

# **The Formation of $\alpha+\beta$ Microstructure in As-fabricated Selective Laser**

## **Melting of Ti-6Al-4V**

M. Simonelli<sup>a</sup>, Y.Y. Tse<sup>a</sup>, C. Tuck<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Materials, Loughborough University, Loughborough, LE11 3TU, United Kingdom

<sup>b</sup>Additive Manufacturing and 3D Printing Research Group, Faculty of Engineering, The University of Nottingham, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, United Kingdom

### **Abstract**

Ti-6Al-4V parts made by the Additive Manufacturing (AM) technique Selective Laser Melting (SLM) generally show poor ductility due to their fine martensitic microstructure. This study was designed to assess whether a more suitable microstructure can be obtained when long laser/material interaction times are used. As-fabricated components with an  $\alpha+\beta$  microstructure were produced and characterized with various microscopy techniques. The microstructural evolution was discussed in relation to the build platform temperature, the cyclic re-heating and the thermal stresses that developed during the process. The hardness of the samples was also evaluated and discussed. The hardness varied in relation to the different microstructure morphologies observed in the samples and different partitioning of the alloying elements. This study indicates a methodology through SLM to obtain Ti-6Al-4V with an as deposited  $\alpha+\beta$  microstructure which is more desirable than that the typical fully martensitic microstructure typically obtained after SLM.

### **Keywords**

microstructure; annealing; hardness

## INTRODUCTION

Selective laser melting (SLM) has shown to be a promising additive manufacturing (AM) technology for a wide range of metal alloys. Components with significant design freedom can in fact be produced close to their net shape leading to significant savings of raw material and energy consumption [1]. In the case of Ti alloys, which have been extensively used in the aerospace industry often in the form of components with high buy-to-fly ratio, SLM represents an attractive alternative potential manufacturing process [2]. The major challenge for SLM of Ti alloys, and in particular Ti-6Al-4V, is the possibility to manufacture fully dense components with mechanical properties comparable to those of conventionally made counterparts [2-4].

Recent studies have shown that although as-built SLM Ti-6Al-4V exhibits strength comparable or superior to HIPed cast or wrought correspondent materials, it suffers from poor ductility [2-5]. This is due to the fact that the microstructure of the as-built SLM Ti-6Al-4V consists entirely of acicular  $\alpha'$  martensitic phase, that originates from the high cooling rates experienced by the deposited layers ( $\sim 10^4$  K/s [4]). The plastic deformation associated with this microstructure is thus confined to single  $\alpha'$  lath slip length as no  $\alpha$  colonies or slip transfer between the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  phases can occur. The superior mechanical performance of Ti-6Al-4V with  $\alpha+\beta$  microstructure is well documented as the existing of  $\beta$  phase improve the ductility of the sample compared to the typical fully martensitic SLM Ti-6Al-4V[6-7]. For this reason, being able to manufacture SLM Ti-6Al-4V with a controlled  $\alpha+\beta$  is highly desirable and subject of current research.

The origin of the SLM Ti-6Al-4V microstructure is well understood [8-9]. In principle during the formation of a single layer of Ti-6Al-4V, the laser hits the pre-deposited powder bed and creates

a liquid melt pool. As the melt pool cools down to room temperature, it solidifies in the  $\beta$  phase field. Heat is then lost vertically and columnar  $\beta$  grains form. Finally, the  $\beta$  phase transforms entirely into acicular  $\alpha'$  martensitic phase because of the high cooling rates which are typical of the SLM process. Recent efforts have been focussed on specific post-SLM heat treatments that modify the martensitic microstructure towards equilibrium  $\alpha+\beta$  phase mixtures [3-4, ref].

Although the ductility of the heat treated SLM Ti-6Al-4V has shown to be improved, post processing heat treatments decrease the cost benefits associated with SLM, require dedicated furnace that operate with protective atmospheres to avoid potential contamination of the built material and are not always possible to carry out. The typical thermal stresses build up during SLM can cause the sample deform during the process and its interruption resulting in an unfinished part. Research on processing conditions that minimize thermal gradients during solidification of the melt pool or can anneal the deposited layers during the process is thus fundamental.

