This item was submitted to [Loughborough's Research Repository](#) by the author.
Items in Figshare are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved, unless otherwise indicated.

Powered two-wheeler crash scenario development

PLEASE CITE THE PUBLISHED VERSION

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2019.02.001>

PUBLISHER

© Elsevier BV

VERSION

AM (Accepted Manuscript)

PUBLISHER STATEMENT

This paper was accepted for publication in the journal Accident Analysis & Prevention and the definitive published version is available at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2019.02.001>

LICENCE

CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

REPOSITORY RECORD

Atalar, Deniz, and Pete Thomas. 2019. "Powered Two-wheeler Crash Scenario Development". figshare. <https://hdl.handle.net/2134/36983>.

Powered two-wheeler crash scenario development

Deniz Atalar^{a, b, *}, Pete Thomas^a

^a Loughborough Design School, Loughborough University, LE11 3TU, UK

^b Department of Psychology, Eastern Mediterranean University, Famagusta, North
Cyprus, Mersin 10, Turkey

Abstract

Powered two wheeler (PTW) riders are a group of vulnerable road users that are overrepresented compared to other road user groups with regards to crash injury outcomes. The understanding of the dynamics that occur before a crash benefits in providing suitable countermeasures for said crashes. A clearer interpretation of which factors interact to cause collisions allows an understanding of the mechanisms that produce higher risk in specific situations in the roadway.

Real world in-depth crash data provides detailed data which includes human, vehicular and environmental factors collected on site for crash analysis purposes. This study used macroscopic on-scene crash data collected in the UK between the years 2000 – 2010 as part of the “Road Accident In-depth Study” to analyse the factors that were prevalent in 428 powered two-wheeler crashes.

A descriptive analysis and latent class cluster analysis was performed to identify the interaction between different crash factors and develop PTW scenarios based on this analysis. The PTW rider was identified as the prime contributor in 36% of the

* Corresponding author

E-mail addresses: deniz.atalar@emu.edu.tr (D. Atalar), p.d.thomas@lboro.ac.uk (P. Thomas)

multiple vehicle crashes. Results identified seven specific scenarios, the main types of which identified two particular 'looked but failed to see' crashes and two types of single vehicle PTW crashes. In cases where the PTW lost control diagnosis failures were more common, for road users other than the PTW rider detection issues were of particular relevance.

Keywords

Powered two-wheelers, Human behavior, Human functional failure, Crash causation

1. Introduction

Riders of powered two-wheelers (PTW) form an increasingly important part of the traffic casualty population. Despite accounting for only 1% of traffic in the UK in 2014 PTW riders represented 19% of fatalities, 23% of seriously injured casualties and 10% of slightly injured road users from traffic crashes (DfT 2015). The risks of fatal and serious injury for PTW riders are over 55 times higher than for car occupants. In 2009 there were 140 deaths and 1,709 people killed and seriously injured (KSI) per billion vehicle miles for motorcycle riders. The corresponding figures for car drivers were 3 killed and 30 KSI per billion vehicle miles (DfT 2010). The reduction in PTW casualties is therefore an important objective of road safety policy due both to the frequency and also the severity of casualties. The prevention and mitigation of PTW casualties is therefore a priority for road safety policymakers.

Effective casualty reduction strategies are typically based on an understanding of the magnitude and nature of specific groups of collisions for each road user group. Technology-based countermeasures in particular rely on a detailed description of individual risk factors and how they interact. Previous research has identified a

44 number of common risk factors amongst PTW riders. Males comprise 85% of the
45 crash population (Bjørnskau et al. 2012). PTW crash involvement decreases with
46 increasing rider age (Yannis et al. 2005). Alcohol and excessive speed are common
47 factors and left turns while failure to yield were common factors in multi-vehicle
48 collisions (Preusser et al. 1995).

49 Attitudes to riding and previous behaviour are also risk factors. In a sample of 1381
50 UK PTW riders it was found that past behaviour, control beliefs, attitudes, moral
51 norm, normative beliefs, age and self-identity explained 60% of the variance in
52 motorcyclists' intention to exceed the speed limit on motorways (Chorlton et al.
53 2012). Distinctions between clusters of PTW crashes have been made, motorcyclists
54 were less likely to be at-fault during crashes that occurred at night time or at
55 locations where surveillance cameras were present (Haque et al. 2009). Younger
56 motorcyclists are more likely to be at-fault in the event of a collision, as are riders
57 who are under the influence of alcohol (Seiniger et al. 2012).

58 Many studies have found that intersection collisions with the PTW having priority are
59 common (Clarke et al. 2007)(Hurt, H. H., Ouellet, J. V., & Thom 1981)(MAIDS
60 2009)(Peek-Asa & Kraus 1996)(Williams & Hoffmann 1979)(Wulf et al. 1989).
61 Furthermore, these crashes appear to be characterised by an often high level of
62 injury severity (Pai & Saleh 2008)(Pai 2009)(Peek-Asa & Kraus 1996)(Williams &
63 Hoffmann 1979). Motorcycle visibility is the prime cause in 65% of motorcycle to car
64 crashes, with particular importance being placed on the front of the motorcycle
65 (Williams & Hoffmann 1979), this category of crash has been termed 'looked but
66 failed to see' crashes (Brown 2002). In France these collisions were related to high
67 PTW speeds in urban areas but there was no difference in equivalent rural collisions
68 (Clabaux et al. 2012).

69 Certain collision types are identified with higher risk of fatality and serious injury.
70 Crashes occurring on bends are some of the most dangerous types of crashes with
71 double the risk of rider or passenger fatality and a dominant factor in these crash
72 types was found to be rider inexperience (Clarke et al. 2007).

73 Studies that examine the effect of combinations of risk factors on crash involvement
74 are less common. Using an Age-Period-Cohort (APC) modelling approach it was
75 identified that 15-19 year old PTW riders had substantially elevated risk as did the
76 10-year cohorts born 1949–1958, 1954–1963, 1959–1968 and 1964–1973 (Langley
77 et al. 2013). Classification and Regression Tree methods were used to find area
78 type, land use, and injured part of the body (head, neck, etc.) are the most influential
79 factors affecting the fatality of motorcycle passengers (Kashani et al. 2016).

