This item was submitted to [Loughborough's Research Repository](#) by the author.
Items in Figshare are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved, unless otherwise indicated.

Theory of the charged Bose gas: Bose-Einstein condensation in an ultrahigh magnetic field

PLEASE CITE THE PUBLISHED VERSION

PUBLISHER

© American Physical Society

LICENCE

CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

REPOSITORY RECORD

Alexandrov, A.S., W.H. Beere, and V.V. Kabanov. 2019. "Theory of the Charged Bose Gas: Bose-einstein Condensation in an Ultrahigh Magnetic Field". figshare. <https://hdl.handle.net/2134/1315>.

Theory of the charged Bose gas: Bose-Einstein condensation in an ultrahigh magnetic field

A. S. Alexandrov

Interdisciplinary Research Center in Superconductivity, University of Cambridge, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0HE, United Kingdom

and Department of Physics, Loughborough University, Loughborough LE11 3TU, United Kingdom

W. H. Beere

Interdisciplinary Research Center in Superconductivity, University of Cambridge, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0HE, United Kingdom

V. V. Kabanov

Interdisciplinary Research Center in Superconductivity, University of Cambridge, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0HE, United Kingdom

and Frank Laboratory of Neutron Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

(Received 25 March 1996; revised manuscript received 8 July 1996)

The Bogoliubov-de Gennes equations and the Ginzburg-Landau-Abrikosov-Gor'kov-type theory are formulated for the charged Bose gas (CBG). The theory of the Bose-Einstein condensation of the CBG in a magnetic field is extended to ultralow temperatures and ultrahigh magnetic fields. A low-temperature dependence of the upper critical field $H_{c2}(T)$ is obtained both for the particle-impurity and particle-particle scattering. The normal-state collective plasmon mode in ultrahigh magnetic fields is studied. [S0163-1829(96)08845-5]

I. INTRODUCTION

A charged Coulomb Bose gas (CBG) recently became of particular interest motivated by the bipolaron theory of high-temperature superconductivity.¹ A long time ago Schafroth² demonstrated that an ideal gas of charged bosons exhibits the Meissner-Ochsenfeld effect below the ideal Bose-gas condensation temperature. Later on, the one-particle excitation spectrum at $T=0$ was calculated by Foldy,³ who worked at zero temperature using the Bogoliubov⁴ approach. The Bogoliubov method leads to the result that the ground state of the system has a negative correlation energy, whose magnitude increases with the density of bosons. Perhaps more interesting is the fact that the elementary excitations of the system have, for small momenta, energies characteristic of plasma oscillations which pass over smoothly for large momenta to the energies characteristic of single-particle excitations. Further investigations have been carried out at or near T_c , the transition temperature for the gas. These works have been concerned with the critical exponents⁵ and the change in the transition temperature from that of the ideal gas.^{5,6} The random phase approximation (RPA) dielectric response function and screening in the CBG have been studied in the high-density limit,⁷ including a low-dimensional [two-dimensional (2D)] CBG.^{8,9} The theory of the CBG beyond the lowest-order Bogoliubov approximation was discussed by Lee and Feenberg¹⁰ and by Brueckner.¹¹ They obtained the next-order correction to the ground-state energy. Woo and Ma¹² calculated numerically the correction to the Bogoliubov excitation spectrum. Alexandrov¹³ found the critical magnetic field $H_{c2}(T)$, at which the CBG is condensed. The predicted temperature dependence of $H_{c2}(T)$ was observed both in low- T_c and high- T_c cuprates,¹⁴ where the coherence volume estimated from the heat capacity measurements is

comparable with or even less than the unit cell volume. This favors a charged $2e$ Bose liquid as a plausible microscopic scenario for the ground state.¹

Our objective is the theory of the CBG in a magnetic field. In this paper we first extend to finite temperatures the BdG-type equations derived earlier¹⁵ for $T=0$. Then we analyze the linearized Ginzburg-Landau-type equation for the order parameter and formulate the condition of the Bose-Einstein condensation in a homogeneous magnetic field. By the use of the sum rule we calculate the upper critical field $H_{c2}(T)$ both for a short-range particle-impurity and long-range particle-particle Coulomb interactions at low temperatures. The plasmon dispersion of CBG in the ultrahigh magnetic field is analyzed as well.

II. BOGOLIUBOV-de GENNES EQUATIONS FOR THE CBG AT FINITE TEMPERATURES

The superfluid properties of charged bosons as well as their excitation spectrum and the response function can be studied by the use of the Bogoliubov-de Gennes- (BdG-) type equations, fully taking into account the interaction of quasiparticles with the condensate.¹⁵ The Hamiltonian of charged bosons on an oppositely charged background (to ensure charge neutrality) in an external field with the vector potential $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is given by

$$H = \int d\mathbf{r} \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \left[-\frac{(\nabla - ie^* \mathbf{A})^2}{2m} - \mu \right] \psi(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} \int d\mathbf{r}' V(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \psi(\mathbf{r}) \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}') \psi(\mathbf{r}'). \quad (1)$$

