This item was submitted to [Loughborough's Research Repository](#) by the author.
Items in Figshare are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved, unless otherwise indicated.

Testing 3D landform quantification methods with synthetic drumlins in a real digital elevation model

PLEASE CITE THE PUBLISHED VERSION

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2012.02.009>

PUBLISHER

© 2012 Elsevier B.V.

VERSION

AM (Accepted Manuscript)

LICENCE

CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

REPOSITORY RECORD

Hillier, John K., and Mike J. Smith. 2019. "Testing 3D Landform Quantification Methods with Synthetic Drumlins in a Real Digital Elevation Model". figshare. <https://hdl.handle.net/2134/13010>.

This item was submitted to Loughborough's Institutional Repository (<https://dspace.lboro.ac.uk/>) by the author and is made available under the following Creative Commons Licence conditions.

For the full text of this licence, please go to:
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/>

Testing 3D landform quantification methods with synthetic drumlins in a real digital elevation model

J. K. Hillier^{a*} and M. J. Smith^b ^{*}Corresponding Author

^aDepartment of Geography, Loughborough University, LE11 3TU, UK. j.hillier@lboro.ac.uk.

tel: 0044 (0)1509 223727 fax: 0044 (0)1509 223930

^bSchool of Geography, Earth and the Environment, Kingston University, KT1 2EE, UK.

mike@hsm.org.uk

Metrics such as height and volume quantifying the 3D morphology of landforms are important observations that reflect and constrain Earth surface processes. Errors in such measurements are, however, poorly understood. A novel approach, using statistically valid ‘synthetic’ landscapes to quantify the errors is presented. The utility of the approach is illustrated using a case study of 184 drumlins observed in Scotland as quantified from a Digital Elevation Model (DEM) by the ‘cookie cutter’ extraction method. To create the synthetic DEMs, observed drumlins were removed from the measured DEM and replaced by elongate 3D Gaussian ones of equivalent dimensions positioned randomly with respect to the ‘noise’ (e.g. trees) and regional trends (e.g. hills) that cause the errors. Then, errors in the cookie cutter extraction method were investigated by using it to quantify these ‘synthetic’ drumlins, whose location and size is known. Thus, the approach determines which key metrics are recovered accurately. For example, mean height of 6.8 m is recovered poorly at 12.5 ± 0.6 (2σ) m, but mean volume is recovered correctly. Additionally, quantification methods can be compared: A variant on the cookie cutter using an un-tensioned spline induced about twice ($\times 1.79$) as much error. Finally, a previously reportedly statistically significant ($p = 0.007$) difference in mean volume between sub-populations of different ages, which may reflect formational processes, is demonstrated to be only 30–50 % likely to exist in reality. Critically, the synthetic DEMs are demonstrated to realistically model parameter recovery, primarily because they are still almost entirely the original landscape.

Results are insensitive to the exact method used to create the synthetic DEMs, and the approach could be readily adapted to assess a variety of landforms (e.g. craters, dunes, volcanoes).

Key words: synthetic, landform, DEM, drumlin, volume

1. Introduction

The 3D properties of landforms record information about the processes that formed them (e.g. Evans, 1987; Rose, 1989; Marova, 2002). Specifically, drumlins' heights, H , and volumes, V , may preserve information about the dynamics of former ice sheets (e.g. Smith et al., 2009). Quantification of these metrics (e.g. Smith et al., 2009), however, is prone to inaccuracy in the presence of topographic 'noise' (e.g. trees, post-formational erosion) and underlying larger-scale slopes (e.g. hills). So, observations like recovered mean height ($\bar{H}_r$) might be substantially overestimated and reflect noise rather than ice sheet processes. Without precise, accurate and reliable observations scientific conclusions based upon them must remain in some doubt. A fundamental question then arises of how to test quantification methods. What is the correct answer to test against? Like many classes of landform, the geometric rules used to map drumlins (e.g. Shaw, 1983; Rose, 1987; Smith and Clark, 2005; Clark et al., 2009; Spagnolo et al., 2010) are not yet definitively defined. So, establishing an objective *a priori* correct ground-truth with which to test the quantitative methods is not possible. It is not possible to conduct a careful manual interpretation, or an analysis using visually assessed numerical methods, and claim this as to be the 'correct' H or V . Either would just be one estimate, based on a number of implicit or explicit assumptions. Subsequent tests to determine which computational method best reproduced these 'correct' values would then simply indicate the method that best reproduced the underlying subjective preferences. This can, and has (e.g. Hillier, 2008), been done but is not a truly objective assessment. In these circumstances it is standard best-practice to test the method

with some idealised or ‘synthetic’ data such as the classic ‘synthetic checkerboard’ test (e.g. Dziewonski et al., 1977, Saygin and Kennett, 2010) used extensively in Earth tomography. The constituent stages of this test (Nolet et al., 2007) illustrate the key elements of a synthetic test

1. *Construct a synthetic input*, which should include the feature of interest. In geomorphology, this could be the expected morphology of a landform.
2. *Create synthetic data* that resembles the observed data, with suitable noise added. In geomorphology, this could be a DEM including the synthetic input.
3. *Invert synthetic data* using the same numerical method applied to the observed data
4. *Compare inverted result with the synthetic input* to see how well the assumed synthetic input (e.g. landform) is recovered.

In geomorphology, synthetic data have been used to assess numerical methods for estimating the fractal dimension of topography (Malinverno, 1989; Tate, 1998a,b), and slope and aspect (Zhou, 2004). The performance of filters intended to isolate submarine volcanoes has also been assessed by simplistically approximating them as cones on planar surfaces (Wessel, 1998; Hillier, 2008; Kim and Wessel, 2008). Realistic ‘noise’ and regional trends, however, have not been used to assess landform retrieval. Neither have methods to extract the 3D properties (e.g. height and volume) of other landforms yet been subject to testing with synthetic DEMs. The difficulty lies in generating a suitable, statistically representative synthetic landscape.

Synthetic DEMs may be constructed by i) using simple geometries as building blocks such as cones or planes (e.g. Wessel, 1997; Kim and Wessel, 1998; Zhou, 2004; Hillier, 2008) ii) generated statistically using fractals (e.g. Mandelbrot, 1983) or multi-fractals (e.g. Gilbert, 1989; Weissel, 1994; Cheng, 1996) or iii) created by the application of mathematical descriptions of physical processes in ‘landscape evolution models’ (e.g. Chase, 1992; Braun and Sambridge, 1997). Synthetic DEMs created using simple building blocks, do not contain the complexity in

the observed landscape, or necessarily have realistic statistical properties. Even multi-fractal landscapes, may not have correct statistics without considering properties such as anisotropy (e.g. Gagon, 2006) and characteristic scales (e.g. Perron, 2008), but more importantly these DEMs will not contain spatially distinct, isolated features (e.g. drumlins). Landscape evolution models, which link form with process by applying mathematical characterisations of the processes, can now incorporate many processes (Tucker, 2010); for instance, stochastic bedrock landsliding (e.g. Densmore, 1998), flexural isostasy (e.g. Lane et al., 2008), and valley-scale erosion by ice flow (e.g. Harbour, 1992; Brocklehurst and Wipple, 2004; Amundson and Iverson, 2006; Tomkin, 2009), including under thermo-mechanically coupled 3D ice sheets (e.g. Jamieson et al., 2008). Numerical models, however, cannot as yet realistically generate some landforms such as drumlins (e.g. Hindmarsh, 1998; Schof, 2007; Fowler, 2000, 2009, 2010a, 2010b). The problem of creating a statistically representative synthetic landscape containing drumlins therefore remains a current one.

By whichever method synthetic DEMs are generated, if they are to be used in the assessment of morphological mapping or to test numerical methods for quantifying the 3D properties of landforms, a number of criteria must be satisfied. Firstly, the synthetic DEM must be quantitatively representative of the observed landscape, at least in the aspects of it being examined. This is necessary to comment upon process-related statements such as ‘landform sub-population A differs from sub-population B’. Synthetics partially reflecting the observed landscape will permit only a subset of inferences to be drawn. Of particular note is the difficulty of ‘noise’ (e.g. alignments of trees). Noise may not be well represented by statistical noise (e.g. Lombardini, 2005; Jordan and Watts, 2005; Sun, 2009) and its removal by ‘decluttering’ (e.g. Sithole and Vosselman, 2004) likely distorts the landforms. The second criterion is that circularity, the retrieval of input assumptions, must be avoided. For instance, synthetic DEMs should not contain signatures of any isolation method such as the cookie cutter that would cause it to be favoured when comparing isolation methods.

