

# U–Th–Pb monazite dating and the timing of arc–continent collision in East Timor

R. Berry<sup>a,\*</sup>, J. Thompson<sup>a</sup>, S. Meffre<sup>a</sup> and K. Goemann<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> CODES, University of Tasmania, Private Bag 79, Hobart, Tas 7001, Australia

<sup>b</sup> Central Science Laboratory, University of Tasmania, Private Bag 74, Tas 7001, Australia

\* Corresponding Author (email: [Ron.Berry@utas.edu.au](mailto:Ron.Berry@utas.edu.au))

## SUPPLEMENTARY PAPERS

*Australian Journal of Earth Sciences* (2016), 63,  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08120099.2016.1217930>

-----  
Copies of Supplementary Papers may be obtained from the Geological Society of Australia's website ([www.gsa.org.au](http://www.gsa.org.au)), the Australian Journal of Earth Sciences website ([www.ajes.com.au](http://www.ajes.com.au)) or from the National Library of Australia's Pandora archive (<http://nla.gov.au/nla.arc-25194>).  
-----

## Supplementary papers

Table S1 Summary of previous Ar–Ar dating

Table S2 Detrital zircon data

Table S3 Extended monazite data.

Table S4 Xenotime and monazite pairs analytical data.

Correction of monazite ages for disequilibrium <sup>230</sup>Th

## Correction of monazite ages for disequilibrium $^{230}\text{Th}$

In young monazite grains the measured  $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$  and  $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$  values are disturbed by incorporation of disequilibrium  $^{230}\text{Th}$  during crystal growth.  $^{230}\text{Th}$  is a short half-life (75 ka) isotope in the decay chain of  $^{238}\text{U}$ . Monazite preferentially incorporates Th relative to U during growth. Thus the incorporation of excess  $^{230}\text{Th}$  into the grain leads to a small but significant excess disequilibrium component of  $^{206}\text{Pb}$  in the grain (Schärer, 1984). The  $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$  value should also be corrected for this component before calculating the common Pb component. The disequilibrium component can be calculated from

$$^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}^{\text{d}} = \lambda_4 \times (\text{UThf} - 1) / \lambda_1$$

where  $\lambda_4$  = decay constant of  $^{230}\text{Th}$ ;  $\lambda_1$  = decay constant of  $^{238}\text{U}$  and UThf is the U/Th fractionation factor (Faure & Mensing, 2005; Schärer, 1984). UThf depends on the other minerals that host U and Th in the rock and this cannot easily be determined. However, in this study with monazite containing an order of magnitude higher Th than U, the  $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$  and  $^{208}\text{Pb}/^{232}\text{Th}$  ages have similar precision and a fractionation factor can be determined that gives the same age for the two systems. The algorithm for calculating the age using this method with full error propagation has been included in the supplementary material. The error propagation includes an estimate of the error in the fractionation factor. The calculated fractionation factors vary from 7–10 and the disequilibrium correction leads to a calculated  $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$  age 0.8 to 1.1 Ma less than the uncorrected age. For this paper the corrected  $^{208}\text{Pb}/^{232}\text{Th}$  age is accepted as the preferred age and this value is 0.03 to 0.04 Ma lower than the uncorrected age. The main value in the introduction of a fractionation factor is to improve the calculation of the common Pb correction using the “207 method”. A fractionation factor of 7 to 10 is consistent with the analysed monazite composition and typical shale compositions (e.g. Taylor & McLennan, 1985). The fractionation factor for monazite in equilibrium with granitic melts has been reported as 20 to 35 (Schärer *et al.*, 1984) and can also be estimated from published data on migmatites (9 to 14, Crowley *et al.*, 2009). However, we are unaware of any previous reported U/Th fractionation factor for monazite in metamorphic rocks formed in the absence of melts.

## Calculation protocol

### Stage 1

Disequilibrium correction to  $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$  from (Scharer, 1984)

$$^{206}\text{Pb}_{\text{dis}}/^{238}\text{U} = (\lambda_{238}/\lambda_{230}) \times (\text{ff} - 1)$$

Where ff = fractionation factor of Th/U for monazite (or other mineral of Interest)

$$^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}_{\text{corr}} = ^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}_{\text{meas}} - ^{206}\text{Pb}_{\text{dis}}/^{238}\text{U}$$

Then correct the  $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$  value

$$^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}_{\text{corr}} = ^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}_{\text{meas}} \times ((^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}_{\text{meas}}) / (^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}_{\text{corr}}))$$

## Stage 2

Then correction for common Pb using the 207/206 correction as explained by Chew et al. (2011)

