

# Role of slowpoke calcium-dependent potassium channels in shaping rhythmic locomotor activity in *Drosophila* larvae

Erin C. McKiernan<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Instituto Tecnológico y de Estudios Superiores de Monterrey, Xochitepec, Morelos, México

\*Correspondence: emck31@gmail.com

## Abstract

Rhythmic motor behaviors are generated by networks of neurons. The sequence and timing of muscle contractions depends on both synaptic connections between neurons and the neurons' intrinsic properties. In particular, motor neuron ion currents may contribute significantly to motor output. Large conductance  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -dependent  $\text{K}^+$  (BK) currents play a role in action potential repolarization, interspike interval, repetitive and burst firing, burst termination and interburst interval in neurons. Mutations in *slowpoke* (*slo*) genes encoding BK channels result in motor disturbances. This study examined the role of *slo* channels in shaping rhythmic motor activity using *Drosophila* larva as a model system. Dual intracellular recordings from adjacent body wall muscles were made during spontaneous crawling-related activity in larvae expressing genetic manipulations of *slo* expression. The incidence and duration of rhythmic activity in *slo* mutants were similar to wild-type control animals, while the timing of the motor pattern was altered. *slo* mutants showed decreased burst durations, cycle durations, and quiescence intervals, and increased duty cycles, relative to wild-type. A *slo* RNA interference construct was expressed in identified motor neurons, and phenocopied many of the effects observed in the mutant, including decreases in quiescence interval and cycle duration. Overall, these results suggest an important role for *slo* channels in the whole larva, and specifically in motor neurons, in determining the frequency of crawling activity. This study also highlights the importance of motor neuron intrinsic properties in shaping the timing of motor output.

## Introduction

Rhythmic motor behaviors, such as respiration and locomotion, are vital to animal survival, and must be reliably and precisely controlled by the nervous system. The sequence and timing of muscle contractions producing these behaviors comprise the motor pattern, and are generated by collections of synaptically connected neurons called central pattern generating (CPG) networks [26,31,47]. In many systems, motor neurons (MNs) are not part of the classically-defined CPG network [47]. However, intrinsic MN properties, such as specific ionic currents, may play a crucial role in producing proper motor output [12,25,74] (for reviews see [30,33,38,48]). To what extent MN currents shape the motor pattern, and exactly how aspects of the pattern are altered

by expression of specific ion channel genes, are open questions.

MNs display a variety of  $\text{K}^+$  currents that shape responsiveness to synaptic inputs and firing output [30,49]. Of particular interest are  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -dependent  $\text{K}^+$  currents ( $I_{\text{KCa}}$ ) carried through 'maxi-K' or 'Big K' (BK) channels [18,58]. BK channels require an increase in cytosolic  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  and membrane depolarization to maximally activate. BK currents have been shown to play a role in action potential repolarization [5,44,62], fast afterhyperpolarization [28,59,62], regulation of firing frequency and interspike interval [28,66,73], repetitive and burst firing [5,28,69], interburst interval [73], and burst termination [44,66,73]. In addition, mutations in *slowpoke* (*slo*) genes encoding BK channels are associated with motor disturbances and disorders [14,51,59].

The *slo* gene was originally cloned in *Drosophila* [3, 16, 63]. Channels encoded by the *slo* gene carry transient  $I_{KCa}$  in muscles [16, 39, 63], and both transient and sustained  $I_{KCa}$  in neurons [57]. *slo* mutations in *Drosophila* cause action potential broadening [1, 7, 15, 16, 57], decreased delay to first spike [15, 16], increased interspike interval [15], changes in firing patterns that include “abnormal regenerative responses” [57, 64], delayed repolarization of the neuromuscular junction [23], and reduced synaptic transmission [42, 71]. Locomotor deficits are observed in adult *slo* mutants, including shaking under ether anesthesia, reduced flight, semi-paralysis in response to heat or bright light [1, 2, 16], and abnormal circadian patterns of activity [10, 20]. The role of *slo* channels in *Drosophila* MNs and their specific contribution to the timing of larval locomotor activity has not, to the author’s knowledge, been reported.

This study examined the role of *slo* channels in shaping rhythmic locomotor activity in *Drosophila* larvae. Dual intracellular recordings were made from neighboring body wall muscles during spontaneous fictive crawling. *slo* expression was manipulated at two levels: (1) in the whole animal with a hypomorphic mutation, or (2) in identified MNs with a RNA interference (RNAi) construct. Overall, the results suggest an important role for *slo* channels in regulating the frequency of crawling activity. Altering *slo* expression, either in the whole animal or in identified MNs, affected cycle duration, quiescence interval, and duty cycle. In particular, these results point to the importance of MN intrinsic properties in shaping the timing of rhythmic motor behaviors.

## Methods

### Fly lines and rearing

*Drosophila melanogaster* were reared at 25 °C under a 12 hour light-dark cycle on standard yeast-sugar-cornmeal media. Wandering third-instar larvae were used for all experiments.  $w^{1118}$  larvae were used as wild-type (WT) control. The *slo* mutant line,  $st^1 slo^1$  (hereafter referred to as  $slo^1$ ), was obtained from Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center (Stock No. 4587). The UAS-*slo* RNAi line was obtained from Vienna Drosophila RNAi Center (Transformant ID: 6723). Expression of RNAi was restricted to identified MNs, MN1-1b and MNISN-1s [34] (also known by their embryonic identity as aCC and RP2, respectively) by RRA-GAL4 [22]. The RRA-GAL4

driver line (obtained from Subhashini Srinivasan) included a Dicer construct (UAS-Dicer/Cyo;RRA-GAL4, UAS-cd8 GFP/RRA-GAL4) to increase the strength of the RNAi [13]


### Larval preparations

Larvae were dissected and recorded in HL3.1 saline [19] containing (in mM): 70 NaCl, 5 KCl, 1.5 CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 4 MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 10 NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 5 Trehalose, 115 Sucrose, 5 HEPES, pH 7.1-7.3. All chemicals were obtained from Sigma (St. Louis, Missouri). Previous electrophysiological studies of *Drosophila* larvae have used a dissection method which involves cutting up the dorsal midline [4, 9, 11, 21, 65, 67]. However, since reliable access to intact dorsal-most body wall muscles 1 and 2 [34] was required for experiments, a new dissection method was developed in which these muscles did not risk damage from cutting down the midline or pinning. Larvae were pinned at the head and tail in silicone elastomer (Sylgard)-lined dishes. A cut was made to the right of the dorsal midline, typically through muscle 4 [34], which left all muscles and axons on one side of the larva intact for recording. The opposite side suffered damage to the muscles through which the cut was made. In addition, the peripheral nerves which lie in the muscle field were cut as a result of the incision, leaving many of the muscles in the dorsal group on the cut side without functional innervation. All organs and fat bodies were removed to allow access to the muscles. Larvae dissected with this method generated rhythmic peristaltic waves similar to those recorded from larvae dissected up the midline, except for an acceleration of the rhythm (see Supplemental Materials 1). This new larval preparation is referred to herein as the ‘off midline dissection’ (Fig. 1).