80 Motorcyclists who tend to have dangerous attitudes and behaviours as well as
81 younger motorcyclists are more likely to have been involved in a crash (Theofilatos &
82 Yannis 2014). A mixed logit analysis was used to evaluate the factors that affected
83 two-vehicle collisions involving PTWs and found roadway surface condition, clear
84 vision, speed limit, light conditions and helmet use to be among the key factors
85 influencing severe injury crashes (Shaheed et al. 2013). Chang et al. (2016) also
86 using a mixed logit analysis identified that PTW riders being older than 60, roadway
87 slope conditions, contributing equally to a crash occurrence and colliding with heavy
88 goods vehicles in darkness was associated with an increase in injury levels. Injury
89 levels for this study decreased when a crash occurred during the daytime or at night
90 in the presence of light, this was in contrast to Shaheed et al. (2013) where fatal
91 crashes were more likely to occur during the daytime and Kumar and Toshnival
92 (2017) where fatal and serious injury crashes occurred most commonly at night in
93 the presence of no light.

94 The scarcity of in-depth collision data precludes much understanding of the rider
95 behaviours as riding deviates from a normal range. A methodology based on
96 Bayesian Networks derived from naturalistic riding data has been proposed
97 (Vlahogianni et al. 2013). A preponderance of older drivers with relatively high levels
98 of driving experience was observed amongst road users who had problems detecting
99 approaching motorcycles. The most common error of PTW riders identified within
100 German in-depth collision data was in information evaluation although planning
101 errors were most frequent amongst serious injury crashes (Otte et al. 2012).

102 Much of the previous research into the causation of PTW collisions is based on
103 analyses of macroscopic data in the form of macroscopic statistics. While this type of
104 data may frequently provide information about crash conditions they contain limited
105 information about the behaviours of the road users that initiate the collision nor the
106 manner in which road user, infrastructure and other factors may interact. The
107 understanding of the role of human errors and the circumstances contributing to
108 those errors will enhance the repertoire of road safety practitioners who wish to
109 change road user behaviour. Road safety strategies can be improved when target
110 groups are disaggregated into distinct sub-groups that have meaningful differences
111 in causation. The previous research that examines individual risk factors in isolation
112 does not readily support new countermeasures that address a designated target
113 population yet it is considered likely that more focussed interventions could have
114 improved effectiveness.

115 The objective of the present research is therefore to establish a new segmentation of
116 the PTW crash population on the basis of rider, vehicle and infrastructure factors in
117 combination using a recently developed model of human functional failure.

2. Methodology

2.1 Crash injury data

In-depth collision data gathered in the UK under the “Road Accident In-depth Study” (RAIDS) was used for the analysis. The data was recorded using on-the-spot methods where specialist teams attended the scene of the collision to inspect vehicles, the road environment and to interview crash participants and witnesses. The sampling regions were selected to ensure the data was representative of the UK (Hill & Cuerden 2005). At the time of analysis the study had investigated a total of 4,004 crashes involving 12,749 vehicles and 527 pedestrians. Within this dataset there were 428 crashes involving a PTW which were selected for this analysis. Typically over 3,000 variables were recorded for each of these collisions.

2.2 Classification of road user behaviours

A Human Functional Failure model (Van Elslande & Fouquet 2007) was used to classify the behaviours of the active road users prior to the collision. The model treats driving as a series of functions that are continuously repeated during travel (Figure 1).

Figure 1: Continuous driving functions (Van Elslande & Fouquet 2007)

136 The road user first perceives (stage 1) the information from the environment, then
137 diagnoses (stage 2) the situation, prognosticates (stage 3) how events will unfold,
138 makes a decision (stage 4) and then performs an action (stage 5). These five stages
139 continually operate in a cyclic manner. Violations both deliberate and as a result of
140 the situation are made in the decision stage (4). The effective understanding of the
141 dynamic traffic environment can be seen in two stages, in the diagnosis (stage 2)
142 and the prognosis (stage 3) stages where a lapse in awareness can result in error or
143 behaviours that will lead to the triggering of a crash. Overall failures can be referred
144 to as any failures that result in a loss, impairment or exceeding of a road user's
145 capabilities.

146 Road users can make errors while conducting each stage of driving behaviour. Van
147 Elslande and Fouquet (2007) has further classified a series of failure types from in-
148 depth French crash data that demonstrates the most common types of human
149 failures that lead to crashes, illustrated in Figure 2. This methodology allows for
150 similar types of collisions to be grouped together for analysis purposes and scenario
151 development.

Figure 2: Perceptual stages and failure types for road user (Adapted from Van Elslande & Fouquet 2007)

2.3 Analysis methodology

The data analysis comprised two stages, (1) a descriptive analysis to present the underlying variable distributions and (2) a scenario building approach using latent cluster analysis to group crashes into similar types. Cluster analysis is most commonly used to maximise the similarities between in-cluster elements and the differences between inter-cluster elements (Fraley & Raftery 2002). When data has a number of categorical variables it is often of interest to identify cases that contain similar aspects and identify whether these similarities hold over different variables (Linzer 2008). The crash injury data is of this nature with many categorical variables so a latent class cluster analysis was selected for this analysis, as it does not assume any underlying probability distribution of the variables and so is particularly suitable for use with a large number of categorical variables. The poLCA package with the r language

combined with SPSS 22® was used for the cluster analysis. A further test of independence was carried out to identify overrepresented values within the factors for individual cluster comparison purposes.

2.4 Cluster method

The latent class clustering was run thirty times for each individual cluster with the repetition with the lowest goodness of fit value being kept. The goodness of fit of the clusters were analysed using the Akaike information criterion (AIC), Bayesian information criterion (BIC), “adjusted” Bayesian information criterion (aBIC) and “consistent” Akaike information criterion (CAIC). The different fits based on these measures for one to ten clusters was calculated and compared. This method was used for estimation purposes and to limit the error in the cluster analysis by keeping the cluster with the highest likelihood measures. The measures indicated a seven cluster model for the AIC, a two cluster model for the BIC, a ten cluster model for the aBIC and a four cluster model for the CAIC. These measures and models were compared and taken into consideration when selecting the appropriate measure for model identification.

