Here is the instantiated assurance case for the DeepMind system, derived from the given assurance case pattern. The hierarchical tree format is represented using dashes (-) to denote different levels:

```

G1: Interpretability Claim. The "DeepMind System" is sufficiently "interpretable" in the intended "clinical pathway for retinal diagnosis"

- C1: "DeepMind System"

- C2: "Interpretable"

- C3: "Clinical pathway for retinal diagnosis"

- S1: Argument based on the "essential aspects of interpretability"

- C4: "Essential aspects of interpretability"

- G2: Right Method. The right "interpretability methods" are implemented, i.e., the correct information is faithfully being explained

- C5: "Interpretability methods"

- S2: Argument over "interpretability methods"

- G8: "Tissue-segmentation map" is the right type e.g., local/global (i.e., the correct thing is being explained) (undeveloped and uninstantiated)

- G9: "Tissue-segmentation map" is suitably faithful to "DeepMind System" process (undeveloped and uninstantiated)

- G3: Right Context. "Interpretations" produced in the "intended context"

- C6: "Format of interpretations"

- G5: Right Time. "Interpretations" produced at the "appropriate time" (undeveloped and uninstantiated)

- G6: Right Setting. "Interpretations" are available in the "right setting" (undeveloped and uninstantiated)

- G7: Right Audience. "Interpretations" produced for the "right audience" (undeveloped and uninstantiated)

- G4: Right Format. "Interpretability methods" are presented in the right "format" for the "audience" (undeveloped and uninstantiated)

```

Notably, the assurance case has removed the placeholder symbols "{}" and replaced the generic placeholders with specific information related to the DeepMind system. The goals and strategies that require further development and instantiation are marked accordingly.