This item was submitted to [Loughborough's Research Repository](#) by the author.
Items in Figshare are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved, unless otherwise indicated.

Immediate pre-meal water ingestion decreases voluntary food intake in lean young males

PLEASE CITE THE PUBLISHED VERSION

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00394-015-0903-4>

PUBLISHER

© Springer

VERSION

AM (Accepted Manuscript)

PUBLISHER STATEMENT

This work is made available according to the conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) licence. Full details of this licence are available at: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

LICENCE

CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

REPOSITORY RECORD

Corney, Robert A., Caroline Sunderland, and Lewis J. James. 2019. "Immediate Pre-meal Water Ingestion Decreases Voluntary Food Intake in Lean Young Males". figshare. <https://hdl.handle.net/2134/20838>.

1 Immediate pre-meal water ingestion decreases voluntary food intake in lean young males

2

3 Robert A. Corney ^a, Caroline Sunderland ^b, Lewis J. James ^a

4 ^a School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences, Loughborough University, Leicestershire, UK, LE11
5 3TU.

6 ^b School of Science and Technology, Nottingham Trent University, Nottingham, NG11 8NS.

7

8 **Key words**

9 Appetite; Preload; Fluid; Weight Management; Hydration

10

11

12 **Corresponding author**

13 Lewis J. James

14 L.james@lboro.ac.uk

15 School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences

16 Loughborough University

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Abstract

Purpose: Consuming 375-500 ml of water 30 min before a meal has been shown to reduce energy intake in older, but not younger adults. This study investigated the effects of ingesting a water preload immediately pre-meal (<1 min before eating) on within-meal *ad-libitum* energy intake in non-obese young males.

Methods: Fourteen healthy males (mean (SD) age 27 (3) y, Height 1.83 (0.05) m, body weight 80.47 (9.89) kg, body fat 17.5 (4.0) %, body mass index 24.0 (2.5) kg/m²) completed a familiarisation trial and two experimental trials in randomised counterbalanced order. Subjects arrived at the laboratory overnight fasted and consumed an *ad-libitum* porridge breakfast. Immediately prior to the meal, subjects consumed either a 568 ml (1 pint) water preload (preload trial) or no preload (control trial). Visual analogue scale questionnaires to assess hunger, fullness and satisfaction were completed before and after the meal in both trials, as well as after the water preload.

Results: *Ad-libitum* energy intake was greater ($P<0.001$) during control (2551 (562) kJ) than preload (1967 (454) kJ). *Ad-libitum* water intake was also greater ($P<0.001$) during control (318 (226-975) ml) than preload (116 (0-581) ml). The water preload increased fullness and satisfaction and decreased hunger compared to pre-trial ($P<0.001$) and the control trial ($P<0.001$).

Conclusion: This study demonstrates that consumption of a 568 ml water preload immediately before a meal reduces energy intake in non-obese young males. This might therefore be an effective strategy to suppress energy intake in this population and possibly assist with weight management.

55 *Introduction*

56 The global prevalence of obesity and its co-morbidities means that there is a growing need to identify
57 strategies to facilitate appropriate energy balance. In overweight and obese populations, strategies
58 that promote a negative energy balance and subsequent weight loss might be required. However, to
59 prevent the continued rise in obesity prevalence, interventions that attenuate or prevent a positive
60 energy balance and weight gain among healthy weight individuals might also be important [1]. Whilst
61 much research has focused on the effects of the energy containing macronutrients on energy balance
62 [2-4] more recent evidence suggests water might play an important role in facilitating weight
63 management [5]. Consistent with this, a recent systematic review [6] concluded that increased water
64 consumption in combination with a program for weight maintenance/ weight loss, reduced body
65 weight to a greater extent than the program alone.

66

67 A number of studies have investigated the effects of ingesting water before a meal on subsequent
68 voluntary food intake [7-9]. Studies have reported that ingestion of a water preload 30 minutes before
69 an *ad-libitum* meal leads to a reduction in energy intake at the meal in non-obese older [8] and obese
70 older adults [9], but not younger adults [7, 8]. The mechanism by which water ingestion before a
71 meal reduces acute energy intake is not fully understood, but might be related to increased gastric
72 distention, producing increased feelings of satiety and decreased hunger. In line with this, data from
73 animal studies suggests that increased gastric distension reduces energy intake [10], possibly via
74 activation of gastric stretch receptors [11]. The provision of water 30 minutes before a meal allows
75 time for the water to empty from the stomach, which will reduce the amount of gastric distention
76 present at the onset of feeding. Given that the rate of gastric emptying for liquid meals is slower in
77 older vs. younger adults [12], reducing the time between preload ingestion and feeding in young
78 adults might increase gastric distention during feeding and reduce *ad-libitum* food intake.