109

110 This paper proposes a method of testing 3D landform quantification techniques such as the
111 cookie cutter. It is applicable to varied study areas without substantial customization, and is
112 potentially applicable to various landforms (e.g. drumlins, dunes, scoria cones, landslides). It
113 avoids *a priori* assumptions about process and permits analyses of synthetics that are directly
114 and securely relevant to real study sites. These assertions are demonstrated using a worked
115 example. The study area, DEM data and quantification method used are outlined in Section 2.
116 The processes of creating and using the synthetic DEMs (Fig. 1) has four stages, listed below,
117 corresponding to those enumerated above. These stages are explained sequentially in the paper.

118

- 119 1. To first-order isolate, remove, and parameterise landforms (i.e. drumlins) from the
120 measured DEM (Sections 3.1 and 3.2). Then, establish their idealised 3D shape (Section
121 3.3).
- 122 2. Re-insert idealised landforms (i.e. drumlins) using parameters matching those removed
123 into the ‘synthetic’ DEMs at locations that are random with respect to surface clutter and
124 larger features (Section 3.4).
- 125 3. Run the quantification methodology under examination, i.e. the cookie cutter (Section 4)
- 126 4. See how it recovers *a priori* known parameters from the synthetic DEM (Section 5)

127

128 Some ways in which observations and scientific claims may be evaluated using synthetic DEMs
129 are then illustrated through the worked example, assessing the ‘cookie cutter’ method of Smith et
130 al. (2009) as applied to the drumlin field they analysed in central Scotland. The same DEM and
131 manual digitisations of the drumlins’ outlines are used so that a direct comparison is possible.

132 To highlight the extent of the observational problem note that it is not known if important
133 parameters, e.g. recovered mean volume ($\bar{V}_r$), reflect the actual population at all.

134

135 2. Study area, data, and extraction method to be tested

136

137 The study area is in the western part of central Scotland (Fig. 2a). It (Fig. 2c) is 13×8 km in
138 size, is identical to that analysed by Smith et al. (2009), and completely contains 175 landforms
139 interpreted by them as drumlins. These landforms are Younger Dryas (YD) [~ 12 ka] and Last
140 Glacial Maximum (LGM) [~ 20 ka] in age (Rose and Smith, 2008). Smith et al. (2009) report
141 that, using a t-test without assuming equal variances, the observed difference in mean volumes
142 between YD and LGM drumlins is statistically significant. Specifically, this refers to a
143 difference in the logarithms of volumes, $\Delta \overline{\ln(V)}$, calculated as $\overline{\ln(V)}_{LGM} - \overline{\ln(V)}_{YD}$.

144

145 As in Smith et al. (2009) the NEXTmap BritainTM digital surface model (DSM), or ‘NEXTmap’,
146 is used in this study (Fig. 2b). NEXTmap is a single-pass interferometric synthetic aperture
147 radar (IfSAR) product presented as a spatial (x, y) grid at a resolution of five metres, with a
148 vertical resolution estimated as 0.5–1 m (Intermap, 2004). The 184 digitised drumlin outlines
149 used are also those of Smith et al. (2009) (Fig. 2c). These were digitised from NEXTmap and
150 quantitatively compared to field mapping in Smith et al. (2006). A combination of gradient, two
151 orthogonal relief-shaded images, and local contrast visualizations, considered ‘optimal’ (Smith
152 and Clark, 2005), was used in the digitisation to minimise bias in the orientations of the drumlins
153 (Smith and Wise, 2007). Re-analysis of the digitised outlines of the 184 drumlins indicates that
154 178 drumlins ($n = 178$) have all vertices within the study area, and these are used as the basis for
155 computation in this paper based on this criterion alone.

156

157 The semi-automated ‘cookie cutter’ method (Smith et al., 2009) estimates a basal surface by
158 interpolating between points on manually digitised drumlins’ outlines using a fully tensioned
159 (i.e. $T=1$) bi-cubic spline (e.g. Smith and Wessel, 1990), thereby permitting drumlins’ volumes
160 (V) and maximum heights (H) to be estimated. Specifically, this is implemented here by
161 considering each drumlin in turn, starting from complete data across a sub-region of the observed
162 DEM and i) removing measured heights within the outline, then ii) interpolating across the ‘hole’

using the spline to estimate a basal surface, and finally iii) calculating H and V . H is the maximum vertical difference between the original and interpolated surfaces, and V as the volume between the surfaces. All calculations are at the full resolution of the DEM.

The cookie cutter is a ‘regional-residual separation’ (RRS) technique (e.g. Wessel, 1998; Hillier and Smith, 2008, Hillier, 2008). Distinctively for an RRS technique the cookie cutter alone requires a manually digitized outline as an input. This, however, permits a simple method and creates results fully compatible with the subjective digitisations that are predominant in sub-aerial geomorphology. The cookie cutter likely introduces highly significant, but un-quantified, errors in estimates of H and V , but as a numerical method it is reproducible with the potential for it to be quantitatively tested.

3. Method for creating the synthetic DEMs

This section describes the methods used during the steps to create the synthetic DEMs, which are outlined in Section 1.

3.1 Drumlin isolation and removal

Height, H , recorded in Digital Elevation Models (DEMs) can be described at any location (x, y) as the sum of n ‘components’ (Eq. 1) (e.g. Wren, 1973; Wessel, 1998; Hillier and Watts, 2004; Hillier and Smith, 2008).

$$\text{Eq. (1)} \quad H_{\text{DEM}} = H_1 + H_2 + \dots H_n$$

$$\text{Eq. (2)} \quad H_{\text{DEM}} = H_{\text{noise}} + H_{\text{drumlins}} + H_{\text{hills}}$$

The topography of the study area can be described by Eq. 2, where ‘noise’ consists of surface ‘clutter’; small-scale height variations not genetically related to drumlin formation. Specifically, clutter includes features such as trees and houses resting upon the terrain, and post-glacial

alterations. ‘hills’ is a shorthand for larger-scale trends and landforms that are not drumlins. Regional-residual separation into these components is conducted using two standard (e.g. Wessel, 1998) sliding-window median filters. This filter is chosen for its robustness to outliers, simplicity, so that no element of the cookie cutter method was involved in the creation of the synthetic DEMs. This was done to avoid any possibility of circularity.

Numerous inspections, such as that illustrated in Figs. 3 and 4a,b, were used to establish the best filter widths (60 m, 500 m) for this initial estimate of drumlins’ 3D form. Note, however that it is not possible to objectively demonstrate that any RRS is optimal at this stage because no ‘correct’ interpretation is known to test against. The efficacy of the division into the three components is illustrated as follows: Drumlins are not visible in Fig. 3c, clutter is minimized in Fig. 3b, and drumlins are not visible in H_{hills} (Fig. 3d). Even for this best visual assessment, some undesirable behaviours still exist. For example, the basal surface estimated is higher than might be expected in places (Fig. 4a,b). The synthetic DEMs created using the filters selected, however, are shown to be sufficiently realistic in Section 6; H and V are extracted in the same way for the real and synthetic DEMs.

H_{drumlins} was subtracted from H_{DEM} within the digitised outlines to remove drumlins, but they will be replaced by similar objects within the landscape (Fig. 1, Section 3.4). Outside the digitized outlines the DEM was not altered, retaining all its spatial and statistical characteristics. Thus, the synthetic landscapes will closely resemble the original.

3.2. Drumlin parameterisation

Length (L), width (W), height (H), and volume (V) are the main parameters used to quantify drumlins. L - W - H triplets are needed to create idealised drumlins for the synthetic DEMs. L and W are properties of drumlins’ planform shapes, but can be calculated several different ways.

Since drumlins' idealised planar shape remains debated (e.g. Corley, 1959; Shaw, 1983; Spagnolo et al., 2010), methods assuming an idealised shape are not used here. Instead, L and W are calculated directly from drumlins' manually digitised outlines. Errors and systematic biases due to any method chosen for this, and then to calculate H and V , are unavoidable. So, two contrasting methods were implemented (Appendix A) so that the sensitivity of parameters (θ_L , V , H , L , and W) to them can be assessed. These are referred to as 'Method 1' and 'Method 2'.

3.3. Representative 3D drumlin shape

Using an idealised shape for drumlins breaks the link between filters used to generate input H and V and the testing of recovery efficacy. Without this break some signature of these filters may remain, perhaps introducing circularity. What shape, however, is appropriate?

Drumlins can be described as 'an elongated hill or mound ... possessing a smooth "moulded" outline' (Hollingsworth, 1931), and various analogies have been used to describe their geometry: e.g. half torpedo, tear drop, half egg, cigar (see Spagnolo, 2010). Shaw (1983) distinguishes three isolate forms, i.e. those not in contact with other forms: 'spindle', 'parabolic', and 'transverse asymmetrical'. Reed et al. (1962), however, remain alone in proposing a 3D form with a simple mathematical description, the ellipsoid. In ellipsoids, slopes increase towards their edges. This model does not fit in the Central Scotland study area where slopes appear to decrease (Fig. 4a,b).

