This item was submitted to [Loughborough's Research Repository](#) by the author.
Items in Figshare are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved, unless otherwise indicated.

Organocatalytic asymmetric domino Michael-Henry reaction for the synthesis of substituted bicyclo[3.2.1]octan-2-ones

PLEASE CITE THE PUBLISHED VERSION

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c3cc39165e>

PUBLISHER

© Royal Society of Chemistry

VERSION

AM (Accepted Manuscript)

PUBLISHER STATEMENT

This work is made available according to the conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) licence. Full details of this licence are available at:
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

LICENCE

CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

REPOSITORY RECORD

Tsakos, Michail, Mark R.J. Elsegood, and Christoforos G Kokotos. 2019. "Organocatalytic Asymmetric Domino Michael-henry Reaction for the Synthesis of Substituted Bicyclo[3.2.1]octan-2-ones". figshare.
<https://hdl.handle.net/2134/18334>.

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

Organocatalytic asymmetric domino Michael-Henry reaction for the synthesis of substituted bicyclo[3.2.1]octan-2-ones

Michail Tsakos,^a Mark R. J. Elsegood^b and Christoforos G. Kokotos^{*a}

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX

DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

The first organocatalytic asymmetric reaction between 1,4-cyclohexanedione and nitroalkenes have been studied, affording bicyclo[3.2.1]octane derivatives containing four continuous stereogenic centres. The products were obtained through a domino Michael-Henry process as a single diastereoisomer with excellent enantioselectivities.

In recent years, domino and cascade reactions have attracted the interest of organic chemistry research, as they constitute a powerful tool for the formation of several bonds in a one-step process.¹ The application of these reactions in the field of organocatalysis² is particularly appealing because it can lead to the formation of complex structures with high stereoselectivities, in an operationally simple and straightforward manner. Amongst the numerous strategies employed in this category,³ domino Michael-Henry reaction⁴ plays a pivotal role as these reactions constitute two of the most widely used reactions in organic asymmetric synthesis.^{5,6}

In line with our latest studies on the asymmetric Michael addition of ketones to nitroalkenes utilizing bifunctional organocatalysts,⁷ we became interested in the use of 1,4-cyclohexanedione as the Michael donor. Rueping *et al* and Zhao *et al* reported the tandem Michael-Henry reaction of 1,2-cyclohexanedione with nitroalkenes.^{8,9} The only example utilising a modified tricarbonyl 1,4-diketone has been reported by Zhong and co-workers.¹⁰ Bearing in mind these literature reports, we envisaged that 1,4-cyclohexanedione could be used for the first time in a such a reaction and could also undergo a similar reaction sequence to assemble a multifunctionalized bicyclo[3.2.1]octane structure [Eq. (1)]. This unprecedented methodology would lead to a skeleton which is encountered in numerous natural products and biologically active molecules,¹¹ and any enantioselective synthetic route to this structural motif could be of great importance.

We initiated our study by choosing as a model reaction the addition of 1,4-cyclohexanedione **1** to phenyl nitrodiene **2a** in the

Table 1 Catalyst screening and optimization studies for the asymmetric domino Michael-Henry reaction.^a

Entry	Catalyst	Additives (10 mol%)	Yield (%) ^b	ee (%) ^c
1	I	4-NBA, H ₂ O ^d	91	96
2	II	4-NBA, H ₂ O ^d	22	90
3	III	-	92	75
4	I	4-CBA, H ₂ O ^d	58	89
5	I	4-NBA	Traces	-
6 ^e	I	4-NBA, H ₂ O ^d	72	96
7 ^f	I	4-NBA, H ₂ O ^d	75	96

^a Reactions were performed using **1** (0.2 mmol) and **2a** (0.1 mmol) with 10 mol% of catalyst and additive in dry THF (0.25 mL) for 24 hours at room temperature. ^b Isolated yield. ^c The enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by chiral HPLC. ^d 50 μ L of water were used. ^e 5 mol% of catalyst **I** was used. ^f 0.11 mmol (1.1 equiv) of **1** was used. 4-NBA : 4-Nitrobenzoic acid, 4-CBA : 4-Cyanobenzoic acid.

