Dear Author

Here are the proofs of your article.

- You can submit your corrections **online**, via **e-mail** or by **fax**.
- For **online** submission please insert your corrections in the online correction form. Always indicate the line number to which the correction refers.
- You can also insert your corrections in the proof PDF and **email** the annotated PDF.
- For **fax** submission, please ensure that your corrections are clearly legible. Use a fine black pen and write the correction in the margin, not too close to the edge of the page.
- Remember to note the **journal title**, **article number**, and **your name** when sending your response via e-mail or fax.
- **Check** the metadata sheet to make sure that the header information, especially author names and the corresponding affiliations are correctly shown.
- **Check** the questions that may have arisen during copy editing and insert your answers/corrections.
- **Check** that the text is complete and that all figures, tables and their legends are included. Also check the accuracy of special characters, equations, and electronic supplementary material if applicable. If necessary refer to the *Edited manuscript*.
- The publication of inaccurate data such as dosages and units can have serious consequences. Please take particular care that all such details are correct.
- Please **do not** make changes that involve only matters of style. We have generally introduced forms that follow the journal's style.
- Substantial changes in content, e.g., new results, corrected values, title and authorship are not allowed without the approval of the responsible editor. In such a case, please contact the Editorial Office and return his/her consent together with the proof.
- If we do not receive your corrections **within 48 hours**, we will send you a reminder.
- Your article will be published **Online First** approximately one week after receipt of your corrected proofs. This is the **official first publication** citable with the DOI. **Further changes are, therefore, not possible.**
- The **printed version** will follow in a forthcoming issue.

Please note

After online publication, subscribers (personal/institutional) to this journal will have access to the complete article via the DOI using the URL:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00170-014-6016-z>

If you would like to know when your article has been published online, take advantage of our free alert service. For registration and further information, go to:

<http://www.link.springer.com>.

Due to the electronic nature of the procedure, the manuscript and the original figures will only be returned to you on special request. When you return your corrections, please inform us, if you would like to have these documents returned.

Metadata of the article that will be visualized in OnlineFirst

Please note: Images will appear in color online but will be printed in black and white.

1	Article Title	Investigation of the effect of machining parameters on the surface quality of machined brass (60/40) in CNC end milling —ANFIS modeling	
2	Article Sub- Title		
3	Article Copyright - Year	Springer-Verlag London 2014 (This will be the copyright line in the final PDF)	
4	Journal Name	The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology	
5		Family Name	Sarhan
6		Particle	
7		Given Name	Ahmed A. D.
8		Suffix	
9		Organization	University of Malaya
10		Division	Centre of Advanced Manufacturing and Material Processing, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering
11	Corresponding	Address	Kuala Lumpur 50603, Malaysia
12	Author	Organization	Kafrelsheikh University
13		Division	Department of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering
14		Address	Kafrelsheikh 33516, Egypt
15		Organization	Assiut University
16		Division	Department of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering
17		Address	Assiut 71516, Egypt
18		e-mail	ah_sarhan@yahoo.com
19		Family Name	Maher
20		Particle	
21		Given Name	Ibrahim
22		Suffix	
23	Author	Organization	University of Malaya
24		Division	Centre of Advanced Manufacturing and Material Processing, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering
25		Address	Kuala Lumpur 50603, Malaysia

26		Organization	Kafrelsheikh University
27		Division	Department of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering
28		Address	Kafrelsheikh 33516, Egypt
29		e-mail	
30		Family Name	Eltaib
31		Particle	
32		Given Name	M. E. H.
33		Suffix	
34	Author	Organization	Assiut University
35		Division	Department of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering
36		Address	Assiut 71516, Egypt
37		e-mail	
38		Family Name	El-Zahry
39		Particle	
40		Given Name	R. M.
41		Suffix	
42	Author	Organization	Assiut University
43		Division	Department of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering
44		Address	Assiut 71516, Egypt
45		e-mail	
46		Received	25 December 2013
47	Schedule	Revised	
48		Accepted	28 May 2014
49	Abstract	Brass and brass alloys are widely employed industrial materials because of their excellent characteristics such as high corrosion resistance, non-magnetism, and good machinability. Surface quality plays a very important role in the performance of milled products, as good surface quality can significantly improve fatigue strength, corrosion resistance, or creep life. Surface roughness (Ra) is one of the most important factors for evaluating surface quality during the finishing process. The quality of surface affects the functional characteristics of the workpiece, including fatigue, corrosion, fracture resistance, and surface friction. Furthermore, surface roughness is among the most critical constraints in cutting parameter selection in manufacturing process planning. In this paper, the adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system (ANFIS) was used to predict the surface roughness in computer numerical control (CNC) end milling. Spindle speed, feed rate, and depth of cut were the predictor variables. Experimental validation runs were	

conducted to validate the ANFIS model. The predicted surface roughness was compared with measured data, and the maximum prediction error for surface roughness was 6.25 %, while the average prediction error was 2.75 %.