To determine a representative 3D drumlin shape to use in creating synthetic landscapes transverse and longitudinal profiles from the drumlins were stretched to a standard size and overlain on each other i.e., de-cluttered, de-trended, normalised (Fig. 4c,d), and stacked (Fig. 5). To de-trend, the estimated basal surface was subtracted. To normalize, distances were linearly scaled such that L , W , and H all become 1.0. To stack, the mean and standard deviation of

normalized heights at each normalized distance along the profile were computed. Note that all profiles start from θ_L (Fig. 6a) used so the longitudinal profiles all align, with C_{xy} to the right.

Visually, a Gaussian form (grey line) well approximates the average transverse profiles (Fig. 5b,d), i.e. is within $\pm 2\sigma_H$, and is close to the longitudinal profile of Method 1. In accord with observations elsewhere (Spagnolo et al., 2010) the asymmetries for Method 2 are not strongly aligned with flow (Fig. 2c), and so Fig. 5c resembles Fig. 5a if drumlins are aligned to the interpreted regional flow direction before stacking. About 10% of the height change associated with the approximating Gaussians' amplitude is outside the grey box representing the drumlins' limits (Fig. 5). So, the upper 90% of an elongate Gaussian form (Fig. 7), $H_f = 0.9$, is taken as the representative 3D form for drumlins in this study area. Are the stacked profiles exactly Gaussian? Points along the observed curves (black lines) lack independence, rendering statistical tests (e.g. Chi-squared goodness of fit) of this invalid, but the question is also not particularly relevant. As above, the approximation is demonstrably sufficient because H and V are extracted in the same way for the real and synthetic DEMs. Reassuringly, volumes of idealised Gaussians (Eq. A.1, Fig. 7) and volumes from grid-based calculations, for Method 2 where direct comparison is possible, agree well visually (Fig. 8b) and correlate with an $r^2 = 0.8724$ ($n = 173$). So, the Gaussian approximation has no systematic effect upon input volumes, V_{in} .

3.4. Building synthetic DEMs

Using an idealised Gaussian shape (Appendix B), and parameters characterising the drumlins (Fig. 8), synthetic DEMs were created by removing existing drumlins and inserting idealised ones (Fig. 1). To avoid the non-trivial problem of statistically generating realistic H - W - L triplets the measured ones where $H > 0$ were used; $n = 178$ for Method 1 and $n = 173$ Method 2.

Complications avoided include i) the relative abundances of different sizes of L , W , H (Fig. 8c,d,e) and ii) the strength of correlations between L - W , L - H and H - W varying as a function of size. The spatial distribution of drumlins (Fig. 9a,b) was reproduced (Fig. 9 c,d), and the distribution of θ_L was replicated (Fig. 8a). Details of the exact procedures used are in Appendix C. For both Method 1 and Method 2 10 synthetic DEMs and sets of digital drumlin outlines, were created. It would also be possible to spatially vary the dominant orientation, making the synthetic more realistic. However, when analysed, H and V are extracted in the same way for the real and synthetic DEMs (Section 6). So neither this additional complexity, nor the inclusion of asymmetry in an idealised Gaussian shape, is necessary.

4. Method for using the synthetic DEMs to assess the cookie cutter

This section describes the methods used to interrogate the synthetic DEMs. To assess its ability to recover H and V , the cookie cutter was applied to each of the 20 synthetic landscapes. The heights and volumes of the idealised drumlins put into the synthetic DEMs are denoted H_{in} and V_{in} . Recovered heights are denoted, H_r , and volumes, V_r . In addition, analyses were repeated employing a variant of the cookie cutter method, using an un-tensioned (i.e. $T = 0$) rather than a tensioned (i.e. $T = 1$) spline. This test is designed to illustrate the utility of synthetic DEMs in assessing different extraction methodologies. The results of both tests are reported in Sections 5.1 to 5.3. Note that, for consistency, the same parameterisation method (e.g. Method 1) was used to quantify drumlins extracted by the cookie cutter as was used to create the synthetic DEM analysed.

Next, the types of investigation made possible by knowing both V_{in} and V_r for synthetic DEMs are illustrated. Their results are reported in Section 5.4. The first illustrative investigation assesses the likelihood that the difference in volume between YD and LGM drumlins reported by Smith et al. (2009) actually exists when errors that occur during the extraction of drumlins'

volumes are considered. Standard statistical tests (e.g. Smith et al. 2009) implicitly assume that automated methods recover drumlins' parameters exactly. A sub-set of 100,000 stochastically generated pairs of LGM and YD sub-populations with 'observed' differences (i.e. $\Delta \ln(\overline{V_r})$) extracted by the cookie cutter more extreme than that reported by Smith et al. (2009) was assessed to see what proportion of them had 'real' input differences (i.e. $\Delta \ln(\overline{V_{in}})$) over the threshold for 95% significance (i.e. $\Delta \ln(\overline{V})_{crit}$). To paraphrase; If the difference you extract is at least as large as that reported by Smith et al. (2009), what fraction of the time is the actual difference large enough to be statistically significant? This is illustrated in Fig. 10, with details of the stochastic modelling in Appendix D.

A second investigation was also performed. It is possible that the observed $\Delta \overline{V}$ between sub-populations is due to how the cookie cutter method interacts with spatial variation in regional trends and topographic noise between the LGM and YD regions. This was tested. If the recovered difference in mean volumes between regions is consistently larger or smaller than that input, this is caused by a difference between them. The effect, E , that the process of landform extraction makes to the difference in mean volume between the LGM and YD areas (i.e. $\overline{V}_{LGM} - \overline{V}_{YD}$), was quantified as the change in difference between average volumes of the sub-populations between input and recovery, specifically

$$E_v = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\Delta \overline{V}_r - \Delta \overline{V}_{in})_i$$

where i is the number of the DEM out of 10. $\Delta \overline{V}_r$ and $\Delta \overline{V}_{in}$ are retrieved and input volume differences respectively. Equivalent comparisons were made for $\Delta \overline{H}$, un-tensioned splines, and Methods 1 and 2.

5. Results

5.1 Negative volumes

323

324 For the 10 synthetic DEMs analysed using the cookie cutter and parameterised with Method 1,
325 14.3 ± 6.4 (2σ) of 178 drumlins have negative (i.e. incorrect) volumes. For Method 2 the
326 equivalent number is similar, 15.4 ± 2.8 (2σ) of 173. Within error these are not distinguishable
327 from the 11 negative volumes recovered by analysing the original DEM and its manually
328 digitised outlines. This is a first indicator that the synthetic DEMs well replicate the original, at
329 least when measuring H and V . Using an un-tensioned spline figures are 32.3 ± 7.6 (2σ) and
330 26.4 ± 9.2 (2σ) for Methods 1 and 2 respectively. So, these definite errors are significantly more
331 numerous for the un-tensioned spline (e.g. $p = \sim 7 \times 10^{-10}$, Method 1, 1-tailed t -test assuming equal
332 variances). This is initial evidence that the un-tensioned variant recovers H and V more poorly.
333 Note that in hilly, noisy terrain large errors producing negative volumes are expected of
334 interpolation using splines (e.g. Fig 11b of Smith et al. (2009)). Indeed, this is one of the main
335 drivers behind this work to develop synthetic DEMs.

336

337 5.2 Individual heights and volumes

338

339 For Method 1 parameters, 39.2% of individual recovered volumes are within $\times 0.75 - 1.25$ of V_{in} ,
340 i.e. V_r/V_{in} is in the range 0.75 to 1.25. Table 1 summarizes such results. Percentages are
341 consistently higher for V than H , and for tensioned rather than un-tensioned variants for the
342 cookie cutter. Consistent with this, standard deviations of V_r/V_{in} are notably smaller for
343 tensioned as compared to un-tensioned splines, although this difference is much less marked for
344 H_r/H_{in} . In short, on an individual basis drumlins' parameters are poorly recovered, especially for
345 the un-tensioned spline. V_r values are distributed approximately symmetrically about their true
346 values i.e. V_{in} , whilst recovered heights tend to be too great (Fig. 11). This determines how well
347 population parameters are recovered (Section 5.3). The range 1 ± 0.25 is arbitrarily chosen, but
348 observations are consistent for other ranges e.g. 1 ± 0.5 . Figure 11 plots the shape of the

distributions of V_r/V_{in} and H_r/H_{in} , and illustrates that large drumlins (black) are recovered in a similar way to the whole population. This is a necessary check that small, numerous drumlins are not dominating the results.