presence of L-proline as the chiral catalyst. The use of nitroalkenes as the Michael acceptor is considered much more challenging^{7d, 7e} and it remains underdeveloped in comparison to the extensively studied nitrostyrenes. Indeed, proline enabled the reaction forming the bicyclic compound **3a** in excellent yield but in a nearly racemic form. This result led us to the assumption that the domino Michael-Henry reaction proceeds through an enamine activation mode,¹² as opposed to the existing protocols^{8,10} that suggest the formation of the enolic tautomer of the dione by a cinchona-alkaloid derived catalyst. To support our hypothesis, we repeated the reaction using catalytic amounts of tertiary amine bases that cannot form an enamine intermediate with the dione. Thus, we tested an achiral base, such as DABCO, and a bifunctional base, such as quinine, and in both cases no reaction took place.

Based on these observations, we set out to develop an

asymmetric version of this domino reaction. Several bifunctional catalysts were screened, but only the proline derived catalysts **I**–**III** displayed noteworthy effects on the outcome of the reaction (Table 1, entries 1–3. See ESI† for full optimization study). Catalysts **I** and **II** developed by us,^{7b} bearing a thioxotetrahydropyrimidinone or a thiohydantoin ring respectively, delivered the product in excellent enantioselectivity, but the size of the ring exhibited a tremendous impact on the activity of the catalyst (Table 1, entry 1 vs 2). Catalyst **III** led to high yield but the selectivity dropped significantly (Table 1, entry 3). It has to be highlighted that compound **3a** was formed as a single diastereoisomer in all cases, demonstrating the excellent stereocontrol of this protocol on four continuous stereogenic centres. To optimize the reaction conditions, several solvents and additives were examined in the presence of 10 mol% of catalyst **I** (Table 1 and ESI†). Polar solvents that could solubilise efficiently the dione favoured the reaction, with THF being the optimum both in terms of yield and selectivity. On the other hand, it is well documented that a careful selection of additives can play a significant role in the activity of the catalyst.¹³ Thus, 4-nitrobenzoic acid made an ideal pair with our catalyst providing the proper pKa value for maximum result (Table 1, entry 1 vs 4), while a controlled amount of water proved to be essential for the catalyst's turnover (Table 1, entry 1 vs 5). Moreover, reducing the catalyst loading to 5 mol%, or the ratio of dione to nitrodiene to 1:1:1 led to decreased yields, albeit the excellent enantioselectivity was maintained (Table 1, entries 6 and 7).

With optimal conditions in hand, the scope and limitations of our method was studied. An array of aromatic nitrodiene bearing electron-donating or electron withdrawing substituents on the phenyl ring could be well tolerated, delivering the bicyclic products **3a–e** in good to high yields and excellent enantioselectivity (Table 2, entries 2–5). Nitrodiene **2f** bearing a methyl group at the α -position with respect to the phenyl ring, was also successfully employed (Table 2, entry 6).

To broaden the scope of our methodology, nitrodiene were replaced by aromatic nitrostyrenes as the electrophilic partner. Unfortunately, when we employed the same reaction conditions

Table 2 Domino Michael-Henry reaction between dione **1** and nitrodiene **2** utilizing catalyst **I**.^a

Entry	Ar, R	Yield (%) ^b	ee (%) ^c
1	Ph, H (2a)	3a , 91	96
2	4-OMe-Ph, H (2b)	3b , 56	94
3	4-Cl-Ph, H (2c)	3c , 72	91
4	2-NO ₂ -Ph, H (2d)	3d , 89	86
5	4-NO ₂ -Ph, H (2e)	3e , 73	97
6	Ph, Me (2f)	3f , 70	95

^a Reactions were performed using **1** (0.2 mmol) and **2** (0.1 mmol) in the presence of catalyst **I** (10 mol%), 4-NBA (10 mol%) and H₂O (50 μL) in dry THF (0.25 mL) at room temperature for 24 hours. ^b Isolated yield. ^c The enantiomeric excess (*ee*) was determined by chiral HPLC.

