2016 NASEMSO EBG Project Assessment

1. Please identify your state:

1. Introduction

Thank you for answering the following questions. Your answers will help the Project Team with our final report to NHTSA and our peer-reviewed journal article.

2. Please let us know	who you are:	
Name		
EBG Project Role		
2016 NASEMSO EBG	6 Project Assessment	
2. Enablers and Barrie	ers	
	nablers and barriers your state experienced for each of the follooth enablers and barriers in a single area).	owing items
3. Monthly State Calls		
Enabler(s):		
Barrier(s):		
Other comments:		
4. Implementation Toc	olkit (the Generic Toolkit, found <u>here</u>)	
Enabler(s):		
Barrier(s):		
Other Comments:		
5. State-specific Imple	ementation Plan	
Enabler(s):		
Barrier(s):		
Other Comments:		

6. Pain Man	agement Online Training			
LMS Enabler(s	s):			
LMS Barrier(s)	:]	
Local IT Depa	rtment Enabler(s):			
Local IT Depar	rtment Barrier(s):			
Training Deve	opment Enabler(s):			
Training Deve	opment Barrier(s):]	
Other Comme	nts:			
7. From abo	ove, please indicate which was	the biggest:		
Enabler:				
Barrier:				
	ISO ERG Project Assessme	ant		
UIO NASEIV	ISO EBG Project Assessme	======================================		
. Enablers &	Barriers			

8. Which individuals/groups acted as enablers or barriers to the implementation of the pain management evidence based guideline within your state?					
	Enabler	Barrier	n/a		
Local Champion					
Local or Regional EMS Leadership (e.g. agency director, training)					
Local or Regional Medical Director					
State Medical Director					
State EMS Medical Board					
State EMS Director					
State EMS Board					
State EMS leadership					
Hospitals					
Pain Management Guideline (national version)					
9. From above, please indicate which was the biggest: Enabler:					
			_ _		
Barrier:					
10. Please indicate who the 'Local Champion' was for your state.					

Local / Regional EMS Leadership (e.g. agency director, training) Local / Regional Medical Director State Medical Director State EMS Medical Board State EMS Director State EMS leadership Hospitals 12. What additional factors not listed above acted as enablers or barriers to the success of the projection within your state? Enabler(s): Barrier(s): 13. Were there barriers within your state specific to use of opioids in the pre-hospital environment?	Local Champion		ct:				_
(e.g. agency director, training) Local / Regional Medical Director State Medical Director State EMS Medical Board State EMS Director State EMS leadership Hospitals 12. What additional factors not listed above acted as enablers or barriers to the success of the projection within your state? Enabler(s): Barrier(s): 13. Were there barriers within your state specific to use of opioids in the pre-hospital environment?	•						
State EMS Medical Board State EMS Director State EMS Board State EMS leadership Hospitals 12. What additional factors not listed above acted as enablers or barriers to the success of the projection within your state? Enabler(s): Barrier(s): 13. Were there barriers within your state specific to use of opioids in the pre-hospital environment?							
State EMS Director State EMS Board State EMS leadership Hospitals 12. What additional factors not listed above acted as enablers or barriers to the success of the projection within your state? Enabler(s): Barrier(s): 13. Were there barriers within your state specific to use of opioids in the pre-hospital environment?	Local / Regional Medical D	cal Director					
State EMS Director State EMS Board State EMS leadership Hospitals 12. What additional factors not listed above acted as enablers or barriers to the success of the proje within your state? Enabler(s): Barrier(s): 13. Were there barriers within your state specific to use of opioids in the pre-hospital environment?	State Medical Director						
State EMS Board State EMS leadership Hospitals 12. What additional factors not listed above acted as enablers or barriers to the success of the projectivity within your state? Enabler(s): Barrier(s): 13. Were there barriers within your state specific to use of opioids in the pre-hospital environment?	State EMS Medical Board	oard					
State EMS leadership Hospitals 12. What additional factors not listed above acted as enablers or barriers to the success of the proje within your state? Enabler(s): Barrier(s): 13. Were there barriers within your state specific to use of opioids in the pre-hospital environment?	State EMS Director						
Hospitals 12. What additional factors not listed above acted as enablers or barriers to the success of the projection within your state? Enabler(s): Barrier(s): 13. Were there barriers within your state specific to use of opioids in the pre-hospital environment?	State EMS Board						
12. What additional factors not listed above acted as enablers or barriers to the success of the projection within your state? Enabler(s): Barrier(s): 13. Were there barriers within your state specific to use of opioids in the pre-hospital environment?	State EMS leadership						
within your state? Enabler(s): Barrier(s): 13. Were there barriers within your state specific to use of opioids in the pre-hospital environment?	Hospitals						
13. Were there barriers within your state specific to use of opioids in the pre-hospital environment?	within your state?	al factors not l	isted above acte	d as enablers o	or barriers to the s	uccess of the proje	ect
	Barrier(s):						
Yes (please specify)	No		our state specific	to use of opioi	ds in the pre-hosp	ital environment?	
016 NASEMSO EBG Project Assessment			Δssessment				
Onioid Barriers	16 NASEMSO EBC	BG Project	<u> </u>				