### Electrophysiology

Intracellular recordings were made at room temperature (21-23 °C) from dorsal muscles 1 or 2 in abdominal segments 2-6, as described previously by others [4, 9]. In the majority of experiments, muscles in two adjacent segments were simultaneously recorded, while in a few experiments muscle activity from only one segment was recorded. Sharp electrodes were pulled from thin-walled borosilicate glass on a P-87 Flaming/Brown filament puller (Sutter Instrument Co.) to a resistance of 30-50 MΩ. Using a long and flexible tip was crucial for allowing the

electrode to move with the muscle during peristaltic waves of contractions. Electrodes were filled with 3 M KCl or KAc for recording. Recordings were made with an Axoclamp 2B amplifier (Molecular Devices) in bridge mode and digitized at a sampling rate of 10 kHz by a Digidata 1320A (Axon Instruments). Data were stored using PClamp 8.2 (Molecular Devices) and imported into Spike2 (Cambridge Electronic Design).



**Figure 1: Off midline larval preparation.** A cut (dashed line) was made to the right of the midline near muscle 4. Larvae were pinned and cleaned so that the muscles (rectangles) and the central nervous system (solid black) were exposed. Muscles 1,2,4,6,7 and abdominal segments A5-A7 are labeled. For clarity, not all muscles, segments, or nerves are pictured.

## Data Analysis

Preparations were observed through an Olympus BX51WI microscope. The incidence of visible peristaltic waves, including the direction of the waves, was noted manually and marked with electronic timestamps to restrict analysis to these bouts. Activity such as tonic firing, or bursts of action potentials not associated with peristaltic waves, was not included in the analysis. The following criteria had to be met for a preparation to be considered *rhythmically active*: (1) at least 3 spontaneous and consecutive posterior (P) to anterior (A) or A to P waves were recorded, (2) the minimum frequency of the activity was 3 bursts per minute, and (3) the bout was at least 1 minute in duration; bursts occurring more than 1 minute apart were considered to belong to separate bouts.

Criteria to *include rhythmic activity in the analysis* of the motor pattern were more stringent. In

addition to satisfying (1)-(3), only P to A wave activity was included, since this was the prevalent type of activity. The determination of wave type had to be both visually confirmed, and supported by appropriate segmental delays in the recordings. The only exceptions to this latter condition were the few single channel recordings that were included based only on visual confirmation of the wave type. Finally, irregular bursting activity that could not be distinguished from wave-related activity was not included. These stricter criteria meant that the number of rhythmically active larvae was often larger than the number whose activity were used for quantification of the motor pattern.

Burst start and end times were marked manually in Spike2 by placing cursors at the beginning of the upstroke of the first spike and the beginning of the downstroke of the last spike, respectively. Timestamps were exported as .csv files. Custom code was written in Matlab (The Mathworks) to extract burst durations, cycle durations, duty cycles, and quiescence intervals from the preprocessed data. Burst duration was calculated as the time elapsed between the start and end of a burst. Cycle duration was calculated as the time elapsed between start times of successive bursts. Duty cycle was obtained by dividing burst duration by cycle duration. Quiescence interval was calculated as the time elapsed between the end of one burst and the start of the next.

Many previous studies analyzing bursting activity in a population have pooled all observations of a particular measure (e.g. all burst durations), irrespective of the animal in which they were recorded, and performed analyses on these pooled data (e.g. in *Drosophila* see [21]). This violates the assumption of many statistical tests that the observations in a sample are independent of one another [35]. Multiple bursts gathered from the same animal are not independent measures, and dealing with them as such constitutes pseudoreplication [41]. Instead, single-animal distributions of each measure were first calculated and then averaged to obtain a group distribution (McKiernan and Herrera-Valdez, in preparation). In this way, each animal was represented only once in the distribution, and errors due to pseudoreplication were avoided.

Studies of bursting activity often report the mean and standard deviation to compare data sets. However, such measures are only representative when the data are normally distributed. The data obtained from these experiments were not normally

distributed (confirmed by tests for normality in Matlab e.g. lillie test and normplot; data not shown) and thus were poorly described by a single quantity like the mean. Therefore, for each of the measures, the minimum, maximum, and quartile values are reported to give a more complete description of the distribution. If multiple bouts were recorded in the same animal, then the maximum cycle duration and quiescence interval were reported as *geq* 60 seconds. The first quartile (Q1) is the value at or below which 25% of the data fall in the distribution. The second quartile (Q2), also known as the median, splits the distribution in half. The third quartile (Q3) is the value delineating 75% of the distribution. To statistically test differences between group distributions, it was necessary to use a non-parametric test, since the assumption of normality was not met. The Mann-Whitney U test (also known as the rank sum test) was used due to its lack of assumptions about the distribution of the data and its ability to test for shifts in one distribution relative to another [32,35,50]. The threshold for significance was set at 0.05.

## Results

### *slo* mutants are rhythmically active

To investigate the role of *slo* channels in shaping rhythmic motor activity, recordings were made from mutant larvae expressing the *slo*<sup>1</sup> allele. Expression of *slo*<sup>1</sup> has been shown to eliminate the BK current in larval [39,63,64] and adult [16] muscles, and neurons [57]. Dual intracellular recordings were made from dorsal muscles 1 (M1) or 2 (M2) in adjacent abdominal segments in 18 *slo*<sup>1</sup> off midline dissected larvae. Their activity was compared to WT (*w*<sup>1118</sup>) larvae prepared with the same dissection.


Rhythmic peristaltic wave activity was recorded in 25 of 27 (93%) WT larvae. Both anterior (A) to posterior (P) and P to A waves were observed, with the latter the more prevalent type of activity. P to A waves were recorded in all 25 larvae (100%), while A to P waves were only recorded in 5 larvae (20%). A total of 24 bouts were recorded across 25 larvae, 20 (83%) of which were comprised of P to A waves, and 4 (17%) of which were combination bouts including both wave types. No bouts of exclusively A to P waves were recorded. Individual P to A wave bouts ranged from 1 to 9 minutes, while combination bouts lasted 4-9 minutes.