The objective of the present study was to investigate the possibility of manufacturing Ti-6Al-4V with a microstructure that comprises retained  $\beta$  phase directly during SLM. No studies have fact reported on the possibility to fabricate Ti-6Al-4V with a  $\alpha+\beta$  microstructure using SLM or any laser powder bed system [3-4, 8] but it is the coexistence of  $\alpha+\beta$  microstructure that is desirable to improve the mechanical properties of the alloy. The approach chosen in this research was that of using a set of process parameters characterized by long laser-material interaction time to reduce the thermal gradients and cooling rates experienced by the material compared to what occurs during typical SLM processing. The microstructure of SLM Ti-6Al-4V was then characterized and discussed in relation to the adopted process parameters. To the authors

knowledge, this study is the first evidence of Ti-6Al-4V processed by SLM (or any laser powder bed system) that present a  $\alpha + \beta$  microstructure in the as-fabricated condition.

## EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The powder material used in this study is pre alloyed Ti-6Al-4V grade 23 plasma atomized particles supplied by LPW Technology, UK. The measured particle size distribution ranges from 15 to 75  $\mu\text{m}$ , with about 75% of the distribution comprised between 25 and 50  $\mu\text{m}$ . The chemical composition of the powders is reported in Table 1.

The study was conducted on four cubic samples (125 mm<sup>3</sup>) built using a SLM50, ReaLizer GmbH. The samples were built without interruption in a protective Ar atmosphere. The process parameters used in this study are listed in Table 2. Table 2 also lists the typical processing conditions for SLM of Ti alloys obtained from the literature. The SLM50 is equipped with a continuous YLM-100-AC ytterbium fibre laser. In the present research the laser was focussed on the powder bed, producing a spot size (beam diameter where the intensity is higher or equal to  $1/e^2$  of the chosen intensity [8]) of 30  $\mu\text{m}$ . The speed of the laser was controlled specifying the point distance, i.e. the distance between two successive points in a straight line, and the exposure time, i.e. the duration of time during which the laser dwells on each point. The scan strategy used in this study is schematized in Figure 1. In practise each powder layer was scanned twice. The fibre laser initially scanned the edges of the square area corresponding to the cross section of the desired part. In sequence, the square powder bed was scanned with alternating scan vector parallel to the  $x$ -axis starting from the left-hand side corner of the component. The second scan of the same layer consisted of alternating vectors 90° rotated with respect to the first scan, i.e. the scan vectors were parallel to the  $y$ -axis as illustrated in Figure 1. During the second scan, the

fibre laser scanned the designated area from the back to the front of the building chamber. The choice of this scan strategy derives from the fact that remelting of the same layer has been proven to be beneficial for the density of the samples [11]. The set of process parameters used in the present research differ from the typical SLM conditions reported in the literature in two main aspects: 1) it used a low laser power and low scan speed that enable a long laser-material interaction time and thus encourage the decomposition of the  $\alpha'$  martensitic phase, 2) each layer was melted twice (double scan strategy) in an attempt to improve the density of the parts. The levelling system in the ReaLizer SLM50 consists of a double bladed level bar. The level bar wipes the powder bed twice in order to improve the levelling of the layer of powder. The recoating time between successive layers was approximately 10 seconds. The blocks were built on teeth supports to ease their detachment from the platform once the building process was completed. The supports were generated automatically using the software Magics. The overall height of the supports was 3 mm, while the nominal thickness of the individual teeth was 0.2 mm. The build platform was kept at a temperature of 200 °C (473.15 K).

The microstructure of the samples was investigated by optical microscopy, backscatter imaging and EBSD using a Nova 600 Nanolab Dual Beam equipped with an EDAX EBSD camera. The microstructure was investigated on multiple sections of the three orthogonal xz-, yz- and xy planes (ASTM F2921). The density of the samples was estimated from optical micrograph analysis accounting for the percentage area fraction occupied by porosity using ImageJ. The phase composition was evaluated using EDS analysis on polished flat specimens. The chemical composition of the phases was calculated averaging 10 point EDS measurements whereas the average area composition was evaluated on spectra collected over areas of  $\sim 75 \times 60 \mu\text{m}$ . The EDS analysis was carried out at an accelerating voltage of 10kV to minimize the electron probe

diameter, and thus the area irradiated by the electron beam, whilst maintaining enough energy to excite the characteristic elements of the material. Under these conditions, the diameter of the expected interaction volume for the element of interest (Al, Ti, V) is comprised between  $1.25 \pm 0.25 \mu\text{m}$ . For some fine microstructure that generates during SLM, consisting of grains of the similar size than the interaction volume for the element of interest, the EDS analysis cannot provide enough spatial resolution to report absolute value of the phase chemistry composition but will serve as a comparative tool to differentiate the phases present in the microstructure and assess the presence of potential segregates. The crystallographic texture of the specimens was studied using high-resolution EBSD maps acquired with  $0.1 \mu\text{m}$  step size. Inverse pole figure (IPF) orientation, phase maps and phase volume fraction were calculated using TSL Data Collection 6 software. The grain size was measured directly on the backscatter and phase maps micrographs using ImageJ. A plate of wrought and annealed Ti-6Al-4V with standard bimodal microstructure as shown in Figure 2 was used to as reference standard material to facilitate the microstructure discussion.