5.3 Population parameters

For the 10 groups of 178 drumlins, of parameters estimated by Method 1, mean input volume ($\bar{V}_{in}$) is $1.59 \times 10^5 \text{ m}^3$. Mean volume ($\bar{V}_r$) is recovered well as $1.56 \pm 0.16 \times 10^5 \text{ m}^3$ (2σ). The 10 $\bar{V}_r$ estimates are plotted in Figure 12b, and $\bar{V}_{in}$ is within the spread of these figures. Mean height ($\bar{H}_{in}$) of 6.8 m is poorly recovered as 12.5 ± 0.6 (2σ) m. $\bar{H}_{in}$ is not within the spread of $\bar{H}_r$. This is due to the strongly skewed distribution of errors for individual drumlins (Fig. 11 a,c). Results are similar for Method 2, and for both tensioned and un-tensioned splines (Table 2), and so the observations are robust.

5.4 Sub-populations

What is the effect of inaccuracies due to the cookie cutter method upon the conclusions of Smith et al. (2009)? This is the first investigation of sub-populations. For synthetics using Method 1, 715 of 100,000 simulations have observed volume differences $\Delta \ln(\bar{V}_r)$ more extreme than those observed by Smith et al. (2009). Of these only 42% of these have ‘actual’ input volume differences that are significant (i.e. $\Delta \ln(\bar{V}_{in}) > \Delta \ln(\bar{V})_{crit}$). A duplicate analysis, but using Method 2, gives a value of 38%. A comparison with parametric statistics demonstrates the confidence that it is possible to have in the stochastic modelling. When inaccuracies in extracting the volumes are not considered they agree well. Specifically p values under H_0 : $\ln(\bar{V}_{LGM}) = \ln(\bar{V}_{YD})$ for a t-test that does not assume equal variances and the stochastic modelling are 0.0062 and 0.0071 respectively.

375

376 What is the effect upon population parameters of possible differences in the character of noise or
377 hills between the two regions? This is the second investigation. Mean E_V values for Methods 1
378 and 2 (Table 3) quantify the systematic difference between areas. These are smaller than the
379 32,199 m³ observed by Smith et al. (2009) or in the opposite direction. So, they cannot explain
380 the observation of Smith et al. (2009), reassuringly suggesting that it is possible to see
381 geomorphic signal through the noise. This main result is insensitive to method used or tension
382 on the spline employed (Table 3). For height, in contrast, a systematic effect of ~1 m appeared
383 consistently increasing heights for LGM drumlins more. This would have to be considered were
384 any claims made about differences in mean heights: For the data of Smith et al. (2009) the mean
385 height of LGM drumlins is 3.0 m greater than YD, with a critical difference of only 2.0 m at the
386 95% confidence level calculated stochastically assuming accurate extraction.

387

388 **6. Discussion**

389

390 For a synthetic DEM to be useful, it must be statistically representative of the real DEM, at least
391 for the scenario to be assessed. In this case, the scenario is the quantification of H and V using
392 semi-automated methods. In the search for a best way of quantifying drumlins, by definition no
393 *a priori* information is or can be available for parameters of the original, non-Gaussian drumlins
394 in the DEM. So, the best test possible is to compare the shapes of recovered parameter
395 populations (Fig. 13). For these to match closely either all stages of generating and processing
396 the synthetic DEMs are correct or any significant errors that exist within these stages must have
397 produced equal and opposite effects.

398

399 A number of attempts are made to falsify the idea that the synthetic DEMs well approximate the
400 real landscape by comparing output from the cookie cutter method as applied to the original and
401 synthetic DEMs. Firstly, the shapes of the populations of recovered volumes are examined

quantitatively by inter-quartile ranges and skews, and visually (Fig. 13a). They are very similar. Thus, the idea that the synthetic DEMs well represent reality is not contradicted. Secondly, the shape of an input V distribution is modified, spread out, by errors in recovery (e.g. Fig. 13c). Similar numbers of negative volumes (Section 5.1), definite mistakes, for the real and synthetic datasets are therefore a strong direct indicator that DEMs are behaving similarly. Differences in the input distributions generated by Methods 1 and 2 (Fig. 8b), however, make this comparison inexact. The differences can, however, be corrected for by aligning mean recovered volumes ($\bar{V}_r$) (Fig. 13a). This is valid if errors in recovery are randomly distributed about their true values, as they appear to be (Fig. 11b,d). Thus if errors are random, if input distributions (e.g. Fig. 8b) are of the correct shape, and if parameters are recovered in the same way for the real and synthetic data, scaled curves for recovered volumes should overlies each other. They do (Fig. 13a). ‘Scaling factors’, are 0.82 and 1.17 for Methods 1 and 2 respectively. Thus, the idea that the synthetic DEMs well represent reality is again not contradicted. It is not obvious, however, that a combination of a different ‘scaling factor’ and errors of a different magnitude may be able to equally well approximate the shape of the distribution. So, thirdly, to discount the possibility that the fit occurred by chance due to a trade-off between effects, an inversion (Fig. 13b) was conducted. This examined all possible magnitudes of scaling factor and error magnitude as multiples of that actually found for the synthetic data: ‘error multiplier’. Best-fit scaling factors of 0.82 and 1.14 were found for Methods 1 and 2, very similar to those used to align the means. Thus the fit between the curves does not appear arbitrary. The error multipliers, applied to $V_{in}-V_r$ for individual drumlins that minimise misfit are 0.80 and 0.99 for Methods 1 and 2 respectively. These are again consistent with the idea that the synthetic DEMs well approximate reality, providing little evidence that results cannot be interpreted with confidence. An error multiplier of 0.80 suggests that analyses based on Method 1 may have errors that are ~20% too large, but this affects results insufficient to alter any conclusions.

As for V , recovered H distributions are of similar shape when aligned using mean values for the populations. The similarity, however, is less good, and no negative heights exist for comparison. So, analysis is less straightforward. Furthermore, due to the likely asymmetrical distribution of errors (Fig. 11a,c), the errors spread out the input distribution but also increase heights as can be done by a scaling factor. Misfit functions from an inversion therefore contain a trade-off, not a minimum as for V . However, using the misfit surface, the following can be stated. Firstly, if errors in the recovery of height (Fig. 11 a,c) are correct, H_{in} values need to be multiplied by ~ 0.7 for both Methods 1 and 2 in order to achieve a best fit. Namely, input heights are overestimated by $\sim 40\%$. An alternative would be to assume that heights, H_{in} , are correct and errors differ between the real and synthetic DEMs. However, running the Method 2 variant of same procedure used to estimated H_{in} on the appropriate 10 synthetic DEMs gives median H_r/H_{in} values of 1.40 ± 0.09 (1σ), a $\sim 20\text{--}60\%$ height overestimation. This $\sim 40\%$ figure for height overestimation is valid for the procedure's treatment of idealised Gaussian shapes of a distribution not yet proven to match reality, but even this is quite strong evidence of at least some overestimation of H_{in} . This is an indicator in favour of the first case where H_{in} is overestimated and errors in recovery are similar for the real and synthetic data.

In summary, the simplest explanation for the similarity in the shapes of the recovered distributions, of H_r and V_r , is therefore that the synthetic DEMs and drumlins well represent those digitised in Smith et al. (2009), at least in regard to the application of quantification techniques. It is also demonstrated that secure conclusions can be reached, with a little further analysis to understand discrepancies if necessary, even if input populations are probably not perfect. Namely, the approach using synthetic DEMs appears robust and of practical use.

6.1 The cookie cutter method

The nature of the errors affecting the cookie cutter is qualitatively predictable, without using synthetic DEMs, by understanding the nature of the bi-cubic spline used. Consider a profile (Fig. 14). Slopes immediately outside the drumlin dictate initial trajectories immediately inside it, which the spline joins by varying gradient as smoothly as possible (e.g. Smith and Wessel, 1990). Small-scale high magnitude slopes in the measured DEM cause the interpolation on one side to descend steeply whilst the other rises. H is then overestimated: $H_r > H_{in}$. Since H is the maximum height difference, a difference $> H_{in}$ need only occur once at any point for an overestimate to occur. So, height overestimates are expected. V is the sum of over-estimated (+) and under-estimated (-) regions (shaded). So V may be high, low, accurate or even negative whilst height is positive. Overall, however, if noise creates random gradients at drumlins' boundaries, errors randomly distributed about true values (e.g. Fig. 11b) are expected. The numerical analysis is needed to build upon this qualitative understanding. Illustrative analyses presented here determine the size of errors for key derived parameters (e.g. $\overline{H}, \overline{V}$) and whether they are at all accurate. Some are not. Results derived using Methods 1 and 2 agree well (Figs. 10–13), giving confidence in such analyses. So, synthetic landscapes created with idealised landforms within a real DEM can offer valuable insights into 3D landform extraction methodologies.

