This item was submitted to [Loughborough's Research Repository](#) by the author.
Items in Figshare are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved, unless otherwise indicated.

Lightly loaded lubricated impacts: idle gear rattle

PLEASE CITE THE PUBLISHED VERSION

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2007.03.077>

PUBLISHER

© Elsevier

VERSION

AM (Accepted Manuscript)

PUBLISHER STATEMENT

This work is made available according to the conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) licence. Full details of this licence are available at:
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

LICENCE

CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

REPOSITORY RECORD

Tangasawi, Osman A.M., Stephanos Theodossiades, and Homer Rahnejat. 2019. "Lightly Loaded Lubricated Impacts: Idle Gear Rattle". figshare. <https://hdl.handle.net/2134/18656>.

Lightly Loaded Lubricated Impacts: Idle Gear Rattle

O. Tangasawi, S. Theodossiades^{*} and H. Rahnejat

Wolfson School of Mechanical & Manufacturing Engineering,
Loughborough University, LE11 3TU, Loughborough, UK

PAGES: 32

FIGURES: 7

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel: +44 1509 227664; Fax: +44 1509 227648;

e-mail: S.Theodossiades@lboro.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

Idle gear rattle is associated with the characteristic noise that unselected impacting gears radiate to the environment. It is induced by engine order vibration in the presence of backlash in the unengaged gear pairs, resulting in oscillatory response within their backlash range. A tribo-dynamic model of a front wheel drive manual transmission has been developed to study idle rattle, considering the hydrodynamic contact film reaction and flank friction. The model includes the torsional motions of the idle gears and the lateral motions of the supporting output shafts. The hydrodynamic lubricant film formed between the gear teeth under light impact loads behaves as a non-linear spring-damper mechanism, whilst the inclusion of the shafts' bearing compliances introduces additional non-linear terms, which are modelled as piecewise linear functions. The aim of the paper is to demonstrate the effect of the lubricant on the system's response, which is eventually transferred to the gearbox case through the bearings. The results are found to conform closely to experimental measurements taken from a vehicle equipped with a manual transmission of the same type.

KEYWORDS

Transmission idle gear rattle, hydrodynamic regime of lubrication, lubricant stiffness.

NOTATION

C	Clearance between gear and shaft.
C_b	Gear teeth normal backlash.
F	Petroff friction force.

F_f	Hydrodynamic flank friction force.
$F_{i(i=1...6,rev)}$	Hydrodynamic load on the i^{th} tooth flank
h	Lubricant film thickness.
$I_{i(i=1,2,3,4,5,6)}$	Moment of inertia of the i^{th} gear wheel.
I_{prev}	Moment of inertia of reverse pinion
I_{wrev}	Moment of inertia of reverse gear wheel
K	Linear stiffness.
K_0	Mean lubricant stiffness.
$K_{oi(i=1,...,6)}$	Mean lubricant stiffness of the i^{th} gear pair.
$K_{o(rev)}$	Mean lubricant stiffness of reverse gear pair.
K_{cp}	Amplitude of the alternating lubricant component (the p^{th} cosine term).
K_{sp}	Amplitude of the alternating lubricant component (the p^{th} sine term).
L	Length of contact line
l	The distance between the instantaneous contact point and the pitch point.
l_1	Length of contact surface in the conformal contact between gear and shaft
n_i	The tooth number of the i^{th} gear wheel.
r_b	Base radius.
r_c	Radial distance of pinion and wheel contact point
r_{eq}	The equivalent curvature radius of two teeth surfaces at their contact point taken at the normal plane.

r_{os}	Radius of the output shaft
r_p	Contact radius of the pinion.
r_{pp}	Pitch radius of the pinion.
r_{prev}	Contact radius of the reverse speed pinion
r_{ww}	Pitch radius of the gear wheel.
$r_{wi} \ (i=1...6, rev)$	Contact radius of the i^{th} gear wheel.
$T_{fwi} \ (i=1...6, rev)$	Flank friction torque on the i^{th} gear wheel.
$T_{tractwi} \ (i=1...6, rev)$	Tractive torque between the output shaft and the i^{th} gear wheel
u	Entraining speed of gear teeth during meshing action
u_s	Sliding velocity of the meshing gear teeth surfaces
v	Tangential velocity between idle gear and supporting shaft
v_w	Rolling velocity of the gear wheel tooth surface.
v_p	Rolling velocity of the pinion tooth surface.
$v_{pitch,p}$	Pitch velocity of the pinion.
$v_{pitch,w}$	Pitch velocity of the gear wheel.
W	Lubricant hydrodynamic reaction.
x_1	Displacement of the first output shaft along the x_1 -axis.
y_1	Displacement of the first output shaft along the y_1 -axis
x_2	Displacement of the first output shaft along the x_2 -axis
y_2	Displacement of the first output shaft along the y_2 -axis

