Based on the given assurance case pattern and the domain information for the DeepMind system, here is the instantiated assurance case in a hierarchical tree format using dashes (-) to denote different levels:

```

G1: Interpretability Claim. The DeepMind system's ML Model is sufficiently interpretable in the intended clinical context.

C1: DeepMind system's ML Model

C2: Interpretability of the DeepMind system

C3: Clinical context: setting, timing, and audience

- S1: Argument based on the essential aspects of interpretability

- C4: Essential aspects of interpretability

- G2: Right Method. The right interpretability methods are implemented, i.e., the correct information is faithfully being explained.

- C5: Interpretability methods in the DeepMind system

- S2: Argument over interpretability methods

- G8: Tissue-segmentation map is the right type (local/global), i.e., the correct thing is being explained.

- G9: Tissue-segmentation map is suitably faithful to DeepMind system's ML Model process.

- G3: Right Context. Interpretations produced in the intended clinical context.

- C6: Format of interpretations for clinicians

- G5: Interpretations produced at the appropriate time.

- G6: Interpretations are available in the right setting.

- G7: Interpretations produced for the right audience.

- G4: Right Format. Interpretability methods are presented in the right format for the audience.

```

This hierarchical structure clearly represents the instantiated assurance case for the DeepMind system, highlighting the interpretability claim along with its essential aspects, context, and supporting arguments about methods, timing, setting, and audience.