, wR_2 =$	$R_1 = 0.0462, wR_2 =$	$R_1 = 0.0567, wR_2 =$	$R_1 = 0.0537, wR_2 =$
data]	0.0880	0.1500	0.0810	0.1216	0.0806
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å ⁻³	1.31/-0.87	2.36/-1.77	0.35/-0.35	1.58/-1.04	0.50/-0.60

Table S1 continued. X-ray crystallographic parameters for complexes 6b-10



Figure S1. Connectivity structure of $[Cr_2Cl_4(CHI)(thf)_4]$ (1), showing two of the three molecules (Cr3 & Cr4, Cr5 & Cr6) of the asymmetric unit (see Figure 1 for Cr1 & Cr2). Complex 1 crystallized as slightly-twinned red prisms. Although the raw crystal data appeared acceptable (data at resolution inf. – 0.83: % completeness = 99.8, redundancy = 10.44, mean intensity = 5.6, mean intensity/ σ = 14.78, R(int) = 0.0974, R σ = 0.0525), no suitable structural solution beyond connectivity was obtained. A range of space groups was attempted (e.g., *Pmmm*, *P*2(1)2(1)2(1), *Pmm*2, *P*222), but only *P*2(1)2(1)2 gave connectivity. Poor quality crystal data, together with ligand disorder (e.g. Cl8, C35, I3, C11) also caused difficulty in determining an adequate structural solution, for instance the carbon atoms of O11 (thf) could not be refined and CI/CHI disorder was apparent across all three molecules of the asymmetric unit. In addition, the terminal chlorido ligands Cl2 (Figure 1), Cl8, and Cl12 were either highly disordered over several positions or hold a partial iodido character, e.g., $[Cr_2Cl_{3.75}I_{0.25}(CHI)(thf)_4]$, but due to the poor crystal quality this could not be definitively determined. The obtained unit cell was: a = 17.269 (2) Å, b = 41.044(4) Å, c = 10.940(1) Å, $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^{\circ}$.



Figure S2. ²D NMR spectrum (76.77 MHz, THF, 300 K) of $[Cr_2Cl_4(CDI)(thf)_{3.5}]$ (1^{**b**}). The small resonance at 2.10 ppm (**B**) disappeared, and the resonances for THF-d (**A** and **C**) increased over time. After 5 h at ambient temperature, only resonances **A** and **C** remained.



Figure S3. Crystal structure of [CrCl₂I(thf)₃] (**2**) with atomic displacement ellipsoids set at 50% probability. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for **2**: Cr1-Cl1: 2.3135(9), Cr1-Cl2: 2.3312(10), Cr1-I1: 2.6887(6), Cr1-O1: 2.014(3), Cr1-O2: 2.068(2), Cr1-O3: 2.023(3), Cl1-Cr1-Cl2: 179.00(4), Cl1-Cr1-I1: 89.83(3), Cl2-Cr1-I1: 90.90(3), Cl1-Cr1-O1: 88.89(8), Cl1-Cr1-O2: 90.34(7), Cl1-Cr1-O3: 89.77(7), I1-Cr-O1: 92.68(7), I1-Cr1-O2: 179.57(8), I1-Cr1-O3: 93.90(7).



Scheme S1. Likely formation of a Cr-I species through decomposition of a Cr-CHI2 intermediate.



Figure S4. Structure of $[Cr(OSi(OtBu)_3)_2I(thf)_3]$ (4) along with selected bond lengths (Å). Atomic displacement ellipsoids set at 35% probability. Hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity. Selected bond angles for 4:

atom	atom	atom	angle (°)	atom	atom	atom	angle (°)	atom	atom	atom	angle (°)
011	Cr1	I1	93.8(3)	O10	Cr1	I1	178.3(3)	01	Cr1	05	177.3(4)
011	Cr1	09	175.7(4)	01	Cr1	I1	90.0(3)	05	Cr1	I1	92.6(3)
011	Cr1	O10	86.7(4)	01	Cr1	011	88.9(4)	05	Cr1	011	91.2(5)
09	Cr1	I1	90.5(3)	01	Cr1	09	90.7(4)	05	Cr1	09	89.0(4)
09	Cr1	010	89.0(4)	01	Cr1	O10	88.4(4)	05	Cr1	O10	89.0(4)



Figure S5. ¹H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 300 K) of the reaction between $[Cr(OSi(OtBu)_3)_2]$ and half an equiv of CHI₃. Spectrum indicates a large amount of unreacted CHI₃ (**A**) within the reaction mixture.