α_n	Normal pressure angle.
α_t	Transverse pressure angle.
β	Pitch circle helix angle.
β_b	Base circle helix angle.
Δ	Contact lines inclination angle.
Δt	Time step.
η_0	Dynamic viscosity at atmospheric conditions.
Λ	Bearing reaction.
ρ_p	Curvature radius of the pinion tooth surface in the transverse plane.
ρ_{wi}	Curvature radius of the i^{th} gear wheel tooth surface in the transverse plane.
$\varphi_{1,prev}$	Angular displacement of the 1 st speed gear and reverse pinion
$\varphi_{i(i=2...6)}$	Angular displacement of 2 nd , 3 rd , 4 th , 5 th and 6 th idle gear wheels
φ_{in}	Angular displacement of the input shaft
φ_{wrev}	Angular displacement of the reverse speed gear
φ_p, φ_w	Pinion and gear angular displacements
$\dot{\varphi}_{os}$	Output shaft rotational speed, here $\dot{\varphi}_{os} = 0$
$\dot{\varphi}_w$	Idle gear wheel angular velocity.

Subscripts

a, b, c, d	Bearing designations on the output shafts.
p	Pinion

$prev$	Pinion of reverse gear
w	Gear wheel
$wrev$	Wheel of reverse gear
x, y	In the x- and y- directions respectively.
$x1, y1, x2, y2$	Related to the x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 axes respectively.
xji	Related to the x_j axis and i^{th} gear ($j = 1,2$).
$xjin$	Related to the x_j axis and input shaft ($j = 1,2$).
$xjrev$	Related to the x_j axis and reverse gear ($j = 1,2$).
$xjyk$	Related to the x_j axis and y_k displacement ($j = 1,2; k = 1,2$).
$xjxk$	Related to the x_j axis and x_k displacement ($j = 1,2; k = 1,2$).
yji	Related to the y_j axis and i^{th} gear ($j = 1,2$).
$yjin$	Related to the y_j axis and input shaft ($j = 1,2$).
$yjrev$	Related to the y_j axis and reverse gear ($j = 1,2$).
$yjxk$	Related to the y_j axis and x_k displacement ($j = 1,2; k = 1,2$).
$yjyk$	Related to the y_j axis and y_k displacement ($j = 1,2; k = 1,2$).

1. INTRODUCTION

Gear rattle is caused by torsional vibrations of the crankshaft due to the engine firing frequency and inertial imbalance (Dogan and Lechner [1], Shih et al [2]). This initiates cyclic angular accelerations to be transmitted from the engine to the transmission input shaft, leading to impacts of gear and spline teeth due to gear teeth oscillating within their

backlash (Dogan and Lechner [1], Seaman et al [3], Padmanabhan et al [4]). The gear vibrations are transmitted via the supporting bearings to the transmission case (Fujimoto and Kizuka [5]), which vibrates as a membrane, hence, acting as a sound radiator (Sakai et al [6], Wang et al [7]) to the environment. In most of the literature available, torsional models of the idle gears are presented to simulate gear rattle, including hysteresis, gear backlash, the meshing stiffness and linear damping. Backlash is usually modelled using the dead space function, while the clutch stiffness and hysteresis have been modelled as piecewise linear functions (Singh et al [8], Kim and Singh [9]). Fujimoto and Kizuka [5] used a temperature-dependent drag torque.

The gear teeth stiffness has been usually considered as constant (Sakai et al [6], Kamo et al [10]) or using time-varying coefficients (Wang et al [11], Yakoub et al [12], Kahraman and Singh [13], Blankenship and Singh [14] and Theodossiades and Natsiavas [15, 16]). The contact stiffness utilised by Yakoub et al [12] was also dependent on gear geometry, whilst Bellomo et al [17] represented the teeth contact forces following the Hertzian approach and considering coefficients of restitution. Brancati et al [18] modelled the gear stiffness, using an approximate equation, in which the stiffness depends on the position of the pinion in the contact zone, tooth width, tooth depth and helix angle. Similar approach was also followed by Umezawa et al [19] and Cai [20], who modified the approximate method by incorporating the effects of tooth numbers and addendum modifications. Furthermore, Brancati et al [18] followed Umezawa et al [19] and Cai [20] into transforming the torsional gear system into a translational system along the line of action.

