

Annex 1. Results of round 1 of the Delphi panel.

CRITERIA AND INDICATORS		Disagreement	Neither agreement nor disagreement	Agreement	Total	% Agreement	Kappa	STATE
Q 1. Please indicate your degree of agreement with the following statement: "It is important to consider greenwashing when assessing the quality of PPEAs"	% n	10,00% 1	0,00% 0	90,00% 9	100,00% 10	80.00%	0.70	Approved
CRITERION_1. The coherence between the main activity of the organization and the objectives and contents of the EEPP that is sponsored, designed and/or executed.	% n	0,00% 0	10,00% 1	90,00% 9	100,00% 10	80.00%	0.70	Approved
C1.1 Are the mission and values described by the organization related to the environment and/or environmental education	% n	10,00% 1	10,00% 1	80,00% 8	100,00% 10	62.22%	0.43	It is reformulated
C1.2 Does the organization include awareness-raising, training, or education in favor of the environment addressed by the EEPP among its core functions?	% n	30,00% 3	10,00% 1	60,00% 6	100,00% 10	40.00%	0.10	It is reformulated
C1.3 Are the organization's activities aligned with the principles of sustainability?	% n	0,00% 0	0,00% 0	100,00% 10	100,00% 10	100.00%	1.00	Approved
C1.4 Is there a clear internal coherence between the topic addressed by the EEPP and the characteristics of the organization that sponsors, designs, or executes it?	% n	0,00% 0	0,00% 0	100,00% 10	100,00% 10	100.00%	1.00	Approved
C1.5 Does the EEPP respond to an identified need that is explicitly included in the mission of the organization that sponsors, designs, or executes it?	% n	0,00% 0	30,00% 3	70,00% 7	100,00% 10	53.33%	0.30	Re-evaluated without changes
CRITERION_2. Veracity of the information provided by the sponsoring, designing, or executing organization about itself and the EEPP	% n	0,00% 0	0,00% 0	100,00% 10	100,00% 10	100.00%	1.00	Approved
C2.1 Does the organization provide objective information about its activities, supported by measurable data related to the program's topic?	% n	10,00% 1	10,00% 1	80,00% 8	100,00% 10	62.22%	0.43	Approved
C2.2 Does the organization present information using technical language appropriate to the subject matter that is understandable to all audiences?	% n	20,00% 2	0,00% 0	80,00% 8	100,00% 10	64.44%	0.47	Approved
	%	0,00%	10,00%	90,00%	100,00%	80.00%	0.70	It is reformulated

C2.3 Does the organization include information about the values it promotes in the EEPP within its Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) reports?

	n	0	1	9	10			
CRITERION_3. A diagnosis of the need for the EEPP	% n	10,00% 1	10,00% 1	80,00% 8	100,00% 10	62.22%	0.43	It is reformulated
C3.1 Does the EEPP provide information and/or data about the situation that justifies its necessity?	% n	10,00% 1	0,00% 0	90,00% 9	100,00% 10	80.00%	0.70	Approved
C3.2 Does the EEPP include qualitative and quantitative data that define its starting point and contextualize it (both locally and globally), which are later used to assess its impact?	% n	20,00% 2	10,00% 1	70,00% 7	100,00% 10	48.89%	0.23	Re-evaluated without changes
C3.3 Does the EEPP identify its target audience? If aimed at a broad sector of the population, are specific key subgroups identified for priority focus?	% n	10,00% 1	10,00% 1	80,00% 8	100,00% 10	62.22%	0.43	Approved
C3.4 Are the defining characteristics of the target audience outlined in relation to their engagement with the program: participation, obstacles, challenges, and/or lack of knowledge?	% n	10,00% 1	10,00% 1	80,00% 8	100,00% 10	62.22%	0.43	Re-evaluation and indicators added
CRITERION_4 Clearly defined objectives and contents in the EEPP	% n	0,00% 0	0,00% 0	100,00% 10	100,00% 10	100.00%	1.00	Approved
C4.1 Are the objectives well formulated, with clear intent and logical sequencing?	% n	0,00% 0	10,00% 1	90,00% 9	100,00% 10	80.00%	0.70	Approved
C4.2 Are conceptual, procedural, and attitudinal content well defined and aligned with the objectives?	% n	10,00% 1	10,00% 1	80,00% 8	100,00% 10	62.22%	0.43	It is reformulated
C4.3 Are the objectives easily verifiable and assessable?	% n	0,00% 0	0,00% 0	100,00% 10	100,00% 10	100.00%	1.00	Approved
C4.4 Does the program incorporate EE-specific objectives and content relevant to the context in which it is developed? Are the objectives structured around cognitive learning (knowledge and concepts), procedural learning (skills), and emotional/social learning (attitudes and coexistence)?	% n	0,00% 0	20,00% 2	80,00% 8	100,00% 10	64.44%	0.47	Reformulating and adding indicators
C4.5 Does the program include content that promotes action-oriented learning, equipping participants with the skills to tackle problems and address real needs within their context?	% n	0,00% 0	10,00% 1	90,00% 9	100,00% 10	80.00%	0.70	Approved
	%	0,00%	20,00%	80,00%	100,00%	64.44%	0.47	Approved