17 of 18 *slo*<sup>1</sup> larvae (94%) displayed spontaneous bouts of rhythmic peristaltic activity. As in WT larvae, both A to P and P to A waves were observed in mutant larvae, with the latter being more prevalent. Of 16 active larvae in which wave type was determined, 15 of 16 (94%) showed P to A waves, while just 3 of 16 (19%) displayed A to P waves. Of 18 total recorded bouts, 14 (78%) consisted of exclusively P to A waves and 4 (22%) of only A to P waves. P to A waves bouts ranged from 1 to 9 minutes, while A to P wave bouts were between 2 and 6 minutes. Thus, the incidence and duration of rhythmic bouts in *slo*<sup>1</sup> larvae was not different from WT (*p* > 0.05).

### *slo* mutants show faster rhythmic activity than WT

Next, to determine if the timing of the motor pattern was altered due to the loss of *slo* channels, P to A wave activity was quantified in 21 of 25 active larvae and 10 of 17 active *slo*<sup>1</sup> larvae. According to the more stringent criteria to include activity in the analysis (see Methods), recordings from 7 mutant larvae were excluded. This represents a larger exclusion percentage (41%) than that seen in WT (4 larvae; 16%), and was mostly due to irregular bursting that could not be separated from wave-related activity in those animals (Fig. 2C). In other words, though 94% of *slo*<sup>1</sup> larvae were capable of producing rhythmic activity, this group did show an increased propensity for irregular bursting.

Fig. 2 includes representative recordings of P to A wave activity from WT and *slo*<sup>1</sup> larvae showing a regular, but much faster, motor pattern in mutant animals. Distributions of the relative frequencies of burst duration, cycle duration, duty cycle, and quiescence interval were all clearly shifted relative to WT (Fig. 3). Minimum, maximum, and quartile values for each measure from WT and *slo*<sup>1</sup> larvae are presented for comparison in Table 1. Differences between the quartile values revealed that burst durations in mutant larvae were 2.16-2.55 seconds (s) shorter (25-40% decrease) relative to WT, while cycle durations were shorter by 4.48-4.84 s (35-45% decrease). The greater decrease in cycle duration relative to burst duration resulted in duty cycles that were larger by 0.06-0.07 (8-9% increase) in mutant larvae. Quiescence intervals were shorter by 2.03-2.78 s (54-56% decrease). In sum, expression of the *slo*<sup>1</sup> mutant allele decreased burst duration, cycle duration, and quiescence interval, and increased



**Figure 2: *slo*<sup>1</sup> mutant larvae display altered rhythmic motor output.** **A.** Dual intracellular recordings from WT (top two traces) and *slo*<sup>1</sup> (bottom two traces) larvae. Activity was recorded during P to A waves in adjacent M1's of segments A4 and A5, as indicated. Scale bar is 10 seconds. **B.** Enlargement of dual recordings in A from WT and *slo*<sup>1</sup> larvae. Scale bar is 2 seconds. **C.** Examples of irregular bursting activity not associated with peristaltic waves recorded in two *slo*<sup>1</sup> larvae. Scale bar is 10 seconds.

duty cycle, relative to WT ( $p < 0.001$  on all comparisons).


Rhythmic activity in *slo*<sup>1</sup> larvae was quantitatively different on all measures from that of WT, demonstrating the importance of *slo* channels in *Drosophila* larvae for shaping the timing of rhythmic motor activity. However, because the mutant allele was expressed in every cell of the animal, it was not possible from these results to determine in which group(s) of cells the loss of *slo* channels exerted its effects on the motor pattern. To start addressing specificity, and in particular to explore the influence of MNs on the motor pattern, the next step was to determine whether the observed effects in the mutant could be phenocopied by restricting manipulation of *slo* expression to identified MNs.

#### ***slo* RNAi expression in MNs alters cycle, but not burst, duration**

To explore the role of *slo* channels in MNs in shaping the timing of rhythmic motor activity, the UAS-GAL4 system [6] was used to target expression of a *slo* RNAi construct to identified MNs. This UAS-*slo* RNAi construct has been used previously by others [40, 43], and its pan-neuronal expression results

in a ~30% decrease in *slo* mRNA [61]. Dicer was added to the driver construct to further increase the strength of the knockdown [13]. Expression of *slo* RNAi was targeted to two identified MNs per nervous system hemisegment, MN1-1b and MNISN-1s [34], using the RRA-GAL4 driver [22]. Dual intracellular recordings were made from the target muscles of these two MNs, MN1 or MN2 [34], in RRA-GAL4; UAS-*slo* RNAi (abbreviated *slo* RNAi) larvae. Their activity was compared to WT larvae.

22 of 23 *slo* RNAi larvae (96%) displayed spontaneous bouts of rhythmic peristaltic activity. As in WT larvae, both A to P and P to A waves were observed in these larvae, with the latter the more frequently recorded activity type. All active larvae showed P to A waves, while only 3 (14%) displayed A to P waves. Of 25 total bouts in which wave type was confirmed, 22 (88%) consisted of exclusively P to A waves and 3 (12%) were combination bouts consisting of both wave types. No bouts comprised of only A to P waves were recorded in *slo* RNAi larvae. P to A waves bouts ranged from 1 to 10 minutes, while combination bouts were between 6 and 9 minutes. Thus, expression of *slo* RNAi in identified MNs did not alter the incidence or duration of rhythmic motor activity compared to WT larvae ( $p > 0.05$ ).



**Figure 3: Quantification of motor activity in *slo*<sup>1</sup> larvae.** Distributions of the relative frequencies of burst durations (A.), cycle durations (B.), duty cycles (C.), and quiescence intervals (D.) for WT (black) and *slo*<sup>1</sup> (white) larvae.

