# Supplement to "A Multi-level Trend-Renewal Process for Modeling Systems with Recurrence Data"

Zhibing Xu<sup>1</sup>, Yili Hong<sup>1</sup>, William Q. Meeker<sup>2</sup>, Brock E. Osborn<sup>3</sup>, and Kati Illouz<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Statistics, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA 24061

<sup>2</sup>Department of Statistics, Iowa State University, Ames, IA, 50011

<sup>3</sup>Applied Statistics Laboratory, GE Global Research Center, Niskayuna, NY, 12309

### **1** Prediction for the Time-Dependent Covariate

To predict future recurrent events for a system with a time-dependent covariate, it is necessary to have a parametric model for the covariate process. we use a linear mixed effects model to describe the dynamic covariate data as an example. In particular,

$$X_{i}(t_{ik}^{x}) = (\beta_{x} + \nu_{i})t_{ik}^{x} + \epsilon_{i}(t_{ik}^{x}) \quad i = 1, \cdots, n, \quad k = 1, \cdots, m_{i},$$
(1)

where  $\beta_x$  is the coefficient of time,  $\nu_i$  is the random effect, and  $\epsilon_i(t_{ik}^x)$  is the error term. We assume that  $\nu_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma_{\nu}^2)$ , and  $\epsilon_i(t_{ik}^x) \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma_x^2)$  is independent of  $\nu_i$ . The parameters in (1) are denoted by  $\boldsymbol{\theta}^x = (\beta_x, \sigma_\nu, \sigma_x)'$ . The estimation of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}^x$  in the covariate model can be accomplished by using existing software packages (e.g., using the R function lme).

We use an approach that is similar to that used by Hong and Meeker (2013) in covariate prediction but for a different of failure-time model. Let  $\mathbf{t}_i = (t_{i1}^x, \dots, t_{im_i}^x)'$ ,  $\mathbf{t}_{it^*} = (t_{i,m_{i+1}}^x, \dots, t_{i,m_i+z_i}^x)'$  be the observed time points before  $\tau_i$  and the predicted time points during  $(\tau_i, \tau_i + t^*]$ , respectively. Let  $\mathbf{X}_i(\mathbf{t}_i) = [X_i(t_{i1}^x), \dots, X_i(t_{im_i}^x)]'$ , and  $\mathbf{X}_i(\mathbf{t}_{it^*}) = [X_i(t_{i,m_{i+1}}^x), \dots, X_i(t_{im_i+z_i}^x)]'$  be the corresponding time-dependent covariate processes. Here,  $z_i$  is the number of predicted time points for system *i*. The joint distribution of  $\mathbf{X}_i(\mathbf{t}_i)$  and  $\mathbf{X}_i(\mathbf{t}_{it^*})$  can be expressed as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{X}_i(\boldsymbol{t}_i) \\ \boldsymbol{X}_i(\boldsymbol{t}_{it^*}) \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathrm{N} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{t}_i \\ \boldsymbol{t}_{it^*} \end{pmatrix} \beta_x, \ \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i11} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i12} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i21} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i22} \end{pmatrix} \right],$$

where  $\Sigma_{i11} = \sigma_{\nu}^2 t_i t'_i + \sigma_x^2 I_{m_i}$ ,  $\Sigma_{i22} = \sigma_{\nu}^2 t_{it^*} t'_{it^*} + \sigma_x^2 I_{z_i}$ , and  $\Sigma_{i12} = \sigma_{\nu}^2 t_i t'_{it^*}$ . Here,  $I_{m_i}$  and  $I_{z_i}$  are  $m_i \times m_i$  and  $z_i \times z_i$  identity matrices. The conditional distribution of  $X_i(t_i) | X_i(t_{it^*})$  is

$$N\left(\boldsymbol{t}_{it^*}\beta_x + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i21}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i11}^{-1}[\boldsymbol{X}_i(\boldsymbol{t}_i) - \boldsymbol{t}_i\beta_x], \ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i22} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i21}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i11}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i12}\right).$$
(2)

Based on (2), the time-dependent covariate processes can be predicted.

The derivation of (2) is given as follows. Let  $X_i(t_{ij})$  and  $X_i(t_{ik})$  denote two random variables of the time-dependent covariate. It is easy to show that  $\mathbf{E}[X_i(t_{ij})] = t_{ij}\beta_x$ ,  $\mathbf{E}[X_i(t_{ik})] = t_{ik}\beta_x$ ,  $\operatorname{Var}[X_i(t_{ij})] = \sigma_{\nu}^2 t_{ij}^2$ ,  $\operatorname{Var}[X_i(t_{ik})] = \sigma_{\nu}^2 t_{ik}^2$ , and

$$Cov[X_i(t_{ij}), X_i(t_{ij})] = Cov[t_{ij}(\beta_x + \nu_i) + \epsilon_i(t_{ij}), t_{ik}(\beta_x + \nu_i) + \epsilon_i(t_{ik})]$$
$$= Cov[t_{ij}\nu_i, t_{ik}\nu_i]$$
$$= \sigma_{\nu}^2 t_{ij}t_{ik}.$$