The AIC provides a better goodness of fit measure for the cluster analysis when using real life data with a smaller number of cases compared to the other measures, which tend to underfit the number of selected clusters (Dziak et al. 2012), and so was selected for this research. Seven clusters of crash cases were identified using the AIC as a measure of goodness of fit. Figure 3 demonstrates the different goodness of fit values for the clusters using the AIC, BIC, aBIC and CAIC measures.

Figure 3: AIC, BIC, aBIC and CAIC values for the PTW cluster analysis

3. Results

3.1 Descriptive analysis

Table 1 shows the functional failures made by PTW riders and the other active road users in the same crash for the 449 single and multi-vehicle collisions. There were 216 (48%) PTW riders who made prognosis errors and 156 of these were in combination with a detection error made by the other road user. Similarly 71 (16%) of the riders made detection errors with 41 (9%) in combination with prognosis errors of the other road user. Amongst the 110 (24%) single vehicle crashes there were 34 (8%) riders who made diagnosis errors however all other types of error except prognosis were also common.

203

Table 1: Functional failures – PTW riders and other road users

	Failure	Other road user					Single PTW crash	Total
		Detection	Diagnosis	Prognosis	Decision	Overall		
Rider	Detection	7	1	41	4	1	17	71
	Diagnosis	3	1	24	1	0	34	63
	Prognosis	156	13	5	28	5	9	216
	Decision	11	1	18	6	0	16	52
	Action	1	1	3	1	0	17	23
	Overall	0	0	7	0	0	17	24
	Total	178	17	98	40	6	110	449

204

205 Table 2 shows the distribution of each type of human functional failure mode and the
 206 association with a range of collision factors describing the pre-crash conditions and
 207 PTW rider factors in multi-vehicle collisions. Some factors, labelled ** allow multiple
 208 coding and so may add to more than 100%. Points of note are indicated below.

209 Speed, denoted by contributory factors “Speed” and “In a hurry” was the most
 210 common factor associated with all types of human failure. 51 (15%) of the PTW
 211 riders were classified as speeding and 72 (21%) were recorded as in a hurry. Only 3
 212 (0.9%) collisions were recorded where alcohol was a factor for the rider and 10
 213 (2.9%) were distracted.

214 The most common age group of rider was 26-45 years (33%) followed by 19-25
 215 (17%) and 0-18 (15%). However riders aged up to 18 years were significantly over-
 216 represented amongst the group making detection errors as were riders aged 19-25
 217 in the group making diagnosis errors.

218 54% of the riders did not actively contribute to the crash causation sequence, the
 219 other road user being responsible in these cases. However the rider was the primary

220 contributor in 86% of the cases with detection errors and 90% of diagnosis errors,
 221 while when they made prognosis errors 84% were non-contributory.

222 Table 2: Collision factors and PTW rider functional failure

Factor	Detection N=55	Diagnosis N=29	Prognosis N=207	Decision N=36	Action N=5	Overall N=7	N 339
Contributory factor**							
Speed	14.5	27.6	5.3	55.6	20.0	42.9	15.0
Alcohol	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	0.9
Distraction	12.7	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	2.9
In a hurry	34.5	55.2	5.3	55.6	0.0	85.7	21.2
Inexperience	29.1	10.3	2.9	5.6	40.0	100.0	10.3
Age range							
0-18	29.1	13.8	9.7	19.4	20.0	28.6	14.7
19-25	9.1	37.9	15.5	22.2	20.0	28.6	17.4
26-45	29.1	34.5	32.9	33.3	40.0	42.9	32.7
46-65	3.6	6.9	10.1	2.8	0.0	14.3	10.9
66+	1.8	0.0	3.4	2.8	0.0	0.0	2.7
Missing	5.5	6.9	28.5	22.2	20.0	0.0	21.5
Engine size							
≤ 50cc	23.6	10.3	12.1	22.2	40.0	14.3	15.3
51> cc ≤ 250	16.4	17.2	15.9	44.4	0.0	42.9	16.5
> 250	50.9	72.4	48.8	52.8	60.0	42.9	51.6
Missing	5.5	0.0	23.2	16.7	0.0	14.3	17.1
Day/Night							
Day	83.6	79.3	67.1	88.9	60.0	71.4	73.5
Night	10.9	17.2	22.2	8.3	40.0	28.6	18.9
Missing	1.8	3.4	10.6	5.6	0.0	0.0	7.7
Other road user emergency manoeuvre							
Yes	9.4	20.7	16.5	22.9	50.0	28.6	17.3
No	90.6	79.3	83.5	77.1	50.0	71.4	82.7
Injury severity							
Fatal	9.1	10.3	2.9	13.9	0.0	28.6	5.3
Serious	23.6	34.5	23.2	36.1	40.0	28.6	26.0
Slight	25.5	48.3	56.5	38.9	40.0	57.1	53.7
Non-injury	5.5	3.4	7.7	8.3	20.0	0.0	7.7
Level of involvement							
Primary	85.5	89.7	6.3	61.1	40.0	100.0	36.3
Secondary	3.6	0.0	10.1	25.0	20.0	0.0	9.7
Not-contributory	7.3	10.3	83.6	2.8	40.0	0.0	54.0

Table 3 shows the distribution of functional failure groups for each group of pre-crash manoeuvre. Since each crash could involve several failure types and total cases may exceed 100% in each group no measures of association are presented. The table indicates that detection failures are most frequently associated with rear end collisions (51.9%) in which either road user initiated the conflict situation whereas the most common group of diagnosis failures were overtaking collisions (44.4%). Prognosis failures most often occurred in turning manoeuvres (60.7%) while decision failures most commonly occurred in either overtaking (25.7%) or turning manoeuvres (22.9%).