79

80 Therefore the purpose of the current study was to investigate the effects of a water preload consumed
81 immediately before an *ad-libitum* meal on subjective appetite sensations and energy intake. The
82 preload was consumed immediately pre-meal to maximise its impact on gastric distension. It was

hypothesised that pre-meal water ingestion would suppress appetite and energy intake compared to a no preload control trial.

Methods

Subjects

Fourteen healthy, lean males with age 27 (3) y, height 1.83 (0.05) m, body weight 80.47 (9.89) kg, body fat 17.5 (4.0) %, body mass index 24.0 (2.5) kg·m⁻² volunteered for this study, which was approved by the University's Ethical Advisory Committee. Subjects were habitual breakfast consumers, non-smokers and had not been on any weight loss/ gain diet during the previous 6 months. Subjects completed a health-screening questionnaire, a three factor-eating questionnaire [13] and provided written consent. G*Power 3.1.6 [14] and unpublished data from our laboratory using the same porridge breakfast with a between trial correlation of 0.83 was used to estimate the required sample size. We estimated an expected difference between trials of ~420 kJ, and using an α of 0.05 and statistical power of 0.8, it was determined that 11 subjects would be required to reject the null hypothesis.

Experimental protocol

Each subject completed a familiarisation trial followed by two experimental trials in a randomised, counterbalanced fashion, separated by ≥ 7 days. In the 24 h before the first experimental trial subjects weighed and recorded all dietary intake and recorded any habitual physical activity in a diary. They then replicated these diet and activity patterns in the 24 h preceding the second experimental trial. Subjects refrained from any strenuous physical activity, alcohol intake and dietary supplementation during the 24 h pre-trial.

During the familiarisation trial subjects arrived overnight fasted (~10 h) and after voiding, their body weight (in underwear) (Adam CFW 150 scale; Adam Equipment Co Ltd, Milton Keynes, UK) and height (Stadiometer, Seca Ltd, Germany) were measured. Subcutaneous skinfold measurements were obtained from the triceps, biceps, subscapular and suprailiac, and subsequently body fat percentage was estimated using the Siri equation [15]. Subjects then selected their preferred flavour (plain,

golden syrup or chocolate) of porridge (Ready Brek, Weetabix, Kettering, UK made with semi-skimmed milk) and were familiarised with the *ad-libitum* meal as described for the control trial. They consumed their preferred flavour of porridge for the familiarisation trial and both experimental trials.

Experimental trials began overnight fasted (~10 h) and at a time typical for each subject to consume breakfast (7-10 am). Subjects voided before body weight (in underwear) was measured. Subjects then entered a custom built feeding booth within an isolated laboratory and ingested either 568 ml water (preload trial) or no water (control trial), before being provided with the *ad-libitum* breakfast meal. The time it took for subjects to consume the water preload was recorded, with subjects consuming the water in 13 (5) s (range 7-21 s). Subjects were provided with a bowl of porridge (90 g dry porridge oats: 420 ml semi-skimmed milk) and a glass of water. Once $\frac{1}{2}$ to $\frac{3}{4}$ of the bowl had been consumed, it was replaced with a fresh bowl of porridge and this process continued until subjects indicated satiation. Similarly, the drinking water was replaced when required. New bowls of porridge were provided at times determined during familiarisation and specific to the speed of consumption of each subject (approximately every 3-6 min). This ensured that warm food was always available and that finishing a bowl did not act as a cue to stop eating. Subjects were instructed to eat until they were 'comfortably full and satisfied' and to indicate satiation by leaving the feeding booth and sitting in the adjoining laboratory. Subjects had a total time of 30 min in which to eat and remained in the laboratory in isolation for the entire 30 min. Warm food was continuously available throughout the 30 min and once they had left the feeding booth subjects could return and continue eating if they desired, although none did. All subjects had terminated eating within the 30 min. Energy intake was quantified using manufacturer values and by weighing the porridge before and after heating and cooling, as well as before and after the *ad-libitum* meal.