For 3D charged bosons of mass m the Fourier component of the interaction potential $V(\mathbf{r})$ is $V(\mathbf{k}) = 4\pi e^{*2}/k^2$ with bosonic charge e^* . For a 2D system with a three-

dimensional interaction $V(\mathbf{k}) = 2\pi e^{*2}/k$. Respecting electroneutrality one takes $V(\mathbf{k} \equiv 0) = 0$, which is a consequence of the compensation of the boson-boson repulsion by the attraction due to a spatially homogeneous charged background. In this paper we will treat the purely academic problem of the charged Bose gas; however, we state that the results obtained are also applicable to the case of preformed electron pairs, which we postulate to exist in the high- T_c cuprates. In the more realistic case of preformed pairs their hard core nature needs to be considered. This introduces an additional term missing from the equations given in this paper as we no longer have $V(\mathbf{k} \equiv 0) = 0$ and instead have $V(\mathbf{k} \equiv 0) = \text{const}$. When considering the self-energy, as we shall do later in the paper, it can be seen that this zero-momenta interaction term will give a constant contribution to the self-energy, which amounts to a renormalization of the chemical potential. The hard core nature of the pairs also gives a constant contribution to the Fourier component of the interaction potential at all momenta. The most significant part of the interaction is Coulomb interaction as can be seen from Eq. (15), where, if we include the hard core interaction as well as the Coulomb interaction, the main result—that the excitation spectrum contains a plasma gap—is unchanged. The consequences of including only the hard core interaction have been discussed earlier by Alexandrov *et al.*¹⁶ One final point worth mentioning on the choice of the interaction potential deals with the screening within the system. Simply taking a screened Coulomb potential as our starting potential can lead to an erroneous double-counting result as discussed by Alexandrov and Beere.¹⁵ In this paper we start with the bare interaction potential and derive a self-consistent form of the self-energies, carefully taking into account the self-screening of the interaction by the bosons in the high-density limit, $r_s \ll 1$ (see below). If we have in mind a metal with preformed pairs, to avoid their overlap, the density is also restricted in the upper limit. Here and further $\hbar = c = 1$.

The equation of motion for the field operator ψ is derived using this Hamiltonian,

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = [H, \psi(\mathbf{r}, t)] = \left[-\frac{(\nabla - ie^* \mathbf{A})^2}{2m} - \mu \right] \psi(\mathbf{r}, t) + \int d\mathbf{r}' V(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}', t) \psi(\mathbf{r}', t) \psi(\mathbf{r}, t). \quad (2)$$

If the interaction is weak, one can expect that the occupation numbers of one-particle states are not very much different from those in the ideal Bose gas. In particular the state with zero momentum $k=0$ remains macroscopically occupied and the corresponding Fourier component of the field operator $\psi(\mathbf{r})$ has an anomalously large matrix element between the ground states of the system containing $N+1$ and N bosons. It is convenient to consider a grand canonical ensemble, introducing a chemical potential μ . In this case the quantum state is a superposition of states $|N\rangle$ with slightly different total numbers of bosons. The weight of each state is a smooth function of N which is practically constant near the average number $\bar{N}$ on the scale $\pm \sqrt{\bar{N}}$. Because ψ changes the number of particles only by 1 its *diagonal* matrix element coincides with the off diagonal, calculated for the states with fixed $N = \bar{N} + 1$ and $N = \bar{N}$. Following Bogoliubov⁴ one can separate the large diagonal matrix element ψ_0 from ψ by treating the rest $\tilde{\psi}$ as a small fluctuation:

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \psi_0(\mathbf{r}, t) + \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{r}, t). \quad (3)$$

The *anomalous* average $\psi_0(\mathbf{r}, t) = \langle \psi(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle$ is equal to $\sqrt{n_0}$ in a homogeneous system, where n_0 is the condensate density.

Substituting the Bogoliubov displacement transformation, Eq. (3), into the equation of motion and collecting c -number terms of ψ_0 and *supracondensate* boson operators $\tilde{\psi}$, we obtain a set of the BdG-type equations. The macroscopic condensate wave function, which plays the role of the order parameter, obeys the equation

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_0(\mathbf{r}, t) = \left[-\frac{(\nabla - ie^* \mathbf{A})^2}{2m} - \mu \right] \psi_0(\mathbf{r}, t) + \int d\mathbf{r}' V(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') n(\mathbf{r}', t) \psi_0(\mathbf{r}, t) + \int d\mathbf{r}' V(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') [\langle \tilde{\psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}', t) \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle \psi_0(\mathbf{r}', t) + \langle \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{r}', t) \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle \psi_0^*(\mathbf{r}', t)]. \quad (4)$$

Taking explicitly into account the interaction of supracondensate bosons with the condensate and applying the Hartree-Fock approximation for the interaction between supracondensate particles one obtains

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \left[-\frac{(\nabla - ie^* \mathbf{A})^2}{2m} - \mu \right] \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \int d\mathbf{r}' V(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') n(\mathbf{r}', t) \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \int d\mathbf{r}' V(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') [\psi_0^*(\mathbf{r}', t) \psi_0(\mathbf{r}, t) + \langle \tilde{\psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}', t) \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle \tilde{\psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}', t) + \langle \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{r}', t) \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{r}, t)] \psi_0(\mathbf{r}, t) + \int d\mathbf{r}' V(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') [\tilde{\psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}', t) \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{r}, t) - \langle \tilde{\psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}', t) \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle] \psi_0(\mathbf{r}', t) + \int d\mathbf{r}' V(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') [\tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{r}', t) \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{r}, t) - \langle \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{r}', t) \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle] \psi_0^*(\mathbf{r}', t). \quad (5)$$

Here

$$n(\mathbf{r}, t) = |\psi_0(\mathbf{r}, t)|^2 + \langle \tilde{\psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, t) \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle \quad (6)$$

is the boson density, and so the general sum rule

$$\int d\mathbf{r} n(\mathbf{r}, t) = n_B \quad (7)$$

is satisfied. Here n_B is the number of bosons in the normalized volume, which is taken to be unity.