Usually, lubricant effects were regarded as resistive action only, and mostly dry gear teeth impacts have been considered in rattle investigations. Rust et al [21] reported

lubricant viscosity and oil depth as major components contributing to drag torque. Dogan [22] considered the oil squeeze action between the meshing teeth and the gear flow action in the bulk oil as resistive torques. Examining the oil effect further, Gnanakumarr et al [23] assumed line contact between the gear flanks and hydrodynamic action in the lightly loaded counter-formal lubricated contacts between the gear teeth. A hydrodynamic formula proposed by Rahnejat [24] was used in this investigation, which takes both forcing and damping effects into consideration and depends on the lubricant entrainment speed, the contact geometry, and the approach velocity between the teeth. Brancati et al [18] have considered the damping effects of oil only assuming an elastic force when gear teeth are in contact and non-linear damping force during separation. Unlike Gnanakumarr et al [23], who assumed the drag in idler gears to be a function of the relative velocity between gear and shaft, Brancati et al [18] considered a constant drag torque. The damping force introduced was based on assumptions, such as: constant radii of curvature (taken at the pitch points) and viscosity, and ignoring sliding velocity. Similar assumptions were used by Gnanakumarr et al [23]. In both investigations, it was concluded that the presence of lubricant in the contact zone and increased viscosity lead to reduction in rattle vibrations.

2. THE MECHANICAL MODEL

The mechanical model is comprised of 11 degrees of freedom (figure 1), seven of which are torsional, representing the idle gears angular motion, whilst the remaining four degrees of freedom represent the rectilinear motions of the supporting output shafts (excluding the

axial motion). The equations of motion for the idle gears mounted on the two output shafts are then formulated as follows:

For the 1st idle gear wheel (combined with the reverse speed pinion, as shown in figure 1)

$$\begin{aligned} (I_1 + I_{prev})\ddot{\phi}_{1,prev} = & F_1(\phi_{1,prev}, \phi_{in}, \dot{\phi}_{1,prev}, \dot{\phi}_{in}, y_1)r_{w1} \\ & - F_{rev}(\phi_{wrev}, \phi_{1,prev}, \dot{\phi}_{wrev}, \dot{\phi}_{1,prev}, y_1, y_2)r_{prev} \\ & - T_{fw1}(\phi_{1,prev}, \phi_{in}, \dot{\phi}_{1,prev}, \dot{\phi}_{in}) - T_{tractw1}(\dot{\phi}_{1,prev}) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

For the 2nd idle gear wheel

$$I_2\ddot{\phi}_2 = F_2(\phi_2, \phi_{in}, \dot{\phi}_2, \dot{\phi}_{in}, y_1)r_{w2} - T_{fw2}(\phi_2, \phi_{in}, \dot{\phi}_2, \dot{\phi}_{in}) - T_{tractw2}(\dot{\phi}_2) \quad (2)$$

For the 3rd idle gear wheel

$$I_3\ddot{\phi}_3 = F_3(\phi_3, \phi_{in}, \dot{\phi}_3, \dot{\phi}_{in}, y_1)r_{w3} - T_{fw3}(\phi_3, \phi_{in}, \dot{\phi}_3, \dot{\phi}_{in}) - T_{tractw3}(\dot{\phi}_3) \quad (3)$$

For the 4th idle gear wheel

$$I_4\ddot{\phi}_4 = F_4(\phi_4, \phi_{in}, \dot{\phi}_4, \dot{\phi}_{in}, y_1)r_{w4} - T_{fw4}(\phi_4, \phi_{in}, \dot{\phi}_4, \dot{\phi}_{in}) - T_{tractw4}(\dot{\phi}_4) \quad (4)$$

For the 5th idle gear wheel

$$I_5\ddot{\phi}_5 = F_5(\phi_5, \phi_{in}, \dot{\phi}_5, \dot{\phi}_{in}, y_2)r_{w5} - T_{fw5}(\phi_5, \phi_{in}, \dot{\phi}_5, \dot{\phi}_{in}) - T_{tractw5}(\dot{\phi}_5) \quad (5)$$

For the 6th idle gear wheel

$$I_6\ddot{\phi}_6 = F_6(\phi_6, \phi_{in}, \dot{\phi}_6, \dot{\phi}_{in}, y_2)r_{w6} - T_{fw6}(\phi_6, \phi_{in}, \dot{\phi}_6, \dot{\phi}_{in}) - T_{tractw6}(\dot{\phi}_6) \quad (6)$$

And for the reverse gear idle wheel

$$\begin{aligned} I_{wrev}\ddot{\phi}_{wrev} = & F_{rev}(\phi_{wrev}, \phi_{1,prev}, \dot{\phi}_{wrev}, \dot{\phi}_{1,prev}, y_1, y_2)r_{wrev} \\ & - T_{fwrev}(\phi_{wrev}, \phi_{1,prev}, \dot{\phi}_{wrev}, \dot{\phi}_{1,prev}) - T_{tractwrev}(\dot{\phi}_{wrev}) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

In the above equations, $F_{i \ (i=1...6, rev)}$ represent the hydrodynamic reactions between the gear teeth meshing surfaces, $r_{wi \ (i=1...6, rev)}$ are the contact radii of gear wheels and r_{prev} is the contact radius for the reverse speed pinion. The flank friction force is given by

$T_{fwi (i=1...6,rev)}$, while the tractive force between the output shafts and the idle gear wheels is given by $T_{tractwi (i=1...6,rev)}$.