50	Keywords separated by ' - '	Brass - ANFIS - Surface roughness - CNC - End milling
----	--------------------------------	---

51	Foot note information	
----	--------------------------	--

Investigation of the effect of machining parameters on the surface quality of machined brass (60/40) in CNC end milling—ANFIS modeling

Ibrahim Maher · M. E. H. Eltaib · Ahmed A. D. Sarhan · R. M. El-Zahry

Received: 25 December 2013 / Accepted: 28 May 2014
© Springer-Verlag London 2014

Abstract Brass and brass alloys are widely employed industrial materials because of their excellent characteristics such as high corrosion resistance, non-magnetism, and good machinability. Surface quality plays a very important role in the performance of milled products, as good surface quality can significantly improve fatigue strength, corrosion resistance, or creep life. Surface roughness (Ra) is one of the most important factors for evaluating surface quality during the finishing process. The quality of surface affects the functional characteristics of the workpiece, including fatigue, corrosion, fracture resistance, and surface friction. Furthermore, surface roughness is among the most critical constraints in cutting parameter selection in manufacturing process planning. In this paper, the adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system (ANFIS) was used to predict the surface roughness in computer numerical control (CNC) end milling. Spindle speed, feed rate, and depth of cut were the predictor variables. Experimental validation runs were conducted to validate the ANFIS model. The predicted surface roughness was compared with measured data, and the maximum prediction error for surface roughness was 6.25 %, while the average prediction error was 2.75 %.

Keywords Brass · ANFIS · Surface roughness · CNC · End milling

I. Maher · A. A. D. Sarhan (✉)
Centre of Advanced Manufacturing and Material Processing,
Department of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering,
University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
e-mail: ah_sarhan@yahoo.com

I. Maher · A. A. D. Sarhan
Department of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering,
Kafrelsheikh University, Kafrelsheikh 33516, Egypt

M. E. H. Eltaib · A. A. D. Sarhan · R. M. El-Zahry
Department of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering,
Assiut University, Assiut 71516, Egypt

1 Introduction

Brass is one of the first two metals most widely used by humans, copper and its alloy (brass) and gold [1]. Brass is specified because of the unique combination of properties, it is stronger and harder than copper, easy to form into various shapes, a good conductor of heat, and is generally resistant to corrosion from salt water. Owing to these properties, brass is usually a first-choice material for many components in equipment made in general. In the electrical and precision engineering industries, brass is also used to make pipes and tubes, weather stripping, and other architectural trim pieces, screws, radiators, musical instruments, and cartridge casting for firearms [2].

In the milling process, surface roughness plays a vital role in how products perform, and it is also a factor with great influence on manufacturing cost. It describes the geometry of the machined surface, and combined with surface texture, it can play an important role on the operational characteristics of the part (e.g., fatigue, corrosion, fracture resistance, and surface friction). To achieve a desirable surface quality value, the part must be machined more than once. Therefore, the desired surface finish is usually specified, and appropriate processes are selected to attain the required quality [3].

To achieve a desired surface finish, a good predictive model is required for stable machining. The number of surface roughness prediction models available in literature is very limited [4]. Most surface quality prediction models are empirical and generally based on laboratory experiments. In addition, it is practically very difficult to control all factors as required to obtain reproducible results [5, 6].

Actual surface roughness monitoring can be accomplished either by intensive post-process inspection, an in-process surface roughness measuring device, or a surface roughness prediction system. Although post-process inspection is the easiest to implement, it cannot prevent the parts from being

processed before a defective batch is discovered. In-process measurement of surface roughness requires adding sensitive sensors to a hostile environment. Ultimately, the surface roughness prediction system can be used to determine the surface roughness indirectly [7–9].