Table 3: PTW rider failure and crash type

Crash Type	Detection N=52	Diagnosis N=27	Prognosis N=163	Decision N=35	Other N=24
Overtaking	21.1%	44.4%	22.0%	25.7%	8.3%
Loss of Control	9.6%	18.5%	4.9%	8.6%	29.1%
Rear End	51.9%	3.7%	12.3%	14.3%	4.1%
Turning	15.4%	7.4%	60.7%	22.9%	4.1%
Other	1.9%	29.6%	14.7%	2.6%	54.1%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

3.2 Cluster analysis

The results of the cluster analysis are presented in Table 4 which includes both single and multi-vehicle PTW collisions. The distributions of the parameters are shown under “Total” and a value in bold indicates a statistically significant overrepresentation from the complete PTW sample. In order for a value to be overrepresented the value needed to have a statistically significant higher value than the overall sample. The methodology used aimed to establish interactions of factors rather than identify singular high risk factors such as in Table 2. The contributory

factors in this analysis were based on different road user, vehicular or environmental groupings. This difference was reflected in the types of contributory factors used in the analysis.

Table 4: PTW causation factors – cluster specifications

Cluster	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Total
Number of cases	122	77	75	45	42	36	31	428
Rider gender (%)								
Male	83	91	93	91	91	96	97	90
Female	17	9	7	9	10	5	3	10
Rider failure mechanism (%)								
Detection	3	3	1	75	54	4	13	16
Diagnosis	1	51	3	25	14	0	7	14
Prognosis	97	0	96	0	0	33	13	48
Decision	0	25	0	0	15	63	7	12
Action	0	0	0	0	5	0	57	5
Overall	0	21	0	0	13	0	4	5
Area type (%)								
Urban	88	42	26	62	90	89	35	63
Rural	12	58	74	38	10	12	65	38
Light conditions (%)								
Day	74	84	79	85	72	90	77	79
Night	26	17	21	15	28	10	23	21
Rider contributory factor (%)								
Physical/physiological	8	34	16	31	36	31	8	21
Risk taking	2	58	4	26	23	50	4	21
Inexperience	1	3	0	2	17	3	3	3
Distraction	1	1	0	13	3	0	7	3
Road condition	1	0	0	0	0	0	35	3
Traffic condition	41	5	34	9	8	3	0	20
Visibility impaired	5	0	0	2	9	6	3	3
Other environmental factors	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	1
Vehicle factor	0	0	0	0	5	0	16	2
No factor	43	0	46	18	0	8	7	23
Road user emergency manoeuvre (%)								
Yes	33	30	47	35	38	35	41	36
No	68	70	54	65	62	65	59	64

Cluster	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Total
Number of cases	122	77	75	45	42	36	31	428
Level of involvement (%)								
Primary contributor	0	100	6	100	93	63	81	50
Secondary contributor	7	0	11	0	5	34	6	8
Not contributing	93	0	83	0	2	3	13	43
Road type (%)								
A road	37	44	68	63	24	68	52	49
B road	23	10	12	17	17	11	7	15
Motorway	0	1	14	4	0	0	32	5
Minor road	40	44	6	16	59	22	10	30
Rider age group (%)								
0-18	19	0	4	3	88	16	10	17
19-25	22	35	21	15	10	21	3	21
26-45	41	46	52	53	3	52	76	45
46-65	14	17	16	29	0	3	11	14
66+	4	2	8	0	0	8	0	4
Speed limit (%)								
0-30 mph	76	41	0	33	84	48	10	45
40-50 mph	24	13	50	33	16	49	29	29
60-70 mph	0	46	50	34	0	3	61	25
PTW engine capacity (cc) (%)								
50	25	5	6	0	67	7	7	17
51-250	23	20	16	16	28	17	13	20
250+	53	76	78	84	5	76	81	64
Opponent road user failure type (%)								
Detection	76	0	80	11	2	42	0	41
Prognosis	0	25	1	80	64	24	13	23
Decision	14	1	11	0	7	28	0	9
Single vehicle	0	73	0	9	24	4	74	22
Other	10	0	8	0	2	3	13	6
Crash type (%)								
Leaving lane	3	84	5	0	25	0	77	25
Rear end	4	0	8	42	22	0	6	10
Changing lane	2	0	31	9	7	15	3	9
Overtaking	3	6	10	29	9	11	0	9
Right turn	54	0	23	16	16	49	0	27
Left turn	6	0	7	0	0	5	0	4
Intersection	13	0	11	0	14	4	0	7
Other	15	11	5	4	7	18	13	11

The seven clusters were characterised on the basis of the variables with significant differences from the complete sample, these are summarised in Table 5. If there was no significant value for a specific variable this was left empty in the cluster, for example the factor light conditions did not include any values that were significantly overrepresented and so was left completely empty to demonstrate this.

Table 5: Summary of overrepresented significant cluster factors

	Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 3	Cluster 4	Cluster 5	Cluster 6	Cluster 7
Rider gender	Female						
Rider failure mechanism	Prognosis	Diagnosis, Decision and Overall	Prognosis	Detection and Diagnosis	Detection and Overall	Decision	Action
Area type	Urban	Rural	Rural		Urban	Urban	Rural
Light conditions							
Rider contributory factor	Adverse traffic conditions and No contributory factor	Physical/psychological and Risk taking	Traffic conditions and No factor	Distraction	Physical/psychological and Visibility impaired	Risk taking	Road conditions and Vehicle factors
Road user emergency manoeuvre			Emergency manoeuvre				
Rider Level of involvement	Non-contributory	Primary contributor	Non-contributory	Primary contributor	Primary contributor	Secondary contributor	Primary contributor
Road type	B road and Minor road	Minor road	A road and Motorway		Minor road	A road	Motorway
Rider age group			26-45, 66+	46-65	0-18		26-45
Speed limit	0-30 mph	60-70 mph	40-50 mph and 60-70 mph		0-30 mph	40-50 mph	60-70 mph
PTW engine capacity	50cc	250cc+	250cc+	250cc+	50cc		
Opponent road user failure	Detection, Decision and Other	Single vehicle	Detection	Prognosis	Prognosis	Decision	Single vehicle
Crash type	Turning and intersection	Leaving lane	Changing lane	Rear end and Overtaking	Rear end	Right turn	Leaving lane

4. Discussion

Effective road safety policies are increasingly data driven. A detailed understanding of the characteristics of crashes and their causation is the start of a sequence of development that leads to the implementation of targeted countermeasures followed by evaluation and subsequent revision of the crash analysis. A safety policy development cycle based on these premises is proposed in Figure 4.

