Based on the security case pattern provided and using the domain information about ACAS Xu, here is a structured hierarchical tree representation of the security case for the ACAS Xu system:

```

G0: ACAS Xu satisfies security requirements

- S0: Argue through asset protection and secure development requirements

- G1: ACAS Xu satisfies the asset protection requirements

- S1: Argue through the different stages of the system development life cycle

- G3: Asset protection requirements are met during the architecture design phase

- S2: Argue through deriving security threats from SRs

- G5: ACAS Xu architecture is protected against identified security threats

- S3: Argue over each security threat

- G0.1: ACAS Xu architecture is protected against ST1 Tampering

- G0.2: ACAS Xu architecture is protected against ST2 Spoofing

- G0.3: ACAS Xu architecture is protected against ST3 Elevation of privileges

- G6: ACAS Xu architecture is validated (undedeveloped and uninstantiated)

- G4: Asset protection requirements are met during other phases (undeveloped)

- G2: ACAS Xu satisfies secure development requirements (undeveloped and uninstantiated)

- C0: Description of ACAS Xu (uninstantiated)

- C1: SR are requirements about protecting the system from malicious entities

- J0: The argumentation is based on satisfaction of SRs

- A0: System SRS are complete, adequate, and consistent

Additional Contexts and Assumptions:

- IncontextOf (G1, A1, 3)

- A1: Asset inventory is established

- IncontextOf (G3, C2, 5)

- C2: Description of the ACAS Xu architecture (uninstantiated)

- IncontextOf (G5, A2, 7)

- A2: All relevant threats have been identified

- IncontextOf (G6, [C3, A3], 7) (Note: undeveloped and uninstantiated)

- C3: Description of ACAS Xu architecture model (uninstantiated)

- A3: ACAS Xu architecture model is well defined in formal method (uninstantiated)

```

This tree structure accurately reflects the instantiation of the security case pattern for the ACAS Xu system, making use of information about its components, security requirements, and identified security threats according to the SRs. Several goals and contexts remain uninstantiated, revealing areas where further specific information is needed or development is required. This structure also notably features connections between goals, strategies, contexts, and assumptions that define the logical flow of the security case argumentation.