In the high-density limit $r_s = me^{*2}/(4\pi n_B/3)^{1/3} \ll 1$ and for the temperature close to zero the number of bosons pushed up from the condensate by the repulsion is small. Therefore the contribution of terms nonlinear in $\tilde{\psi}$ is negligible. Applying a linear Bogoliubov transformation for $\tilde{\psi}$,

$$\tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_n u_n(\mathbf{r}, t) \alpha_n + v_n^*(\mathbf{r}, t) \alpha_n^\dagger, \quad (8)$$

where α_n and $\alpha_n^\dagger$ are bosonic quasiparticle operators for the one-particle quantum state n , and omitting nonlinear terms, we obtain two coupled Schrödinger equations for the wave functions $u(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and $v(\mathbf{r}, t)$:¹⁵

$$\begin{aligned} i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(\mathbf{r}, t) = & \left[-\frac{(\nabla - ie^* \mathbf{A})^2}{2m} - \mu \right] u(\mathbf{r}, t) \\ & + \int d\mathbf{r}' V(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') [|\psi_0(\mathbf{r}', t)|^2 u(\mathbf{r}, t) \\ & + \psi_0^*(\mathbf{r}', t) \psi_0(\mathbf{r}, t) u(\mathbf{r}', t)] \\ & + \int d\mathbf{r}' V(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \psi_0(\mathbf{r}', t) \psi_0(\mathbf{r}, t) v(\mathbf{r}', t) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v(\mathbf{r}, t) = & \left[-\frac{(\nabla + ie^* \mathbf{A})^2}{2m} - \mu \right] v(\mathbf{r}, t) \\ & + \int d\mathbf{r}' V(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') [|\psi_0(\mathbf{r}', t)|^2 v(\mathbf{r}, t) \\ & + \psi_0(\mathbf{r}', t) \psi_0^*(\mathbf{r}, t) v(\mathbf{r}', t) \\ & + \int d\mathbf{r}' V(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \psi_0^*(\mathbf{r}', t) \psi_0^*(\mathbf{r}, t) u(\mathbf{r}', t). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

There is also another sum rule

$$\sum_n [u_n(\mathbf{r}, t) u_n^*(\mathbf{r}', t) - v_n(\mathbf{r}, t) v_n^*(\mathbf{r}', t)] = \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'), \quad (11)$$

which retains the Bose commutation relations for all operators.

Unfortunately, the last set of BdG equations (9)–(11) is applied only for low temperatures and small magnetic fields, when the depletion of the condensate is small. As an example for the homogeneous case and $\mathbf{A} = 0$ the excitation wave functions are plane waves

$$u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}, t) = u_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - i\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} t}, \quad (12)$$

$$v_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}, t) = v_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - i\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} t}, \quad (13)$$

and the condensate wave function is $(\mathbf{r}, t)$ independent, $\psi_0 = \sqrt{n_0}$. Thus the solution to Eq. (4) is

$$\mu = V(\mathbf{k} = 0) = 0 \quad (14)$$

in the leading order in r_s , when the last term in Eq. (4) can be neglected. The excitation spectrum is that obtained by Foldy,³

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = \sqrt{\frac{k^4}{4m^2} + \frac{k^2 V(\mathbf{k}) n_0}{m}}, \quad (15)$$

with a gap $\omega_{p0} = \sqrt{4\pi e^2 n_0 / m}$, which is the classical plasma frequency for a plasma of density n_0 .

At finite temperatures and (or) in a strong magnetic field we are left with the extremely complicated integro-differential nonlinear equations (4)–(7).

III. UPPER CRITICAL FIELD OF CBG: GENERAL FORMULATION

The situation, however, is not hopeless in the region of the second-order phase transition near the upper critical field $H_{c2}(T)$. In this region one can apply the expansion in powers of the order parameter $\psi_0(\mathbf{r}, t)$ to obtain the equation similar to that of the Ginzburg-Landau-Abrikosov-Gor'kov (GLAG) theory.^{19,20} In particular, the linearized equation (4) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_0(\mathbf{r}, t) = & \left[-\frac{(\nabla - ie^* \mathbf{A})^2}{2m} - \mu \right] \psi_0(\mathbf{r}, t) \\ & + \int d\mathbf{r}' V(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') n(\mathbf{r}', t) \psi_0(\mathbf{r}, t) \\ & + \int d\mathbf{r}' V(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \langle \tilde{\psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}', t) \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle \psi_0(\mathbf{r}', t). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

The last two terms are the Hartree-Fock corrections to the normal-state single-boson energy, respectively. This can be reduced to the renormalization of the normal-state single-boson energy spectrum (m) and of the chemical potential, as discussed in the last section. One of the solutions to Eq. (16) is a trivial $\psi_0 = 0$. If this solution is compatible with the sum rule, Eq. (7), we have the normal state. On the other hand, if $\psi_0 \neq 0$, the linearized equation (16) can be satisfied with the appropriate choice of the chemical potential (in a stationary homogeneous magnetic field). Therefore, the linearized equation for the order parameter does not determine the upper critical field at all. The upper critical field is determined by the sum rule, Eq. (7), as the lowest field at which this rule cannot be satisfied with $\psi_0 = 0$,¹³ rather than by the linearized GL equation of the GLAG theory, where $\mu \sim T_c - T$ is fixed.

