Here's the hierarchical tree format for the interpretability assurance case for the DeepMind system, created from the given assurance case pattern. I have instantiated the placeholders and removed the additional pattern decorators as per the specified process.

```

G1: Interpretability Claim. The DeepMind system is sufficiently interpretable in the intended clinical context

C1: DeepMind system

C2: Interpretable

C3: Clinical context: setting time and audience

S1: Argument based on the essential aspects of interpretability

C4: Essential aspects of interpretability

G2: Right Method. The right interpretability methods are implemented, i.e. the correct information is faithfully being explained

C5: Interpretability methods

S2: Argument over interpretability methods

G8: Interpretability method is right type e.g. local/global (i.e. the correct thing is being explained). (undeveloped)

G9: Interpretability method is suitably faithful to DeepMind process (undeveloped)

G3: Right Context. Interpretations produced in the intended clinical context

C6: Format of interpretations

G5: Right Time Interpretations produced at the appropriate time (undeveloped)

G6: Right Setting Interpretations are available in the right setting (undeveloped)

G7: Right Audience Interpretations produced for the right audience (undeveloped)

G4: Right Format Interpretability methods are presented in the right format for the clinical audience (undeveloped)

```

This hierarchical tree represents the assurance case for the DeepMind system, showing the interpretability claims and how they are structured in terms of goals, strategies, and contexts. The "undeveloped" elements indicate that further development is needed for those specific claims.