Figure S6. Crystal structure of $[Cr_2I(OSi(OtBu)_3)_4]$ (**6a**·¹/₂*n*-hexane) along with selected bond lengths (Å). Atomic displacement ellipsoids set at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms and lattice solvent molecules omitted for clarity. Selected bond angles for **6a**:

atom	atom	atom	angle (°)	atom	atom	atom	angle (°)	atom	atom	atom	angle (°)
Cr2	I1	Cr1	58.731(12)	05	Cr1	Cr2	44.51(5)	09	Cr1	Cr2	46.85(5)
Cr2	Cr1	I1	56.652(12)	09	Cr1	05	80.37(7)	09	Cr1	Si2	112.61(5)
Cr2	Cr1	Si2	78.347(19)	05	Cr1	Si2	34.56(5)	09	Cr1	O6	148.97(7)
Si2	Cr1	I1	97.852(19)	05	Cr1	06	69.59(7)	06	Cr1	I1	99.54(5)
05	Cr1	I1	82.12(5)	09	Cr1	I1	83.42(5)	O 6	Cr1	Cr2	109.57(5)



Figure S7. Crystal structure of $[CrCl_2(tmeda)_2][I]$ ·THF (7·THF) along with selected bond lengths (Å). Atomic displacement ellipsoids set at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms, the second molecule, and lattice solvent molecules omitted for clarity. Selected bond angles for 7:

atom	atom	atom	angle (°)	atom	atom	atom	angle (°)	atom	atom	atom	angle (°)
Cl2	Cr1	Cl1	180.000(1)	N1	Cr1	N1′	179.4(4)	N2	Cr1	Cl1	90.69(18)
N1	Cr1	Cl1	89.72(18)	N1	Cr1	N2	95.9(2)	N2′	Cr1	Cl1	90.69(18)
N1′	Cr1	Cl1	89.72(18)	N1′	Cr1	N2	84.2(3)	N2	Cr1	Cl2	89.31(18)
N1	Cr1	Cl2	90.28(18)	N1′	Cr1	N2′	95.8(2)	N2′	Cr1	Cl2	89.31(18)
N1′	Cr1	Cl2	90.28(18)	N1	Cr1	N2′	84.2(3)	N2	Cr1	N2′	178.6(4)



Figure S8. Stacked ²D NMR spectra (76.77 MHz, 300 K, THF) of the reaction between [Cr₂Cl₄(CDI)(thf)_{3.5}] (1) and two equiv of TMEDA. Top: initial measurement, bottom: after approximately 2 h.



Figure S9. Crystal structure of [Cr(OSi(OtBu)₃)₂(tmeda)] (8) along with selected bond lengths (Å). Atomic displacement ellipsoids set at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity. Selected bond angles for 8:

atom	atom	atom	angle (°)	atom	atom	atom	angle (°)	atom	atom	atom	angle (°)
01	Cr1	N2	171.09(5)	01	Si1	03	116.28(7)	05	Si2	08	113.39(7)
01	Cr1	N1	91.93(5)	01	Si1	02	108.63(6)	05	Si2	06	115.68(7)
05	Cr1	01	97.50(5)	01	Si1	04	114.12(7)	05	Si2	07	108.64(7)
05	Cr1	N2	89.83(5)	03	Si1	02	106.24(7)	08	Si2	07	109.18(7)
05	Cr1	N1	169.16(6)	03	Si1	04	102.39(7)				
N2	Cr1	N1	81.30(5)	04	Si1	02	108.65(7)				



Figure S10. Stacked ¹H NMR spectra (400 MHz, C_6D_6 , 300 K) of [Cr(OSi(OtBu)_3)_2(tmeda)] (top, blue), and the reaction between [Cr(OSi(OtBu)_3)_2(tmeda)] and CHI₃ in a 2:1 ratio (bottom, red).