Introducing the one-particle normal-state Green's function

There are two dimensionless parameters in this problem. The first is the ratio of the scattering amplitude f to the magnetic length a_H . At low temperatures, where H_{c2} is large, this parameter is not small. Therefore, at low temperatures, one has to go beyond the Born approximation for a single-impurity scattering by the use of Eq. (25). The second parameter is the ratio of the mean-free path, $l = v\tau$, to the magnetic length. This is the same as $\omega_H\tau$ for particles at the lowest Landau level where $v \approx (ma_H)^{-1}$. Here $1/\tau$ is the order of the collision broadening of the Landau levels, which depends on the magnetic field as $H^{2/3}$ (see below). As a result in the low-temperature limit, when H_{c2} is large, the nondiagonal components of the Green's function $G_{\nu,\nu'}$ are small when compared with the diagonal components as $1/(\omega_H\tau) \ll 1$. It should be pointed out that the t matrix, Eq. (25), is essentially nondiagonal, but the contribution of the nondiagonal elements of the t matrix to the density of states is small, as $1/(\omega_H\tau)$.

The equation for the diagonal part of the self-energy, $\Sigma_\alpha(\epsilon)$, has the following form:

$$\Sigma_\alpha(\epsilon) = \frac{2\pi f n_{\text{im}}}{m} \int d\mathbf{r} \frac{\phi_\alpha^*(\mathbf{r}) \phi_\alpha(\mathbf{r})}{1 + (2\pi f/m) \sum_\nu \phi_\nu^*(\mathbf{r}) \phi_\nu(\mathbf{r}) G_\nu(\epsilon)}. \quad (27)$$

By the use of the fact that in a homogeneous system G_ν is k_x independent one can perform the summation over k_x in the denominator. Then integrating over $\mathbf{r}$ we obtain the following equation in the ultraquantum limit ($N=0$):

$$\sigma(\tilde{\epsilon}) = \gamma \frac{1}{1 + i/\sqrt{\tilde{\epsilon} - \sigma(\tilde{\epsilon})}}, \quad (28)$$

where $\gamma = 2\pi f n_{\text{im}}/\epsilon_0 m$, $\sigma = \Sigma/\epsilon_0$, $\tilde{\epsilon} = \epsilon/\epsilon_0$, and $\epsilon_0 = f^2/2ma_H^4$.

The density of states is given by

$$N(\epsilon) = \frac{\sqrt{2}m^{3/2}\omega_H}{4\pi^2} \text{Re} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon - \Sigma(\epsilon)}}. \quad (29)$$

Solving the cubic equation (28) we find the edge, where a nonzero density of states appears, as

$$\epsilon_c \equiv \frac{E_c}{\epsilon_0} = A^{1/3} + \frac{1/9 - 3\gamma}{A^{1/3}} + \gamma - 2/3, \quad (30)$$

with $A = 1 + (3/2)^3 \{ \sqrt{x[\gamma + (2/3)^3]} - (10/27 - \gamma)^2 \}$. Expanding the imaginary part of the self-energy near the edge we arrive with the following expression for $N(\epsilon)$:

$$N(\epsilon) = \frac{\sqrt{2}m^{3/2}\omega_H}{4\pi^2\epsilon_0} \sqrt{\epsilon - E_c} \sqrt{\frac{R^2(R+1)}{\epsilon_c(3R+1) - \gamma}}, \quad (31)$$

where $R = -(6\epsilon_c - 2 - 6\gamma)/(8\epsilon_c + \gamma)$.

One can distinguish two limiting cases. The first one corresponds to the collision broadening of the Landau levels²¹⁻²⁴ when the multiple scattering by a single impurity is negligible. This is $\gamma \gg 1$, and so

$$\epsilon_c = \gamma - 3/(\gamma/2)^{2/3} \quad (32)$$

and

$$N(\epsilon) \approx N_{\text{col}}(\epsilon) = \frac{m^{3/2}\omega_H}{\sqrt{62}^{1/3}\Gamma_0} \sqrt{\epsilon - E_c}, \quad (33)$$

where the parameter $\Gamma_0 = (4\pi f^2 n_{\text{im}}/a_H^2)^{2/3}/2m$ is the collision broadening of the Landau levels. In this limit temperature dependence of the upper critical field has been found by Alexandrov:^{13,14}

$$H_{c2} \approx \frac{\Phi_0}{2\pi\xi^2} (T_{c0}/T)^{9/2}, \quad (34)$$

with $\Phi_0 = \pi/e$ the flux quantum, $T_{c0} \approx 3.3n_B^{2/3}/m$, and the ‘‘coherence’’ length $\xi = \pi\sqrt{(e^*/2e)/3^{3/4}f^2 n_{\text{im}}}$ is proportional to the mean-free path in zero magnetic field.

When the temperature decreases the low-energy excitations become more important. Then the multiple scattering gives the leading contribution. In that case ($\gamma < 1$) broadening of the Landau levels is determined by the parameter ϵ_0 , and so

$$\epsilon_c = (\gamma/2)^2, \quad (35)$$

$$N(\epsilon) \approx N_{\text{scat}}(\epsilon) = \frac{m^{3/2}}{4\pi^2\sqrt{2}a_H^6 n_{\text{im}}^2} \sqrt{\epsilon - E_c}. \quad (36)$$

It should be pointed out that the ratio $N_{\text{col}}(\epsilon)/N_{\text{scat}}(\epsilon) \propto (\Gamma_0/\epsilon_0)^2$. The temperature dependence of the upper critical field is different in that case and is given by

$$H_{c2} \approx \frac{\Phi_0}{2\pi\xi^2} (T_{c0}/T)^{1/2} \quad (37)$$

where $\xi = \sqrt{e^*/2e}(2n_{\text{im}})^{-1/3}$.