Table 3 Domino Michael-Henry reaction between dione **1** and nitrostyrenes **4** utilizing catalyst **I**.^a

Entry	R	Yield (%) ^b	ee (%) ^c
1 ^d	Ph (4a)	5a , 38	93
2	Ph (4a)	5a , 86	93
3	4-Cl-Ph (4b)	5b , 81	95
4	4-F-Ph (4c)	5c , 75	93
5	3-NO ₂ -Ph (4d)	5d , 80	96
6	4-NO ₂ -Ph (4e)	5e , 70	93
7	4-OMe-Ph (4f)	5f , 83	94
8	2-Furyl (4g)	5g , 82	91
9	2-Naphthyl (4h)	5h , 78	90

^a Reactions were performed using **1** (0.2 mmol) and **4** (0.1 mmol) in the presence of catalyst **I** (20 mol%), 4-NBA (20 mol%) and H₂O (50 μL) in dry THF (0.25 mL) at room temperature for 24 hours. ^b Isolated yield. ^c The enantiomeric excess (*ee*) was determined by chiral HPLC. ^d 10 mol% of catalyst **I** and 4-NBA were used.

used for nitrodiene, we encountered a significant handicap with trans- β -nitrostyrene **4a**. The reaction rate was much slower (a reaction time of 4 days was required in order to reach completion), while simultaneously the second, intramolecular ring closing, step experienced difficulties in advancing, thus leading to the formation of intermediate **6a** in 10% yield (Table 3, entry 1). The latter was probably due to steric repulsion and/or stabilizing factors from the adjacent bulky phenyl group. To overcome this obstacle, 20 mol% of catalyst **I** was used and the desired product **5a** was delivered as a single diastereoisomer in 86% yield and 93% *ee* (Table 3, entry 2). Having established the optimal reaction protocol, a variety of substituted aromatic nitrostyrenes was investigated. Aromatic groups with electron-rich and electron-deficient substituents were successfully utilized to form the bicyclic products in high yield and with excellent *ee* values (Table 3, entries 3–7). In addition, nitrostyrenes bearing heteroaromatic as well as other aromatic groups were also well tolerated (Table 3, entries 8, 9). It should be noticed that a small percentage of the Michael adduct **6** was observed in all cases, lowering the yield of the desired product. All attempts to force the second ring closing step on **6** by adding a base in the product mixture resulted in the epimerization of the α -nitro carbon centre of **5** and subsequently retro-Henry degradation. The products were separated by FC chromatography.

The absolute configuration of the products was indicated by X-ray crystallographic analysis¹⁴ of a crystal of compound **3a** (Figure 1). On the basis of this result, a plausible mechanistic pathway is proposed to account for the stereochemical outcome of this reaction (see ESI†).

In conclusion, we have developed an unprecedented organocatalytic asymmetric addition of 1,4-cyclohexanedione to aromatic nitrodiene and nitrostyrenes, leading to complex

Fig. 1 X-Ray structure of enantiopure **3a**.

bicyclo[3.2.1]octan-2-one derivatives containing four continuous stereogenic centres as a single diastereoisomer and with excellent enantioselectivities. The products were delivered through a domino Michael-Henry process utilizing a proline-based bifunctional organocatalyst.

M. T. and C. G. K. acknowledge COST Action CM0905 (ORCA) and Prof. A. Malkov (Loughborough University) for initiating the cooperation between the Universities of Athens and Loughborough. C. G. K. would like to acknowledge the Operational Program “Education and Lifelong Learning” for financial support through the NSRF program “METADIDAKTORES (PE 2431)” co-financed by ESF and the Greek State.