Set  $f_{206} = {}^{206}\text{Pb}_{\text{common}}/{}^{206}\text{Pb}_{\text{corr}}$  then

$$f_{206} = ({}^{207}\text{Pb}/{}^{206}\text{Pb}_{\text{corr}} - {}^{207}\text{Pb}/{}^{206}\text{Pb}_{\text{radiogenic}})/({}^{207}\text{Pb}/{}^{206}\text{Pb}_{\text{common}} - {}^{207}\text{Pb}/{}^{206}\text{Pb}_{\text{radiogenic}})$$

Then 207 corrected 206 age comes from

$${}^{206}\text{Pb}/{}^{238}\text{U}_{\text{radiogenic}} = (1-f_{206}) \times {}^{206}\text{Pb}/{}^{238}\text{U}_{\text{corr}}$$

## Stage 3

But this also identifies the total common Pb in the system

$${}^{208}\text{Pb}_{\text{common}}/{}^{232}\text{Th} = f_{206} \times {}^{206}\text{Pb}/{}^{238}\text{U}_{\text{corr}} \times {}^{208}\text{Pb}/{}^{206}\text{Pb}_{\text{common}} \times {}^{238}\text{U}/{}^{232}\text{Th}$$

The 207/206 corrected 208 age then comes from

$${}^{208}\text{Pb}/{}^{232}\text{Th}_{\text{radiogenic}} = {}^{208}\text{Pb}/{}^{232}\text{Th}_{\text{measured}} - {}^{208}\text{Pb}_{\text{common}}/{}^{232}\text{Th}$$

## Stage 4

But the 208/232 age should be the same as the 206/238 age. Adjust ff until this is true.

Some constants (from Faure & Mensing, 2005)

$$\lambda_{230} = 9.1929 \times 10^{-6} \quad \lambda_{232} = 4.9475 \times 10^{-11}$$

$$\lambda_{235} = 9.8485 \times 10^{-10} \quad \lambda_{238} = 1.55125 \times 10^{-10}$$

Common Pb (?at crystallisation age) from Stacey and Kramer (1985)

$${}^{207}\text{Pb}/{}^{206}\text{Pb}_{\text{common}} \approx 0.8360 \text{ for very young ages}$$

Otherwise measure common Pb on your sample.

Radiogenic Pb ratio comes from

$${}^{207}\text{Pb}/{}^{206}\text{Pb}_{\text{radiogenic}} = ({}^{235}\text{U}/{}^{238}\text{U}) \times ((\exp(\lambda_{235} \times t) - 1)/(\exp(\lambda_{238} \times t) - 1))$$
$$\approx 0.046045 \quad \text{for very young ages}$$

## Error Propagation

### Input Data

	Value	1 sigma	
		relative error	absolute error
${}^{206}\text{Pb}/{}^{238}\text{U}$	6#8	6#8re	6#8ae = 6#8re x 6#8
${}^{207}\text{Pb}/{}^{206}\text{Pb}$	7#6	7#6re	
${}^{208}\text{Pb}/{}^{232}\text{Th}$	8#2	8#2re	
${}^{232}\text{Th}/{}_{238}\text{U}$	T#U	T#Ure	

### Calculated from growth curve

${}^{207}\text{Pb}/{}^{206}\text{Pb}$ at 5 Ma	c7#6	0	0
${}^{208}\text{Pb}/{}^{206}\text{Pb}$ at 5 Ma	c8#6	0	0

Assumes errors on growth curve values at young ages are so small they can be ignored

**Assumed at start of numerical solution**

UThf fractionation                      ff = 10                      re = 1/10                      ae = 1

**Start of calculation**

$$^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}_{\text{dis}} \quad \text{dis} = 1.55125 \times 10^{-10} \times (\text{ff} - 1) / 9.1929 \times 10^{-6}$$

$$\text{disre} = \text{re}$$

$$\text{disae} = 1.55125 \times 10^{-10} \times (\text{ae}) / 9.1929 \times 10^{-6}$$

$$\text{corrected } ^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U} \quad \text{cor6\#8} = 6\#8 - \text{dis}$$

$$\text{cor6\#8re} = (\text{SQRT}(6\#8\text{ae}^2 + \text{disae}^2)) / \text{cor6\#8}$$

$$\text{corrected } ^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb} \quad \text{cor7\#6} = 7\#6 \times 8\#6 / \text{cor8\#6}$$

