Here's the derived assurance case for the DeepMind system using the provided pattern and domain information:

---

\*\*G1: Interpretability Claim. The DeepMind system is sufficiently interpretable in the intended clinical pathway\*\*

- \*\*C1: DeepMind system\*\*

- \*\*C2: Interpretable\*\*

- \*\*C3: Context: setting time and audience\*\*

- \*\*C4: Essential aspects of interpretability\*\*

- \*\*S1: Argument based on the essential aspects of interpretability\*\*

- \*\*G2: Right Method. The right interpretability methods are implemented, i.e., the correct information is faithfully being explained\*\*

- \*\*C5: Interpretability methods\*\*

- \*\*S2: Argument over interpretability methods\*\*

- \*\*G8: Interpretability method is the right type e.g., local/global (i.e., the correct thing is being explained). (undeveloped)\*\*

- \*\*G9: Interpretability method is suitably faithful to DeepMind process (undeveloped)\*\*

- \*\*G3: Right Context. Interpretations produced in the intended clinical pathway\*\*

- \*\*C6: Format of interpretations\*\*

- \*\*G5: Right Time. Interpretations produced at the appropriate time (undeveloped)\*\*

- \*\*G6: Right Setting. Interpretations are available in the right setting (undeveloped)\*\*

- \*\*G7: Right Audience. Interpretations produced for the right audience (undeveloped)\*\*

- \*\*G4: Right Format. Interpretability methods are presented in the right format for the clinicians (undeveloped)\*\*

---

Each claim (goal) along with its supporting evidence (context) and strategies (how the argument is structured) is represented using the hierarchical tree format as requested. The undeveloped goals indicate areas where further development is required.