To determine whether the timing of the motor pattern was altered by *slo* RNAi expression in MNs, burst duration, cycle duration, duty cycle, and quiescence interval were quantified in all 22 active larvae. Fig. 4 shows representative recordings of P to A wave bouts from WT and *slo* RNAi larvae. The recordings revealed a similar, though slightly faster, motor pattern in *slo* RNAi animals relative to WT. Shifts in the relative frequency distributions calculated from

WT and *slo* RNAi recordings were seen for all measures, except burst duration (Fig. 5). Minimum, maximum, and quartile values for each measure from WT and *slo* RNAi larvae are presented for comparison in Table 1. While there was no difference in burst duration between the two groups ( $p > 0.05$ ), cycle durations were shorter in *slo* RNAi compared to WT larvae by 0.38-1.35s (3-13% decrease). Since burst duration did not change, while cycle duration decreased, duty cycles were larger by 0.09-0.10 (13-15% increase) in RNAi larvae. The largest difference between the groups was with respect to quiescence interval, which was smaller in RNAi larvae by 1.55-1.61s (30-42% decrease) relative to WT. In fact, in some *slo* RNAi larvae, a low level of tonic firing was present between bursts and no significant period of quiescence was recorded (Fig. 4C), even though regular peristaltic waves were still visually observed. In sum, expression of *slo* RNAi in MNs decreased cycle duration and quiescence interval and increased duty cycle, relative to WT ( $p < 0.001$  on all comparisons), thereby phenocopying 3 of the 4 effects on the motor pattern seen in *slo*<sup>1</sup> mutants.

## Discussion

As genetic techniques identify an increasing number of ion channel genes and splice variants [68, 72], the challenge for neurophysiologists is to understand how each of these contribute to the behavioral output of the nervous system. In particular, increasing attention is being paid to the role that currents encoded by specific genes can play in shaping the firing patterns of MNs in response to synaptic input [30, 33, 38, 48]. In this work, we explored the contribution of slowpoke  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -dependent  $\text{K}^{+}$  channels to the production and timing of rhythmic locomotor activity in *Drosophila*. In this study, genetic techniques were used to manipulate *slo* expression in the whole organism and in identified MNs.

### *slo* mutation does not interfere with production of rhythmic motor output

Although expression of a *slo* mutation in the whole larva resulted in a slight increase in the incidence of irregular bursting, the majority of *slo*<sup>1</sup> mutants were able to generate sustained bouts of visible peristaltic waves and corresponding rhythmic motor activity. Similarly, expression of *slo* RNAi in MNs did not

**Table 1: Measures of motor pattern in WT,  $slo^1$ , and  $slo$  RNAi larvae**

genotype	measure	n	min(s)	max(s)	Q1(s)	Q2(s)	Q3(s)	p-value <sup>a</sup>
<b>WT</b>								
	burst duration	21	1.61	17.21	6.32	7.19	8.44	-
	cycle duration	21	5.19	≥60	10.66	11.81	12.93	-
	duty cycle	21	0.05	0.93	0.57	0.63	0.69	-
	quiescence interval	21	0.59	≥60	3.63	4.35	5.18	-
<b><math>slo^1</math></b>								
	burst duration	10	1.15	27.35	3.77	4.78	6.28	<0.001
	cycle duration	10	1.89	≥60	5.81	6.94	8.45	<0.001
	duty cycle	10	0.03	0.96	0.63	0.69	0.75	<0.001
	quiescence interval	10	0.26	≥60	1.60	1.92	2.39	<0.001
<b><math>slo</math> RNAi</b>								
	burst duration	22	2.35	29.72	6.44	7.73	9.41	>0.05
	cycle duration	22	4.91	≥60	9.31	10.62	12.55	<0.001
	duty cycle	22	0.08	0.98	0.67	0.73	0.79	<0.001
	quiescence interval	22	0.33	≥60	2.09	2.74	3.60	<0.001

<sup>a</sup>Mann-Whitney U Test/Wilcoxon rank-sum test, compared to WT


interfere with the ability of the system to produce rhythmic motor output, as measured from the MN muscle targets. These results indicate that either  $slo$  currents do not contribute significantly to rhythm generation in the larva, or expression of the manipulations throughout development induced compensatory changes in expression of other ion channels.

Previous work at the *Drosophila* neuromuscular junction has shown that the voltage-gated A-type  $K^+$  channel gene, *Shaker*, is upregulated in  $slo$  mutants, helping to correct abnormalities in synaptic transmission caused by the loss of  $slo$  channels [42]. An increase in *Shaker* mRNA has also been observed in *Drosophila* cultured neurons in response to a reduction in  $I_{KCa}$  [53]. Thus, the ability of  $slo^1$  and  $slo$  RNAi larvae to produce rhythmic motor activity could be due in part to upregulated expression of other  $K^+$  channels, including but not limited to A-type channels. Similar homeostatic mechanisms that preserve rhythmic motor output in the face of variable current densities, and specifically compensatory upregulation of A-type channels, have been reported in other systems [45,48]. Future work to address the issue of homeostatic compensation should include the acute manipulation of  $slo$  expression using inducible GAL4 [52].

### **$slo$ contributes to regulating the frequency of rhythmic motor activity**

Genetic manipulation of  $slo$  in both the whole animal and identified MNs significantly altered the timing of the motor pattern.  $slo^1$  larvae displayed much faster motor patterns than WT larvae, with substantial decreases in burst duration, cycle duration, and quiescence interval, and an increase in duty cycle. These effects, with the exception of the decrease in burst duration, were phenocopied by expression of  $slo$  RNAi in MNs, though the changes were smaller in magnitude than those seen in  $slo^1$  larvae. It could be that the larger increase in the frequency of motor activity recorded from  $slo^1$  larvae was due to expression of the mutant allele outside MNs. Or, the relatively less severe phenotype of the  $slo$  RNAi larvae could be because the  $slo$  mutation results in a more significant loss of functional channels than expression of the RNAi construct [61]. Overall, the results from both sets of experiments demonstrate an important role for  $slo$  channels in shaping the frequency of rhythmic motor activity underlying crawling.

It should be noted that these results regarding the increased frequency of locomotor activity in  $slo^1$  larvae are in contrast to a previous study [29]. Al-



**Figure 4: *slo* RNAi larvae show altered rhythmic motor output.** **A.** Dual intracellular recordings from WT (top two traces; same as shown in Fig. 2A) and larvae expressing *slo* RNAi under the control of RRA-GAL4 (bottom two traces) during P to A waves. Scale bar is 10 seconds. **B.** Enlargements of recordings in **A** for WT and *slo* RNAi larvae. Scale bars are each 2 seconds. **C.** Recording from *slo* RNAi larva showing low-level tonic firing between bursts. Scale bar 2 seconds.


though they did not fully characterize the motor pattern, Guan et al. (2005) report that the frequency of bursting was reduced in *Drosophila slo*<sup>1</sup> larvae relative to WT when recorded at 21 °C [29]. The only obvious distinction between their study and this work was the use of the off midline dissection method. Larvae dissected off the midline show faster motor activity than those dissected on the midline (Supplemental 1), suggesting sensory feedback in this system contributes to regulating the frequency of locomotor activity, as in other motor systems [27]. However, since the activity of mutant animals was compared only to WT animals prepared using the same method, the dissection should not have affected the results. At this time, the reason for the discrepancy is unknown, but it is interesting to note that similar conflicting results have been reported in studies of *C. elegans slo* mutants. While some studies have reported that *slo* mutants display similar or slightly slower rates of locomotion than WT [70], others have reported that these mutants move faster [8]. Even those studies reporting normal rates of locomotion have found that expression of *slo* mutant alleles can rescue other mutations with inhibitory effects on locomotion (for review see [36]).