Subjects completed 100 mm visual analogue scale questionnaires [16] for hunger: "how hungry do you feel?", fullness: "how full do you feel?" and satisfaction: "how satisfied do you feel?" after body weight measurement (pre-trial), after the preload in the preload trial (post-drink) and after the 30 min feeding period (post-trial). Verbal anchors "not at all" and "extremely" were placed at 0 and 100 mm, respectively.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analysed using statistical package SPSS 22 (Chicago, USA), and checked for normality of distribution using a Shapiro Wilk test. Subjective appetite perceptions were analysed using two way repeated measures ANOVA, followed by Bonferroni adjusted Wilcoxon signed rank tests. For the purposes of statistical analysis, post-drink appetite perceptions were assumed to be the same as the pre-trial values during the control trial, as the pre-trial and post-drink questionnaires were completed <30 s apart during the preload trial. Food and water intake data were analysed using t-tests or Wilcoxon Signed Rank tests, as appropriate. Normally distributed data are presented as mean (SD), whilst non-normally distributed data are presented as median (range). Differences were accepted as being significant when $P < 0.05$.

Results

Pre-trial

Pre-trial body weight was similar for the preload (81.08 (9.69) kg) and control (81.33 (9.49) kg) trials ($P = 0.117$). Subjective appetite perceptions of fullness ($P = 0.103$), hunger ($P = 0.168$) and satisfaction ($P = 0.965$) were also not different between trials.

Ad-libitum Meal

Energy intake at the *ad-libitum* meal was 1967 (454) kJ (preload) and 2551 (562) kJ (control) ($P < 0.001$; Fig 1), a difference of 22 (14) %. There was no trial order effect, with similar energy intake during the first (2213 (633) kJ) and second (2305 (547) kJ) experimental trials ($P = 0.658$). Water ingestion during the *ad-libitum* meal was also reduced during preload (116 (0-581) ml) compared to control (318 (226-975) ml) ($P < 0.001$), although including the 568 ml water ingested immediately pre-meal during preload, total water intake was greater during preload (684 (568-1149) ml) ($P < 0.001$).

Subjective appetite perceptions

There were interaction effects for fullness, hunger and satisfaction ($P < 0.001$) (Table 1). At post-drink, fullness and satisfaction were greater and hunger lower during preload than during control ($P < 0.001$).

Compared to pre-trial, fullness and satisfaction were increased and hunger decreased at post-trial during both trials ($P<0.001$), as well as at post-drink during preload ($P<0.001$).

Discussion

This study examined the effect of immediate pre-meal water ingestion on acute *ad-libitum* energy intake. The main finding was that consumption of 568 ml (1 pint) of water immediately before breakfast reduced *ad-libitum* energy intake at the breakfast by 22%. Furthermore, subjective feelings of hunger were decreased, whilst fullness and satisfaction were increased immediately after the water preload.

A number of previous studies have investigated the effect of pre-meal water ingestion on *ad-libitum* energy intake at a single meal [7-9], with water ingested either 30 min or 60 min before the *ad-libitum* meal. Studies of obese [9] and non-obese [8] older adults (~60 y of age) have reported that a water preload ingested 30 minutes before a meal, reduces *ad-libitum* energy intake at the meal compared to a no preload trial. In contrast, studies of young adults have observed no effect on *ad-libitum* energy intake compared to a no preload control trial when water preloads are provided 30 minutes before a meal [7, 8], 60 minutes before a meal [7] or during a meal [7].

What accounts for the divergent responses of young and old to a water preload ingested 30 min before feeding is not clear, but Van Walleghen et al. [8] suggest it might be related to differences in gastric emptying rates. Indeed, Clarkston et al. [12] demonstrated that gastric emptying of both liquids and solids is delayed by ~26% and 30%, respectively, in old compared to young subjects. The gastric emptying rate of a liquid is linearly related to the energy density of the liquid [17], with more energy dense liquids emptying from the stomach more slowly. Consequently, water empties from the stomach very rapidly and Vist and Maughan [18] reported that 30 min after ingesting 600 ml water, only 44 ml remained in the stomach of a group of young (21-44 y) males. Therefore a water preload ingested 30 min prior to an *ad-libitum* meal will be almost completely emptied from the stomach by the time of the meal, at least in healthy young populations, and thus is unlikely to influence *ad-libitum* energy intake.