The hardness of the samples was measured using the Innovatest Nexus 4503 microhardness indenter. Indents were generated using a constant load of  $0.3 \text{ kgf}$  ( $2.94\text{N}$ ).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The general features of the microstructure are shown in Figure 3. The microstructure consists of columnar prior  $\beta$  grain boundaries where fine grains have precipitated. The prior  $\beta$  grain boundaries are either aligned or inclined to the building direction while they form irregular shapes in the horizontal plane as reported in other SLM Ti-6Al-4V studies [3, 8-10]. The density of the samples was  $99.4 \pm 0.2$ . The pores in the microstructure are spherical or elongated. The

origin of porosity during SLM has been widely discussed. It is generally accepted that spherical pores originate from pre-existing defects and the evolution of any gaseous species adsorbed onto the starting powder material [2, 8]. The origin of elongated porosity is instead generally attributed to incorrect melting of the layers [2, 5, 8-9]. In the present study each layer was processed with unconventional long laser-material interaction time thus causing balling and uneven deposition that might have resulted in the observed porosity. The microstructure shows periodic macroscopic “banding” in the frontal and the lateral planes (Figure 3). The dark bands appear in the microstructure with layer periodicity and possess a wavy shape almost parallel to the  $x$ -axis (or  $y$ -axis if the microstructure is studied in the lateral plane). These occurrences will be discussed later.

The fine grains that precipitated in the prior  $\beta$  grains were studied in more detail using backscatter imaging, EBSD and EDS analysis (Figure 4 and 5). The backscatter analysis shows that the average grain size and morphology vary along the height of the sample (Figure 4). The microstructure of the first few layers of the built component ( up  $\sim 0.5$  mm from the build platform) consists of relatively coarse fully lamellar  $\alpha+\beta$  microstructure as confirmed by the difference in grain contrast shown in Figure 4b and the EDS analysis (Table 3). The average length and width of the  $\alpha$  laths is  $9.6\pm 3.1\mu\text{m}$  and  $2.3\pm 0.5\mu\text{m}$  respectively. The thickness (or width?) of the retained  $\beta$  phase is  $0.35 \pm 0.05 \mu\text{m}$  (located at the triple junction of the  $\alpha$  boundaries? Please check.) . Figure 5a shows the phase map relative to this area. Previous studies of SLM Ti-6Al-4V suggest that the lamellar  $\alpha$  phase is arranged in  $\alpha$  variants related to the retained  $\beta$  phase through the Burgers orientation relationship [10]. As more layers are deposited, it was observed that several regions of the sample showed a near fully equiaxed microstructure

with some retained  $\beta$  phase at the  $\alpha$  grain boundaries (Figure 4c). The  $\alpha$  grain size is about  $3.0 \pm 0.6 \mu\text{m}$  while the retained  $\beta$  phase thickness is  $600 \pm 150 \text{ nm}$ . The phase map of the fully  $\alpha$  equiaxed microstructure is shown in Figure 5b. The  $\beta$  phase constitutes about 5% of the microstructure, similarly to that observed in Figure 5a. The corresponding IPF orientation map shows that several  $\alpha$  equiaxed grains have similar crystallographic orientations. As the build height increases the predominant microstructure morphology changes. Above  $\sim 2\text{mm}$  from the build platform, it was observed that the volume fraction of equiaxed  $\alpha$  grains diminishes at the expense of  $\alpha$  grains with the lamellar morphology (Figure 4d-e). The microstructure of these regions resembles the standard bimodal microstructure of Ti alloy, where the  $\alpha$  phase possesses two distinct morphologies, equiaxed (i.e. primary  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha_p$ ) and lamellar (i.e. secondary  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha_s$ ). The change of the dominant  $\alpha$  grain morphology is accompanied by a change in the average  $\alpha$  grain size that, as new layers were added, becomes finer (Figure 4f). The analysis of the phase maps indicate a similar volume fraction of the  $\beta$  phase compared to the rest of the component. Eventually, martensitic acicular  $\alpha'$  microstructure was observed in the regions corresponding to the last processed layer ( $\sim 5 \text{ mm}$  from the building platform) as shown in Figure 4g. The top martensitic layer shows a more equal distribution of the 12 variants, as indicated in IPF orientation map of Figure 5e.