### Possible mechanisms for regulation of bursting frequency by *slo* currents

How do *slo* currents shape the frequency of rhythmic motor activity and, in particular, how is cycle duration shortened by expression of *slo* RNAi in MNs? There are at least two possible explanations. The first relates to the specificity of the driver, RRA-GAL4, used to target RNAi expression to identified MNs. In addition to MN1-1b and MNISN-1s, RRA-GAL4 expresses in an interneuron known by its embryonic identity as pCC [22]. Therefore, in the experiments described herein *slo* RNAi was likely also expressed in pCC. If pCC provides input to MNs, either directly or indirectly, then a change in the activity of this interneuron could explain a change in cycle duration. Future experiments to determine the role of pCC in producing the locomotor phenotype observed in *slo* RNAi larvae could include the mosaic expression of *slo* RNAi using the FLP/FRT system [24]. Larvae could be screened and only those without pCC expression recorded to see whether the decrease in cycle duration is still observed. If such studies support a role for pCC in regulating the frequency of MN bursting, it would be the first identification, to the author's knowledge, of a specific interneuron contributing to generation of crawling rhythms in *Drosophila*. Identification of such a neu-



ron could pave the way for studies of motor circuitry using the advanced genetic tools for which this model system is renowned.



**Figure 5: Quantification of motor activity in *slo* RNAi larvae.** Distributions of the relative frequencies of burst durations (A.), cycle durations (B.), duty cycles (C.), and quiescence intervals (D.) for WT (black) and *slo* RNAi (white) larvae.

On the other hand, it is possible that expression of *slo* RNAi in MNs, and not pCC, was responsible for the decrease in cycle duration. The role of MN currents in shaping rhythmic motor activity is a subject of active research [30, 33, 38, 48]. Much of the recent work has focused on the role of persistent inward currents in MNs [17, 46, 56], but outward currents have also been shown to shape MN firing dur-

ing rhythmic activity [37, 46, 54, 60]. In particular, a recent study in *Drosophila* showed that elimination of *Shal*-mediated currents in MNs, via expression of a dominant negative construct under the control of RRA-GAL4, altered the frequency of larval crawling [54]. The results from the RNAi experiments reported herein add to an increasing body of work suggesting that MN outward currents, and BK currents in particular, may enable MNs to shape the timing of rhythmic motor output. Specifically, the large decrease in quiescence interval in *slo* RNAi larvae suggests that *slo* currents may act in MNs as a hyperpolarizing force following a burst. Such a force would counteract inward currents to keep MNs from firing, contributing to the creation of a period of quiescence. Reduction or elimination of *slo* currents would shorten the quiescence interval and thereby decrease the cycle duration, as observed in our experiments. BK currents have been shown to regulate quiescence interval and bursting frequency in other types of neuron [73].

A recent study by Pulver et al. (2010) reported that after-hyperpolarizations following bursts in *Drosophila* larval MNs are mediated by the  $\text{Na}^+/\text{K}^+$  ATPase, and not by  $I_{KCa}$  [55]. However, their results are not necessarily in conflict with the hypothesis that *slo* currents contribute to setting the quiescence interval. First, it is possible that *slo* currents may counteract inward currents and keep the cell from firing without producing a measurable after-hyperpolarization. Second, and mentioned by the authors themselves, is the importance of looking at endogenous activity when examining the role of a particular current. Rather than recording spontaneous bursting in MNs, the authors stimulated MNs with trains of square pulses at a frequency designed to mimic rhythmic synaptic input. The activation (and inactivation) of ionic currents could be very different in response to square-pulse stimulation, as opposed to endogenous synaptic input. Intracellular recordings from MNs during spontaneous locomotor activity, as recently described by others [60], will be crucial for determining what currents contribute when bursting is driven by endogenous inputs.

### Limitations, open questions, and future directions

The biggest limitation of the current work is the lack of a full characterization of the *slo* RNAi knock-down. Preliminary RT-PCR results indicate that

pan-neuronal expression of the UAS-*slo* RNAi construct under the control of elav-GAL4 produces a  $\sim 30\%$  decrease in *slo* mRNA when quantified in the whole nervous system [61]. However, these data do not indicate the extent of the reduction in *slo* mRNA in the MNs targeted in this study. It is possible manipulation of *slo* was unsuccessful or insignificant in all or some MNs, though this seems unlikely since in that case one would not expect to observe changes in the motor pattern relative to WT, as were presented.

However, if the manipulation was successful in some and not other animals, this could potentially change the interpretation of the results. For example, the distribution of burst durations in *slo* RNAi larvae largely overlaps with the WT distribution (Fig. 5), leading to the conclusion that *slo* currents do not contribute to burst termination in larval MNs. The distribution does include, however, a small tail consisting of a few recordings in which some burst durations were longer than those recorded in WT. If the knockdown was more effective in these animals, this could explain these outlying values and suggest that *slo* currents do play a role in burst termination. In contrast, the distributions for other measures such as cycle duration and quiescence interval, where it was concluded that the knockdown had an effect, do not show any obvious tails or bimodalities that would indicate distinct efficacies of the knockdown.

To conclude whether there is a relationship between the extent of the knockdown in a particular MN and the recorded motor pattern would require performing one of two possible experiments. First, one could record from the animal and later perform single cell RT-PCR on MNs expressing the knockdown to measure the amount of *slo* mRNA. A second possibility is that one could record the motor pattern and later do patch clamp recordings from MNs using pharmacology to isolate and measure the *slo* current. Both options present significant technical challenges, but are potential future directions. A less technically challenging, but also less informative, approach would be to patch on to several MNs to determine the percentage showing a significant reduction in *slo* current and correlate that with the percentage of animals showing a change in motor pattern.