Then, we can easily obtain the variance and covariance expressions for  $\mathbf{X}_i(\mathbf{t}_i)$  and  $\mathbf{X}_i(\mathbf{t}_{it^*})$ :  $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{i11} = \sigma_{\nu}^2 \mathbf{t}_i \mathbf{t}'_i + \sigma_x^2 \mathbf{I}_{m_i}, \ \mathbf{\Sigma}_{i22} = \sigma_{\nu}^2 \mathbf{t}_{it^*} \mathbf{t}'_{it^*} + \sigma_x^2 \mathbf{I}_{z_i}, \text{ and } \mathbf{\Sigma}_{i12} = \sigma_{\nu}^2 \mathbf{t}_i \mathbf{t}'_{it^*}.$  Based on the joint distribution of  $\mathbf{X}_i(\mathbf{t}_i)$  and  $\mathbf{X}_i(\mathbf{t}_{it^*})$ , we can obtain the conditional distribution of  $\mathbf{X}_i(\mathbf{t}_i) | \mathbf{X}_i(\mathbf{t}_{it^*})$ :

$$N\left(\boldsymbol{t}_{it^*}\beta_x + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i21}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i11}^{-1}[\boldsymbol{X}_i(\boldsymbol{t}_i) - \boldsymbol{t}_i\beta_x], \ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i22} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i21}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i11}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i12}\right).$$

## 2 Subsystem Event Simulations

Because the model for component events depends on the history of subsystem events, the simulation of subsystem events is needed in the prediction of component events. Let  $\varsigma_i = \tau_i + t^*$  be the prediction ending time of system i,  $\hat{F}^{s\star}$  be the estimate of renewal distribution function  $F^{s\star}$ ,  $\hat{\Lambda}^{\star}_i$  be the estimate of  $\Lambda^{\star}_i$ , and  $\hat{\Lambda}^{\star-1}_i(\cdot)$  be the corresponding inverse function given  $\hat{\theta}^s$  and  $\hat{\theta}^x$ . Here,  $\hat{\theta}^s$  and  $\hat{\theta}^x$  are ML estimates of  $\theta^s$  and  $\theta^x$ , respectively. Based on the definition of the TRP model, the gaps between two consecutive transformed subsystem event times follow distribution  $F^{s\star}$ . That is,  $\Lambda^{\star}_i(t^s_{i,j+1}) - \Lambda^{\star}_i(t^s_{ij}) \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} F^{s\star}$ , where  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ . The subsystem events can be simulated as follows.

#### Algorithm S1

- 1. Simulate a realization of  $X_i(t_{it^*})$ , the *i*th time-dependent covariate process, based on  $\hat{\theta}^x$  using the conditional distribution (2).
- 2. Compute  $\widehat{\Lambda}_i^{\star}(\varsigma_i)$  as the prediction ending time for unit *i*.

- 3. Generate a sequence of random variables  $U_{ij}$  from distribution  $\widehat{F}^{s\star}$  and obtain the sequence of simulated event times in a transformed time scale,  $T_{ij}^* = \widehat{\Lambda}_i^*[t_{i,N_{is}(\tau_i)}^s] + \sum_{k=1}^j U_{ik}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, C_i^s$ , until  $T_{i,C_i^s+1}^* > \widehat{\Lambda}_i^*(\varsigma_i)$ . Here,  $T_{ij}^*, j = 1, \dots, C_i^s$  are the event times in the transformed time scale according to the RP( $F^{s\star}$ ) model. Then,  $C_i^s$  is the random number of simulated subsystem events for unit i.
- 4. Compute the simulated subsystem event times  $T_{ij}^s = \widehat{\Lambda}_i^{\star-1}(T_{ij}^*), \ j = 1, \cdots, C_i^s$ .
- 5. Repeat steps 1-4 for each system i, where  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Note that in step 3, the time of the first simulated subsystem event  $T_{i1}^s$  should be larger than  $\tau_i$ , because the simulation is conditioned on the history. Otherwise it needs to be re-simulated.

## 3 Prediction Interval Computing Algorithm

#### Algorithm S2

1. Simulate  $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{x*}$ ,  $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{s*}$ ,  $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{c*}$ , and  $\widehat{v}^*$  from  $N(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^x, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^x})$ ,  $N(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^s, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^s})$ ,  $N(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^c, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^c})$  and  $N(\widehat{v}, \widehat{\sigma}_{\widehat{v}}^2)$ , respectively.

2. Replace  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^x$  by  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{x*}$ ,  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^s$  by  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{s*}$ ,  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^c$  by  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{c*}$ , and  $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}$  by  $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}^*$ , and repeat steps 1-7 in Algorithm 2 to obtain  $\hat{N}_c^*(t^*; \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{c*}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{s*}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{x*})$ .

3. Repeat steps 1-2 *B* times to obtain  $\widehat{N}_{c}^{*(b)}(t^{*};\widehat{\theta}^{c*},\widehat{\theta}^{s*},\widehat{\theta}^{x*})$ , where  $b = 1, \cdots, B$ .

4. The  $100(1-\alpha)\%$  PI for  $N_c$  is the  $(\alpha/2, 1-\alpha/2)$  quantile of the *B* ordered values of  $\widehat{N}_c^{*(b)}(t^*; \widehat{\theta}^{c*}, \widehat{\theta}^{s*}, \widehat{\theta}^{x*})$ .

## References

Hong, Y. and W. Q. Meeker (2013). Field-failure predictions based on failure-time data with dynamic covariate information. *Technometrics* 55, 135–149.