$$\text{cor7\#6re} = \text{SQRT}(7\#6\text{re}^2 + ((\text{disre} \times \text{SQRT}(6\#8\text{re}^2 + \text{re}^2) / 6\#8) / (1 - 6\#8/\text{dis}))^2)$$

$$\text{f206} \quad \text{f206} = (\text{cor7\#6} - 0.04605) / (0.836003 - 0.04605)$$

assumes negligible error in model common Pb and modern radiogenic Pb

$$\text{f206ae} = (\text{cor7\#6} \times \text{cor7\#6re}) / (0.836003 - 0.04605)$$

$$\text{f206re} = \text{f206ae} / \text{f206}$$

$$= \text{cor7\#6} \times \text{cor7\#6re} / (\text{cor7\#6} - 0.046045)$$

$$^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}_{\text{radiogenic}} \quad \text{rad6\#8} = (1 - \text{f206}) \times \text{cor6\#8}$$

$$\text{rad6\#8re} = \text{SQRT}((\text{f206} \times \text{f206re} / (1 - \text{f206}))^2 + \text{cor6\#8re}^2)$$

$$^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U} \text{ age by "Isoplot"} \quad \text{age6\#8}$$

$$\text{age6\#8ae} = \text{age6\#8} - \text{'isoplot3'!AgePb6U8}((1 - \text{rad6\#8re}) \times \text{rad6\#8})$$

$$\text{Common } ^{208}\text{Pb}/^{232}\text{Th} \quad \text{com8\#2} = \text{c8\#6} \times \text{f206} \times \text{cor6\#8} / \text{T\#U}$$

$$\text{com8\#2re} = \text{SQRT}(\text{f206re}^2 + \text{cor6\#8re}^2 + \text{T\#Ure}^2)$$

$$\text{Radiogenic } ^{208}\text{Pb}/^{232}\text{Th} \quad \text{rad8\#2} = 8\#2 - \text{com8\#2}$$

$$\text{rad8\#2re} = \text{SQRT}((\text{com8\#2re} \times \text{com8\#2})^2 + (8\#2\text{re} \times 8\#2)^2) / \text{rad8\#2}$$

$$^{208}\text{Pb}/^{232}\text{Th} \text{ age and error by isoplot as above for } ^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U} \text{ age}$$

**Compare ages to calculate actual fractionation factor**

$$\text{Weighted average } ^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U} \text{ age} = \text{wa6\#8} = \Sigma(\text{age6\#8} / (\text{age6\#8ae}^2)) / \Sigma(1 / (\text{age6\#8ae}^2))$$

$$\text{Error on weighted average} = \text{wa6\#8ae} = \text{SQRT}(1 / \Sigma(1 / (\text{age6\#8ae}^2)))$$

$$\text{Weighted average } ^{208}\text{Pb}/^{232}\text{Th} \text{ age} = \text{wa8\#2} = \Sigma(\text{age8\#2} / (\text{age8\#2ae}^2)) / \Sigma(1 / (\text{age8\#2ae}^2))$$

$$\text{Error on weighted average} = \text{wa8\#2ae} = \text{SQRT}(1 / \Sigma(1 / (\text{age8\#2ae}^2)))$$

$$\text{Difference} = \text{wa6\#8} - \text{wa8\#2}$$

$$\text{Error on difference} = \text{edif} = \text{SQRT}(\text{wa6\#8ae}^2 + \text{wa8\#2ae}^2)$$

Adjust ff until difference = 0 to get preferred ff

Adjust ff until difference = +edif and –edif to find one sigma bounds on value of ff. Use these to infer value for ae (the one sigma error on ff)

Repeat one or more times for convergence.

## References

- Chew, D. M., Sylvester, P. J., & Tubrett, M. N. (2011). U–Pb and Th–Pb dating of apatite by LA-ICPMS. *Chemical Geology*, 280, 200–216.
- Crowley, J. L., Waters, D. J., Searle, M. P., & Bowring, S. S. (2009). Pleistocene melting and rapid exhumation of the Nanga Parbat massif, Pakistan: age and P–T conditions of accessory mineral growth in migmatite and leucogranite. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 288, 408–420.
- Faure, G., & Mensing, T.M. (2005). *Isotopes: principle and applications* 3<sup>rd</sup> edition. Wiley, Hoboken N.J. 897 pp.
- Schärer, U. (1984). The effect of initial <sup>230</sup>Th disequilibrium on young U–Pb ages: The Makalu Case, Himalaya. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 67, 191–204.
- Taylor, S. R., & McLennan, S. M. (1985). *The continental crust – Its composition and evolution*. Oxford, England: Blackwell Scientific Publication, 312 p.