6.2 Other landform quantification techniques

The analyses performed with a variant on the cookie-cutter, using an un-tensioned spine, illustrate that synthetic DEMs may also be used to compare landform quantification techniques. Tensioning a bi-cubic spline reduces the amplitude of the extremes in its extrapolation. So errors are expected to be larger for an un-tensioned spline. This is confirmed and quantified by the numerical analysis. For the 1780 individual synthetic drumlins generated using Method 1 an un-tensioned spline induces about twice ($\times 1.79$) as much error as the tensioned spline, with standard

deviations of the ratio V_r/V_{in} being 1.29 and 2.29 respectively. Figures for Method 2 are 2.96 and 5.01. So, the use of a tensioned spline by Smith et al. (2009) appears justified.

6.3 Sub-populations

By linking input and recovered values for synthetic drumlins it is possible to examine claims in a depth that is not possible without synthetic DEMs. An analysis of the LGM and YD sub-populations in the study area demonstrates that it is possible to much better assess observations in the light of uncertainty in extracting drumlins' parameters. For instance, the apparently statistically significant difference in mean volume ($\Delta\bar{V}_r$) between sub-populations of different ages (Smith et al., 2009), which may reflect glacial stress patterns (e.g. Rose, 1989), is demonstrated to be only 30–50 % likely to exist in reality. Importantly, therefore, observations and scientific conclusions based upon them must remain in some doubt until errors are assessed. This assessment is significantly less practical where quantifications are done manually. Synthetic DEMs can also assess previous intractable issues such as the stationarity of the landscape with respect to the extraction of drumlins parameters. For instance, could the $\Delta\bar{V}_r$ of Smith et al. (2009) merely result from differences in the character of noise or hills in the LGM and YD areas and how this affects drumlin extraction? The analyses (Section 5.4) indicate not, which is reassuring for researchers interested in interpreting geomorphic signal and not noise. Other tests, e.g. to quantify the effect of errors in manual digitisation, are possible using the synthetic DEMs but the two done above serve to highlight the potential.

7. Conclusions

A novel way, using synthetic drumlins in a real DEM, is demonstrated to objectively test 3D landform quantification methods and probe their results in more depth than has previously been possible. Significant developments are i) the use of idealised drumlins, and ii) positioning them

randomly with respect to the real noise and regional trends that cause the errors, which is the key to allowing the quality of the extraction to be assessed. Creating synthetic drumlins using height-width-length (H - W - L) triplets extracted from measured DEM and digitised outlines simplifies the implementation. The synthetic DEMs are demonstrably representative of the observed landscape and circularity, the retrieval of input assumptions, is avoided.

184 drumlins in Central Western Scotland, and the application of the cookie cutter technique to them (Smith et al., 2009), are used as a case study. From this, the following specific conclusions may be drawn.

Directly from the measured DEM and digitised outlines:

1. A suitable, representative 3D form of drumlins, in this area at least, is an elongated Gaussian.

Justified predominantly by the similarity in the form of V and H distributions recovered from the real and synthetic DEMs, about the proposed method:

2. Initial, somewhat naïve, methodologies to remove existing drumlins and approximate ‘input’ drumlin populations (H - W - L) re-inserted into the synthetic DEMs are sufficient, i.e. the DEMs produced include drumlins that behave in a way closely representative of the real ones during the recovery of H and V .
3. Orientations, θ , and positions (x, y) of synthetic drumlins randomly assigned, but according to observed distributions, are sufficient.
4. The Gaussian approximation does not significantly or systematically affect how drumlins are recovered.

Regarding analysis of Central Western Scotland area as in Smith et al. (2009):

5. V and H for individual drumlins are both recovered poorly.
6. Mean volume, $\bar{V}$, an important descriptive parameter for the population, is recovered well because errors in individual volume estimates are randomly distributed about the true values.
7. Mean height, $\bar{H}$, is recovered poorly as individual heights tend to be overestimated, highlighting the desirability of understanding errors.
8. Smith et al. (2009) were correct to select a tensioned, rather than an un-tensioned, spline in the cookie cutter. This choice approximately halves errors. More generally, this demonstrates the ability of synthetic DEMs to test possible quantification methods for an area.
9. If recovery errors are considered the previously observed, statistically significant ($p = 0.007$) difference in recovered mean volumes between Younger Dryas (YD) and Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) age sub-populations of landforms is only 30–50% likely to exist in reality. Thus, claims regarding observations thought to reflect formational, physical, Earth surface processes should be considered in light of error in the recovery of parameters.

10. Acknowledgements

The NEXTMap DEM was kindly supplied by the British Geological Survey. We thank Niels Hovius, Katrien Van Landeghem, and an anonymous reviewer for their comments, which helped improve this work. Various figures in this manuscript and geometrical computations (e.g. splines) used the GMT software package (Wessel and Smith, 1998).

11. Appendix A: Drumlin parameterisation methods

Both methods described here to parameterise drumlins are new. Initially they locate the ‘core’ of the drumlin (C_{xy}) then place the longitudinal (long) and transverse (short) axes. This reverses approaches such as that used by Spagnolo et al. (2010) and is preferred here because they are consistent with how one might assess drumlins in the field or manually from a map.

A.1 Method 1: Central point

Within drumlins, in general, height of the landform (H_{drumlin}) increases as distance from the planform edge of the drumlin increases. In plan view, therefore, the core of a drumlin might be associated with a central point furthest from any edge: white circle, Fig. 3a. The smallest distance between the centre of each cell in the 5 m by 5 m grid and the outline was computed. Then the cell with the largest of these was selected as the centre, C_{xy} . This replicates one way in which a drumlin’s core may be manually located on a map.

L is the length of the longest line running through C_{xy} within the drumlin. To determine L , lengths (l) of all lines starting at a digitised vertex, angle θ_l clockwise from north, were computed. Figure 6a illustrates this for an idealised drumlin. For real drumlins, the orientation of L , θ_L , is usually tightly defined (Fig. 6b, white dot), and the shortest l at about 90° to θ_L . θ_S , the angle of the transverse axis, is therefore taken to be $\theta_L + 90^\circ$. W is the length of this line. To prevent any possible effects of the irregular vertex density present due to manual digitisation additional vertices were placed, by linear interpolation, every 5 m along the outline.

H was calculated along the longitudinal long axis, the maximum vertical difference between the DEM after noise has been removed (Fig. 3b) and a linear interpolation between heights at the edges of the drumlin (dotted line, Fig. 4a). Height could also be defined perpendicular to the

basal line, reducing it to $H\cos(\alpha)$ where α is the slope of the basal surface, but the effect is small ($\overline{\Delta H} = 1.47\% \pm 2 \text{ s.f.}$).

Method 1 does not estimate a basal surface from the DEM. V is calculated from H , W and L (Eq. A.1). 0.253 is the constant appropriate to the idealised Gaussian shape proposed.

Eq. (A.1)
$$V = 0.253 \times H \times W \times L$$

Using parameter values derived using Method 2, there is close agreement ($r^2 = 0.8724$, $n = 173$) between the volumes estimated using Eq. A.1 and a grid-based calculation (Fig. 8b, grey and dashed lines), justifying this approach.

C_{xy} estimates for Method 1 are not affected by topographic clutter. Its basal estimation is simple and transparent, but depends entirely upon heights at the drumlin's outline, and is thus affected by spatial error in digitisation (Fig. 4a). Parameters derived using Method 1, however, do not depend upon the 500 m wide median filter. The profile in Fig. 4a also highlights how height within a manually digitised landform may not reflect the conventional stoss-lee form. All 178 drumlins have $H > 0$ using Method 1.

A.2 Method 2: Highest point

In the field, a drumlin's highest point can be located geographically, and might be considered to lie over its core at C_{xy} . If significant, larger scale topographic trends underlie the drumlin they should be accounted for. Accordingly, C_{xy} may be estimated in a DEM as the location of the maximum height in H_{drumlin} , the component of topography associated with drumlins. As described in Section 3.1 median filters (60 m, 500 m) have been used to define H_{drumlin} .

In Method 2 H was computed as the maximum height, and V was calculated as the volume associated with the component H_{drumlin} for the area inside the landform. Again, L and W are estimated as in Fig. 6. In Method 2, the median filters used to determine C_{xy} are not affected by digitisation errors, but the basal surface may be biased upwards (Fig. 4a) or the drumlin's upper surface distorted by incompletely eliminated topographic noise. Method 2 therefore offers a contrast to Method 1. 173 of 178 drumlins have $H > 0$ using Method 2.

A.3 Parameter populations produced

The populations of parameters calculated by the two methods are similar (Fig. 5), and differences are readily explicable. For instance, W (Fig. 5e) is larger for Method 1 because it is designed to locate the drumlin's centre as far as possible from the outline. H is greater for the results of Smith et al. (2009) than either method because its heights are affected by clutter (e.g. trees), but this is expected. Lower volumes in Method 2 than are explained by the basal surface (500 m median filter) being raised (Fig. 3a). This is typical where 'normal' terrain not containing drumlins is in a minority, especially on slopes (Wessel, 1998). Volumes recovered by the Smith et al. (2009) lie between those of Methods 1 and 2, (Fig. 5b) suggesting that conclusions about V may be safely drawn from observations seen in analyses of both methods. Dominant orientations, θ_L , indicated by steeper slopes in Figure 5a, are between 60–120° and 240–300° for both methods in good agreement with visual assessment of Figure 1c. Lastly, as expected of drumlin populations, there are more small drumlins than large ones in terms of W , L , H and V , with the caveat that the smallest W and L are scarce.