Several techniques including multiple regression, Taguchi, fuzzy logic, artificial neural network (ANN), and adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system (ANFIS) have been used to predict surface roughness in various cutting processes [10–16].

The criterion variable is surface roughness and the predictor variables are controllable machining parameters, such as spindle speed, feed rate, and depth of cut and their interactions. These techniques were used in turning [17, 18], milling [19, 20], and drilling processes [21, 22].

ANFIS is a fuzzy inference system implemented in the framework of an adaptive neural network. By using a hybrid learning procedure, ANFIS can be used to construct an input–output mapping based on human knowledge as fuzzy if-then rules as well as predetermined input–output data pairs for neural network training. It provides a means for fuzzy modeling to learn information about the data set in order to compute the membership function parameters that best allow the associated fuzzy inference system to track the given input–output data [23, 24].

Recently, ANFIS has been applied to predict workpiece surface roughness in end-milling operation, yielding accuracy as high as 96 % [25] and average error up to 0.522 % [26]. It has also been used to predict surface roughness in turning operation, producing average error up to 0.38 % [27]. Lee et al. [28] employed ANFIS to establish the relationship between actual surface roughness and texture features of the surface image. Accurate surface roughness modeling can facilitate the effective estimation of surface roughness. The input parameters of a training model are spatial frequency, arithmetic mean value, and standard deviation of gray levels from the surface image, without involving cutting parameters (cutting speed, feed rate, and depth of cut). Experiments

demonstrate the validity and effectiveness of fuzzy neural networks for modeling and estimating surface roughness. Empirical results also show that the proposed ANFIS-based method outperforms the existing polynomial-network-based method in terms of training and test accuracy of surface roughness. Hence, the aim of this work is to obtain optimal milling parameters (cutting speed, feed rate, and depth of cut) for minimal surface roughness while milling brass (60/40). ANFIS modeling is used to accomplish this objective.

2 Experimental setup

Surface roughness is the dependent variable, while cutting speed (n) in the range of 750–1,750 rpm, feed rate (f) ranging from 50 to 250 mm/min, and depth of cut (t) in the range of 0.3–0.7 mm were used as predictor variables, which were selected based on the tool manufacturer's recommendations (Table 1).

The experiments were performed using a ProLight2000 computer numerical control (CNC) end-milling machine. A high-speed steel four-flute end-milling cutter with a diameter of 7/16 in. (11.1 mm) was used for dry machining slots of brass (60/40) blocks under specific machining conditions (speed, feed, and depth of cut), as shown in Fig. 1. Brass (60/40) with Vickers hardness of 125 and a chemical composition of 60 % copper and 40 % zinc served as a workpiece material with 40×40×20 mm dimensions (Fig. 1). The surface roughness R_a (μm) was measured with a stylus-based profilometer (Surtronic 3+, 99 % accuracy).

3 Experimental results

A slot-milling test was carried out using the proposed experimental setup to investigate the surface quality. The average surface roughness R_a was calculated for three different measurements under the same conditions with a sampling length

Table 1 Measured R_a in microns (trained data set)

Q3	t1.2	f (mm/min)	n (rpm)														
			500			750			1,000			1,250			1,500		
			t (mm)														
			0.1	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.3
t1.6	60	0.79	0.86	0.91	0.33	0.41	0.43	0.28	0.36	0.34	0.28	0.33	0.28	0.28	0.29	0.28	
t1.7	120	1.28	1.39	1.44	0.74	0.81	1.2	0.43	0.51	0.61	0.34	0.41	0.48	0.34	0.39	0.4	
t1.8	180	1.43	1.64	1.82	1.01	0.93	0.88	1.01	0.92	0.84	1.0	0.92	0.82	0.93	0.91	0.8	
t1.9	240	1.79	1.76	2.03	1.29	1.19	1.05	0.89	1.18	0.96	0.85	0.87	0.93	0.82	0.89	0.92	
t1.10	300	1.77	1.84	2.28	1.65	1.55	1.57	1.23	1.29	1.09	1.07	1.02	1.01	1.06	0.92	0.98

Fig. 1 Cutting geometry

of $L_c=2.5$ mm at a specific area of the workpiece. The measurements' direction was parallel to the cutting direction and perpendicular to the lay of surface anomalies. A total of 75 sets of data were selected for training ANFIS from a total of 100 sets obtained in the end-milling experiments, as summarized in Table 1. The remaining 25 sets were used for testing once training was completed to verify the accuracy of the predicted surface roughness values. All data in this research is in the steady-state region of tool wear.