Figure 4: Safety policy development cycle

PTW training and education programmes will normally address rider behaviour in both frequent and high risk riding scenarios. Enforcement strategies in particular take the approach of allocating blame to road users involved in crashes having made high risk behaviours. Alcohol and speeding are examples of high risk behaviours that are commonly understood to be a factor in crash causation yet this analysis has shown that many PTW crashes are not influenced by these factors but occur in the

269 presence of mistakes – human failures – on the part of the riders and other road
270 users.

271 The Safe System Approach for Road Safety Management (Bliss & Breen 2009) has
272 been adopted by many countries and international groups as the basis of casualty
273 reduction policy-making. It is based on the premise that serious crashes are
274 avoidable, humans routinely make mistakes and therefore the traffic system must be
275 resilient and accommodating of error. There is no attribution of blame and the
276 understanding of human functional failure is made with the exclusion of the judicial
277 process. The Safe System Approach also considers that driving errors are an
278 outcome of associated vehicle, infrastructure and traffic factors yet there has been
279 little previous research that describes behaviours within this wider pre-crash traffic
280 context.

281 The present analysis uses UK in-depth collision data that incorporates a specific
282 coding of functional failures made by PTW riders and other road users and that is
283 available with sufficient cases to support multivariate, data mining analysis
284 strategies.

285 The data indicates that PTW riders and the drivers of the opponent vehicles both
286 have similar types of functional failure. Most commonly PTW riders make prognosis
287 errors where the predicted traffic movements or road layout according to their world
288 model do not transpire. On the other hand drivers of the opponent vehicles most
289 frequently make detection errors and these situations are similar to the ‘looked but
290 failed to see’ crashes reported in previous studies (Clarke et al. 2007)(Hurt, H. H.,
291 Ouellet, J. V., & Thom 1981)(MAIDS 2009)(Peek-Asa & Kraus 1996)(Williams &
292 Hoffmann 1979)(Wulf et al. 1989). Most commonly these crashes involve the other

road user not detecting the presence of the PTW while at the same time the rider has a false expectation that the other vehicle will undertake a different motion. A study that used video clips taken at a t junction to analyse the visual search patterns of other road users when faced with PTW riders demonstrated that drivers that had experience in using PTWs had better performance in identifying PTWs compared to experienced and novice drivers that had no experience in using PTWs (Crundall et al. 2012). These results combined with the present studies results indicate that the expectation of the driver at the junction, the reduced conspicuity of the PTW compared to other forms of travel and gaze patterns all play a part in the occurrence of these crashes.

Countermeasures related to road user visual search, PTW conspicuity and the environment at junctions providing a clearer guidance for search pattern needs are possible avenues to be pursued. In the UK PTW riders are advised to wear reflective clothing in the dark, though visibility aids are also beneficial during the daytime. The crash data indicated that a large number of crashes occurred during the day and measures to make the PTW rider more visible during these time periods is necessary. A study by Gershon et al. (2012) indicated that though reflective clothing is beneficial for PTW riders to be recognized earlier, in situations where the reflective clothing colours merged with the environment this could also decrease the possibility of recognition. Crundall et al. (2017) suggested that training other road users to be able to determine a PTW at a distance and identify differences in road user groups is important as the 'looked but failed to see' crash type implies that other road users cannot identify PTWs despite looking at them.

Two clusters also identified detection issues for the PTW rider which led to rear end collisions in urban areas. The first cluster included larger PTWs with older riders and

318 the second cluster included younger riders on mopeds where impaired visibility was
319 a contributing factor. The PTW to other road user detection issues were of a
320 significantly lower number than the other road user to PTW detection crashes. The
321 clusters in which PTW riders made detection errors also indicated that the high
322 manoeuvrability of the PTW combined with rider risk taking behaviours leads to rear
323 end collisions. Rider training is seen as a way to reduce risk taking behaviours,
324 though previous studies provide conflicting results with regards to how effective
325 training measures are for PTW riders (Savolainen & Mannering 2007)(Wali et al.
326 2018).

327 The drivers of the other vehicles also made prognosis errors and 42% of these were
328 in association with PTW rider detection errors but rider diagnosis (24%) and decision
329 (18%) errors were also commonplace. Certain types of failure were rare including
330 action errors, where the rider was unable to make the intended manoeuvre, or
331 overall failures caused by drowsiness, sleep or alcohol. This compares well with the
332 avoidance of these errors being a primary target of driver/rider training and traffic
333 enforcement. In other words the high risk driving behaviours associated with alcohol
334 or fatigue were not commonly observed within this group, also the ability of riders to
335 undertake their planned manoeuvre was high.

336 In contrast, although the subject of enforcement activities and a focus in basic rider
337 training there were still 15% of riders who were speeding and 21% in a hurry,
338 although the groups are not mutually exclusive. Risk taking behaviours for single
339 PTW crashes were also indicated in two of the seven clusters. These clusters
340 included a significant number of PTWs that had engine capacities above 250cc on
341 minor roads in rural areas that ran off the road. Enforcement measures need to take

342 into account the higher speeds and behavioural factors that increase the injury level
343 of PTW riders on high speed rural roads.

344 Only 10 (3%) riders were judged to have been distracted at the time of the collision,
345 a conclusion based on physical evidence at the scene and interviews with the riders.
346 This contradicts the importance more widely attributed to distraction which is
347 considered to be a frequent traffic safety risk factor (Neyens & Boyle 2008). Many
348 simulator studies have shown distraction to have an impact on driving ability (Bunn
349 et al. 2005)(Consiglio et al. 2003)(Donmez et al. 2006)(Hancock et al. 2003)(Laapotti
350 & Keskinen 1998) yet there are far fewer assessments of prevalence in real-world
351 collisions and none identified concerning PTW crashes. The relatively low frequency
352 of distraction amongst PTW riders is therefore unexpected. Possible explanations of
353 this include the nature of PTW riding which has the potential to keep riders more
354 engaged with the riding process than drivers of other vehicles. It may also be an
355 artefact from a comparison with simulator studies where the effects of distraction can
356 be measured whereas in real-world crash investigations the occurrence of distraction
357 is a subjective judgement on the part of the researcher. Nevertheless this result does
358 not support distraction being a frequent factor in the causation of PTW collisions.