Additional limitations relate to information about the model system itself. The *slo* current has not been fully characterized in larval MNs. It remains unclear whether *slo* channels carry tran-

sient and/or sustained  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -dependent  $\text{K}^{+}$  currents, and to what extent these currents contribute to the whole-cell current in larval MNs. This information is vital to understanding the effects of *slo* knockdown on MN excitability. Obtaining this information will require patch clamp recordings using pharmacological blockers specific to the *slo* current to isolate it from other  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -dependent currents in MNs. In addition, the circuitry of the putative locomotor CPG is unknown in this system. An understanding of the network connectivity, and in particular whether MNs participate in generating the rhythm itself (as in the crustacean STG [47]) is crucial for interpreting our results on changes in the frequency of the locomotor rhythm. To map the circuitry of the CPG will be challenging and require a clever combination of genetic techniques and simultaneous recordings from neurons in multiple regions of the larval nervous system.

## Conclusions

The current work suggests an important role for *slo* channels in determining the timing of locomotor activity in *Drosophila* larvae, and specifically points to the importance of MN currents in shaping motor output. Several questions remain open regarding exactly how *slo* currents affect MN firing and how changes in MN excitability may interact with synaptic inputs to alter the frequency of motor activity. Alternative hypotheses and possible experiments have been outlined that, if completed, will shed more light on this motor system, and more generally on our understanding of motor control. It is hoped that this work will serve as a basis for future studies on the role of *slo* channels in locomotor behavior and the investigation of the relative contribution of network versus MN intrinsic properties in the generation of rhythmic motor output.

## Acknowledgements

The author thanks Marco A. Herrera Valdez and Peyman Golshani for valuable feedback on earlier versions of this manuscript, and Subhashini Srinivasan for providing the UAS-Dicer/Cyo;RRA-GAL4, UAS-cd8 GFP/RRA-GAL4 line. At the time the work was carried out, ECM was funded in part by the Interdisciplinary Graduate Program in Physiological Sciences at the University of Arizona. All experiments were performed at Arizona

State University, School of Life Sciences. [Pending receipt of details regarding specific grants, additional funding information will be added in an updated version of this preprint.]

## References

- [1] N.S. Atkinson, R. Brenner, R.A. Bohm, J.Y. Yu, and J.L. Wilbur. Behavioral and electrophysiological analysis of Ca-activated K-channel transgenes in *Drosophila*. *Ann. NY Acad. Sci.*, 860:296–305, 1998.
- [2] N.S. Atkinson, R. Brenner, W. Chang, J. Wilbur, J.L. Larimer, and J. Yu. Molecular separation of two behavioral phenotypes by a mutation affecting the promoters of a ca-activated k channel. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 20(8):2988–2993, 2000.
- [3] N.S. Atkinson, G.A. Robertson, and B. Ganetzky. A component of calcium-activated potassium channels encoded by the *Drosophila* slo locus. *Science*, 253(5019):551–555, 1991.
- [4] J.W. Barclay and H.L. Atwood. Impairment of central pattern generation in *drosophila* cysteine string protein mutants. *J. Comp. Physiol. A*, 188:71–78, 2002.
- [5] N. Benhassine and T. Berger. Large-conductance calcium-dependent potassium channels prevent dendritic excitability in neocortical pyramidal neurons. *Pflügers Archiv European Journal of Physiology*, 457(5):1133–1145, 2009.
- [6] A.H. Brand and N. Perrimon. Targeted gene expression as a means of altering cell fates and generating dominant phenotypes. *Development*, 118(2):401–415, 1993.
- [7] R. Brenner, J.Y. Yu, K. Srinivasan, L. Brewer, J.L. Larimer, J.L. Wilbur, and N.S. Atkinson. Complementation of physiological and behavioral defects by a Slowpoke Ca<sup>2+</sup>-activated K<sup>+</sup> channel transgene. *Journal of Neurochemistry*, 75(3):1310–1319, 2000.
- [8] M. Carre-Pierrat, K. Grisoni, K. Gieseler, M.C. Mariol, E. Martin, M. Jospin, B. Allard, and L. Ségalat. The SLO-1 BK channel of *Caenorhabditis elegans* is critical for muscle function and is involved in dystrophin-dependent muscle dystrophy. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 358(2):387–395, 2006.
- [9] D. Cattaert and S. Birman. Blockade of the central generator of locomotor rhythm by noncompetitive NMDA receptor antagonists in *Drosophila* larvae. *Journal of Neurobiology*, 48(1):58–73, 2001.
- [10] M.F. Ceriani, J.B. Hogenesch, M. Yanovsky, S. Panda, M. Straume, and S.A. Kay. Genome-wide expression analysis in *Drosophila* reveals genes controlling circadian behavior. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 22(21):9305, 2002.
- [11] R.L. Cooper and W.S. Neckameyer. Dopaminergic modulation of motor neuron activity and neuromuscular function in *drosophila melanogaster*. *Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol Biol.*, 122(2):199–210, 1999.
- [12] C.A. del Negro, C.F. Hsiao, and S.H. Chandler. Outward currents influencing bursting dynamics in guinea pig trigeminal motoneurons. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 81(4):1478–1485, 1999.
- [13] G. Dietzl, D. Chen, F. Schnorrer, K.C. Su, Y. Barinova, M. Fellner, B. Gasser, K. Kinsey, S. Oppel, S. Scheiblaue, A. Couto, V. Marra, K. Keleman, and B.J. Dickson. A genome-wide transgenic RNAi library for conditional gene inactivation in *Drosophila*. *Nature*, 448(7150):151–156, 2007.
- [14] W. Du, J.F. Bautista, H. Yang, A. Diez-Sampedro, S.A. You, L. Wang, P. Kotagal, H.O. Luders, J. Shi, J. Cui, et al. Calcium-sensitive potassium channelopathy in human epilepsy and paroxysmal movement disorder. *Nature Genetics*, 37(7):733–738, 2005.
- [15] T. Elkins and B. Ganetzky. The roles of potassium currents in *Drosophila* flight muscles. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 8(2):428–4334, 1988.
- [16] T. Elkins, B. Ganetzky, and C.F. Wu. A *Drosophila* mutation that eliminates a calcium-dependent potassium current. *PNAS*, 83(21):8415–8419, 1986.
- [17] M. Enríquez Denton, J. Wienecke, M. Zhang, H. Hultborn, and P.A. Kirkwood. Voltage-dependent amplification of synaptic inputs in respiratory motoneurons. *Journal of Physiology*, 2012.
- [18] E.S. Faber and P. Sah. Calcium-activated potassium channels: multiple contributions to neuronal function. *Neuroscientist*, 9(3):181–194, 2003.
- [19] Y. Feng, A. Ueda, and C.F. Wu. A modified minimal hemolymph solution, HL3.1, for physiological recordings at the neuromuscular junction of normal and mutant *Drosophila* larvae. *Journal of Neurogenetics*, 18(2):377–402, 2004.
- [20] M.P. Fernández, J. Chu, A. Villella, N. Atkinson, S.A. Kay, and M.F. Ceriani. Impaired clock output by altered connectivity in the circadian network. *PNAS*, 104(13):5650, 2007.
- [21] L.E. Fox, D.R. Soll, and C.F. Wu. Coordination and modulation of locomotion pattern generators in *drosophila* larvae: Effects of altered biogenic amine levels by the tyramine b hydroxylase mutation. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 26(5):1486–1498, 2006.