4 ANFIS prediction model

The ANFIS architecture is shown in Fig. 2. Five network layers were used by ANFIS to perform the following fuzzy inference steps: (1) input fuzzification, (2) fuzzy set database construction, (3) fuzzy rule base construction, (4) decision-making, and (5) output defuzzification. ANFIS was constructed through MATLAB, and 75 readings comprised the training data set as listed in Table 1.

Fig. 2 ANFIS architecture for a three-input Sugeno fuzzy model

Different membership functions were used in training ANFIS to predict surface roughness. The generalized bell membership function (gbellmf) gives the lowest training error, so it was adopted for the ANFIS training process in this study. The fuzzy rule architecture of ANFIS when gbellmf is adopted consists of 27 fuzzy rules generated from the input–output data set based on the Sugeno fuzzy model [29–31]. During training, the 75 R_a values (training data set) were used to conduct 300 cycles of learning with an average error of 0.10.

The membership functions of every input parameter within the architecture can be divided into three areas, i.e., small (S), medium (M), and large (L). Figure 3 shows the initial and final membership functions of the three end-milling parameters derived from training via gbellmf.

In Fig. 3a, b, the initial and final membership functions of speed only undergo minor changes in the medium and large areas, and major changes in the small area. Figure 3c, d illustrates the initial and final membership functions of the feed rate. It appears that the final membership function after training experiences smaller variation in the small and large areas but slightly greater variation in the medium area. Figure 3e, f shows the initial and final membership functions of the depth of cut. There is obviously a small change in the final membership function's shape after training, regardless of area size. The large changes in the small area in Fig. 3b indicate that the lower speed value has greater effect on surface roughness than the medium and large values. The minor changes in the small, medium, and large areas in Fig. 3d indicate that all ranges of feed rate and depth of cut have the same effect on surface roughness. Also, Fig. 3 shows that among the three end-milling parameters studied, speed had the greatest impact on surface roughness, followed by feed rate, and finally depth of cut, which was the least significant factor of all.

According to Fig. 4a, b, speed and feed rates had considerable effect on surface roughness, while an increase in speed led to a decrease in surface roughness and an increase in feed rate resulted in an increase in surface roughness, but depth of cut had a minor effect on surface roughness. Lower depth of cut and feed rate values are recommended for smaller surface

Fig. 3 Initial membership and final membership functions of speed, feed, and depth of cut. **a** Initial membership functions of speed. **b** Final membership functions of speed. **c** Initial membership functions of feed. **d** Final membership functions of feed. **e** Initial membership functions of depth of cut. **f** Final membership functions of depth of cut

roughness. That is because the combination of feed rate and depth of cut determines the undeformed chip section and hence the amount of cutting forces required to remove a specified volume of material. By decreasing the feed rate and depth of cut, less material has to be cut per tooth per revolution; thus, the energy required is lower. Consequently, this would reduce the cutting forces, leading to lower surface roughness, while the high depth of cut and feed rate would

lead to a contact overload between the cutting tool and the workpiece, which would cause inferior surface quality.

On the other hand, the effect of cutting speed should also be taken into consideration. The results of cutting speed are shown in Fig. 4a, and they suggest that higher spindle speed would result in lower surface roughness. This could be explained in terms of the chip formation process, which is influenced by the shear

Fig. 4 The predicted surface roughness by ANFIS in relation to parameters change

Fig. 5 Chip formation process

length (l_s) in the shear zone. The shear length (l_s) is given as $l_s = t / \sin \varphi$, where t is undeformed chip thickness, and φ is the shear angle [32]. Shear angle (φ) is large at high cutting speeds; therefore, the shear length (l_s) is small, as shown in Fig. 5 [33]. As a result, the chip will break away with less material deformation at

the tool tip, which will in turn preserve the machined surface properties leading to less edge chipping.

5 Model verification

Twenty-five random readings were used as the testing data set (Table 2). The plot of 25 measured R_a values versus predicted R_a using the ANFIS model is shown in Fig. 6. This figure presents a comparison of the measured R_a and predicted R_a of the testing data set of 25 following training using ANFIS. Appropriate assent is evident between the measured and ANFIS-predicted surface roughness values. This close assent obviously displays that the ANFIS model can be used to predict the surface roughness under consideration. Thus, the proposed ANFIS model offers a promising solution to predicting roughness values in the specific range of parameters.

