Below is the instantiation of the given assurance case pattern for the DeepMind system, expressed as a hierarchical tree using dashes (-) to denote different levels.

```plaintext

- G1: Interpretability Claim. The DeepMind system is sufficiently interpretable in the intended clinical pathway for retinal diagnosis

- C1: DeepMind system

- C2: Interpretability

- C3: Clinical pathway for retinal diagnosis

- S1: Argument based on the essential aspects of interpretability

- C4: Essential aspects of interpretability

- G2: Right Method. The right interpretability methods are implemented, i.e. the correct information is faithfully being explained

- C5: Interpretability methods

- S2: Argument over interpretability methods

- G8: Segmentation map is right type (local/global) e.g. local (i.e. the correct thing is being explained).

- G9: Segmentation map is suitably faithful to neural network process

- G3: Right Context. Interpretations produced in the clinical pathway for retinal diagnosis

- C6: Format of interpretations

- G5: Interpretations produced at the appropriate time (undeveloped)

- G6: Interpretations are available in the right setting (undeveloped)

- G7: Interpretations produced for the right audience (undeveloped)

- G4: Right Format. Interpretability methods are presented in the right format for the retinal clinicians (undeveloped)

```

This assurance case shows the interpretability of the DeepMind system's machine learning model for retinal diagnosis. Each uninstantiated element and undeveloped element from the assurance case pattern has been appropriately replaced or marked as undeveloped in the tree structure above.