12. Appendix B: The Gaussian approximation used

Height, h_{xy} for a position (x, y) within an elongate Gaussian form centred on (x_0, y_0) of orientation θ_L (Fig. 6) is, in Cartesian coordinates, described by Eqs. B.1–8. H is the height of the drumlin.

639 L is length, and W is width. H_G is the full height of the Gaussian, and H_f the fraction of this used
 640 for the drumlin (e.g. 0.9 for the top 90%).

641

642 Eq. (B.1)
$$h_{xy} = H_G \exp\left[-(a(x - x_0)^2 + 2b(x - x_0)(y - y_0) + c(y - y_0)^2)\right] - H_G + H$$

643 Eq. (B.2)
$$a = \frac{\cos^2 \phi}{2\sigma_L^2} + \frac{\sin^2 \phi}{2\sigma_W^2}$$

644 Eq. (B.3)
$$b = \frac{\sin 2\phi}{4\sigma_L^2} + \frac{\sin 2\phi}{4\sigma_W^2}$$

645 Eq. (B.4)
$$c = \frac{\sin^2 \phi}{2\sigma_L^2} + \frac{\cos^2 \phi}{2\sigma_W^2}$$

646 Eq. (B.5)
$$H_G = \frac{H}{H_f}$$

647 Eq. (B.6)
$$\sigma_L = \frac{L}{\sqrt{-8 \ln(1 - H_f)}}$$

648 Eq. (B.7)
$$\sigma_W = \frac{W}{\sqrt{-8 \ln(1 - H_f)}}$$

649 Eq. (B.8)
$$\phi = \theta_L - 90$$

650

651 **13. Appendix C: Synthetic DEM generation procedures**

652 In order to approximate spatial clustering an acceptance-rejection algorithm was used (e.g. Von
 653 Neumann, 1951). Firstly, the spatial density of drumlins was computed (Fig. 9b). Trial
 654 locations (x,y) for drumlins were then generated at an even spatial density using two random
 655 numbers, but these locations were rejected if i) a third random number generated with even
 656 density in the range zero to one lay above the surface in Figure 9b or ii) the proposed drumlin
 657 footprint overlapped any existing footprints. This latter condition required that drumlins be
 658 located in order of decreasing size, otherwise ‘space problems’ led to larger drumlins being
 659 preferentially located in areas of lower observed drumlin density. θ values were created by a
 660 standard method; random values (0 to 1) of the cumulative probability distribution of θ (Fig. 8a)

were used, each of which corresponds uniquely to a θ value. The combined cumulative θ_L distribution of 10 populations ($n = 1780$) is visually indistinguishable from the observed population (Fig. 8a).

gawk, using *random()* in *stdlib.h* was used to generate pseudorandom numbers. These are non-cyclic over very long periods, sufficient at least to avoid interdependencies in the ‘random’ numbers used in this study.

14. Appendix D: Stochastic modelling

The stochastic assessment used repeated random sub-division of the drumlin populations into LGM and YD sub-populations of appropriate sizes. 100,000 iterations were used to generate the probability density functions for $\overline{\Delta \ln(V_r)}$ (Fig. 10). Three such distributions were generated, one for the original data and DEM (Smith et al., 2009), and one for each of the sets of 10 synthetic DEMs related to Methods 1 and 2. For the latter, one of the 10 DEMs was selected randomly each iteration. Implicitly, such indiscriminate assignment of drumlins to sub-populations employed the null hypothesis, H_0 : no difference exists. Using 100,000 iterations critical values (e.g. $\overline{\Delta \ln(V)}_{crit}$) at the 95% significance level are 5,000th in lists of generated differences (e.g. $\overline{\Delta \ln(V_r)}$) ordered in descending magnitude: These are the levels that, if exceeded, occur rarely by chance and imply a statistically significant difference. $\overline{\Delta \ln(V_r)}$ of Smith et al. (2009) is 1.38 times that of the 95% critical value of its distribution, so instances where $\overline{\Delta \ln(V_r)}$ was more than $1.38 \times \overline{\Delta \ln(V)}_{crit}$ for the synthetic DEMs were taken as more extreme than those reported by Smith et al. (2009). The similarity in the shape of the distributions that makes this test valid is evidenced visually (Fig. 10), and by similar numbers of simulations containing more extreme differences than the threshold: 715 for the data of Smith et al. (2009), and 628 and 750 for Methods 1 and 2 respectively. Methods 1 and 2 use 178 and 173 drumlins respectively,

bracketing the $n = 175$ of Smith et al. (2009). Agreement between the methods, therefore, indicates insensitivity to these variations. Consequently, no correction was made for the difference in n .

References

Amundson, J. M., Iverson, N. R., 2006. Testing a glacial erosion rule using hang heights of hanging valleys, Jasper National Park, Alberta, Canada. *J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surface* 111, art. no. F01020.

Braun, J., Sambridge, M., 1997. Modelling landscape evolution on geological time scales: A new method based on irregular spatial discretization. *Basin Research* 9, 27-52.

Brocklehurst, S. H., Wipple, K. X., 2004. Hypsometry of glaciated landscapes. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 29, 907-926.

Chase, C. G., 1992. Fluvial landscupting and the fractal dimension of topography. *Geomorphology* 5, 39-57.

Cheng, Q. M., Agterberg, F. P., 1996. Multi-fractal modelling and spatial statistics *Mathematical Geology* 28, 1-16.

Chorley, R. J., 1959. The Shape of Drumlins. *J. Glaciol.* 3, 339-344.

Clark, C., Hughes A., Greenwood, S., Spagnolo, M., Ng, F., 2009. Size and shape characteristics of drumlins, derived from a large sample, and their associated scaling laws. *Quart. Sci. Rev.* 28, 677-692.

714

715 Densmore, A. L., Ellis, M. A., Anderson, R. S., 1998. Landsliding and the evolution of normal-
716 fault-bounded mountains. *J. Geophys. Res.* 103(B7), 15203-15219. doi:10.1029/98JB00510

717

718 Dziewonski, A., Hager, B., O'Connell, R., 1977. Large-scale heterogeneities in the lower
719 mantle. *J. Geophys. Res.* 82, 239-255.

720

721 Evans, I. C., 1987. A new approach to drumlin morphometry p119-130. In: J. Menzies and J.
722 Rose (Eds.), *Drumlin Symposium*. Balkema, Rotterdam.

723

724 Fowler, A. C., 2000. An instability mechanism for drumlin formation p307-319 In *Deformation of*
725 *Glacial Materials* (Ed. Maltman, A. J.) *Geol. Soc. Special Publication* 176.

726

727 Fowler, A. C., 2009. Instability modelling of drumlin formation incorporating lee-side cavity growth.
728 *Proc. R. Soc A* 465, 2681-2702.

729

730 Fowler, A. C., 2010a. The instability theory of drumlin formation applied to Newtonian viscous ice of
731 finite depth *Proc. R. Soc. A* 466, 2673-2694.

732

733 Fowler, A. C., 2010b. The formation of subglacial streams and mega-scale glacial lineations *Proc. R.*
734 *Soc. A* 466, 3181-3201.

735

736 Gagnon, J. S., Lovejoy, S., Schertzer, D., 2006. Multifractal Earth topography *Nonlinear*
737 *Processes in Geophysics* 5, 541-570.

738

739 Gilbert L. E., 1989. Are topographic data sets fractal? *Pure and Applied Geophysics* 131, 241-
740 254.

741

742 Harbour, J. M., 1992. Numerical Modeling of the development of U-shaped valleys by glacial
743 erosion. *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.* 104, 1364-1375.

744

745 Hillier, J. K., Watts, A. B, 2004. "Plate-like" subsidence of the East Pacific Rise - South Pacific
746 Superswell System *J. Geophys. Res.* 109, B10102 doi 10.1029/2004JB003041.

747

748 Hillier, J. K., 2008. Seamount detection and isolation with a modified wavelet transform *Basin*
749 *Research* 20, 555-573.

750

751 Hillier, J. K., Smith, M., 2008. Residual relief separation: digital elevation model enhancement
752 for geomorphological mapping. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 33, 2266-2276 doi:
753 10.1002/esp.1659

754

755 Hindmarsh, R. C. A., 1998. Drumlinization and drumlin-forming instabilities: viscous till
756 mechanisms. *J. Glaciology* 44, 293-314.