Notes and references

^a Laboratory of Organic Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, University of Athens, Panepistimiopolis 15771, Athens, Greece. Fax: +30 210 7274761; Tel: +30 210 7274281; E-mail: ckokotos@chem.uoa.gr

^b Loughborough University, Loughborough, LE11 3TU, UK. Fax: +44 1509 223925; Tel: +44 1509 228751; E-mail: m.r.j.elsegood@lboro.ac.uk
 † Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [Full optimization studies, proposed mechanism, characterization of the products, NMR, HPLC and crystallographic data]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- For reviews on domino reactions, see: (a) K. C. Nicolaou, T. Montagnon and S. A. Snyder, *Chem. Commun.*, 2003, 551; (b) J. C. Wasilke, S. J. Obrey, R. T. Baker and G. C. Bazan, *Chem. Rev.*, 2005, **105**, 1001; (c) J. Zhu and H. Bienayme, *Multicomponent Reactions*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2005; (d) L. F. Tietze, G. Brasche and K. Gericke, *Domino Reactions in Organic Synthesis*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2006; (e) H.-C. Guo and J.-A. Ma, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 354; (f) K. C. Nicolaou, D. J. Edmonds and P. G. Bulger, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 7134; (g) H. Pellissier, *Tetrahedron*, 2006, **62**, 2143; (h) C. J. Chapman and C. G. Frost, *Synthesis*, 2007, 1.
- For books, see: (a) A. Berkessel and H. Groger, *Asymmetric Organocatalysis- From Biomimetic Concepts to Powerful Methods for Asymmetric Synthesis*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2005; (b) P. I. Dalko, *Enantioselective Organocatalysis Reactions and Experimental Procedure*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2007.
- For reviews on organocatalytic domino reactions, see: (a) D. Enders, C. Grondal and M. R. M. Huttl, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 1570; (b) X. Yu and W. Wang, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2008, **6**, 2037; (c) C. Grondal, M. Jeanty and D. Enders, *Nat. Chem.*, 2010, **2**, 167; (d) H. Pellissier, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2012, **354**, 237.
- For selected examples on organocatalyzed domino Michael-Henry reaction, see: (a) Y. Hayashi, T. Okano, S. Aratake and D. Hazeldard, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 4922; (b) D.-Q. Xu, Y.-F. Wang, S.-P. Luo, S. Zhang, A.-G. Zhong, H. Chen and Z.-Y. Xu, *Adv.*