Table 2 and Fig. 6 show a big difference in surface roughness from 625 to 875 rpm and small change between 875 and 1,375 rpm. There is also a big difference in surface roughness

Table 2 The error of the ANFIS model prediction

Test no.	Parameters			Measured R_a (μm)	Predicted R_a (μm)	Error (%)
	n (rpm)	f (mm/min)	t (mm)			
1	625	90	0.15	0.84	0.799	4.88
2			0.25	0.87	0.872	0.23
3	150		0.15	1.36	1.35	0.74
4			0.25	1.44	1.45	0.69
5		210	0.15	1.49	1.47	1.34
6			0.25	1.53	1.58	3.26
7		270	0.15	1.64	1.69	3.05
8	875	90	0.15	0.34	0.327	3.82
9			0.25	0.42	0.409	2.62
10		150	0.15	0.81	0.794	1.97
11			0.25	0.82	0.774	5.61
12		210	0.25	0.88	0.884	0.45
13		270	0.15	1.26	1.27	0.79
14			0.25	1.12	1.19	6.25
15	1,125	90	0.25	0.36	0.36	0
16		150	0.25	0.76	0.724	4.74
17		210	0.25	0.88	0.829	5.79
18		270	0.15	1.12	1.19	6.25
19			0.25	1.1	1.11	0.91
20	1,375	90	0.15	0.28	0.268	4.28
21			0.25	0.29	0.279	3.8
22		210	0.15	0.83	0.842	1.45
23			0.25	0.82	0.826	0.73
24		270	0.15	0.97	0.933	3.81
25			0.25	0.94	0.929	1.17
Average						2.75

Fig. 6 Measured Ra versus predicted Ra

between 90 and 150 mm/min feed rates and small change from 150 to 270 mm/min. Thus, it is recommended to machine brass (60/40) materials using 90 mm/min feed rate, 875 rpm cutting speed, and a small depth of cut range.

To evaluate the ANFIS model, the percentage error E_i and average percentage error E_{av} defined in Eqs. (1) and (2), respectively, were used.

$$E_i = \frac{|Ra_i - \hat{Ra}_i|}{Ra_i} \times 100 \quad (1)$$

$$E_{av} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m E_i \quad (2)$$

where E_i is the percentage error of sample number i ; Ra_i is the measured Ra of sample number i ; $\hat{Ra}_i$ is the predicted Ra generated by the ANFIS model; $i=1,2,3,\dots$; m is the sample number; and E_{av} is the average percentage error of m sample data.

Table 2 and Fig. 7 show that the average percentage error for surface roughness prediction is 2.75 %. Figure 7 presents the percentage error between the predicted and measured Ra. The highest percentage of error for ANFIS model prediction is 6.25 %. The low error level signifies that the surface roughness results predicted by ANFIS are very close to the actual experimental results. The error and accuracy values mean that the proposed model can predict surface roughness satisfactorily.

Fig. 7 The error percentage

6 Conclusion

ANFIS was used to develop an empirical model for predicting the surface roughness of machined brass in CNC end milling. Spindle speed, feed rate, and depth of cut were used as predictor variables. Seventy-five measured Ra values, under different cutting conditions, comprised the training data set, and 25 random values were used as the testing data set. The model was verified with test data, and the average percentage accuracy achieved was 97.25 %. These results indicate that the ANFIS model with gbellmf is accurate and can be used to predict surface roughness in end-milling operation.

