SUMMARY OF FEEDBACK

Publishers, Papers and Peer Review Open Access Oxford Publishing Meeting

Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, January 2017



Summary of feedback – surveymonkey questionnaire

| | Awful | Mediocre | Reasonable | Good | Excellent |
|---|-------|----------|------------|--------|-----------|
| Communication/ information ahead of the meeting | 0.00% | 3.45% | 6.90% | 48.28% | 41.38% |
| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 14 | 12 |
| Venue, catering and logistics | 0.00% | 0.00% | 20.69% | 44.83% | 34.48% |
| | 0 | 0 | 6 | 13 | 10 |
| Topic and content of meeting | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 17.24% | 82.76% |
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 24 |
| Relevance of meeting to my own work | 0.00% | 0.00% | 3.45% | 27.59% | 68.97% |
| | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 20 |
| Opportunities to learn something new | 0.00% | 0.00% | 3.45% | 31.03% | 65.52% |
| | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 19 |
| Opportunities for networking and dialogue | 0.00% | 0.00% | 6.90% | 41.38% | 51.72% |
| | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 15 |

Free text feedback via surveymonkey:

- Thanks to all who organised it
- Having spent recent years embroiled in the compliance aspect of open access, it was refreshing and thought-provoking to see such a cross-section of positive open access developments. Involvement of external parties worked well as a format (particularly breadth of representatives) and was valuable in provoking discussion.
- I thought there was a very comprehensive mix of people both speaking and attending. I have a far better appreciation of what open access actually means now and its potential to change how research is published.
- Breaks were too short for discussion and networking
- The information provided in this meeting was very useful to me and I think
 these newest developments in open access publishing/pre-prints should be
 further disseminated among the scientific community, maybe through specific
 seminar for students and postdocs as well as targeted information for PIs?!
- The meeting was very useful in terms of publication and many things were new to me. It was organised very nicely so we could get our queries answered.
- It was a great learning experience and thank you all for organising the meeting.
- The meeting was very helpful for participants to know the latest development in Open Access. The speakers were brilliant! It will be more helpful if future meetings could also get involved experts from Social Science and Humanity divisions. In addition, it will be constructive if there will be more instruction on how exactly the existing journals or digital platforms can be transformed into open access. Or how to establish an open access platform from the very beginning. Or is there any policy or supports for initiatives which want to be open-accessed. Thank you!
- This was an absolutely brilliant course with fantastic speakers. It was incredibly helpful and should be held regularly, although I realise it will be difficult to get all of the speakers together. Thanks very much for organising!
- The programme was excellent, very relevant and the course very well organised. Really well done. I would expect this topic to be relevant to a large number of researchers and surprised not to see a larger audience, maybe a next session could be advertised more broadly?
- The lack of wifi and the time/complexity to get to the venue (and especially leave the venue it took about 50 minutes for a bus to the Park & Ride to arrive for a few of us heading back there) weren't ideal. Also it would have been useful to be in a larger room as it was quite hard to network at times as it was so cramped at the back by the food. However the program and speakers, as well as the ensuing discussion were really excellent as was the diversity of attendees so I congratulate the organisers and especially Philippa on this. One group always missing from these discussions but also key are university administrators it would be really good to have a similar session but focussing specifically on how researchers are measured for careers/tenure/grants and what we can proactively do to start experimenting in new ways in that space. I wonder if the Oxford administrators would be up for such a challenge?
- It was an excellent meeting and very well organised. Great presenters. Well done!
- Great speakers, good, inspiring topics. Maybe i little too much focus on local oxford ressources and facilities for a visiting scientist like me.

Free text feedback via email:

- I just wanted to congratulate you on this very interesting workshop. I really enjoyed listening to all the exciting developments. I am looking forward to use bioRxiv and I will certainly look into Publons, never heard of this before but really useful. Thanks a lot for your efforts in this very important matter.
- It was great attending the open access meeting, thank you so much for organising it and for inviting me! I feel very lucky to have been apart of it as there was so much to learn as a young researcher. Looking forward to implementing the knowledge I have acquired.
- You had a marvellous collection of presenters and a very lively audience. I
 particularly enjoyed the 15-min-long presentation format it worked
 extremely well and kept the presentations very focussed.
- Thank you for organising such a great meeting. There were lots of great discussions and really good to have lots of researchers in the room and not just the usual publisher crowd.
- Thank you for a very enjoyable day one of the things I really value about these events is the 1-1 conversations that we are able to have with researchers who are grappling with all the possibilities and sometimes conflicting demands.
- Really enjoyed the event supreme organisation and impressive speakers. It
 was interesting to hear the reticence from some to use pre print.