201

202 The current study was designed to maximise feelings of gastric distention during feeding, and as such
203 the water preload was provided immediately before the *ad-libitum* meal. The results of this study
204 contrast with these previous studies in young populations [7, 8] and suggest that the preload-to-meal
205 time interval might be an important consideration for the efficacy of a water preload in reducing acute
206 energy intake. Research on energy containing preloads suggests that a preload-to-meal time interval
207 of 30 minutes is optimal for maximising the impact of a preload on acute *ad-libitum* energy intake
208 [19]. However, the mechanism by which an energy containing preload impacts *ad-libitum* energy
209 intake is unlikely to be the same as for water. Therefore, the optimal time interval between preload
210 ingestion and feeding is unlikely to be the same for energy-containing and energy-free preloads. The
211 present study only tested one volume of preload (i.e. 568 ml) and one preload-to-meal time interval
212 (i.e. immediately pre-meal). Future studies should manipulate the preload volume and preload-to-
213 meal time interval to maximise the impact on energy intake.

214

215 The most plausible explanation by which pre-meal water intake reduced *ad-libitum* energy intake is
216 likely to be gastric distension caused by increased gastric fill. Consistent with this hypothesis, animal
217 studies have demonstrated that increased gastric distension, via an intra-gastric balloon, reduced *ad-*
218 *libitum* energy intake [10], likely via vagal nerve stimulation [11]. The water preload ingested in the
219 present study increased perceptions of stomach fullness from 16 (1-45) mm to 55 (2-75) mm,
220 suggesting the volume was sufficient to produce, at least some, gastric distension. Water empties
221 rapidly from the stomach, with a half emptying time of approximately 10 min [18]. Most subjects
222 remained in the feeding booth for <10 min and thus a relatively large volume of water would be
223 present in the stomach throughout feeding, resulting in increased feelings of gastric distention during
224 feeding. Whilst *ad-libitum* energy intake appears to be suppressed at a single meal, future studies
225 should extend these findings by examining whether this reduction in energy intake during feeding
226 influences appetite perceptions in the hours after eating or energy intake at subsequent feeding
227 opportunities. Furthermore, water intake was reduced during feeding following the water preload.
228 Whilst we only provided water with the *ad-libitum* meal, in free living situations energy containing

drinks might be consumed with a meal and if peri-prandial fluid intake is reduced, energy intake through energy containing drinks might also be reduced.

In a cross-sectional study Popkin et al [20] reported that those that consume water have a lower daily energy intake (~200 kcal/ day) than those that don't consume water [20]. Stookey et al. [21] conducted secondary analyses of a weight loss intervention trial and demonstrated that among dieters with a low baseline water intake, increasing water to >1 litre per day was associated with increased weight loss. These studies suggest that daily water intake appears to be important for weight management, but only one study has tested the chronic effects of pre-meal water preloads on weight loss [5]. Dennis et al. [5] examined the effect of ingesting a 500 ml water preload 30 min before breakfast, lunch and dinner in overweight and obese middle aged and older adults consuming a prescribed hypocaloric diet for 12 weeks. Compared to a no preload control group, the water preload group lost 44% more weight (~2 kg). The present study suggests that immediate pre-meal water ingestion might be a strategy that could help facilitate weight management among younger adults.

In conclusion, the results of the present study demonstrate that consuming a 568 ml water preload immediately before a meal reduced perceptions of hunger, increased perceptions of fullness and satisfaction and resulted in a 22% reduction in *ad-libitum* energy intake in young lean males. Future studies should investigate the acute energy intake effects of immediate pre-meal water ingestion in lean young females and overweight/ obese young males and females, as well as examining the chronic weight loss effects of such a dietary strategy.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare they have no conflict of interest.