The microstructure of the samples studied in this research present significant differences to that generally associated to as fabricated SLM Ti-6Al-4V [2-4, 8,10]. As-fabricated components built using a traditional and optimised set of process parameters consists fully  $\alpha'$  martensitic phase (Figure 6a), and thus are associated with high strength and poor ductility (Table 3). The long laser-material interaction time used in this study produces instead components with a  $\alpha+\beta$



microstructure, similar to that obtained after a post annealing heat treatment of SLM Ti-6Al-4V (Figure 6b) and thus a microstructure was associated to superior mechanical properties (Table 4).

The hardness measured in correspondence with the microstructural changes is shown in Figure 7. It should be noted that apart from the hardness measured at the bottom of the sample, the hardness decreases with the sample height. The chemical phase composition of the corresponding microstructure of the sample is reported in Table 3.

The results confirm anecdotal evidence that the microstructure evolution is affected by the building platform temperature, the cyclic reheating associated with the layer deposition and the thermal stresses that develop due to the high cooling rates typical of SLM. In order to interpret these results it should be considered that although the cyclic reheating induced by the laser scan of successive layers is the same at each layer deposit, the effect of the building platform temperature and the residual stresses on the microstructure vary with the build height.

The samples studied in this research present a limited thermal mass and thus as the sample height increases the influence of the building platform temperature decreases. Similar considerations are reported in electron beam melting (EBM) of Ti-6Al-4V [ref].

In addition, it is generally accepted that the residual stresses that develop during SLM of metals are a function of the cooling rate and the cross sectional area of the layer that are deposited [ref]. As the samples were built on lattice supporting structures of minimal cross sectional area, it is believed that the residual stresses were lower in the bottom of the part than in the rest of the sample.

The bottom of the workpiece (up to  $\sim 0.5$  mm from the build platform) presented a lamellar  $\alpha+\beta$  microstructure. This microstructure is likely to have formed as a result of  $\alpha' \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \alpha+\beta$

decomposition during cyclic re-heating. The temperature of the platform that was kept at 200°C (473.15K) is probably too low to impose cooling rates that were low enough to obtain  $\beta \rightarrow \alpha + \beta$  from a single scan, however helps to reduce the thermal gradient (ref, can you put the ebeam with heated platform reference here?). A comparison between the hardness and the phase composition of the fully lamellar microstructure and the reference Ti-6Al-4V would suggest that the  $\alpha + \beta$  microstructure at the bottom of the samples derives from annealing (i.e. recovery) and decomposition of an initial martensitic microstructure. The fully lamellar microstructure is indeed harder than the reference Ti-6Al-4V and the  $\alpha$  laths in the sample are relatively richer in V (Figure 7 and Table 3).

Similar results have been reported in a recent finite element thermo-kinetic model for Laser Directed Energy Deposition with powder of Ti-6Al-4V, where it was shown that the laser scan speed and the temperature of the building platform can influence the average temperature distribution in the work piece, the cooling rates and thus the phase composition of the deposits [ref].

The fully equiaxed microstructure observed above the bottom of the sample suggests that recrystallization of  $\alpha$  laths has taken place. It is well known that thermal stresses develop during SLM due to the typical high cooling rates involved in the layer solidification [ref] and thus it is likely that residual stresses caused crystal deformation in the sample [ref]. It is possible that cyclic reheating of these layers combined with the building platform temperature have caused recrystallization of the deformed lamellar microstructure and thus the generation of the equiaxed grains (Figure 4c). Indeed there is some evidence in the literature that the reheating cycles during laser beam deposition can cause the formation of  $\alpha$  equiaxed grains in Ti-6Al-4V samples [14-

15]. TEM work will be required to confirm the extent of recrystallization and the dislocation density variations in the grains of different morphology.

As more layers are added (and so the height of the sample increases), the influence of the heated platform decreases, and the extent of recrystallization decreases. The progressive refining of the microstructure as the sample height increases could be related to insufficient time for the material to transform or it could be indicative that the solidification of the melt pools occurs at higher cooling rates.