References

1. Zronik J (2005) Metals shaping our world. Crabtree, Canada
2. Cheremisinoff NP (1996) Materials selection deskbook. Noyes, New Jersey
3. Benardos PG, Vosniakos GC (2002) Prediction of surface roughness in CNC face milling using neural networks and Taguchi's design of experiments. Robot Comput Integr Manuf 18(5–6):343–354. doi:10.1016/S0736-5845(02)00005-4
4. Suresh PVS, Venkateswara Rao P, Deshmukh SG (2002) A genetic algorithmic approach for optimization of surface roughness prediction model. Int J Mach Tools Manuf 42(6):675–680. doi:10.1016/S0890-6955(02)00005-6
5. Wang X, Feng C (2002) Development of empirical models for surface roughness prediction in finish turning. Int J Adv Manuf Technol 20(5):348–356
6. Ding T, Zhang S, Wang Y, Zhu X (2010) Empirical models and optimal cutting parameters for cutting forces and surface roughness in hard milling of AISI H13 steel. Int J Adv Manuf Technol 51(1–4): 45–55. doi:10.1007/s00170-010-2598-2
7. Chen J, Huang B (2003) An in-process neural network-based surface roughness prediction (INN-SRP) system using a dynamometer in end milling operations. Int J Adv Manuf Technol 21(5):339–347
8. Chang H-K, Kim J-H, Kim IH, Jang DY, Han DC (2007) In-process surface roughness prediction using displacement signals from spindle motion. Int J Mach Tools Manuf 47(6):1021–1026. doi:10.1016/j.ijmachtools.2006.07.004
9. Singh D, Rao PV (2006) A surface roughness prediction model for hard turning process. Int J Adv Manuf Technol 32(11–12):1115–1124. doi:10.1007/s00170-006-0429-2
10. Asiltürk İ, Çunkaş M (2011) Modeling and prediction of surface roughness in turning operations using artificial neural network and multiple regression method. Expert Syst Appl 38(5):5826–5832. doi: 10.1016/j.eswa.2010.11.041
11. Asiltürk İ (2012) Predicting surface roughness of hardened AISI 1040 based on cutting parameters using neural networks and multiple regression. Int J Adv Manuf Technol 63(1–4):249–257. doi:10.1007/s00170-012-3903-z
12. Sayuti M, Sarhan AAD, Fadzil M, Hamdi M (2012) Enhancement and verification of a machined surface quality for glass milling operation using CBN grinding tool—Taguchi approach. Int J Adv Manuf Technol 60(9–12):939–950. doi:10.1007/s00170-011-3657-z
13. Bağcı E, Aykut Ş (2005) A study of Taguchi optimization method for identifying optimum surface roughness in CNC face milling of cobalt-based alloy (stellite 6). Int J Adv Manuf Technol 29(9–10): 940–947. doi:10.1007/s00170-005-2616-y

