Performance and Emissions Characteristics of Hydroprocessed Renewable Jet Fuel Blends in a Single-Cylinder Compression Ignition Engine with Electronically-Controlled Fuel Injection

Michael Mangus*, Jonathan Mattson, Christopher Depcik

University of Kansas, Department of Mechanical Engineering

1530 W. 15th Street, Lawrence, KS 66045-4709

* Corresponding author: mmangus@ku.edu

Supplemental Material

Figure S1. Measured Fuel Energy Content and Viscosity vs. R-8 Blend Percentage.

Figure S2. Measured Fuel Density and Flash Point vs. R-8 Blend Percentage.

Figure S3. Cylinder Pressure vs. Engine Crank Angle for Unadjusted (a) and Adjusted (b) Fuel Blends at 0.5 N-m Loading.

Figure S4. Heat Release Rate vs. Engine Crank Angle for Unadjusted (a) and Adjusted (b) Fuel Blends at 0.5 N-m Loading.

Figure S5. Cylinder Pressure vs. Engine Crank Angle for Unadjusted (a) and Adjusted (b) Fuel Blends at 4.5 N-m Loading.

Figure S6. Heat Release Rate vs. Engine Crank Angle for Unadjusted (a) and Adjusted (b) Fuel Blends at 4.5 N-m Loading.

Figure S7. Heat Release Rate vs. Engine Crank Angle for Unadjusted Fuel Blends at 9.0 N-m Loading.

Figure S8. Cylinder Pressure vs. Engine Crank Angle for Unadjusted (a) and Adjusted (b) Fuel Blends at 13.5 N-m Loading.

Figure S9. Heat Release Rate vs. Engine Crank Angle for Unadjusted (a) and Adjusted (b) Fuel Blends at 13.5 N-m Loading.

Figure S10. Heat Release Rate vs. Engine Crank Angle for Unadjusted Fuel Blends at 18.0 N-m Loading.

Figure S11. Peak Cylinder Pressure vs. Blend Percentage for Unadjusted and Adjusted Blends at 0.5 N-m Loading.

Figure S12. Peak Cylinder Pressure vs. Blend Percentage for Unadjusted and Adjusted Blends at 4.5 N-m Loading.

Figure S13. Peak Cylinder Pressure vs. Blend Percentage for Unadjusted and Adjusted Blends at 13.5 N-m Loading.

Figure S14. Cylinder Temperature vs. Engine Crank Angle for Unadjusted (a) and Adjusted (b) Fuel Blends at 0.5 N-m Loading.

Figure S15. Cylinder Temperature vs. Engine Crank Angle for Unadjusted (a) and Adjusted (b) Fuel Blends at 4.5 N-m Loading.

Figure S16. Cylinder Temperature vs. Engine Crank Angle for Unadjusted Fuel Blends at 9.0 N-m Loading.

Figure S17. Cylinder Temperature vs. Engine Crank Angle for Unadjusted (a) and Adjusted (b) Fuel Blends at 13.5 N-m Loading.

Figure S18. Cylinder Temperature vs. Engine Crank Angle for Unadjusted Fuel Blends at 18.0 N-m Loading.

Figure S19. Brake-Specific NO_x Emissions vs. Torque for Adjusted R-8, Jet-A, and ULSD.

Figure S20. Brake-Specific NO_x Emissions vs. Blend Percentage for Unadjusted and Adjusted Blends at 0.5 N-m Loading. Tier 4 Regulation at 7.5 g/kW-hr (Not Displayed).

Figure S21. Brake-Specific NO_x Emissions vs. Blend Percentage for Unadjusted and Adjusted Blends at 4.5 N-m Loading.

Figure S22. Brake-Specific NO_x Emissions vs. Blend Percentage for Unadjusted and Adjusted Blends at 13.5 N-m Loading, Tier 4 Regulation at 7.5 g/kW-hr (Not Displayed).

Figure S23. Brake-Specific CO Emissions vs. Torque for Adjusted R-8, Jet-A, and ULSD.