- [22] M. Fujioka, B.C. Lear, M. Landgraf, G.L. Yusbobova, J. Zhou, K.M. Riley, N.H. Patel, and J.B. Jaynes. Even-skipped, acting as a repressor, regulates axonal projections in *Drosophila*. *Development*, 130(22):5385, 2003.
- [23] M. Gho and B. Ganetzky. Analysis of repolarization of presynaptic motor terminals in *Drosophila* larvae using potassium-channel-blocking drugs and mutations. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 170(1):93, 1992.
- [24] K.G. Golic and S. Lindquist. The FLP recombinase of yeast catalyzes site-specific recombination in the *Drosophila* genome. *Cell*, 59(3):499–509, 1989.
- [25] M. Gorassini, D.J. Bennett, O. Kiehn, T. Eken, and H. Hultborn. Activation patterns of hindlimb motor units in the awake rat and their relation to motoneuron intrinsic properties. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 82(2):709–717, 1999.
- [26] S. Grillner. Biological pattern generation: the cellular and computational logic of networks in motion. *Neuron*, 52(5):751–766, 2006.
- [27] S. Grillner, T. Deliagina, A. El Manira, R.H. Hill, A. Lansner, G.N. Orlovsky, and P. Wallen. Neural networks that co-ordinate locomotion and body orientation in lamprey. *Trends in Neurosciences*, 18(6):270–279, 1995.
- [28] N. Gu, K. Vervaeke, and J.F. Storm. Bk potassium channels facilitate high-frequency firing and cause early spike frequency adaptation in rat cal hippocampal pyramidal cells. *Journal of Physiology*, 580(Pt.3):859–882, 2007.
- [29] Z. Guan, S. Saraswati, B. Adolfsen, and J.T. Littleton. Genome-wide transcriptional changes associated with enhanced activity in the *Drosophila* nervous system. *Neuron*, 48(1):91–107, 2005.
- [30] R.M. Harris-Warrick. Voltage-sensitive ion channels in rhythmic motor systems. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, 12:646–651, 2002.
- [31] R.M. Harris-Warrick. General principles of rhythmogenesis in central pattern networks. *Progress in Brain Research*, 187:213, 2010.
- [32] A. Hart. Mann-Whitney test is not just a test of medians: differences in spread can be important. *British Medical Journal*, 323(7309):391, 2001.
- [33] C.J. Heckman, C. Mottram, K. Quinlan, R. Theiss, and J. Schuster. Motoneuron excitability: the importance of neuromodulatory inputs. *Clinical Neurophysiology*, 120(12):2040–2054, 2009.
- [34] B. Hoang and A. Chiba. Single-cell analysis of *drosophila* larval neuromuscular synapses. *Developmental Biology*, 229(1):55–70, 2001.
- [35] P.G. Hoel, S.C. Port, and C.J. Stone. *Introduction to statistical theory*. Houghton-Mifflin, 1971.
- [36] L. Holden-Dye, V. O’Connor, N.A. Hopper, R.J. Walker, A. Harder, K. Bull, and M. Guest. SLO, SLO, quick, quick, slow: calcium-activated potassium channels as regulators of *Caenorhabditis elegans* behaviour and targets for anthelmintics. *Invertebrate Neuroscience*, 7(4):199–208, 2007.
- [37] S.L. Hooper, E. Buchman, A.L. Weaver, J.B. Thuma, and K.H. Hobbs. Slow conductances could underlie intrinsic phase-maintaining properties of isolated lobster (*panulirus interruptus*) pyloric neurons. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 29(6):1834–1845, 2009.
- [38] O. Kiehn, M.C. Tresch, O. Kjaerulff, and R.M. Harris-Warrick. Contributions of intrinsic motor neurons properties to the production of rhythmic motor output in the mammalian spinal cord. *Brain Research Bulletin*, 53(5):649–659, 2000.
- [39] A. Komatsu, S. Singh, P. Rathe, and C.F. Wu. Mutational and gene dosage analysis of calcium-activated potassium channels in *drosophila*: Correlation of micro- and macroscopic currents. *PNAS*, 99(20):13232–13237, 1990.
- [40] Y. Kwon, D.S. Ronderos, Y. Lee, B. Akitake, O.M. Woodward, W.B. Guggino, D.P. Smith, and C. Montell. *Drosophila* TRPA1 channel is required to avoid the naturally occurring insect repellent citronellal. *Current Biology*, 20(18):1672–1678, 2010.
- [41] S.E. Lazic. The problem of pseudoreplication in neuroscientific studies: is it affecting your analysis? *BMC neuroscience*, 11(1):5, 2010.
- [42] J. Lee, A. Ueda, and C.F. Wu. Pre- and post-synaptic mechanisms of synaptic strength homeostasis revealed by slowpoke and shaker  $K^+$  channel mutations in *Drosophila*. *Neuroscience*, 154(4):1283–1296, 2008.
- [43] J. Lee and C.F. Wu. Orchestration of Stepwise Synaptic Growth by K and Ca<sup>2+</sup> Channels in *Drosophila*. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 30(47):15821–15833, 2010.
- [44] S. Liu and M.T. Shipley. Multiple conductances cooperatively regulate spontaneous bursting in mouse olfactory bulb external tufted cells. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 28(7):1625–1639, 2008.
- [45] J.N. MacLean, Y. Zhang, B.R. Johnson, and R.M. Harris-Warrick. Activity-independent homeostasis in rhythmically active neurons. *Neuron*, 37(1):109–120, 2003.
- [46] M. Manuel, Y. Li, S.M. ElBasiouny, K.C. Murray, A.L. Griener, C.J. Heckman, and D.J. Bennett.