359 The present analysis concludes that the PTW rider and other road user broadly had
360 an equal share of contribution to the crash in multi-vehicle collisions. In 54% the
361 actions of the rider were judged to have made no contribution whereas the rider was
362 the prime contributor in 36% and a secondary contributor in 10% of cases. However
363 riders as the primary contributor were significantly overrepresented ($p=0.05$) when
364 they made detection, diagnosis or decision failures and underrepresented when they
365 made prognosis errors.

366 This analysis has shown that PTW collisions can be divided into seven distinct
367 groups on the basis of information about the rider characteristics and behaviour,
368 environmental and vehicle factors. The application of the Latent Class Cluster
369 Analysis (LCA) groups cases according to the similarities of cases within a group
370 and differences between groups on the basis of unobserved variables within the
371 data. It is a powerful method that can be used to partition categorical data. All types
372 of cluster analysis have an advantage that the case sample is grouped solely
373 according to the relationships in the data and there is no subjective element in
374 classifying cases. Since the classification is conducted on the basis of the latent
375 variables the distributions of the observed variables may still show overlaps between
376 clusters. Nevertheless an inspection of the observed variables may aid interpretation
377 of the clusters and provide a reference for targeted road safety interventions. This
378 analysis has focussed on parameters that differ significantly ($p=0.05$) from the
379 distribution of the complete sample in order to characterise each cluster. The present
380 analysis concludes that there are seven distinct types of PTW collision according to
381 the associated causation factors. Each is described below.

382 **Cluster 1: 112 cases (29%)**

383 Female riders on local roads using PTWs with small engines who crash while turning
384 across traffic or at intersections in adverse traffic conditions. They misjudge the
385 evolving traffic situation but are not the primary contributor to the crash. Drivers of
386 the opponent vehicle either fail to detect the PTW or select an inappropriate
387 manoeuvre.

388 **Cluster 2: 77 cases (18%)**

389 Single vehicle crashes with large engine PTWs that occur on minor rural roads with
390 60 mph speed limits. Riders may be impaired and either incorrectly judge the

391 situation or select an inappropriate manoeuvre, they are influenced by physical or
392 psychological factors or are risk taking road users.

393 **Cluster 3: 75 cases (18%)**

394 Multi-vehicle collisions occurring on motorways and high speed rural A roads
395 involving large engine PTWs while changing lanes. Riders with age groups 26-45 or
396 66+ misjudge the movements of other vehicles in adverse traffic conditions. Other
397 drivers fail to detect the PTW.

398 **Cluster 4: 45 cases (11%)**

399 Riders of large engine PTWs aged 46-65 on all types of road involved in rear end or
400 overtaking collisions. The riders are the primary contributor to the crash having made
401 detection or prognosis errors as a result of distraction. Other drivers misjudge the
402 expected PTW manoeuvres.

403 **Cluster 5: 42 cases (10%)**

404 Young riders of small engine PTWs on lower speed minor urban roads in rear end
405 collisions. They are the primary contributor to the crash as a result of detection or
406 overall failures that are influenced either by physical and psychological factors or by
407 visibility obstructions. Other road users misjudge the PTWs manoeuvres.

408 **Cluster 6: 36 cases (8%)**

409 Riders of all PTW types on urban A roads with 40 or 50 mph speed limits involved in
410 right turn collisions. They are secondary contributors to the crash and make decision
411 errors as a result of risk taking. The other drivers also make decision errors.

412 **Cluster 7: 31 cases (7%)**

413 Riders of all PTW types on rural roads with 40 or 50 mph speed limits involved in
414 single vehicle crashes. Aged 26-45 they make action errors as a result of adverse
415 road conditions or vehicle factors.

416 **5. Conclusions**

417 This research has analysed in-depth collision data of PTW crashes occurring in the
418 UK in order to identify the primary road user errors classified using a Human
419 Functional Failure approach. This model describes driving errors and mistakes
420 according to the series of cognitive steps that result in a driving action. This
421 behaviour data was analysed in the context of associated vehicle, infrastructure and
422 rider/driver factors.

423 The availability of in-depth crash injury data provides a greater depth of
424 understanding of road user behaviour than is typically available within macroscopic,
425 police reported crash data. The systematic collection of information about the
426 perceptions, actions and motivations of the active road users can only be conducted
427 by specialist teams and is not possible for routine police reporting. The present
428 analysis has shown that an understanding of the role of PTW rider behaviour is
429 enhanced when it is related to the behaviours of other drivers involved in the crash.

430 The analysis confirms that a systematic understanding of human functional failures
431 within the framework of a comprehensive examination of pre-crash factors can result
432 in new insights of crash causation. In particular the association between failure types
433 and other crash factors may initiate the development of new countermeasures.

434 The application of latent class cluster analysis has segmented PTW collisions into
435 seven distinct groups on the basis of the behaviour of all involved road users and

436 their characteristics, vehicle and infrastructure factors. Some of these, such as
437 'looked but failed to see' have been identified in previous research however other
438 groups are new.

439 **6. Limitations**

440 Like other investigations into the causes of traffic collisions this analysis incorporates
441 an unavoidable subjective element. The identification of specific human functional
442 failure modes was made on the basis of interviews, crash reconstructions and
443 available scene evidence. While corroborating information was always sought the
444 final classification of the failure types was inevitably subjective. The crash
445 segmentation that was the result of the latent class cluster analysis was purely data
446 driven however the interpretation of the clusters and the relevance of specific factors
447 remained inherently subjective on the part of the investigator.

448 The availability of in-depth crash data presents new opportunities to develop a more
449 detailed understanding of the role of road user, vehicle and infrastructure factors in
450 crash causation. The analysis has identified statistically significant relationships
451 between factors where there are deviations from the overall population distributions.
452 Nevertheless it is beyond the scope of this analysis to examine the increase in crash
453 risk deriving from these factors.