Figure S24. Brake-Specific CO Emissions vs. Blend Percentage for Unadjusted and Adjusted Blends at 0.5 N-m Loading. Tier 4 Regulation at 8.0 g/kW-hr (Not Displayed).

Figure S25. Brake-Specific CO Emissions vs. Blend Percentage for Unadjusted and Adjusted Blends at 4.5 N-m Loading.

Figure S26. Brake-Specific CO Emissions vs. Blend Percentage for Unadjusted and Adjusted Blends at 13.5 N-m Loading. Tier 4 Regulation at 8.0 g/kW-hr (Not Displayed).

Figure S27. Brake-Specific HC Emissions vs. Torque for Adjusted R-8, Jet-A, and ULSD.

Figure S28. Brake-Specific HC Emissions vs. Blend Percentage for Unadjusted and Adjusted Blends at 0.5 N-m Loading.

Figure S29. Brake-Specific HC Emissions vs. Blend Percentage for Unadjusted and Adjusted Blends at 4.5 N-m Loading.

Figure S30. Brake-Specific HC Emissions vs. Blend Percentage for Unadjusted and Adjusted Blends at 9.0 N-m Loading.

Figure S31. Brake-Specific HC Emissions vs. Blend Percentage for Unadjusted and Adjusted Blends at 13.5 N-m Loading.

Figure S32. Brake-Specific HC Emissions vs. Blend Percentage for Unadjusted and Adjusted Blends at 18.0 N-m Loading.

Figure S33. Brake-Specific PM Emissions vs. Torque for Adjusted R-8, Jet-A, and ULSD.

Figure S34. Brake-Specific PM Emissions vs. Blend Percentage for Unadjusted and Adjusted Blends at 0.5 N-m Loading. Tier 4 Regulation at 0.4 g/kW-hr (Not Displayed).

Figure S35. Brake-Specific PM Emissions vs. Blend Percentage for Unadjusted and Adjusted Blends at 4.5 N-m Loading. Tier 4 Regulation at 0.4 g/kW-hr (Not Displayed).

Figure S36. Brake-Specific PM Emissions vs. Blend Percentage for Unadjusted and Adjusted Blends at 13.5 N-m Loading. Tier 4 Regulation at 0.4 g/kW-hr (Not Displayed).

Figure S37. Brake-Specific Fuel Consumption vs. Blend Percentage for Unadjusted and Adjusted Blends at 0.5N-m Loading.

Figure S38. Brake-Specific Fuel Consumption vs. Blend Percentage for Unadjusted and Adjusted Blends at 4.5 N-m Loading.

Figure S39. Brake-Specific Fuel Consumption vs. Blend Percentage for Unadjusted and Adjusted Blends at 13.5 N-m Loading.

Figure S40. Fuel Conversion Efficiency vs. Blend Percentage for Unadjusted and Adjusted Blends at 0.5 N-m Loading.

Figure S41. Fuel Conversion Efficiency vs. Blend Percentage for Unadjusted and Adjusted Blends at 4.5 N-m Loading.

Figure S42. Fuel Conversion Efficiency vs. Blend Percentage for Unadjusted and Adjusted Blends at 9.0 N-m Loading.

Figure S43. Fuel Conversion Efficiency vs. Blend Percentage for Unadjusted and Adjusted Blends at 13.5 N-m Loading.

Figure S44. Fuel Conversion Efficiency vs. Blend Percentage for Unadjusted and Adjusted Blends at 18.0 N-m Loading.

Figure S45. Thermal Efficiency vs. Blend Percentage for Unadjusted and Adjusted Blends at 0.5 N-m Loading.

Figure S46. Thermal Efficiency vs. Blend Percentage for Unadjusted and Adjusted Blends at 4.5 N-m Loading.

Figure S47. Thermal Efficiency vs. Blend Percentage for Unadjusted and Adjusted Blends at 9.0 N-m Loading.

Figure S48. Thermal Efficiency vs. Blend Percentage for Unadjusted and Adjusted Blends at 13.5 N-m Loading.

Figure S49. Thermal Efficiency vs. Blend Percentage for Unadjusted and Adjusted Blends at 18.0 N-m Loading.