The composition of the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  phases varied with the sample height, as shown in Table 3. It can be noticed that the equiaxed  $\alpha$  grains are characterized by a lower content of Al and V than the  $\alpha$  laths at the bottom of the sample. The areas where microstructure has undergone recrystallization present instead a  $\beta$  phase richer in both the Al and V elements.

Interestingly, this analysis suggests that a significant solid-state diffusion occurs during the cyclic re-heating process. As the EDS analysis excludes the existence of hard intermetallic phases in the sample, it appears that the hardness variation shown in Figure 7 is correlated with the degree of solid-state diffusion that occurs in the sample or in other words the partitioning of the alloying elements in the two phases. Where the solid-state diffusion is maximum, i.e. in the equiaxed microstructure region where the  $\beta$  phase is rich in both Al and V (Table 3), the hardness reaches the highest value. Conversely, the hardness diminishes as the volume fraction of the equiaxed grains in the microstructure decreases (Figure 4).

At the top of the sample ( $\sim 5$ mm from the build platform), where the deposit did not experience any cyclic heating, martensitic microstructure was observed. This shows that despite the relatively long laser-material interaction time, cooling rates higher than  $410^\circ\text{K s}^{-1}$  have occurred during solidification of the melt pools far from the building platform as typically reported in

SLM of Ti-6Al-4V [ref]. The hardness of 500 HV and the composition of the martensitic microstructure are comparable to those reported in the literature [ref].

The origin of the macroscopic “banding” observed in the frontal and lateral planes of microstructure (Figure 3) is generally attributed to the cyclic reheating of previously deposited material during each subsequent deposition [ref]. Figure 8 shows the microstructure change in correspondence to one of the observed bands. Two main differences have been observed between the band and the lower portion of material (i.e. outside the band). In the first instance, it is evident a sudden change of predominant grain alignment as indicated by the red marks in Figure 8b. In addition, it can be observed that the microstructures within the bands present a higher volume fraction of dark grains than the lower portion of the micrograph. Although the exact reason behind these microstructural changes is not clear, it is noteworthy that macroscopic banding is typically correlated with the use of high energy densities [ref]. Under the processing used in this experiment reheating cycles caused the formation of a heat affected zone in the deposited material that experienced temperatures above the  $\beta$  transus. Upon cooling from the  $\beta$  phase, different  $\alpha$  variants from those precipitated in the first deposition would explain the grain alignment change observed in Figure 8b. In turn, this would cause a change in contrast in the optical micrographs.

## CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion this study has shown that for certain processing conditions it is possible to directly manufacture components with  $\alpha + \beta$  microstructure by using SLM. In particular, it was shown that the cyclic heating of the layers with the intrinsic deformation caused by the thermal stresses have led to SLM Ti-6Al-4V with a bimodal microstructure. These results are of interest because

for the first time it was shown that is possible to achieve a microstructure that is not fully martensitic during the SLM of Ti-6Al-4V. These findings could lead to new research on the production of components with a more desirable microstructure with improved ductility. The samples produced under the processing window presented in this study however results a graded microstructure with residual porosity. Future work will be required to investigate the effect of combined long and short laser/material interaction times in order to maintain a controlled  $\alpha+\beta$  microstructure and improved density.

## References

1. M. Baumann, C. Tuck, R. Wildman, I. Ashcroft, and R. Hague: *Energy inputs to additive manufacturing: does capacity utilization matter?*. Proceedings of the Solid Freeform Fabrication Symposium, (2011).
2. C. Qiu, N. Adkins and M.M. Attallah: *Microstructure and tensile properties of selectively laser-melted and of HIPed laser-melted Ti-6Al-4V*. Materials Science and Engineering: A, **578**, 230 (2013).
3. L. Facchini, E. Magalini, P. Robotti, A. Molinari, S. Höges, and K. Wissenbach: *Ductility of a Ti-6Al-4V alloy produced by selective laser melting of prealloyed powders*. Rapid Prototyping Journal, **16**, 450 (2010).
4. T. Vilaro, C. Colin, and J.D. Bartout: *As-Fabricated and Heat-Treated Microstructures of the Ti-6Al-4V Alloy Processed by Selective Laser Melting*. Metallurgical and Materials Transactions A, **42**, 3190 (2011).