With the temperature lowering the upper critical field diverges. Therefore in the ultralow-temperature limit we have $\epsilon_0 \gg \Gamma_0$. As a result the $T^{-4.5}$ divergence in Eq. (34) transforms into $T^{-0.5}$ in Eq. (37) when T goes to zero. In this limit we also expect that the localization of bosons, due to the high magnetic field, in the random potential might be important.

V. NORMAL-STATE PLASMON IN THE ULTRAQUANTUM LIMIT

As discussed above the condensation temperature in a magnetic field is zero without scattering because the density of states diverges at low energy. In a clean system the self-energy arising from the Coulomb scattering is the main source of the level broadening, resulting in a vanishing density of states at low energy and thus in a finite condensation temperature T_c . We can expect that at low temperatures density fluctuations of the CBG, i.e., plasmons, play the role of impurities.

Plasmons are defined as poles of the renormalized Coulomb potential. We calculate the renormalized Coulomb potential as a summation series of polarization loops (Fig. 2), given by

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{q}, i\Omega_n) = \frac{V(\mathbf{q})}{1 - V(\mathbf{q})\Pi(\mathbf{q}, i\Omega_n)}, \quad (38)$$

where

FIG. 2. Plasmon propagator.

$$\Pi(\mathbf{q}, i\Omega_n) = T \sum_{i\Omega_m} \sum_{\nu, \nu'} |I_{\nu'}^{\nu}(\mathbf{q})|^2 \mathcal{G}_{\nu}(i\Omega_m) \mathcal{G}_{\nu'}(i\Omega_m + i\Omega_n) \quad (39)$$

is the polarization loop in the Matsubara representation, and $I_{\nu'}^{\nu}(\mathbf{q})$ is the matrix element defined as

$$I_{\nu'}^{\nu}(\mathbf{q}) = \int d\mathbf{r} e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \phi_{\nu'}^*(\mathbf{r}) \phi_{\nu}(\mathbf{r}). \quad (40)$$

In the Landau representation, Eq. (26), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\nu'}^{\nu}(\mathbf{q}) &= \delta_{k_x+q_x, k'_x} \delta_{k_z+q_z, k'_z} \left(\frac{N'!}{N!} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\times \left[\frac{(-q_x + iq_y) a_H}{\sqrt{2}} \right]^{N-N'} L_{N'}^{N-N'}(a_H^2 q_{\perp}^2) \\ &\times \exp \left[-\frac{a_H^2}{4} q_{\perp}^2 + i a_H^2 q_y \left(k_x + \frac{q_x}{2} \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

for $N' \leq N$, where $q_{\perp} = \sqrt{q_x^2 + q_y^2}$ and $L_{N'}^{N-N'}(x)$ is a Laguerre polynomial.

Now considering the case of a high magnetic field and low temperature, $\omega_H \gg T$, all the bosons are in the lowest Landau level, i.e., $N=N'=0$. Also at the condensation point, as has been previously noted, the chemical potential μ is at the edge of the spectrum, E_c , which for free bosons is $E_c = \omega_H/2$. Taking the free particle Matsubara Green's functions, as a first-order approximation for the polarization loop, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(\mathbf{q}, i\Omega_n) &= \sum_{k_z, k_x} \frac{n[(k_z + q_z)^2/2m] - n(k_z^2/2m)}{i\Omega_n - k_z q_z/m - k_z^2/2m} \\ &\times \exp(-a_H^2 q_{\perp}^2/2), \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

where the Bose distribution function is

$$n(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{e^{\epsilon/T} - 1}. \quad (43)$$

We note that the sum rule, Eq. (19), for free particles, after summation over Matsubara frequencies is

$$\sum_{k_z, k_x} n\left(\frac{k_z^2}{2m}\right) = n_B. \quad (44)$$

For ultralow temperatures we can approximate the Bose distribution function to a δ function of weight n_B at zero momentum,

$$n\left(\frac{k_z^2}{2m}\right) \approx \delta_{k_z, 0} 2\pi a_H^2 n_B. \quad (45)$$

FIG. 3. Plasma frequency as a function of momentum.

The factor $2\pi a_H^2$ arises from the summation over k_x ,

$$\sum_{k_x} = \frac{1}{2\pi a_H^2}. \quad (46)$$

This summation is finite because it is limited by the condition that the Landau eigenfunction lies within the normalized volume ($\equiv 1$) being considered. This condition places a boundary on allowed values of k_x as $y_0 = k_x a_H^2$ is the center of the orbit.

Using the approximation in Eq. (45), the single-particle polarization loop

$$\Pi(\mathbf{q}, i\Omega_n) = \frac{n_B}{m} \frac{q_z^2 \exp(-a_H^2 q_{\perp}^2/2)}{(i\Omega_n)^2 - q_z^4/4m^2} \quad (47)$$

takes on the same form as for zero temperature.¹⁵ This gives the plasmon propagator as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{q}, i\Omega_n) &= \frac{4\pi e^{*2}}{q^2} \\ &\times \frac{(i\Omega_n)^2 - q_z^4/4m^2}{(i\Omega_n)^2 - q_z^4/4m^2 - 4\pi e^2 n_B q_z^2 \exp(-a_H^2 q_{\perp}^2/2)/mq^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

where $q^2 = q_z^2 + q_{\perp}^2$.