259 *Reference List*

- 260
- 261 1. Health & Social Care Information Centre (2014) Statistics on obesity, physical activity and
- 262 diet: England. Available at <http://www.hscic.gov.uk>.
- 263
- 264 2. Anderson GH, Moore SE (2004) Dietary proteins in the regulation of food intake and body
- 265 weight in humans. *J Nutr* 134:974S-979S.
- 266
- 267 3. Bowen J, Noakes M, Trenergy C, Clifton PM (2006) Energy intake, ghrelin, and
- 268 cholecystokinin after different carbohydrate and protein preloads in overweight men. *J Clin*
- 269 *Endocrinol Metab* 91:1477-1483.
- 270
- 271 4. Bertenshaw EJ, Lluch A, Yeomans MR (2008) Satiating effects of protein but not
- 272 carbohydrate consumed in a between-meal beverage context. *Physiol Behav* 93:427-436.
- 273
- 274 5. Dennis EA, Dengo AL, Comber DL, Flack KD, Savla J, Davy KP, Davy BM (2010) Water
- 275 consumption increases weight loss during a hypocaloric diet intervention in Middle-aged
- 276 and older adults. *Obesity* 18:300-307.
- 277
- 278 6. Muckelbauer R, Sarganas G, Grüneis A, Muller-Nordhorn J (2013) Association between
- 279 water consumption and body weight outcomes: A systematic review. *Am J Clin Nutr*
- 280 98:282-299.
- 281
- 282 7. Rolls BJ, Kim S, Fedoroff IC (1990) Effects of drinks sweetened with sucrose or aspartame
- 283 on hunger, thirst and food intake in men. *Physiol Behav* 48:19-26.
- 284
- 285 8. Van Walleghen EL, Orr JS, Gentile CL, Davy BM (2007) Pre-meal water consumption
- 286 reduces meal energy intake in older but not younger subjects. *Obesity* 15:93-99.
- 287
- 288 9. Davy BM, Dennis EA, Dengo AL, Wilson KL, Davy KP (2008) Water consumption reduces
- 289 energy intake at a breakfast meal in obese older adults. *J Am Diet Assoc* 108:1236-1239.
- 290
- 291 10. Share I, Martyniuk E, Grossman MI (1952) Effect of prolonged intragastric feeding on oral
- 292 food intake in dogs. *Am J Physiol* 169:229-235.

11. Paintal A (1954) A study of gastric stretch receptors. their role in the peripheral mechanism of satiation of hunger and thirst. *J Physiol* 126:255-270.
12. Clarkston WK, Pantano MM, Morley JE, Horowitz M, Littlefield JM, Burton FR (1997) Evidence for the anorexia of aging: Gastrointestinal transit and hunger in healthy elderly vs. young adults. *Am J Physiol* 272:R243-R248.
13. Stunkard AJ, Messick S (1985) The three-factor eating questionnaire to measure dietary restraint, disinhibition and hunger. *J Psychosom Res* 29:71-83.
14. Faul F, Erdfeld E, Buchner A, Lang AG (2009) Statistical power analyses using G*Power 3.1: Tests for correlation and regression analyses. *Behav Res Methods* 41:1149-1160
15. Durnin J, Womersley J (1974) Body fat assessed from total body density and its estimation from skinfold thickness: Measurements on 481 men and women aged from 16 to 72 years. *Br J Nutr* 32:77-97.
16. Flint A, Raben A, Blundell JE, Astrup A (2000). Reproducibility, power and validity of visual analogue scales in assessment of appetite sensations in single test meal studies. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 24:38-48.
17. Calbet JA, MacLean DA (1997) Role of caloric content on gastric emptying in humans. *J Physiol* 498:553-559.
18. Vist GE, Maughan RJ (1994) Gastric emptying of ingested solutions in man: effect of beverage glucose concentration. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 26:1269-1273.
19. Rolls BJ, McDermott TM (1991) Effects of age on sensory-specific satiety. *Am J Clin Nutr* 54:988-996.
20. Popkin BM, Barclay DV, Nielsen SJ (2005) Water and food consumption patterns of U.S. adults from 1999 to 2001. *Obes Res* 13:2146-2152.

326 21. Stookey JD, Constant F, Gardner CD, Popkin BM (2007) Replacing sweetened caloric
327 beverages with drinking water is associated with lower energy intake. Obesity 15:3013-
328 3022.

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

Figure legends

Figure 1. Energy intake (kJ) at an *ad-libitum* breakfast for each participant immediately after consuming 568 ml water (preload) or no water (control).

Table

Table 1. Subjective feelings of fullness, hunger and satisfaction rated on 100 mm visual analogue scales with verbal anchors “not at all” and “extremely” at 0 mm and 100 mm, respectively. Values are median (range).

		<i>Pre-trial</i>	<i>Post-Drink</i>	<i>Post-trial</i>
Fullness (mm)	Control	16 (1-43)	16 (1-43)	91 (74-100)*
	Preload	16 (1-45)	55 (2-75)*#	88 (67-100)*
Hunger (mm)	Control	74 (23-98)	74 (23-98)	5 (1-17)*
	Preload	77 (59-97)	63 (27-91)*#	7 (2-19)*
Satisfaction (mm)	Control	21 (4-46)	21 (4-46)	86 (54-96)*
	Preload	22 (5-47)	31 (12-67)*#	84 (62-99) *

* Significantly different from pre-trial ($P<0.05$). # Significantly different from control trial ($P<0.05$).

Figure 1