454 **Acknowledgements**

455 The Road Accident In-Depth Studies (RAIDS) programme and associated database
456 were commissioned by the United Kingdom Department for Transport in 2012 to
457 consolidate data gathered from historic in-depth collision investigation programmes
458 dating back to the year 2000. Data collection is ongoing and since 2012, 1200 new

459 cases have been investigated, the data is made available free of charge over the
460 internet however conditional access is limited to those with a defined research need.
461 For further information please contact RAIDS@dft.gov.uk.

References

- Bjørnskau, T., Nævestad, T.-O. & Akhtar, J., 2012. Traffic safety among motorcyclists in Norway: a study of subgroups and risk factors. *Accident; analysis and prevention*, 49, pp.50–7. Available at: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0001457511003149> [Accessed August 25, 2016].
- Bliss, T. & Breen, J., 2009. Country Guidelines for the Conduct of Road Safety Management Capacity REviews and Specification of Lead Agency Reforms, Investment Strategies Reforms, Investment Strategies and Safe System Projects. *The World Bank Global Road Safety Facility*, p.329. Available at: http://siteresources.worldbank.org/EXTTOPGLOOASAF/Resources/traffic_injury_prevention.pdf.
- Brown, I.D., 2002. *A review of the “Look but failed to see” accident causation factor.*, Available at: <http://www.dft.gov.uk/rmd/project.asp?intProjectID=10121#>.
- Bunn, T.L. et al., 2005. Sleepiness/fatigue and distraction/inattention as factors for fatal versus nonfatal commercial motor vehicle driver injuries. *Accident; analysis and prevention*, 37(5), pp.862–9. Available at: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0001457505000655> [Accessed August 25, 2016].
- Chang, F. et al., 2016. Injury severity of motorcycle riders involved in traffic crashes in Hunan, China: a mixed ordered logit approach. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 13(7), 714. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4962255/> [Accessed October 31, 2018].

486 Chorlton, K., Conner, M. & Jamson, S., 2012. Identifying the psychological
487 determinants of risky riding: an application of an extended Theory of Planned
488 Behaviour. *Accident; analysis and prevention*, 49, pp.142–53. Available at:
489 <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0001457511001886> [Accessed
490 August 25, 2016].

491 Clabaux, N. et al., 2012. Motorcyclists' speed and "looked-but-failed-to-see"
492 accidents. *Accident; analysis and prevention*, 49, pp.73–7. Available at:
493 <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0001457511002107> [Accessed
494 August 25, 2016].

495 Clarke, D.D. et al., 2007. The role of motorcyclist and other driver behaviour in two
496 types of serious accident in the UK. *Accident; analysis and prevention*, 39(5),
497 pp.974–81. Available at:
498 <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0001457507000139> [Accessed
499 May 16, 2016].

500 Consiglio, W. et al., 2003. Effect of cellular telephone conversations and other
501 potential interference on reaction time in a braking response. *Accident; analysis*
502 *and prevention*, 35(4), pp.495–500. Available at:
503 <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0001457502000271> [Accessed
504 August 25, 2016].

505 Crundall, D. et al., 2012. Why do car drivers fail to give way to motorcycles at t-
506 junctions? *Accident; analysis and prevention*, 44(1), pp. 88-96. Available at:
507 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0001457510002964>
508 [Accessed October 31, 2018].

509 Department for transport, 2015. Transport accidents and casualties. London: The
 510 Stationery Office: Department for Transport.

511 Department for transport, 2010. Transport accidents and casualties. London: The
 512 Stationery Office: Department for Transport.

513 Donmez, B., Boyle, L.N. & Lee, J.D., 2006. The impact of distraction mitigation
 514 strategies on driving performance. *Human factors*, 48(4), pp.785–804. Available
 515 at: <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1518/001872006779166415>
 516 [Accessed August 25, 2016]

517 Dziak, J. J. et al., 2012. Sensitivity and specificity of information criteria
 518 (Methodology Center Technical Report 12-119). University Park, PA: The
 519 Methodology Center, The Pennsylvania State University. Available at
 520 <http://methodology.psu.edu/media/techreports/12-119.pdf> and
 521 <https://peerj.com/preprints/1103/> [Accessed July 12, 2018].

522 Fraley, C. & Raftery, A.E., 2002. Model-Based Clustering, Discriminant Analysis, and
 523 Density Estimation. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 97(458),
 524 pp.611–631. Available at:
 525 <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1198/016214502760047131> [Accessed
 526 August 25, 2016].

527 Gershon, P., Ben-Asher, N. & Shinar, D., 2012. Attention and search conspicuity of
 528 motorcycles as a function of their visual context. *Accident; analysis and*
 529 *prevention*, 44(1), pp. 97-103 Available at:
 530 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0001457510003921>
 531 [Accessed October 31, 2018].

532 Hancock, P.A., Lesch, M. & Simmons, L., 2003. The distraction effects of phone use
 533 during a crucial driving maneuver. *Accident; analysis and prevention*, 35(4),
 534 pp.501–514. Available at:
 535 <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0001457502000283> [Accessed
 536 August 25, 2016].

537 Haque, M.M., Chin, H.C. & Huang, H., 2009. Modeling fault among motorcyclists
 538 involved in crashes. *Accident; analysis and prevention*, 41(2), pp.327–35.
 539 Available at:
 540 <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0001457508002431> [Accessed
 541 August 25, 2016].

542 Hill, J. & Cuerden, R., 2005. *Development and implementation of the UK on the spot*
 543 *accident data Collection study – phase I*. (Road Safety Research Report No.
 544 59). London: Department for Transport.

545 Hurt, H. H., Ouellet, J. V., & Thom, D.R., 1981. Motorcycle accident cause factors
 546 and identification of countermeasures. (No. DOT HS-5-0116). Los Angeles,
 547 CA: University of Southern California. Available at:
 548 <http://ntl.bts.gov/lib/30000/30900/30965/805863.pdf>.

549 Kashani, A.T., Rabieyan, R. & Besharati, M.M., 2016. Modeling the effect of operator
 550 and passenger characteristics on the fatality risk of motorcycle crashes. *Journal*
 551 *of Injury and Violence Research*, 8(1), pp.35–42. Available at:
 552 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26420217> [Accessed August 10, 2016].