The rectilinear equations of motions are formulated as follows (figure 1):

For the 1st output shaft

$$M_1 \ddot{x}_1 = F_{x1}(\varphi_{in}, \dot{\varphi}_{in}, \varphi_i, \dot{\varphi}_i, y_1, y_2) - \Lambda_{ax}(x_1) - \Lambda_{bx}(x_1) \quad (8)$$

$$M_1 \ddot{y}_1 = F_{y1}(\varphi_{in}, \dot{\varphi}_{in}, \varphi_i, \dot{\varphi}_i, y_1, y_2) - \Lambda_{ay}(y_1) - \Lambda_{by}(y_1) \quad (9)$$

where $i = 1, prev; 2; 3; 4; wrev$.

For the 2nd output shaft

$$M_2 \ddot{x}_2 = F_{x2}(\varphi_{in}, \dot{\varphi}_{in}, \varphi_i, \dot{\varphi}_i, y_1, y_2) - \Lambda_{cx}(x_2) - \Lambda_{dx}(x_2) \quad (10)$$

$$M_2 \ddot{y}_2 = F_{y2}(\varphi_{in}, \dot{\varphi}_{in}, \varphi_i, \dot{\varphi}_i, y_1, y_2) - \Lambda_{cy}(y_2) - \Lambda_{dy}(y_2) \quad (11)$$

where $i = 1, prev; 5; 6; wrev$

F_{x1} , F_{y1} , F_{x2} and F_{y2} are the sums of the components of $F_{i (i=1...6,rev)}$ in the x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 directions, respectively. Λ_{ax} , Λ_{bx} , Λ_{ay} , Λ_{by} , Λ_{cx} , Λ_{dx} , Λ_{cy} and Λ_{dy} are the bearing reactions in x and y directions. The displacements of the first and second output shafts in x and y directions are x_1 , x_2 , y_1 , and y_2 , respectively.

Due to the low loads experienced under engine idle conditions, iso-viscous rigid hydrodynamic conditions have been assumed between the gear meshing teeth (Theodossiades et al [25]). The lubricant reaction, W , for such conjunctions was derived by Rahnejat [24] and also independently by Sasaki et al [26]:

$$W = \frac{L\eta_0 r_{eq}}{h} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2u - \frac{3\pi}{\sqrt{2h}} \frac{\partial h}{\partial t}, \quad \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} < 0 \\ (2u), \quad \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \geq 0 \end{array} \right\} \quad (12)$$

The load on each tooth flank is then given by:

$$F_{i(i=1\dots6,rev)} = W \cos \beta \cos \alpha_n \quad (13)$$

The hydrodynamic flank friction (Gohar [27]) is acting normal to the line of contact (figure 2):

$$F_f = \frac{\pi\eta_0 u_s \sqrt{r_{eq}}}{\sqrt{2h}} \quad (14)$$

And the corresponding torque due to tooth flank friction is defined as:

$$T_f = \frac{r_c F_f \sin \alpha_n \sin \beta}{\cos \beta / \cos \beta_b} \quad (15)$$

The traction induced by the supporting needle bearings of the idle gears can be reasonably approximated using the hydrodynamic Petrov friction approach (Gnanakumarr et al [23]) for a concentric arrangement with zero eccentricity (figure 3), since the loads experienced under idling conditions are low. It can be written in the following form:

$$F = \frac{\pi\eta_0 v l_1 r_{os}}{C} \quad (16)$$

The generated resistive torque is calculated as:

$$T_{tract} = F r_{os} \quad (17)$$

The bearing reaction forces are in the following form (Harris [28]):

$$\Lambda = K(x-b)^{1.11} \quad (18)$$

where x is the shaft displacement and b is the bearing clearance. The coefficient of stiffness K depends on the bearing geometric properties (Harris [28]).