CRITERION_5 An activity plan aligned with the EEPP's objectives and content	n	0	2	8	10			
C5.1 Is there a structured work method with a well-planned and scheduled sequence of activities?	% n	0,00% 0	10,00% 1	90,00% 9	100,00% 10	80.00%	0.70	Approved
C5.2 Is the applied methodology aligned with the stated objectives?	% n	0,00% 0	20,00% 2	80,00% 8	100,00% 10	64.44%	0.47	Reformulating and adding indicators
C5.3 Does the activity plan present a well-adapted format suitable for the target audience?	% n	0,00% 0	10,00% 1	90,00% 9	100,00% 10	80.00%	0.70	Approved
C5.4 Does the methodology promote understanding, critical thinking, social communication, and action, enhancing social relationships with both local and global environments?	% n	0,00% 0	20,00% 2	80,00% 8	100,00% 10	64.44%	0.47	Approved
CRITERION_6. Methods consistent with the purposes of EE	% n	0,00% 0	0,00% 0	100,00% 10	100,00% 10	100.00%	1.00	Approved
C6.1 Does the program incorporate analyses of complexity, environmental and social perspectives, and their relationship between quality of life and economic coherence	% n	0,00% 0	10,00% 1	90,00% 9	100,00% 10	80.00%	0.70	It is reformulated
C6.2 Are the contents appropriately tailored to the characteristics of the target audience? Does it reflect applicability for each educational level and/or for the different stakeholders involved?	% n	10,00% 1	10,00% 1	80,00% 8	100,00% 10	62.22%	0.43	Reformulating and adding indicators
C6.3 Does it build upon the study of relevant and/or locally significant environmental issues?	% n	0,00% 0	0,00% 0	100,00% 10	100,00% 10	100.00%	1.00	It is reformulated
C6.4 Does the methodology help develop action-oriented skills based on critical and creative thinking	% n	0,00% 0	0,00% 0	100,00% 10	100,00% 10	100.00%	1.00	It is reformulated
CRITERION_7. A correct correspondence between resources and purposes of the EEPP	% n	10,00% 1	10,00% 1	80,00% 8	100,00% 10	62.22%	0.43	It is reformulated
C7.1 Are the human resources described, and are they sufficient and adequately qualified for the planning and implementation of the program?	% n	10,00% 1	10,00% 1	80,00% 8	100,00% 10	62.22%	0.43	Approved
C7.2 Are the supporting material resources described, and are they diverse, sufficient, and suitable for each activity and do they follow principles of environmental and social sustainability?	% n	10,00% 1	20,00% 2	70,00% 7	100,00% 10	48.89%	0.23	Reformulated and added indicator
	%	10,00%	20,00%	70,00%	100,00%	48.89%	0.23	

C7.3 Are the infrastructures used clearly described, and do they comply with environmental sustainability criteria in terms of material types and resource consumption (energy, water, etc.)?	n	1	2	7	10			It passes again without changes
CRITERION_8. A forecast of evaluation strategies for the EEPP	% n	0,00% 0	10,00% 1	90,00% 9	100,00% 10	80.00%	0.70	It is reformulated
C8.1 Are assessment tools and strategies in place to measure the extent to which the program's objectives and goals have been achieved?	% n	0,00% 0	10,00% 1	90,00% 9	100,00% 10	80.00%	0.70	Approved
C8.2 Does the program include synthesis activities and self-evaluation	% n	0,00% 0	20,00% 2	80,00% 8	100,00% 10	64.44%	0.47	It is reformulated
C8.3 Is there a continuous evaluation system in place to adjust or adapt the planned activities based on the results of this assessment?	% n	0,00% 0	10,00% 1	90,00% 9	100,00% 10	80.00%	0.70	It is reformulated
C8.4 Are the evaluation results used for improvement and appropriately disseminated by the organization?	% n	0,00% 0	20,00% 2	80,00% 8	100,00% 10	64.44%	0.47	It is reformulated