- Synth. Catal.*, 2008, **350**, 2610; (c) A. Adibekian, M. S. M. Timmer, P. Stallforth, J. Van Rijn, D. B. Werz and P. H. Seeberger, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 3549; (d) R. Dodda, J. J. Goldman, T. Mandal, C.-G. Zhao, G. Grant and E. R. T. Tiekink, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2008, **350**, 537; (e) B. Tan, P. J. Chua, Y. Li and G. Zhong, *Org. Lett.*, 2008, **10**, 2437; (f) B. Tan, P. J. Chua, X. Zeng, M. Lu and G. Zhong, *Org. Lett.*, 2008, **10**, 3489; (g) J. L. Garcia Ruano, V. Marcos, J. A. Suanzes, L. Marzo and J. Aleman, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 6576; (h) H. Uehara, R. Imashiro, G. Hernandez-Torres and C. F. Barbas, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2010, **107**, 20672.
- For reviews on organocatalytic Michael reactions, see: (a) D. Almasi, D. A. Alonso and C. Najera, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2007, **18**, 299; (b) S. B. Tsogoeva, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2007, 1701; (c) J. L. Vicario, D. Badia and L. Carillo, *Synthesis*, 2007, 2065; (d) D. Enders, C. Wang and J. X. Liebich, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 11058; (e) D. Roca-Lopez, D. Sadaba, I. Delso, R. P. Herrera, T. Tejero and P. Merino, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2010, **21**, 2561.
- For reviews on organocatalytic Henry reactions, see: (a) C. Palomo, M. Oiarbide and A. Laso, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2007, 2561; (b) S. Gao, G. Xi, N. Li, C. Wang and J.-J. Ma, *Chin. J. Org. Chem.*, 2010, **30**, 1811; (c) Y. Alvarez-Casao, E. Marques-Lopez and R. P. Herrera, *Symmetry*, 2011, **3**, 220.
- (a) C. G. Kokotos and G. Kokotos, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2009, **351**, 1355; (b) C. G. Kokotos, D. Limnios, D. Triggidou, M. Trifonidou and G. Kokotos, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2011, **9**, 3386; (c) M. Tsakos, C. G. Kokotos and G. Kokotos, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2012, **354**, 740; (d) M. Tsakos and C. G. Kokotos, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2012, 576; (e) M. Tsakos, M. Trifonidou and C. G. Kokotos, *Tetrahedron*, 2012, **68**, 8630.
- (a) D. Ding, C.-G. Zhao, Q. Guo and H. Arman, *Tetrahedron*, 2010, **66**, 4423; (b) M. Rueping, A. Kuenkel and R. Fröhlich, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2010, **16**, 4173.
- For a recent example of a transition-metal catalysed tandem Michael-Henry reaction between 1,2-cyclohexanedione and nitrostyrenes, see: W. Li, X. Liu, Z. Mao, Q. Chen and R. Wang, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2012, **10**, 4767.
- B. Tan, Y. Lu, X. Zeng, P. J. Chua and G. Zhong, *Org. Lett.*, 2010, **12**, 2682.
- For examples see: (a) K. Fujita, M. Node, M. Sai, E. Fujita, T. Shingu, W. H. Watson, D. A. Grossie and V. Zabel, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 1989, **37**, 1465; (b) T. Kan, S. Hosokawa, S. Nara, M. Oikawa, S. Ito, F. Matsuda and H. Shirahama, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1994, **59**, 5532; (c) M. H. Filippini and J. Rodriguez, *Chem. Rev.*, 1999, **99**, 27; (d) L. Li, Z. Weng, S. Huang, J. Pu, S. Li, H. Huang, B. Yang, Y. Han, W. Xiao, M. Li, Q. Han and H. Sun, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2007, **70**, 1295; (e) M. Torihata, T. Nakahata and S. Kuwahara, *Org. Lett.*, 2007, **9**, 2557; (f) R. M. Conrad and J. Du Bois, *Org. Lett.*, 2007, **9**, 5465; (g) K. C. Nicolaou, D. Pappo, K. Y. Tsang, R. Gibe and D. Y. Chen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 944; (h) K. C. Nicolaou, Q. Toh and D. Y. Chen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 11292; (i) J. Hayashida and V. H. Rawal, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 4373; (j) M. Torihata and S. Kuwahara, *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.*, 2008, **72**, 1628.
- For selected reviews on enamine catalysis, see: (a) B. List, *Tetrahedron*, 2002, **58**, 5573; (b) B. List, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2004, **37**, 548; (c) S. Mukherjee, J. W. Yang, S. Hoffman and B. List, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 5471; (d) P. M. Pihko, I. Majander and A. Erkkila, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 2010, **291**, 29.
- (a) N. Mase, F. Tanaka and C. F. Barbas III, *Org. Lett.*, 2003, **5**, 4369; (b) D. Gryko, M. Zimnicka and R. Lipinski, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2007, **72**, 964; (c) N. Zotova, A. Franzke, A. Armstrong and D. G. Blackmond, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 15100; (d) J. Zhou, Q. Chang, L.-H. Peng and Y.-G. Peng, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2012, **10**, 6732.
- X-ray data for **3a**, C₁₆H₁₇NO₄, *M* = 278.30, colourless block, 0.70 × 0.21 × 0.17 mm³, orthorhombic, *P*2₁2₁2, *a* = 10.3329(10), *b* = 19.6202(19), *c* = 7.1804(7) Å, *V* = 1455.7(2) Å³, *Z* = 4, μ(Mo-Kα) = 0.10 mm⁻¹, *T* = 150K, 17114 reflections measured on a Bruker APEX 2 CCD diffractometer, 4410 unique, *R*_{int} = 0.033, *R*_{[*F*² > 2σ(*F*²)] = 0.041, *wR*₂ (all data) = 0.107, Flack *x* = -0.6(4); not reliably determined, but gives an indication. H atoms freely refined. CCDC 916313 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this}

paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The
Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via
www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.