3. EXPERIMENTAL SET-UP

A passenger vehicle equipped with a diesel engine and a manual transmission was used to validate the numerical model. The vehicle was tested with the engine in idle conditions and the transmission in neutral, in a semi-anechoic environment to minimise the influence of background noise. The aim was to correlate the frequency spectra of the numerical model output shafts to that of the transmission walls at the corresponding locations (due to limited access to the transmission shafts) and thus, to examine the model accuracy, since it is well established that rattle noise is radiated to the environment due to vibration of the gearbox surface. The mounting positions for the accelerometers were chosen in a suitable way to follow the path of vibration transfer. Thus, measurements were taken from the bearing locations on the transmission housing, the transmission wall, as well as from the transmission mounts to the vehicle chassis (figure 4). The sample rate was set to 12,000 Hz. Additionally, impact hammer testing of the gearbox housing revealed its natural frequencies.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The case examined corresponds to typical values for gear backlash (from 80 to 150 μm , with the larger values corresponding to the higher inertia gear pairs), lubricant dynamic viscosity (0.05122 Pa-s, corresponding to 39.4°C temperature of the transmission bulk lubricant) and engine speed in idle (810 rpm). Similar temperature conditions had also

been recorded experimentally in the vehicle tested and measurements were captured for comparison reasons. The equations of motion are solved numerically, using the linear acceleration method (Timoshenko et al [29]) for sufficient real time to eliminate transient phenomena, appearing at the beginning of the simulation due to the initial conditions. The geometric and meshing cycle characteristics of the idle gears are determined, which in turn are used for the computation of the reaction forces and the response of the idle gears and output shafts. The most important excitation source in the numerical model is engine order vibration, leading to torsional fluctuations of the transmission input shaft nominal angular velocity. The input shaft response has been acquired from the vehicle under investigation and is used as input to the numerical model.

Figure 5 shows the FFT spectra of the output shafts' rectilinear motions. There are high frequency contributions in both x and y directions, due to the bearing stiffness affecting the motion of the shafts. More specifically, the first output shaft exhibits lower frequencies (1771 and 1798 Hz) compared to the second shaft (1990 and 2137 Hz) because of the larger mass of the first shaft assembly. The characteristics of the shafts' vibration are important, since these components are in the path of vibration transfer from the input shaft to the transmission case. To understand better these contributions and the lubricant effect on the system dynamics, the natural frequencies of the model arising through linearization of equations (1) - (11) are calculated, since the lubricant film behaves as a nonlinear spring, following the meshing cycle variation, The equation of motion of the input shaft is also included in this process.

The lubricant stiffness is determined by differentiating Eq. (12) with respect to the film thickness, considering the rolling term only:

$$K(\varphi_i) = \left| \frac{\partial W_i}{\partial h_i} \right| \quad (19)$$

This stiffness is a function of time, since it depends on the film thickness and gear contact kinematics as a function of the rolling/sliding geometry. By neglecting the tooth-to-tooth variations, the fundamental period of the impacting stiffness is equal to $\varphi_{iT} \equiv 2\pi / n_i$, where n_i represents the tooth number of the driving gear (Theodossiades and Natsiavas [15]). Therefore, the lubricant stiffness can be approximately expressed in a Fourier series as follows:

$$K(\varphi_i) = K_{0i} + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} K_{cp} \cos(pn_i \varphi_i) + K_{sp} \sin(pn_i \varphi_i) \quad (20)$$

The equations of motion for the linearised model are formulated as follows, neglecting the damping terms:

For the input shaft

$$I_{in} \ddot{\varphi}_{in} + \sum_{i=1}^4 K_{0i} r_{pi} (r_{pi} \varphi_{in} - r_{wi} \varphi_i - y_1) + \sum_{i=5}^6 K_{0i} r_{pi} (r_{pi} \varphi_{in} - r_{wi} \varphi_i - y_2) = 0 \quad (21)$$

For the 1st speed idle gear (combined with the reverse gear pinion)

$$(I_1 + I_{prev}) \ddot{\varphi}_{1,prev} + K_{01} r_{w1} (r_{w1} \varphi_{1,prev} + y_1 - r_{p1} \varphi_{in}) + K_{0(rev)} r_{prev} (r_{prev} \varphi_{1,prev} + x_1 \sin \varepsilon_1 + y_1 \cos \varepsilon_1 - r_{wrev} \varphi_{wrev} - x_2 \sin \varepsilon_2 + y_2 \cos \varepsilon_2) = 0 \quad (22)$$

For the 2nd speed idle gear

$$I_2 \ddot{\varphi}_2 + K_{02} r_{w2} (r_{w2} \varphi_2 + y_1 - r_{p2} \varphi_{in}) = 0 \quad (23)$$

For the 3rd speed idle gear

$$I_3 \ddot{\varphi}_3 + K_{03} r_{w3} (r_{w3} \varphi_3 + y_1 - r_{p3} \varphi_{in}) = 0 \quad (24)$$