553 Kumar, S. & Toshniwal, D., 2017. Severity analysis of powered two wheeler traffic
 554 accidents in Uttarakhand, India. *Eur. Transp. Res. Rev.*, 9(24), Available at:

555 <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12544-017-0242-z> [Accessed January
556 24, 2019].

557 Laapotti, S. & Keskinen, E., 1998. Differences in fatal loss-of-control accidents
558 between young male and female drivers. *Accident; analysis and prevention*,
559 30(4), pp.435–442. Available at:
560 <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0001457597001218> [Accessed
561 August 25, 2016].

562 Langley, J., Samaranayaka, A. & Begg, D.J., 2013. Age, period and cohort effects on
563 the incidence of motorcyclist casualties in traffic crashes. *Injury prevention :
564 journal of the International Society for Child and Adolescent Injury Prevention*,
565 19(3), pp.153–7. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22753530>
566 [Accessed August 10, 2016].

567 Linzer, D.A., 2008. poLCA: An R package for polytomous variable latent class
568 analysis.

569 MAIDS, 2009. *MAIDS Motorcycle In-depth Study: In-depth investigation of accidents
570 involving powered two wheelers. (Final Report No.2.0).*, Available at:
571 <http://www.maids-study.eu/>.

572 Neyens, D.M. & Boyle, L.N., 2008. The influence of driver distraction on the severity
573 of injuries sustained by teenage drivers and their passengers. *Accident; analysis
574 and prevention*, 40(1), pp.254–259. Available at:
575 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0001457507001029>
576 [Accessed April 16, 2016].

577 Otte, D., Jänsch, M. & Haasper, C., 2012. Injury protection and accident causation

578 parameters for vulnerable road users based on German In-Depth Accident
 579 Study GIDAS. *Accident; analysis and prevention*, 44(1), pp.149–53. Available at:
 580 <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0001457510003830> [Accessed
 581 April 16, 2016].

582 Pai, C.-W., 2009. Motorcyclist injury severity in angle crashes at T-junctions:
 583 Identifying significant factors and analysing what made motorists fail to yield to
 584 motorcycles. *Safety Science*, 47(8), pp.1097–1106. Available at:
 585 <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0925753508002233> [Accessed
 586 August 25, 2016].

587 Pai, C.-W. & Saleh, W., 2008. Exploring motorcyclist injury severity in approach-turn
 588 collisions at T-junctions: focusing on the effects of driver's failure to yield and
 589 junction control measures. *Accident; analysis and prevention*, 40(2), pp.479–86.
 590 Available at:
 591 <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0001457507001303> [Accessed
 592 August 25, 2016].

593 Peek-Asa, C. & Kraus, J.F., 1996. Injuries sustained by motorcycle riders in the
 594 approaching turn crash configuration. *Accident; analysis and prevention*, 28(5),
 595 pp.561–569. Available at:
 596 <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/000145759600022X> [Accessed
 597 August 25, 2016].

598 Preusser, D.F., Williams, A.F. & Ulmer, R.G., 1995. Analysis of fatal motorcycle
 599 crashes: crash typing. *Accident; analysis and prevention*, 27(6), pp.845–851.
 600 Available at:
 601 <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0001457595000275> [Accessed

602 August 25, 2016].

603 Savolainen, P. T. & Mannering, F. L., 2007. Effectiveness of Motorcycle Training and
 604 Motorcyclists' Risk-Taking Behavior. *Transportation Research Record*, 2031(1),
 605 pp.52–58. Available at: <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.3141/2031-07>
 606 [Accessed October 31, 2018].

607 Seiniger, P., Schröter, K. & Gail, J., 2012. Perspectives for motorcycle stability
 608 control systems. *Accident; analysis and prevention*, 44(1), pp.74–81. Available
 609 at: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0001457510003623>
 610 [Accessed August 25, 2016].

611 Shaheed, M.S.B. et al., 2013. A mixed logit analysis of two-vehicle crash severities
 612 involving a motorcycle. *Accident; analysis and prevention*, 61, pp.119–28.
 613 Available at:
 614 <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0001457513002273> [Accessed
 615 August 11, 2016].

616 Theofilatos, A. & Yannis, G., 2014. Relationship between motorcyclists' attitudes,
 617 behavior, and other attributes with declared accident involvement in Europe.
 618 *Traffic injury prevention*, 15(2), pp.156–64. Available at:
 619 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24345018> [Accessed August 10, 2016].

620 Van Elslande, P. & Fouquet, K., 2007. *Typical human functional failure-generating*
 621 *scenarios : a way of aggregation*. Available at: [http://www.trace-](http://www.trace-project.org/trace_template.html)
 622 [project.org/trace_template.html](http://www.trace-project.org/trace_template.html).

623 Vlahogianni, E.I., Yannis, G. & Golias, J.C., 2013. Critical power two wheeler driving
 624 patterns at the emergence of an incident. *Accident; analysis and prevention*, 58,

625 pp.340–345. Available at:
 626 <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0001457512004484> [Accessed
 627 August 11, 2016].

628 Wali, B., Khattak, A. J. & Khattak, A. J., 2018. A heterogeneity based case-control
 629 analysis of motorcyclist's injury crashes: Evidence from motorcycle crash
 630 causation study. *Accident; analysis and prevention*, 119, pp.202-214. Available
 631 at: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0001457518303245>
 632 [Accessed November 25, 2016].

633 Williams, M.J. & Hoffmann, E.R., 1979. Motorcycle conspicuity and traffic accidents.
 634 *Accident; analysis and prevention*, 11(3), pp.209–224. Available at:
 635 <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0001457579900058> [Accessed
 636 August 25, 2016].

637 Wulf, G., Hancock, P.A. & Rahimi, M., 1989. Motorcycle conspicuity: An evaluation
 638 and synthesis of influential factors. *Journal of Safety Research*, 20(4), pp.153–
 639 176. Available at:
 640 <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/002243758990025X> [Accessed
 641 August 25, 2016].

642 Yannis, G., Golias, J. & Papadimitriou, E., 2005. Driver age and vehicle engine size
 643 effects on fault and severity in young motorcyclists accidents. *Accident; analysis*
 644 *and prevention*, 37(2), pp.327–33. Available at:
 645 <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0001457504000971> [Accessed
 646 August 25, 2016].