757

758 Hollingsworth, S. E., 1931. The glaciation of western Edenside and adjoining areas and the
759 drumlins of Edenside and the Solway Basin *Quart. Journal Geol. Soc. London* 87, 281-359.

760

761 Intermap (2004) Intermap product handbook and quickstart guide (v3.3).

762

763 Jamieson, S. S. R., Hulton, N. R. J., Hagdorn, M., 2008. Modelling landscape evolution under
764 ice sheets. *Geomorphology* 97 91-108

765

766 Jordan, T. A., Watts, A. B., 2005. Gravity anomalies, flexure and the elastic thickness structure
767 of the India-Eurasia collisional system. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 236, 732-750.

768

769 Kim, S. and Wessel. P., 2008. Directional median filtering for the regional-residual separation of
770 bathymetry. G3 9, Q03005 10.1029/2007GC001850

771

772 Lane, N. F., Watts, A. B., and Farrant, A. R, 2008. An analysis of Cotswold topography: insights
773 into the landscape response to denudational isostasy. J. Geol. Soc. 165, 85-103.

774

775 Lombardini, F., 2005. Analysis of non-Gaussian speckle statistics in high-resolution SAR images
776 IGARSS 2005: IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, Vols 1-8,
777 Proceedings 1337-1340

778

779 Malinverno, A., 1989. Testing linear models of seafloor topography Pure and Applied
780 Geophysics 131, 139-155.

781

782 Mandelbrot, B., 1983. The Fractal Geometry Of Nature W. H. Freeman and Company, New
783 York.

784

785 Marova, N. A., 2002. Seamounts of the world ocean. Oceanology, 42(3), 409 – 413.

786

787 Nolet, G., Allen, R., Zhao, Dapeng, 2007. Mantle plume tomography Chemical Geology 241,
788 248-263

789

790 Perron, J. T., Kirchner, J.W., Dietrich, W.E., 2008. Spectral signatures of characteristic spatial
791 scales and nonfractal structure of landscapes J. Geophys. Res. 113, F04003.

792

793 Reed, B., Galvin, C. J., Millier, J. P., 1962. Some aspects of drumlin geometry Am. J. Sci 260,
794 200-210.

795

796 Rose, J., 1987. Drumlins as part of a glacier bedform continuum. 103-116 in Menzies, J., Rose.
797 J. (Eds.) Drumlin Symposium. Balkema, Rotterdam.

798

799 Rose, J., 1989. Glacial stress patterns and sediment transfer associated with the formation of
800 superimposed flutes. *Sedimentary Geology* 62, 151-176.

801

802 Rose, J., Smith, M.J., 2008. Glacial geomorphological maps of the Glasgow region, western
803 central Scotland. *Journal of Maps* v2008 doi:10.4113/jom.2008.1040

804

805 Saygin, E., Kennett, B. L. N., 2010. Ambient seismic noise tomography of Australian continent.
806 *Tectonophysics* 481, 116-125.

807

808 Schoof, 2007. Cavitation in deformable glacier beds. *J. Appl. Math.* 67, 163-1653.

809

810 Shaw, J., 1983. Drumlins formation related to inverted meltwater erosional marks. *J. Glaciol.* 29,
811 461-479.

812

813 Sissons, J. B., 1967. *The Evolution of Scotland's Scenery.* Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh. pp259
814 ISBN 0 416 84000 0.

815

816 Sithole, G., Vosselman, G., 2004. Experimental comparison of filter algorithms for bare-Earth
817 extraction from airborne laser scanning point clouds ISPRS. *Journal of Photogrammetry and*
818 *Remote Sensing* 59, 85-101

819

820 Smith, M. J., Clark, C., 2005. Methods for the visualisation of digital elevation models for
821 landform mapping. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 30, 885-900

822

823 Smith, M. J., Wise, S. M., 2007. Mapping glacial lineaments from satellite imagery: an
824 assessment of the problems and development of best procedure. *Int. J. Applied Earth*
825 *Observation and Geoinformation* 9, 65-78.

826

827 Smith, M. J., Rose, J., Booth, S., 2006. Geomorphological mapping of glacial landforms from
828 remotely sensed data: an evaluation of the principal data sources and an assessment of their
829 quality. *Geomorphology* 76, 148-165.

830

831 Smith, M. J., Rose, J., Gousie, M. B., 2009. The Cookie Cutter: A method for obtaining a
832 quantitative 3D description of glacial bedforms. *Geomorphology* 108, 209-218.

833

834 Smith, W., Wessel, P., 1990. Gridding With Continuous Curvature Splines in Tension.
835 *Geophysics* 55, 293-305.

836

837 Spagnolo, M., Clark, C. D., Hughes, A. L. C., Dunlop, P., Stokes, C., 2010. The planar shape of
838 drumlins. *Sedimentary Geology* 232, 119-131.

839

840 Sun, X., Rosin, P. L., Martin, R. M., Langbein, F. C., 2009. Noise analysis and synthesis for 3D
841 laser depth scanners. *Graphical Models* 71, 34-48.

842

843 Tate N. J., 1998. Estimating the fractal dimension of synthetic topographic surfaces. *Computers*
844 *and Geosciences* 24, 325-334.

845

846 Tate, N. J., 1998. Maximum entropy spectral analysis for the estimation of fractals in
847 topography. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 23, 1197-1217.

848

849 Tomkin, J. H., 2009. Numerically simulating alpine landscapes: The geomorphological
850 consequences of incorporating glacial erosion in surface process models. *Geomorphology* 103,
851 180-188.

852

853 Tucker, G., Hancock, G. R., 2010. Modelling landscape evolution. *Earth Surface Processes and*
854 *Landforms* 35, 28-50.

855

856 Von Neumann, J, 1951. Various techniques used in connection with random digits. *Monte Carlo*
857 *Methods. Nat. Bureau Standards* 12, 36-38.

858

859 Weissel, J. K., Pratson, L. F., Malinverno, A., 1994. The length-scaling properties of topography.
860 *J. Geophys. Res.* 99, 13997-14012.

861

862 Wessel, P., 1997. Sizes and Ages of Seamounts Using Remote Sensing: Implications for
863 Intraplate Volcanism. *Science* 277, 802-805.

864

865 Wessel, P., 1998. An Empirical Method for Optimal Robust Regional-Residual Separation of
866 Geophysical Data. *Mathematical Geology* 30, 391-408.

867

868 Wessel, P., and Smith W. H. F., 1998. New, improved version of Generic Mapping Tools
869 released, *EOS Trans. Amer. Geophys. U.* 79(47), 579.

870

871 Wren, E. A., 1973. Trend Surface Analysis – A review. *Canadian Journal of Exploration*
872 *Geophysics* 9(1), 39-45.

873

874 Zhou, Q. M. and Lis, X. J., 2004. Analysis of errors of derived slope an aspect related to DEM
875 data properties. *Computers and Geosciences* 30, 369-378.

Figures

Figure 1: Idealised profiles to illustrate the process used to create synthetic DEMs. There are three ‘components’ (Hillier and Smith, 2008): Drumlins (dark grey shade) sit upon a regional trend (dotted line). Both are overlain by ‘clutter’ or ‘noise’ (light grey shade). a) Upper and lower surfaces of drumlin are estimated to define it, and it is removed (height subtracted). b) Two Gaussian shaped drumlins are inserted (height added). Noise as Fig. 4a.

Figure 2: Location maps. a) Study area is located at (4°28’ W, 56°02’ N), white circle: England (E), Scotland (S), Ireland (I). Coastlines of seas and major inland water bodies are shown. b) DEM of a sub-region of the study area, with height displayed as greyscale, located in c): Woodland (W), Tree (T). c) Study area, with main geomorphic features of interest highlighted; drumlins (black outlines), rivers (grey), water (grey shade). A division between Younger Dryas (YD) and Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), white and stippled areas respectively, is also shown (Smith et al., 2006). Arrows indicate approximate ice flow trends in the LGM (Sissons, 1967) and YD (Rose, 1987). Map coordinates for b) and c) are of the British National Grid. 5 m grid.

Figure 3: Example of an inspection used to assess the ability of widths of median filter to separate noise, drumlins, and hills. Also, a drumlin illustrating the estimation of parameters (x, y, L, W, θ) , and selection of primary axes, from its digitized outline. a) Centre of drumlin (bold line) is at x, y (white circle), determined by Method 1. Digitized outlines of other drumlins (thin lines) overlay the measured DEM. b) Computed axes (lines) overlies ‘decluttered’ topography to which a 60 m wide median filter has been applied. Arrows indicate directions of increasing distance along profiles in Figure 4. c) ‘Clutter’ removed i.e. difference between a) and b). d) H_{hills} estimated using a 500 m median filter. Map coordinates are of the British National Grid.