For the 4th speed idle gear

$$I_4 \ddot{\phi}_4 + K_{04} r_{w4} (r_{w4} \phi_4 + y_1 - r_{p4} \phi_{in}) = 0 \quad (25)$$

For the 5th speed idle gear

$$I_5 \ddot{\phi}_5 + K_{05} r_{w5} (r_{w5} \phi_5 + y_2 - r_{p5} \phi_{in}) = 0 \quad (26)$$

For the 6th speed idle gear

$$I_6 \ddot{\phi}_6 + K_{06} r_{w6} (r_{w6} \phi_6 + y_2 - r_{p6} \phi_{in}) = 0 \quad (27)$$

For the reverse speed idle gear

$$\left. \begin{aligned} &I_{wrev} \ddot{\phi}_{wrev} + \\ &K_{0rev} r_{wrev} (r_{wrev} \phi_{wrev} + x_2 \sin \varepsilon_2 - y_2 \cos \varepsilon_2 - r_{prev} \phi_{1,prev} - x_1 \sin \varepsilon_1 - y_1 \cos \varepsilon_1) = 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (28)$$

For the 1st shaft

$$M_1 \ddot{x}_1 + \sum_{i=1}^4 K_{x1i} \phi_i + K_{x1rev} \phi_{rev} + K_{x1in} \phi_{in} + K_{x1} x_1 + K_{x1y1} y_1 = 0 \quad (29)$$

$$M_1 \ddot{y}_1 + \sum_{i=1}^4 K_{y1i} \phi_i + K_{y1rev} \phi_{rev} + K_{y1in} \phi_{in} + K_{y1x1} x_1 + K_{y1} y_1 = 0 \quad (30)$$

For the 2nd shaft

$$M_2 \ddot{x}_2 + K_{x21} \phi_1 + \sum_{i=5}^6 K_{x2i} \phi_i + K_{x2rev} \phi_{rev} + K_{x2in} \phi_{in} + K_{x2x1} x_1 + K_{x2y1} y_1 = 0 \quad (31)$$

$$M_2 \ddot{y}_2 + K_{y21} \phi_1 + \sum_{i=5}^6 K_{y2i} \phi_i + K_{y2rev} \phi_{rev} + K_{y2in} \phi_{in} + K_{y2x1} x_1 + K_{y2y1} y_1 = 0 \quad (32)$$

The solution of the eigenvalue problem determines the natural frequencies and normal modes of the system, which are shown graphically in figure 6. As it can be seen from Eq. (19), the lower the hydrodynamic film thickness, the higher the natural frequency of the corresponding gear pair with the film stiffness being proportional to $1/h^2$. The natural frequencies of the shafts' rectilinear motions clearly dominate the corresponding FFT spectra, as it can be seen from the graphs of figure 6. The normal modes also reveal strong

coupling between the motions of the 1st, 4th and reverse gears and between the motions of the 5th gear and second output shaft in the y direction, whilst a weaker form of coupling exists between the 3rd and 6th idle gears.

Wavelets of experimental measurements from the vehicle for similar temperature conditions, as in the numerical model are shown in the graphs of figure 7. The measurements were taken from the transmission housing pick-up points close to the input and output shaft bearing locations. The main frequencies observed in the spectra are explained as follows:

- 377 Hz corresponds to the natural frequency of the 3rd gear pair (367 Hz), as well as to the meshing frequency of the same gear pair (384 Hz). In Table 1, the meshing frequencies of the gear pairs are provided (calculated by multiplying the number of gear teeth by the rotational speed of the corresponding gear). The frequency of 738 Hz is the 2nd order of the aforementioned frequency.
- 437 Hz corresponds to the natural frequency of the 6th gear pair (436 Hz) and to the meshing frequency of the 5th gear pair (468 Hz) (within 10% difference).
- 1760 Hz corresponds to the natural frequency of the 1st output shaft x and y motions (1775 Hz and 1800 Hz, respectively) with less than 3% difference in both cases. It is also very close to one of the main natural frequencies of the transmission case (1750 Hz), as it has been identified experimentally with hammer testing. In Table 2, the natural frequencies of the transmission housing below 3000 Hz are shown.
- 2001 Hz corresponds to the natural frequency of the 2nd output shaft x motion (1989 Hz), whilst 2121 Hz and 2180 Hz correspond to the natural frequency of its y motion (2146 Hz) with less than 2% difference in both cases.