The frequency of plasma excitations is given by the poles of the retarded plasmon propagator, which is found from the analytical continuation of the Matsubara plasmon propagator to the real axis,

$$D^R(\mathbf{q}, \Omega) = \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{q}, i\Omega_n \rightarrow \Omega + i\delta). \quad (49)$$

The poles of the retarded plasmon propagator, and thus the plasma excitations, occur at

$$\Omega \equiv \omega_{\mathbf{q}} = \left[\left(\frac{q_z^2}{2m} \right)^2 + \omega_p^2 \frac{q_z^2}{q^2} \exp(-\frac{1}{2} a_H^2 q_{\perp}^2) \right]^{1/2}. \quad (50)$$

For $q_{\perp} = 0$ this is the same as the nonmagnetic case, $\Omega \sim \omega_p$ for $q_z \rightarrow 0$. However, for $q_{\perp} \neq 0$ the plasmon is now gapless and sound like for low momenta, (Fig. 3). The effect of the magnetic field is to confine the bosons to their Landau orbitals along the z axis. This allows freedom of movement along the z axis, but restricts movement perpendicular to the z axis, making the system stiffer to perturbations in that di-

FIG. 4. Coulomb self-energy.

rection. So the polarization perpendicular to the z axis is lowered in a magnetic field, resulting in soundlike, rather than plasmalike, excitations.

The approximation used in Eq. (45) produces a result similar to the zero-temperature superfluid Bogoliubov (3D) spectrum.³ The validity of this approximation arises from the ultrahigh magnetic field, which lowers the condensation temperature. This ensures that all of the bosons are at very low energies even in the normal state, hence validating Eq. (45).

VI. CONDENSATION OF CBG DUE TO THE COULOMB SCATTERING

The self-energy due to boson-boson scattering in the Matsubara representation is

$$\Sigma_\nu(i\Omega_n) = -T \sum_{\mathbf{q}, \nu', i\Omega_m} |I_\nu^{v'}(\mathbf{q})|^2 \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{q}, i\Omega_m) \mathcal{G}_{\nu'}(i\Omega_n + i\Omega_m). \quad (51)$$

This expression includes all “bubble” diagrams which is a fair approximation for the long-range Coulomb potential (Fig. 4).

As the density of states, Eq. (20), is obtained from the retarded Green’s functions, we will transform this Matsubara representation using

$$\mathcal{G}_\nu(i\Omega_n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\epsilon' \frac{A_\nu(\epsilon')}{i\Omega_n - \epsilon'}, \quad (52)$$

where $A_\nu(\epsilon)$ is the spectral function defined as

$$A_\nu(\epsilon) = [G_\nu^A - G_\nu^R] = -2 \text{Im} G_\nu^R. \quad (53)$$

Note that $G_\nu^A = G_\nu^{R*}$.

Summing over the Matsubara frequencies the resulting equation is

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_\nu(i\Omega_n) = & \sum_{\mathbf{q}, \nu'} |I_\nu^{v'}(\mathbf{q})|^2 \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\epsilon' \frac{4\pi e^{*2}}{q^2} A_{\nu'}(\epsilon') \\ & \times \left[\frac{\omega_p^2 q_z^2 \exp(-a_H^2 q_\perp^2/2)}{2q^2 \omega_{\mathbf{q}}} \left(\frac{n(\omega_{\mathbf{q}})}{i\Omega_n - \epsilon' + \omega_{\mathbf{q}}} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \frac{n(\omega_{\mathbf{q}}) + 1}{i\Omega_n - \epsilon' - \omega_{\mathbf{q}}} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{[(\epsilon' - i\Omega_n)^2 - q_z^4/4m^2]n(\epsilon')}{(\epsilon' - i\Omega_n - \omega_{\mathbf{q}})(\epsilon' - i\Omega_n + \omega_{\mathbf{q}})} \right], \quad (54) \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega_{\mathbf{q}}$ is the plasma frequency for momentum $\mathbf{q} = \{q_z, q_\perp\}$ given by Eq. (50). This can be simplified for the case of ultralow temperatures when the only remaining significant term is from the spontaneous emission of plasmons.

All terms containing the Bose-distribution factor $n(\epsilon)$ are removed, leaving the zero-temperature result as this is the most significant part.

The retarded self-energy is easily derived from the Matsubara self-energy,

$$\Sigma_\nu^R(\epsilon) = \Sigma_\nu(i\Omega \rightarrow \epsilon + i\delta). \quad (55)$$

The result is similar to the case of scattering from the impurities if we take the plasma frequency in the denominator, $\epsilon - \epsilon' - \omega_{\mathbf{q}} + i\delta$, as zero. This is an elastic scattering approximation, which is reasonable if the characteristic plasma frequency is small compared with the characteristic broadening of the Landau level. We will show this to be true for the condition $T_{c0} \ll \omega_H$.

