Below is the instantiated assurance case for the DeepMind system using the given assurance case pattern. Note that all placeholders have been replaced with system-specific information, and the Uninstantiated and Undeveloped decorators have been removed:

```

G1: Interpretability Claim. The DeepMind system's ML Model is sufficiently interpretable in the intended clinical context.

C1: DeepMind's ML Model

C2: Interpretable

C3: Context: clinical setting, referral time, and retinal clinicians

S1: Argument based on the essential aspects of interpretability

C4: Essential aspects of interpretability

G2: Right Method. The right interpretability methods are implemented, i.e., the correct information is faithfully being explained.

C5: Interpretability methods for DeepMind system

S2: Argument over interpretability methods

G8: Local interpretability method, e.g., tissue-segmentation map, ensuring the correct thing is being explained.

G9: The interpretability method is suitably faithful to the DeepMind ML model's process.

G3: Right Context. Interpretations produced in the clinical context.

C6: Format of interpretations for DeepMind system

G5: Interpretations produced at the appropriate time (undeveloped).

G6: Interpretations are available in the right clinical setting (undeveloped).

G7: Interpretations produced for the right audience, namely retinal clinicians (undeveloped).

G4: Right Format. Interpretability methods are presented in the right format for clinical use (undeveloped).

```

The statements labeled as "undeveloped" indicate areas that would require further elaboration and development to complete the assurance case for the DeepMind system. This hierarchical structure visually represents the relationships and dependencies within the assurance case, helping to ensure transparency and comprehensibility in the argument for the interpretability of the DeepMind system.