903 **Figure 4:** Height profiles across drumlin in Fig. 3. Profiles start *from* the directions θ_L and θ_S
904 such that, assuming a conventional stoss-lee form, traditional interpretations of ice flow are as
905 indicted. Lines are: measured height (thin lines), estimates of the decluttered surface using 60 m
906 wide median filter (thick black lines), linear interpolation between heights at the digitized edges
907 of the drumlin (dotted lines), 500 m wide median filter (grey lines). Grey boxes delimit the
908 drumlins. a) and b) are heights along the long and short axes, and c) and d) are normalized
909 profiles along the same axes.

910
911 **Figure 5:** Stacked (i.e. average) de-trended and normalized height profiles (black lines). Dashed
912 lines are $\pm 1\sigma$ of height and dotted lines are ± 2 standard errors of the individual profiles when
913 binned in 0.01 intervals of distance. Thick grey lines are Gaussian curves centred upon $x = 0.5$.
914 Grey box is the bounding box for all drumlins. a) and b) are respectively for long and short axes
915 found using Method 1, whilst c) and d) are for Method 2. All profiles start *from* the directions θ_L
916 and θ_S . a) and b) $n = 178$, c) and d) $n = 173$.

917
918 **Figure 6:** Method of estimating parameters (L, W, θ_L, θ_S) after C_{xy} has been determined. a)
919 Idealised drumlin consisting of interpolation (black line) between digitized points (black dots),
920 with the centre C_{xy} (open circle). At each point on the outline, θ and l are calculated. b) θ and l
921 for all points on drumlin #15 in Figure 2. θ_L (open circle) is θ for the largest l i.e. L , and $\theta_S = \theta_L +$
922 90° (cross): Compare to θ for the smallest L (filled black circle). ‘Ice flow’ arrow indicates a
923 traditional interpretation of a conventional stoss-lee form.

924
925 **Figure 7:** Illustrative synthetic drumlin. a) Profile across the centre of drumlin. Drumlin interior
926 (grey shade) and topography (solid line), are the top 90% of a Gaussian curve (dashed line). b)
927 Plan view, grey shaded relief of drumlin, where black is zero. White line locates profile in a),
928 and dark lines trending N-S and E-W are given for reference.

929

930 **Figure 8:** Cumulative distributions (cdfs) of parameters (θ_L , V , H , L , W). a) Orientation: Solid
931 black line is input values for the synthetics measured from non-Gaussian outlines in the real
932 DEM using Method 1 ($n = 178$ of $H > 0$). This is overlain, indistinguishably, by the curve
933 combining the 10 synthetic DEMs generated from this input. Grey lines are for the individual
934 synthetic DEMs, and vertical bars are $\bar{y} \pm 2\sigma$ of the mean. Dashed line is Method 2 ($n = 173$ of
935 $H > 0$). b) and c) Volume and height: Solid black and black dashed lines as a), with black dotted
936 lines for the data of Smith et al. (2009). Dashed and dotted grey lines are values recovered by
937 the cookie cutter from synthetic DEMs created using Method 1 and 2 respectively, although they
938 mainly underlie the curves of the inputs in b). In b) solid grey line is an estimate of H , W and L
939 using Method 2, which is converted to V using an idealised Gaussian geometry. d) and e) are
940 distributions for length and width, with lines as in a). For consistency with the data of Smith et
941 al. (2009) only $V > 0$ are used throughout this figure. Smith et al. (2009) do not determine θ_L , L ,
942 W for comparison.

943

944 **Figure 9:** Spatial distribution of drumlins. a) Observed distribution. b) Spatial density (i.e. % of
945 area) covered by drumlin, smoothed with a three kilometre wide boxcar filter, and displayed as a
946 proportion of the highest density within the area. c) Illustrative spatial distribution from one of
947 20 synthetic DEMs in study. d) Four synthetic DEMs neighbouring c) in generation process to
948 illustrate variability. Map coordinates are of the British National Grid.

949

950 **Figure 10:** Visualisation of method used to assess claims about differences in mean volume
951 between sub-populations. Probability density curves generated by stochastic analysis, $n =$
952 100,000, for the observations of Smith et al. (2009) (dotted line), Method 1 (solid line), and
953 Method 2 (dashed line). Vertical bars are 95% critical value and the difference observed by

Smith et al. (2009). For simplicity, curves are scaled so that 95% critical values align with that generated by the data of Smith et al. (2009).

Figure 11: Effectiveness of the extraction of drumlin H and V . a) Histogram of individual height recoveries, expressed as ratio of recovered height over input height, by Method 1. Arrow is correct recovery. Circle is mean ratio, bar indicated $\pm 1\sigma$. Grey bars represent all drumlins, and black bars represent only large ($L > 500$ m) drumlins. b) as a) for V . c) and d) are as for a) and b) for Method 2.

Figure 12: Reliability of recovered population parameters $\bar{H}$ and $\bar{V}$ for $n = 173$. a) $\bar{H}$ for Method 1. Input mean height (light grey bar) is significantly less than recoveries from the 10 synthetic DEMs (grey dots), whose mean and range ($\pm 2\sigma$) is displayed by the black dot and bar. b) as a), except about $\bar{V}$ and shows recoveries consistent with input values. c) and d) are as for a) and b), but for Method 2.

Figure 13: Comparison of recovered V distributions. a) Distributions recovered as Smith et al. (2009) (dotted line), and using idealised Gaussian drumlins from synthetic DEMs generated from Methods 1 and 2 (solid and dashed lines respectively). Means of the curves, $\bar{V}_r$ (solid vertical line), are aligned to that of the extraction as Smith et al. (2009) by linear multipliers applied: ‘scale factor’. c) Corresponding input distributions. Grey lines are for extractions of DEMs created by Method 1 with errors of 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0 and 5.0 times those actually recovered, ‘error multiplier’: higher factors are lighter. b) and d) mean absolute misfit (i.e. form $\sum |x_1 - x_2|$) between curves for varied ‘Scale Factor’ and ‘Error Multiplier’ and the recoveries as Smith et al. (2009). Best fit is white circle. Contour 25% higher than the best fit defines error ellipse (black line). Search increments 0.02.

980 **Figure 14:** Schematic illustration of this action of a bi-cubic spline. Topography (solid lines) is
981 from Fig. 1, including observed noise from Fig. 4a. Arrows indicate gradients at the outline, and
982 dashed line is a minimum curvature (bi-cubic) interpolation ($T = 0$) to estimate the basal surface
983 (dotted line). Tensioning the spline reduces the amplitude of deviations.

984

Tables

Table 1: Percentage of ‘good’ estimates, with recovered values of H and V within $\pm 25\%$ of actual values. Values in brackets use a variant of the cookie cutter recovery method using an un-tensioned spline.

Method	% of V_r/V_{in}	% of H_r/H_{in}
1	39.2 [26.5]	20.5 [18.6]
2	47.6 [34.3]	25.8 [23.0]

Table 2: Input and recovered population parameters. The mean and standard deviation (σ) of the estimates of $\bar{V}_r$ and $\bar{H}_r$ from 10 synthetic DEMs is reported in each case. Individual data plotted on Fig. 12, grey dots. Note, σ is not a standard error. Values in brackets use a variant of the cookie cutter recovery method using an un-tensioned spline.

Method	$\bar{V}_{in}$ (m ³)	$\bar{V}_r$ (m ³)	$\bar{H}_{in}$ (m)	$\bar{H}_r$ (m)
1	159,076	156,559 $\pm$ 8,212 (1σ) [149,255 $\pm$ 15,592]	6.82	12.54 $\pm$ 0.28 (1σ) [13.15 $\pm$ 0.26]
2	117,376	109,623 $\pm$ 4,019 (1σ) [107,717 $\pm$ 6,419]	6.43	11.76 $\pm$ 0.23 (1σ) [12.23 $\pm$ 0.24]

Table 3: Spatial effects upon extraction methodology, E_V , evaluated by comparing the difference between input and recovered values in the LGM and YD populations. Subscripts denote volume and height. Note that the standard deviation of E , σ , is the standard error of the differences between LGM and YD for individual DEMs. Square brackets are for the un-tensioned spline variant.

Method	E_V (m ³)	E_H (m)
1	$1,828 \pm 5,146$ (1σ)	1.34 ± 0.22 (1σ)
	$[6,272 \pm 5,756]$	$[1.28 \pm 0.23]$
2	$-5,094 \pm 2,862$ (1σ)	1.15 ± 0.32 (1σ)
	$[1,033 \pm 4,566]$	$[1.41 \pm 0.39]$

1002

1003

Figure 1

FIG 1

Figure 2

FIG 2

Figure 3

FIG 3

Figure 4

FIG 4

Figure 5

FIG 5

Figure 6

FIG 6

Figure 7
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

FIG 7

Figure 8

FIG 8

Figure 9

FIG 9

Figure 10

FIG 10

Figure 11

FIG 11

Figure 12

FIG 12

Figure 13

FIG 13

Figure 14

FIG 14