The spectral function in terms of the retarded self-energy is

$$A_\nu(\epsilon) = -2 \text{Im} \frac{1}{\epsilon - k_z^2/2m - \Sigma_\nu^R(\epsilon) + \mu}. \quad (56)$$

We now have a self-consistent equation for the self-energy,

$$\Sigma_{k_z}^R(\epsilon) = e^{*2} \omega_p^2 \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \int d\epsilon' \frac{q_z^2 \exp(-a_H^2 q_\perp^2)}{(q_z^2 + q_\perp^2)^2 \omega_{\mathbf{q}}} \frac{A_{k_z+q_z}(\epsilon')}{(\epsilon - \epsilon' + i\delta)}. \quad (57)$$

Extending the summation over $\mathbf{q}$ to an integral,

$$\sum_{\mathbf{q}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int dq_z \int dq_\perp q_\perp, \quad (58)$$

we can begin to build an approximate solution. For high magnetic fields a_H is small and for low q_z the integral $dq_\perp$ can be approximated as

$$\int dq_\perp \frac{q_\perp q_z^2 e^{-a_H^2 q_\perp^2}}{(q_z^2 + q_\perp^2)^2 \omega_{\mathbf{q}}} \approx \frac{1}{\omega_p}. \quad (59)$$

To eliminate the chemical potential from the equation we choose that ϵ is zero at the mobility edge, i.e., $E_c = 0$, and thus μ is also zero at the condensation temperature. As in the case of impurity scattering of bosons we expect the self-energy to be momentum independent. The integration of $A_{k_z+q_z}(\epsilon')$ over dq_z gives the density of states, which for a momentum-independent self-energy is

$$\int dq_z A_{k_z+q_z}(\epsilon') = \sqrt{2m} \text{Im} \frac{4\pi i}{[\epsilon' - \Sigma^R(\epsilon')]^{1/2}}. \quad (60)$$

Then the imaginary part of Σ is obtained by integrating over the energy in Eq. (57) as

$$\text{Im} \Sigma^R(\epsilon) = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3^{1/4} r_s^{3/4} \omega_p^{3/2}} \text{Im} \frac{i}{[\epsilon - \Sigma^R(\epsilon)]^{1/2}}. \quad (61)$$

Despite the appearance of the minus sign in Eq. (61) the imaginary self-energy is positive. This is not obvious from Eq. (61), but comes from the relationship of $\text{Im} \Sigma^R$ to the density of states, to be given later. As an exercise we can use

FIG. 5. Density of states in a magnetic field without (a) and with (b) the Coulomb interaction.

a first-order approximation for the self-energy, $\Sigma^R = +i\delta$. Substituting this into Eq. (61),

$$\text{Im} \frac{i}{[\epsilon - \Sigma^R(\epsilon)]^{1/2}} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}, \quad (62)$$

where the minus sign arises from taking the square root cut line on the positive real axis.

Expanding the cubic equation (61), and taking the limit of small $\text{Im}\Sigma^R$ and small ϵ , we find that for Eq. (61) to be satisfied in the lowest order, $\text{Im}\Sigma^R = \epsilon = 0$,

$$\text{Re}\Sigma^R(\epsilon) = \frac{\omega_p r_s^{1/2}}{(2\sqrt{3})^{1/3}}. \quad (63)$$

The next order in $\text{Im}\Sigma^R$ and ϵ gives

$$\text{Im}\Sigma^R(\epsilon) = \left[\frac{12}{5(2\sqrt{3})^{1/3}} \right]^{1/2} \omega_p^{1/2} r_s^{1/4} \sqrt{\epsilon}. \quad (64)$$

The real part of Σ^R can also be derived from the principal part of Eq. (57), which for low ϵ gives a constant of the same order as Eq. (63).

The density of states is directly related to the imaginary part of the self-energy,

$$\rho(\epsilon, H) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} n_B \frac{\omega_H}{\omega_p} \text{Im}\Sigma^R(\epsilon). \quad (65)$$

For high energies the density of states decreases as $1/\sqrt{\epsilon}$, the same as the undamped result, Eq. (21). Using this to plot the density of states (Fig. 5), and remembering the sum rule

$$n_B = -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^3 a_H^2} \int dq_z \int d\epsilon A_\nu(\epsilon) n(\epsilon), \quad (66)$$

we can see that the low-energy solution, Eq. (64), is applied for the temperature range $T \ll \text{Re}\Sigma^R$. The value of H_{c2} for which the sum rule can no longer be satisfied is then

$$H_{c2} = \frac{\Phi_0}{2\pi\xi^2} (T_{c0}/T)^{3/2}, \quad (67)$$

where now

$$\xi \approx 0.92 n_B^{-1/3} r_s^{-1/2} \sqrt{\frac{e^*}{2e}} \quad (68)$$

is the coherence length due to the Coulomb scattering.

As was stated earlier, the elastic scattering approximation is only valid if the plasma frequency associated with the scattering is less than the width of the Landau level broadening, i.e., $\text{Re}\Sigma^R$. This gives the condition

$$\omega_q \ll \text{Re}\Sigma^R \approx \omega_p r_s^{1/2}. \quad (69)$$

We can estimate the plasma frequency, from Eq. (50), as

$$\omega_q \approx \frac{q_z}{q_x} \omega_p, \quad (70)$$

with the momenta associated with the scattering process as $q_x \approx a_H^{-1}$ and $q_z \approx \sqrt{m\omega_p r_s^{1/2}}$. The estimation for q_z comes as the momentum associated with the broadening, i.e., $q_z^2/2m \approx \text{Re}\Sigma^R$. Thus the condition for using the elastic scattering approximation is

$$\omega_H \gg \omega_p r_s^{-1/2} \approx T_{c0}. \quad (71)$$

In short the effect of including the interaction is to restore the density of states to its 3D form, and it is the energy dependence of the DOS which determines the temperature dependence of H_{c2} . The broadening of the Landau levels is proportional to the interaction strength, i.e., r_s . The lower the interaction, the less the damping of the Landau levels and thus the lower H_{c2} is.