- Nmda induces persistent inward and outward currents that cause rhythmic bursting in adult rodent motoneurons. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 2012.
- [47] E. Marder and D. Bucher. Central pattern generators and the control of rhythmic movements. *Current Biology*, 11:R986–R996, 2001.
- [48] E. Marder and J.M. Goaillard. Variability, compensation and homeostasis in neuron and network function. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 7(7):563–574, 2006.
- [49] J.G. McLarnon. Potassium currents in motoneurons. *Progr. Neurobiol.*, 47(6):513–531, 1995.
- [50] W. Mendenhall and L. Ott. *Understanding statistics*. Duxbury Press, Wadsworth Inc., 1980.
- [51] A.L. Meredith, K.S. Thorneioe, M.E. Werner, M.T. Nelson, and R.W. Aldrich. Overactive bladder and incontinence in the absence of the BK large conductance  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -activated  $\text{K}^{+}$  channel. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 279(35):36746, 2004.
- [52] T. Osterwalder, K.S. Yoon, B.H. White, and H. Keshishian. A conditional tissue-specific transgene expression system using inducible gal4. *PNAS*, 98(22):12596–12601, 2001.
- [53] I. Peng and C.F. Wu. *Drosophila* cacophony channels: a major mediator of neuronal  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  currents and a trigger for  $\text{K}^{+}$  channel homeostatic regulation. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 27(5):1072, 2007.
- [54] Y. Ping, G. Waro, A. Licursi, S. Smith, D.A. Vo-Ba, and S. Tsunoda. Shal/Kv4 channels are required for maintaining excitability during repetitive firing and normal locomotion in *Drosophila*. *PLoS ONE*, 6(1):e16043, 2011.
- [55] S.R. Pulver and L.C. Griffith. Spike integration and cellular memory in a rhythmic network from  $\text{Na}^{+}/\text{K}^{+}$  pump current dynamics. *Nature Neuroscience*, 13(1):53–60, 2010.
- [56] A.L. Revill and A.J. Fuglevand. Effects of persistent inward currents, accommodation, and adaptation on motor unit behavior: a simulation study. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 106(3):1467–1479, 2011.
- [57] M. Saito and CF Wu. Expression of ion channels and mutational effects in giant *Drosophila* neurons differentiated from cell division-arrested embryonic neuroblasts. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 11(7):2135–2150, 1991.
- [58] L. Salkoff, A. Butler, G. Ferreira, C. Santi, and A. Wei. High-conductance potassium channels of the SLO family. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 7(12):921–931, 2006.
- [59] M. Sausbier, H. Hu, C. Arntz, S. Feil, S. Kamm, H. Adelsberger, U. Sausbier, CA Sailer, R. Feil, F. Hofmann, et al. Cerebellar ataxia and Purkinje cell dysfunction caused by  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -activated  $\text{K}^{+}$  channel deficiency. *PNAS*, 101(25):9474, 2004.
- [60] J.E. Schaefer, J.W. Worrell, and R.B. Levine. Role of intrinsic properties in *drosophila* motoneuron recruitment during fictive crawling. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 104(3):1257–1266, 2010.
- [61] K. Scheckel. Potassium channel expression in the larval *Drosophila melanogaster* CNS. In *Annual Meeting of the American Association for the Advancement of Science*, Washington, D.C., Feb. 17–21, 2011. Retrieved Dec. 6, 2012 from: [https://cbs.asu.edu/sites/default/files/scheckel\\_poster2.pdf](https://cbs.asu.edu/sites/default/files/scheckel_poster2.pdf).
- [62] L.R. Shao, R. Halvorsrud, L. Borg-Graham, and J.F. Storm. The role of BK-type  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -dependent  $\text{K}^{+}$  channels in spike broadening during repetitive firing in rat hippocampal pyramidal cells. *Journal of Physiology*, 521(1):135–146, 1999.
- [63] S. Singh and CF Wu. Complete separation of four potassium currents in *Drosophila*. *Neuron*, 2(4):1325–1329, 1989.
- [64] S. Singh and C.F. Wu. Properties of potassium currents and their role in membrane excitability in *Drosophila* larval muscle fibers. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 152:59, 1990.
- [65] W. Song, M. Onishi, L.Y. Jan, and Y. N. Jan. Peripheral multidendritic sensory neurons are necessary for rhythmic locomotion behavior in *Drosophila* larvae. *PNAS*, 104(12):5199–5204, 2007.
- [66] Q. Sun and N. Dale. Developmental changes in expression of ion currents accompany maturation of locomotor pattern in frog tadpoles. *Journal of Physiology*, 507(1):257–264, 1998.
- [67] A. Ueda and C.F. Wu. Distinct frequency-dependent regulation of nerve terminal excitability and synaptic transmission by *ia* and *ik* potassium channels revealed by *drosophila Shaker* and *Shab* mutations. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 26(33):6238–6248, 2006.
- [68] H. Vacher, D.P. Mohapatra, and J.S. Trimmer. Localization and targeting of voltage-dependent ion channels in mammalian central neurons. *Physiological Reviews*, 88(4):1407–1447, 2008.
- [69] G.Y. Wang, B.A. Olshausen, and L.M. Chalupa. Differential effects of apamin-and charybdotoxin-sensitive  $\text{K}^{+}$  conductances on spontaneous discharge patterns of developing retinal ganglion cells. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 19(7):2609, 1999.
- [70] Z.W. Wang, O. Saifee, M.L. Nonet, L. Salkoff, et al. Slo-1 potassium channels control quantal content of neurotransmitter release at the *c. elegans* neuromuscular junction. *Neuron*, 32(5):867–882, 2001.

- [71] L.C. Warbington, T. Hillman, C. Adams, and M. Stern. Reduced transmitter release conferred by mutations in the slowpoke-encoded  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -activated  $\text{K}^+$  channel gene of *Drosophila*. *Invertebrate Neuroscience*, 2(1):51–60, 1996.
- [72] D. Wicher, C. Walther, and C. Wicher. Non-synaptic ion channels in insects—basic properties of currents and their modulation in neurons and skeletal muscles. *Progr. Neurobiol.*, 64(5):431–525, 2001.
- [73] M.D. Womack and K. Khodakhah. Dendritic control of spontaneous bursting in cerebellar Purkinje cells. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 24(14):3511–3521, 2004.
- [74] T.M. Wright Jr and R.L. Calabrese. Contribution of motoneuron intrinsic properties to fictive motor pattern generation. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 106(2):538–553, 2011.