groups did those barriers originate?
State EMS Office
State or Regional Medical Directors
Service Medical Directors
Service Leadership
Hospitals
EMS Board
Providers
Other (please specify)
2016 NASEMSO EBG Project Assessment
5. Pain Management Guideline
15. What impact, if any, did the choice of pain management and use of opioids in the guideline have in either the dissemination or implementation of this guideline?
Prehospital Protocol for the Management of Acute Traumatic Pain Guideline Key Elements:
Documentation of pain score
Identification of contraindications
 Identification of contraindications Administration of narcotic pain medication to patients in moderate to severe pain
Identification of contraindications
 Identification of contraindications Administration of narcotic pain medication to patients in moderate to severe pain Reassessment of pain score every 5 minutes
 Identification of contraindications Administration of narcotic pain medication to patients in moderate to severe pain Reassessment of pain score every 5 minutes Re-dosing medication if still in significant pain

2016 NASEMSO EBG Project Assessment

6. Pain Management Guideline

You indicated that your state did not adopt the full guideline. Please indicate which of the elements listed below your state chose NOT to adopt.

17. Documentation of an age specific pain score:
FLACC
Wong Baker Faces
Numeric
Comments
18. Identification of contraindications to opioid pain management:
Vital Signs
Allergies
GCS < 15 or mentation not appropriate for age
Comments
19. Administration of Pain Medications (Opioids):
Intranasal
Intravascular
Comments
20. Reassessment of pain score:
Every 5 minutes
Please indicate what interval you used instead of 5 minutes

21. Re-Dosing of pain medication:	
Half the original dose	
Please indicate what dose your state used instead of half original.	
016 NASEMSO EBG Project Assessment	
. Implementation & Dissemination Process	
vissemination: sharing information with others but does not connote a chan	ge in behavior
mplementation: the shared information has been incorporated into an indivi	dual's practice
22. What are your general impressions of the implementation process within your state	?
23. What lessons did you as a state representative learn and how would you adjust the process now?	implementation
24. What could have made the implementation process smoother:	
From a penetration and protocol uptake perspective?	
From an ease of application perspective?	
Other?	
25. Please describe the mechanism by which you disseminated the guideline within you	ur state.

2016 NASEMSO EBG Project Assessment

8. Messaging & Communications

26. Please describe the various means by which you communicated with EMS services and providers about your state's involvement in this project and your state's interest in implementing the pain management EBG.
27. Please describe the means by which your state educated providers regarding the guideline. If there were pro's or con's regarding various methods, please explain.
28. Based on your experience with this project, if there was one message that you could give to another state about how to implement a similar protocol, what would that message be?
29. Based on your experience with this project, if there was one message that you could give to another state about how to implement an online training, what would that message be?
016 NASEMSO EBG Project Assessment
Pilot Agencies
30. Did you pilot the Pain Management Guideline Training with any agencies? Yes No

31. What did you learn from the pilot (e.g., what worked, what would you do differentl	y next time, etc.).
2016 NASEMSO EBG Project Assessment	
11. Local Feedback	
32. Did you receive any specific feedback from agencies related to the online training No Yes (please specify)	J?
33. Did you receive any resistance from: Local Hospitals	
Local Personnel Patients	
34. Any additional feedback for the Project Team?	
2016 NASEMSO EBG Project Assessment	
12 Thank You	

Thank you for taking the time to answer these questions.

10. Pilot Agencies