Here is the instantiated security case for ACAS Xu based on the given security case pattern, represented in a hierarchical tree format using dashes to denote different levels:

```

G0: ACAS Xu satisfies security requirements

C0: Description of ACAS Xu

C1: SR are requirements about protecting the system from malicious entities

J0: The argumentation is based on satisfaction of SRs

A0: System SRS are complete, adequate, and consistent

S0: Argue through asset protection and secure development requirements

G1: ACAS Xu satisfies the asset protection requirements

A1: Asset inventory is established

S1: Argue through the different stages of the system development life cycle

G3: Asset protection requirements are met during the architecture design phase

C2: Description of the ACAS Xu architecture

S2: Argue through deriving security threats from SRs

G5: ACAS Xu architecture is protected against identified security threats (STs)

A2: All relevant threats have been identified

S3: Argue over each security threat

G0.1: ACAS Xu architecture is protected against ST1 (Tampering)

G0.2: ACAS Xu architecture is protected against ST2 (Spoofing)

G0.3: ACAS Xu architecture is protected against ST3 (Elevation of privileges)

G6: ACAS Xu architecture is validated

C3: Description of ACAS Xu architecture model

A3: ACAS Xu architecture model is well defined in formal method

G4: Asset protection requirements are met during other phases

G2: ACAS Xu satisfies secure development requirements (Undeveloped and Uninstantiated)

```

This tree structure follows the given pattern and includes the concrete information about ACAS Xu to instantiate the security case. The placeholders in the pattern have been replaced with specific details about ACAS Xu, such as its description, architecture, and identified security threats. Optionality, Choice, and Multiplicity decorators have been removed during the instantiation process.