Based on the assurance case pattern provided and using the DeepMind system domain information related to medical imaging and diagnosis, here is the instantiation of the assurance case in a hierarchical tree format:

```

G1: Interpretability Claim. The DeepMind diagnosis ML Model is sufficiently transparent in the clinical context

C1: DeepMind diagnosis ML Model

C2: Transparent

C3: Context: Clinical settings, timing appropriate for clinical workflow

S1: Argument based on the essential aspects of interpretability

C4: Essential aspects of interpretability: transparency and clarity in clinical decision support

G2: Right Method. The right segmentation map method is implemented, i.e., the correct tissue-segmentation information is faithfully being explained

S2: Argument over interpretability methods

C5: Segmentation map method

G8: Segmentation map method is the right type for interpretivity e.g. locally interpretable on individual predictions

G9: Segmentation map method is suitably faithful to DeepMind diagnosis ML model process

G3: Right Context. Interpretations produced in the intended clinical context

C6: Format familiar to clinicians, integrating into clinical workflow

G5: Right Time. Interpretations produced at the appropriate time during the diagnosis

G6: Right Setting. Interpretations available in the right clinical setting

G7: Right Audience. Interpretations produced for the clinical practitioners

G4: Right Format. Segmentation map method is presented in the right format for the clinical practitioners (undeveloped)

```

In this structure:

- Each hierarchy level is appropriately indented with dashes indicating level depth.

- Contexts (C), Goals (G), and Strategies (S) are organized under their relevant parent nodes.

- Each Goal, Context, and Strategy is instantiated with specific system-related info from the DeepMind domain.

- Undeveloped items are marked, noting potential areas requiring further detail or development in future iterations of the assurance case.