VII. CONCLUSION

In contrast to the Fermi liquid, in which the long-range Coulomb interaction is screened and high-energy plasmons are irrelevant for low-frequency kinetics, allowance for the Coulomb interaction at finite temperatures in the CBG is a more complicated matter because plasmons and one-particle excitations are essentially the same in the long-wave limit. In this paper we derived the Bogoliubov–de Gennes-type equations for the CBG at finite temperatures and studied the Bose-Einstein condensation in an ultrahigh magnetic field. In contrast with the canonical GLAG theory the upper critical field of CBG is determined by the sum rule beyond the mean-field approximation. The damping of the Landau levels due to the scattering is a key feature allowing Bose-Einstein condensation in a magnetic field. We have extended the earlier results^{13,14} on the impurity scattering in CBG to very low temperatures, when the critical magnetic field is very high, so that the multiple impurity scattering becomes important. As a result the crossover from the $T^{-4.5}$ to the $T^{-0.5}$ exponent is found in the divergent $H_{c2}(T)$ when the temperature is lowered. The plasmon dispersion as well as the Bose-Einstein condensation due to Coulomb scattering is studied in an ultrahigh magnetic field as well. The plasmon is gapless. The Coulomb scattering results in the $T^{-1.5}$ exponent of H_{c2} at low temperatures. In general, the CBG has an unusual phase transition from the normal to the condensed phase in the magnetic field with remarkable positive curvature. At low temperatures we find $H_{c2} \sim T^{-\nu}$. Depending on the tem-

perature interval and impurity concentration the critical exponent ν varies from 0.5 up to 4.5. We believe that the CBG is a relevant model of the ground state of high- T_c cuprates with a very small coherence volume. If it is so, our predictions can be verified by the resistive and magnetic measurements in high magnetic fields.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge helpful discussions with our colleagues at IRC, Cambridge University, and at the Department of Physics, Loughborough University, and the Royal Society financial support for one of us (V.V.K.).

-
- ¹A.S. Alexandrov and N.F. Mott, *High Temperature Superconductors and Other Superfluids* (Taylor & Francis, London, 1994); Rep. Prog. Phys. **57**, 1197 (1994).
 - ²M.R. Schafroth, Phys. Rev. **100**, 463 (1955).
 - ³L.L. Foldy, Phys. Rev. **124**, 649 (1961).
 - ⁴N. Bogoliubov, J. Phys. (Moscow) **11**, 23 (1947).
 - ⁵R.F. Bishop, J. Low Temp. Phys. **15**, 601 (1974).
 - ⁶A.L. Fetter, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **64**, 1 (1971).
 - ⁷S.R. Hore and N.E. Frankel, Phys. Rev. B **12**, 2619 (1975); **14**, 1952 (1976).
 - ⁸D.F. Hines and N.E. Frankel, Phys. Rev. B **20**, 972 (1979).
 - ⁹A. Gold, Z. Phys. B **83**, 429 (1991).
 - ¹⁰D.K. Lee and E. Feenberg, Phys. Rev. **137**, A731 (1965).
 - ¹¹K.A. Brueckner, Phys. Rev. **156**, 204 (1967).
 - ¹²C-W. Woo and S. Ma, Phys. Rev. **159**, 176 (1967).
 - ¹³A.S. Alexandrov, Ph.D. thesis, MIFI, Moscow, 1984; Phys. Rev. B **48**, 10 571 (1993).
 - ¹⁴A.S. Alexandrov *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 983 (1996) and references therein.
 - ¹⁵A.S. Alexandrov and W.H. Beere, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 5887 (1995).
 - ¹⁶A.S. Alexandrov, D.A. Samarchenko, and S.V. Traven, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **93**, 1007 (1987) [Sov. Phys. JETP **66**, 567 (1987)].
 - ¹⁷H. Ehrenreich and L.M. Schwartz, in *Solid State Physics*, edited by H. Ehrenreich, F. Zeitz, and D. Turnbull (Academic, New York, 1976), Vol. 31, p. 149.
 - ¹⁸S.F. Edwards, Philos. Mag. **3** 1020 (1958).
 - ¹⁹A.A. Abrikosov, L.P. Gorkov, and I.E. Dzyaloshinskii, *Quantum Field Theoretical Methods in Statistical Physics* (Pergamon, New York, 1965).
 - ²⁰A.A. Abrikosov, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Metals* (Elsevier, New York, 1988), pp. 381–389.
 - ²¹Yu.A. Bychkov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **39**, 1401 (1960) [Sov. Phys. JETP **12**, 977 (1961)].
 - ²²V.G. Skobov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **40**, 1446 (1961) [Sov. Phys. JETP **13**, 1014 (1961)]; **37**, 1467 (1959) [**10**, 1039 (1959)]; **38**, 1304 (1960) [**11**, 941 (1960)].
 - ²³L.I. Magarill and S.K. Savvinykh, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **60**, 175 (1971) [Sov. Phys. JETP **33**, 97 (1971)]; Fiz. Tverd. Tela **13**, 1858 (1971) [Sov. Phys. Solid State **13**, 1558 (1972)].
 - ²⁴R. Kubo, S.J. Miyake, and N. Hashitsume, in *Solid State Physics*, edited by H. Ehrenreich, F. Zeitz, and D. Turnbull (Academic, New York, 1965), Vol. 17, p. 317.