- 321 14. Zalnezhad E, Sarhan AAD, Hamdi M (2013) A fuzzy logic based 358
322 model to predict surface hardness of thin film TiN coating on aero- 359
323 space AL7075-T6 alloy. *Int J Adv Manuf Technol* 68(1–4):415–423. 360
324 doi:[10.1007/s00170-013-4738-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-013-4738-y) 361
- 325 15. Kohli A, Dixit US (2004) A neural-network-based methodology for 362
326 the prediction of surface roughness in a turning process. *Int J Adv 363*
327 Manuf Technol 25(1–2):118–129. doi:[10.1007/s00170-003-1810-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-003-1810-z) 364
- 328 16. Abdel Badie S (2011) Prediction of surface roughness in end milling 365
329 process using intelligent systems: a comparative study. *Appl Comput 366*
330 Intel Soft Comput. doi:[10.1155/2011/183764](https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/183764) 367
- 331 17. Asiltürk İ, Akkuş H (2011) Determining the effect of cutting param- 368
332 eters on surface roughness in hard turning using the Taguchi method. 369
333 Measurement. doi:[10.1016/j.measurement.2011.07.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2011.07.003) 370
- 334 18. Hasçalık A, Çaydaş U (2007) Optimization of turning parameters for 371
335 surface roughness and tool life based on the Taguchi method. *Int J 372*
336 Adv Manuf Technol 38(9–10):896–903. doi:[10.1007/s00170-007-1147-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-007-1147-0) 373
- 337 19. Hamdan A, Sarhan AAD, Hamdi M (2012) An optimization method 374
338 of the machining parameters in high-speed machining of stainless 375
339 steel using coated carbide tool for best surface finish. *Int J Adv Manuf 376*
340 Technol 58(1–4):81–91. doi:[10.1007/s00170-011-3392-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-011-3392-5) 377
- 341 20. Dweiri F, Al-Jarrah M, Al-Wedyan H (2003) Fuzzy surface rough- 378
342 ness modeling of CNC down milling of Alomic-79. *J Mater Process 379*
343 Tech 133(3):266–275. doi:[10.1016/S0924-0136\(02\)00847-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-0136(02)00847-6) 380
- 344 21. Kilickap E, Huseyinoglu M, Yardimeden A (2010) 381
345 Optimization of drilling parameters on surface roughness in 382
346 drilling of AISI 1045 using response surface methodology and 383
347 genetic algorithm. *Int J Adv Manuf Technol* 52(1–4): 384
348 79–88. doi:[10.1007/s00170-010-2710-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-010-2710-7) 385
- 349 22. Kumar BS, Baskar N (2012) Integration of fuzzy logic with response 386
350 surface methodology for thrust force and surface roughness modeling 387
351 of drilling on titanium alloy. *Int J Adv Manuf Technol* 65(9–12): 388
352 1501–1514. doi:[10.1007/s00170-012-4275-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-012-4275-0) 389
- 353 23. Dong M, Wang N (2011) Adaptive network-based fuzzy inference 390
354 system with leave-one-out cross-validation approach for prediction of 391
355 surface roughness. *Applied Mathematical Modelling* 35(3):1024– 392
356 1035. doi:[10.1016/j.apm.2010.07.048](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2010.07.048) 393
357 394
24. Zalnezhad E, Sarhan AD, Hamdi M (2013) Investigating the effects 358
of hard anodizing parameters on surface hardness of hard anodized 359
aerospace AL7075-T6 alloy using fuzzy logic approach for fretting 360
fatigue application. *Int J Adv Manuf Technol* 68(1–4):453–464. doi: 361
[10.1007/s00170-013-4743-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-013-4743-1) 362
25. Lo S-P (2003) An adaptive-network based fuzzy inference system for 363
prediction of workpiece surface roughness in end milling. *J Mater 364*
Process Tech 142(3):665–675. doi:[10.1016/S0924-0136\(03\)00687-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-0136(03)00687-3) 365
26. Kumanan S, Jesuthanam CP, Ashok Kumar R (2008) Application of 366
multiple regression and adaptive neuro fuzzy inference system for the 367
prediction of surface roughness. *Int J Adv Manuf Technol* 35(7–8): 368
778–788. doi:[10.1007/s00170-006-0755-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-006-0755-4) 369
27. Ho S-Y, Lee K-C, Chen S-S, Ho S-J (2002) Accurate modeling and 370
prediction of surface roughness by computer vision in turning oper- 371
ations using an adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system. *Int J Mach 372*
Tools Manuf 42(13):1441–1446. doi:[10.1016/S0890-6955\(02\)00078-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0890-6955(02)00078-0) 373
28. Lee K-C, Ho S-J, Ho S-Y (2005) Accurate estimation of surface 374
roughness from texture features of the surface image using an adap- 375
tive neuro-fuzzy inference system. *Precision Eng* 29(1):95–100. doi: 376
[10.1016/j.precisioneng.2004.05.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precisioneng.2004.05.002) 377
29. Jang J-SR, Sun C-T, Mizutani E (1997) Neuro-fuzzy and soft com- 378
puting : a computational approach to learning and machine intelli- 379
gence. MATLAB curriculum series. Prentice Hall, Inc, U.S.A. 380
30. Kasabov NK (1997) Foundations of neural networks, fuzzy systems, 381
and knowledge engineering, vol. 33. MIT, Cambridge. doi:[10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0898-1221(97)84600-7) 382
[S0898-1221\(97\)84600-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0898-1221(97)84600-7), Computers & Mathematics with 383
Applications, vol 7 384
31. Li H, Chen CLP, Huang HP (2001) Fuzzy neural intelligent systems: 385
mathematical foundation and the applications in engineering. CRC, 386
USA 387
32. Ghani JA, Choudhury IA, Hassan HH (2004) Application of Taguchi 388
method in the optimization of end milling parameters. *J Mater 389*
Process Tech 145(1):84–92. doi:[10.1016/S0924-0136\(03\)00865-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-0136(03)00865-3) 390
33. Philip PK (1971) Built-up edge phenomenon in machining steel with 391
carbide. *Int J Mach Tools Des Res* 11(2):121–132. doi:[10.1016/0020-7357\(71\)90021-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-7357(71)90021-7) 392
393
394

AUTHOR QUERIES

AUTHOR PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUERIES.

- Q1. Please check captured article title, if appropriate.
- Q2. All occurrences of "work-piece" were changed to "workpiece." Please check if changes made are appropriate.
- Q3. Please check if table 1 is presented correctly.
- Q4. Please check if bibliographic details are presented correctly.
- Q5. Figure 3 contains poor quality of resolution(blurry text) Please provide replacement/s. Otherwise, please advise if it's okay to proceed with the figures as is.

UNCORRECTED PROOF