The above observations reveal a good agreement between the numerical analysis and the experimental findings. At this point, it is important to underline that the equation of motion of the input shaft has not been considered in the nonlinear analysis, since the kinematics of the shaft were available from experimental measurements for direct use, as input conditions in the numerical model. This arrangement has resulted in isolating the input shaft from accepting reactions backwards from the idle gears, which could be the case for the real system. However, these reaction forces are expected to have little effect on the dynamics of the system, since they are very low compared to the engine excitation. Nevertheless, the numerical analysis has predicted the vibration frequencies of both output shafts and the natural frequencies of the idle gear pairs, which are present in the spectra of the experimental measurements.

5. CONCLUSIONS

A new methodology for the study of idle gear rattle in automotive manual transmissions has been proposed, taking into account the effect of lubricated contacts. The lubricant behaves like a non-linear spring damper, affecting the response of idle gears during the meshing cycle. The examination of the linearised system has revealed its natural frequencies, demonstrating that lubricant viscosity is an important factor, which governs the overall system behaviour, affecting the interacting reaction forces, drag torque and friction in the gear pairs. The experimental measurements taken from a vehicle equipped with the same transmission type as the one modelled are in good agreement with the numerical findings. The inclusion of the transmission case (using FEA techniques) and the input shaft in the numerical model could be the following steps in this investigation, in

order to shed more lights in the effect of those components on the overall system behaviour.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to express their gratitude to Ford Motor Company and the EPSRC for the sponsorship and financial support extended to this research project.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. N. Dogan, G. Lechner, Maßnahmen zur Verringerung von Losteilschwingungen in Fahrzeuggetrieben, *ATZ Automobiltechnische Zeitschrift* 100 (1998) 10, pp. 710-716.
- [2] S. Shih, J. Yrma, and P. Kittredge, Drivetrain Noise and Vibration Troubleshooting, *SAE Technical Paper* 2001-01-2809 (2001).
- [3] R. Seaman, C. Johnson, and R. Hamilton, Component Inertial Effects on Transmission Design, *SAE Technical Paper* 841686 (1984), pp. 6.990-6.1008.
- [4] C. Padmanabhan, T. Rook, and R. Singh, Modelling of Automobile Gear Rattle Phenomenon: State of the Art, *SAE Technical Paper* 951316 (1995).
- [5] T. Fujimoto, and T. Kizuka, An Improvement of the Prediction Method of the Idling Rattle in Manual Transmission- In the Case of the Manual Transmission with Backlash Eliminator-, *SAE Technical Paper* 2001-01-1164 (2001).
- [6] T. Sakai, Y. Doi, K. Yamamoto, T. Ogasawara, M. Narita, Theoretical and Experimental Analysis of Rattling Noise of Automotive Gearbox, *SAE Technical Paper* 810773 (1981), pp. 1-10.
- [7] M. Wang, R. Manoj, and W. Zhao, Gear Rattle Modelling and Analysis for Automotive Manual Transmissions, *Proc. Instn. Mech. Engrs.* Vol. 215 Part D (2001), pp. 241-258.
- [8] R. Singh, H. Xie, and R. Comparin, Analysis of Automotive Neutral Gear Rattle, *Journal of Sound and Vibration* (1989), pp. 177-196.
- [9] T.C. Kim, R. Singh, Dynamic Interactions between Loaded and Unloaded Gear Pairs under Rattle Conditions, *SAE Technical Paper* 2001-01-1553 (2001), pp.1934–1943.

- [10] M. Kamo, H. Yamamoto, H. Koga, and K. Umezawa, Analysis Method for the Contribution Rate of Each Pair of Gears on the Driveline Gear Rattle, *SAE Technical Paper* 960726 (1996), pp. 221-227.
- [11] M. Wang, W. Zhao, and R. Manoj, Numerical Modelling and Analysis of Automotive Transmission Rattle, *Journal of Vibration and Control* 8 (2002), pp. 921-943.
- [12] R. Yakoub, M. Corrado, A. Forcelli, T. Pappalardo, and S. Dutre, Prediction of System-Level Gear Rattle Using Multibody and Vibro-Acoustic Techniques, *SAE Technical Paper* 2004-32-0063/20044350, 2004.
- [13] A. Kahraman and R. Singh, Interactions Between Time-Varying Mesh Stiffness and Clearance Non-Linearities in a Geared System, *Journal of Sound and Vibration* (1991) 146(1), pp 135-156.
- [14] G. Blankenship and R. Singh, A New Gear Mesh Interface Dynamic Model to Predict Multi-Dimensional Force Coupling and Excitation, *Mech. Mach. Theory* (1995) vol. 30 No. 1, pp. 43-57.
- [15] S. Theodossiades and S. Natsiavas, Non-Linear Dynamics of Gear-Pair Systems with Periodic Stiffness and Backlash, *Journal of Sound and Vibration* (2000), 229(2), pp. 287-310.
- [16] S. Theodossiades and S. Natsiavas, On Geared Rotordynamic Systems with Oil Journal Bearings, *Journal of Sound and Vibration* (2001), 243(4), pp. 721-745.
- [17] P. Bellomo, F. Cricenti, N. De Vito, C. Lang, and D. Minervini, Innovative Vehicle Powertrain Systems Engineering: Beating the Noisy Offenders in Vehicle Transmissions, *SAE Technical Paper* 2000-01-0033 (2000).