5. E. Chlebus, B. Kuźnicka, T. Kurzynowski, and B. Dybała: *Microstructure and mechanical behaviour of Ti—6Al—7Nb alloy produced by selective laser melting*. Materials Characterization, **62**, 488 (2011).
6. D. Banerjee and J.C. Williams. *Perspectives on Titanium Science and Technology*. Acta Materialia, **61**, 3, 844 (2013).
7. G. Lütjering. *Influence of processing on microstructure and mechanical properties of  $\alpha + \beta$  titanium alloys*. Materials Science and Engineering: A, **243**, 1, 32 (1998).
8. L. Thijs, F. Verhaeghe, T. Craeghs, J.V. Humbeeck and J.P. Kruth: *A study of the microstructural evolution during selective laser melting of Ti–6Al–4V*. Acta Materialia, **58**, 3303 (2010).
9. T. Sercombe, N. Jones, R. Day and A. Kop: *Heat treatment of Ti-6Al-7Nb components produced by selective laser melting*. Rapid Prototyping Journal, **14**, 300 (2008).
10. B. Vrancken, L. Thijs, J.P. Kruth and J.V. Humbeeck: *Heat treatment of Ti6Al4V produced by Selective Laser Melting: Microstructure and mechanical properties*. Journal of Alloys and Compounds, **541**, 177 (2012).
11. M. Simonelli, Y.Y. Tse and C. Tuck. *On the Texture Formation of Selective Laser Melted Ti-6Al-4V*. Metallurgical and Materials Transactions A, **45**, 6, 2863 (2014).
12. E. Yasa and J.P. Kruth. *Microstructural investigation of Selective Laser Melting 316L stainless steel parts exposed to laser re-melting*. Procedia Engineering, **19**, 389 (2011).
13. S.S. Al-Bermani, M. L. Blackmore, W. Zhang and I. Todd: *The Origin of Microstructural Diversity, Texture, and Mechanical Properties in Electron Beam Melted Ti-6Al-4V*. Metallurgical and Materials Transactions A, **41**, 3422 (2010).

14. I. Gibson, D.W. Rosen and B. Stucker: *Additive manufacturing technologies: rapid prototyping to direct digital manufacturing*. Springer, pp. 103-142, 2010.
15. A. Crespo and R. Vilar: *Finite element analysis of the rapid manufacturing of Ti-6Al-4V parts by laser powder deposition*. Scripta Materialia, **63**, 140 (2010).
16. M. Shiomi, K. Osakada, K. Nakamura, T. Yamashita and F. Abe: *Residual stress within metallic model made by selective laser melting process*. CIRP Annals-Manufacturing Technology, **53**, 195 (2004).
17. P. Mercelis and J.P. Kruth: *Residual stresses in selective laser sintering and selective laser melting*. Rapid Prototyping Journal, **12**, 254 (2006).
18. P.A. Kobryn and S. L. Semiatin: *The laser additive manufacture of Ti-6Al-4V*. JOM, **53**, 40 (2001).
19. P.A. Kobryn and S. L. Semiatin. *Microstructure and texture evolution during solidification processing of Ti-6Al-4V*. Journal of Materials Processing Technology, **135**, 330 (2003).
20. L.E. Murr, S. A. Quinones, S. M. Gaytan, M. I. Lopez, A. Rodela, E. Y. Martinez, D. H. Hernandez, E. Martinez, F. Medina, and R. B. Wicker: *Microstructure and mechanical behavior of Ti-6Al-4V produced by rapid-layer manufacturing, for biomedical applications*. Journal of the mechanical behavior of biomedical materials, **2**, 20 (2009).
21. T. Ahmed and H. J. Rack: *Phase transformations during cooling in  $\alpha+\beta$  titanium alloys*. Materials Science and Engineering: A, **243**, 206 (1998).
22. J.I. Qazi, J. Rahim, F.H. Fores, O. N. Senkov and A. Genc. "*Phase transformations in Ti-6Al-4V-xH alloys*". Metallurgical and Materials Transactions A, **32**, 2453 (2001).

- 23.** S.M. Kelly and S. L. Kampe. *Microstructural evolution in laser-deposited multilayer Ti-6Al-4V builds: Part I. Microstructural characterization*. Metallurgical and Materials Transactions A, **35**, 1861 (2004).
- 24.** B. Baufeld, E. Brandl and O.V. Biest. *Wire based additive layer manufacturing: Comparison of microstructure and mechanical properties of Ti-6Al-4V components fabricated by laser-beam deposition and shaped metal deposition*. Journal of Materials Processing Technology, **211**, 1146 (2011).