Figure S11. Crystal structure of $[Cr(OSi(OtBu)_3)_2I(tmeda)] \cdot \frac{1}{2}C_6D_6$ (10·1/2C₆D₆) along with selected bond lengths (Å). Atomic displacement ellipsoids set at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity. Selected bond angles for 10:

atom	atom	atom	angle (°)	atom	atom	atom	angle (°)	atom	atom	atom	angle (°)
05	Cr1	I1	91.79(4)	01	Cr1	I1	96.89(4)	N2	Cr1	I1	167.16(5)
05	Cr1	01	119.89(7)	01	Cr1	N1	95.01(7)	N2	Cr1	N1	80.87(7)
05	Cr1	N1	144.28(7)	01	Cr1	N2	93.91(6)	01	Si1	02	105.03(8)
05	Cr1	N2	88.82(6)	N1	Cr1	I1	91.26(5)				



Figure S12. ¹H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 300 K) of the reaction between *in situ* generated 9 and benzaldehyde.



Figure S13. ¹H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 300 K) of the reaction between $[Cr(OSi(OtBu)_3)_2]$ and benzaldehyde, indicating a degree of coordination to the paramagnetic chromium center.



Figure S14. Connectivity structure of $[Cr(OSi(OtBu)_3)_2I(benzaldehyde)] \cdot C_6D_6$ (**11**). The crystals were highly twinned and the asymmetric unit contained four molecules; the atoms could not be refined anisotropically, hence bond lengths and angles are not reported. Unit cell: a = 28.881(3) Å, b = 9.4971(10) Å, c = 76.031(8) Å, $\beta = 92.293(2)$ °.



Figure S15. ¹H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, THF-d₈, 300 K) of the reaction between CrCl₂, iodoform, and benzaldehyde in a ratio of 4:1:1, after filtration from insoluble species.



Figure S16. ¹H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, THF- d_8 , 300 K) of the reaction between 1 and half an equiv of benzaldehyde, after filtration from insoluble species.



Figure S17. ¹H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 300 K) of the reaction between *in situ* generated **5a** and half an equiv of benzaldehyde, giving (E/Z)-(2-iodovinyl)benzene in a ratio of ca. 40 (**X**):60 (**Z**). Resonances **X** and **D** disappeared when the reaction was performed using *in situ* generated **5a**^D. Top: entire spectrum; bottom: selected range.



Figure S18. ¹H NMR spectrum (500 MHz, THF-d₈, 300 K) of the reaction between **1** and one an equiv of 4-*tert*butylcyclohexanone. Heating this reaction mixture at 50 °C for 10 min changed the ratio of obtained products.



Figure S19. ¹H NMR spectrum (500 MHz, C_6D_6 , 300 K) of the reaction between *in situ* generated **5a** and 4-*tert*butylcyclohexanone. Heating this reaction mixture at 50 °C caused decomposition as indicated by the appearance of additional peaks in the spectrum.



Figure S20. ¹H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, C_6D_6 , 300 K) of the reaction between *in situ* generated **9** and 4-*tert*butylcyclohexanone. Examination of the reaction mixture, after separation of crystalline **9** and **10**, as new resonances were observed within the spectrum.



Figure S21. ²D NMR spectrum (77 MHz, THF, 300 K) of $[Cr_2Cl_4(CDI)(thf)_4]$ (1^{**b**}) in the presence of two equiv of TMEDA and one equivalent of allyl benzyl ether, indicating the presence of the *trans* isomer.

References

- Conley, M. P.; Delley, M. F.; Siddiqi, G.; Lapadula, G.; Norsic, S.; Monteil, V.; Safonova, O. V.; Copéret, C., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 1872-1876. [1]
- [2] [3] Evans, D. F., J. Chem. Soc. 1959, 2003-2005.
- Schubert, E. M., J. Chem. Educ. 1992, 69, 62.
- [4] Ciborska, A.; Chojnacki, J.; Wojnowski, W., Acta Crystallogr. E, 2007, E63, 1103-1104.
- [5]
- Kabsch, W., J. Appl. Cryst. **1993**, 26, 795-800. Sheldrick, G. M.; Acta Crystallogr. C. **2015**, C71, 3-8; Sheldrick, G. M., Acta Crystallogr. C. **2008**, A64, 112. [6] [7] [8]
- Dolomanov, O. V.; Bourhis, L. J.; Gildea, R. J.; Howard, J. A. K.; Puschmann, H., J. Appl. Cryst. 2009, 42, 339-341.