- [18] R. Brancati, E. Rocca, and R. Russo, A Gear Rattle Model Accounting for Oil Squeeze Between the Meshing Gear Teeth, *Proc. IMechE Vol. 219 Part D: J. Automobile Engineering* (2005), pp. 1075-1083.
- [19] K. Umezawa, T. Suzuki, and T. Sato, Vibration of Power Transmission Helical Gears (Approximate Equation of Tooth Stiffness), *Bulletin of JSME* (1986), Vol. 29, No. 251, pp. 1605-1611.
- [20] Y. Cai, Simulation on the Rotational Vibration of Helical Gears in Consideration of the Tooth Separation Phenomenon (a New Stiffness Function of Helical Involute Tooth Pair), *Transactions of the ASME, Journal of Mechanical Design* (1995), Vol. 117, pp. 460-469.
- [21] A. Rust, F. Brandl, and G. Thien, Investigations into Gear Rattle Phenomena – Key Parameters and their Influence on Gearbox Noise, *IMechE, C404/001* (1990), pp. 113-120.
- [22] S. Doğan, Zur Minimierung der Losteilgeräusche von Fahrzeuggetrieben, Berichte Nr. 91 (2001), Institut für Maschinenelemente, Universität Stuttgart.
- [23] M. Gnanakumarr, S. Theodossiades, and H. Rahnejat, The Tribo-Contact Dynamics Phenomenon in Torsional Impact Of Loose Gears - Promoting Gear Rattle, *SAE 02ATT-138, Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE)-ATT Congress*, Paris, 2002.
- [24] H. Rahnejat, The Influence of Vibration on the Oil film in Elasto-hydrodynamic Contacts, PhD Thesis, Imperial College, University of London, 1984.
- [25] S. Theodossiades, O. Tangasawi and H. Rahnejat, Gear Teeth Impacts in Hydrodynamic Conjunctions promoting Idle Gear Rattle, submitted to the Journal of Sound and Vibration.

- [26] T. Sasaki, H. Mori and N. Okino, Fluid Lubrication Theory of Roller Bearings, *Trans. ASME, J. Basic Engineering*, 1962.
- [27] R. Gohar, Elastohydrodynamics, Imperial College Press, 2001, 2nd edition.
- [28] T. Harris, Rolling Bearing Analysis, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 4th Edition (2001).
- [29] S. Timoshenko, D. H. Young, and W. Weaver Jr., *Vibration problems in Engineering*, John Wiley & Sons, 4th Edition, 1974.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1: Front Wheel Drive Transmission Layout

Figure 2: Contact Lines and Hydrodynamic Friction on Tooth Flank

Figure 3: Hydrodynamic Reaction and Petrov's Force

Figure 4: Accelerometer pick-up locations on the Transmission Housing

Figure 5: FFT Spectra of the output shafts' lateral responses (a) x_1 , (b) y_1 , (c) x_2 and (d) y_2

Figure 6: Natural Frequencies and Mode Shapes of the Linearised System.

Figure 7: Wavelets of the gearbox wall response close to the output and input shaft bearing locations

1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	5 th	6 th	Reverse
172 Hz	291 Hz	384 Hz	504 Hz	464 Hz	504 Hz	107 Hz

Table 1: Meshing frequencies of the gear pairs

1020 Hz	1200 Hz	1430 Hz	1750 Hz	1845 Hz
2070 Hz	2380 Hz	2700 Hz	2890 Hz	3065 Hz

Table 2: Natural frequencies of the gearbox in the frequency region below 3000 Hz

Figure 1: Front Wheel Drive Transmission Layout

Figure 2: Contact Lines and Hydrodynamic Friction on Tooth Flank

Figure 3: Hydrodynamic Reaction and Petrov's Force

Figure 4: Accelerometer pick-up locations on the Transmission Housing

Figure 5 (continued over)

Figure 5: FFT Spectra of the output shafts' lateral responses

(a) x_1 , (b) y_1 , (c) x_2 and (d) y_2

Figure 6 (continued over)

Figure 6: Natural Frequencies and Mode Shapes of the Linearised System

Figure 7 (continued over)

Figure 7: Wavelets of the gearbox wall response close to the output and input shaft bearing locations