Human tandem repeat sequences in forensic DNA typing

Keiji Tamaki^{a,*} and Alec J. Jeffreys^b

^a Department of Legal Medicine, Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine,
Kyoto 606-8501 Japan

^b Department of Genetics, University of Leicester, Adrian Building, University Road,
Leicester, LE1 7RH, England

*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed:

Keiji Tamaki, M.D.

Department of Legal Medicine, Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine,
Kyoto 606-8501 Japan

Phone: +81 (0)75 753-4472

FAX: +81 (0)75 761-9591

e-mail: ktamaki@legal.med.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Key words: minisatellite, microsatellite, DNA fingerprinting, MVR-PCR, STR

Abstract

It has been twenty years since the first development of DNA fingerprinting and the start of forensic DNA typing. Ever since, human tandem repeat DNA sequences have been the main targets for forensic DNA analysis. These repeat sequences are classified into minisatellites (or VNTRs) and microsatellites (or STRs). In this brief review, we discuss the historical and current forensic applications of such tandem repeats.

'DNA fingerprinting' using Multi-locus probes (MLPs) and 'DNA profiling' using single-locus probes (SLPs)

Human tandem repeats account for about 3% of the human genome [1] and (excluding satellite DNA) are classified into two groups according to the size of the repeat unit and the overall length of the repeat array. Human minisatellites or variable number tandem repeat (VNTR) loci have repeat units from 6 bp to more than 100 bp long depending on the locus, with arrays usually kilobases in length. Human GC-rich minisatellites are preferentially found clustered in the recombination-proficient subtelomeric regions of chromosomes [2]. Some minisatellite loci show very high levels of allele length variability.

The DNA revolution in forensic investigation began in 1984 with the discovery of hypervariable minisatellite loci detectable with MLPs [3]. These minisatellites were detected by hybridization of probes to Southern blots of restriction-enzyme-digested genomic DNA, to reveal restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLPs). A common 10-15 bp 'core' GC-rich sequence shared between different minisatellite loci allowed MLPs to detect many different minisatellites simultaneously, producing multi-band (barcode-like) patterns known as 'DNA fingerprints'. Using only a single MLP designated 33.15, the match probability between unrelated people was estimated at $<3 \times 10^{-11}$ and two MLPs (33.15 and 33.6), which detect different sets of minisatellites, together gave a value of $<5 \times 10^{-19}$ [4]. These probabilities are so low

that the only individuals having identical DNA fingerprints are monozygotic twins. MLPs have been used very successfully in paternity testing [5] and immigration cases [6]. However, several micrograms of good quality genomic DNA are required to obtain reliable DNA fingerprints. Forensic specimens are often old and yield small quantities of often degraded DNA. MLPs are therefore not generally suitable for forensic sample analysis although they were used successfully in a few early criminal investigations [7].

To circumvent these limitations, specific cloned minisatellites were used as single-locus probes (SLPs) to produce simpler 'DNA profiles' and were applied in criminal casework even before MLPs were commercially established as the standard method for paternity testing. Since each SLP detects only a single minisatellite, it produces two band (two allele) patterns, but still highly polymorphic due to the use of hypervariable minisatellites. Compared with MLPs, SLPs have considerable advantages for analyzing forensic specimens. The method is far more sensitive, with the limit of detection of bands at around 10 ng of genomic DNA. Mixed DNA samples such as semen in vaginal swabs can be analysed. Comparison of DNA profiles does not require side-by-side electrophoresis since allele sizes can be estimated and databased, which overcomes the inter-blot comparison problem in DNA fingerprinting. However, SLP allele sizing cannot be done with absolute precision due to essentially continuous allele size distributions and to the resolution limits of agarose gel electrophoresis. Two procedures for determining allele frequencies and match probabilities in the face of measurement errors are the floating-bin and the fixed-bin methods [8]. The real discriminating power of SLPs was unreasonably decreased by genetically inappropriate calculations based on the "ceiling principle" or "interim ceiling principle" invented by the National Research Council of the United States in 1992 [9]; these calculations were abandoned in 1996 [10].

Amplified fragment length polymorphisms (AMPFLPs, AFLPs)

Some minisatellite loci with relatively short (~1,000 bp) alleles can be amplified by PCR to yield amplified fragment length polymorphisms (AMPFLPs, AFLPs). Before PCR-based typing of microsatellite loci eventually became established, one such minisatellite locus called *DIS80* [11, 12] was extensively used by some forensic DNA laboratories. In the *DIS80* system, fragments in the range of 14-42 repeat units (16 bp per repeat) are amplified to yield alleles considerably smaller than the fragments normally analyzed in DNA fingerprinting and profiling. Although the *DIS80* locus in particular contains four common alleles with frequencies >10% in Japanese, the likelihood of discrimination between two unrelated individuals is still high (0.977 in Japanese [13]).

In contrast to RFLP analysis, *DIS80* alleles fall into discrete size classes and thus can be compared directly to a standard composite of most alleles (an allelic ladder) on the same gel. This was a significant improvement that was also employed in subsequent STR systems. In *DIS80*, the amplified DNA fragments were commonly detected using a silver stain, with the final profile usually showing two bands from which the numbers of repeat units can be easily estimated. This locus has been extensively used worldwide to analyze forensic specimens, along with other PCR-based methods that exploit single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) including *HLA-DQ α* and the 5 PolyMarker loci (*LDLR*, *GYPA*, *HBGG*, *D7S8* and *Gc*).

However, after the detailed evaluation of allele frequency data in the world population at *DIS80* [14] and increasing practical usage of multiplex STR systems, *DIS80* has been gradually abandoned in favour of STRs.

MVR-PCR

Most minisatellite loci consist of heterogeneous arrays of two or more subtly different repeat unit types (minisatellite variant repeats). All human hypervariable

minisatellites characterized to date vary not only in repeat copy number (allele length) but also in the interspersion pattern of these variant repeat units within the array. This internal variation provides a powerful approach to the study of allelic variation and processes of mutation. Interspersion patterns can be determined by minisatellite variant repeat (MVR) mapping and reveals far more variability than can be resolved by allele length analysis.

The first MVR mapping was developed at locus *DIS8* (minisatellite MS32) [15]. This minisatellite consists of a 29 bp repeat unit showing two classes of MVR which differ by a single base substitution, resulting in the presence or absence of a *HaeIII* restriction site [16]. Very high levels of variation in the interspersion patterns of two type repeats, designated a-type and t-type, within the alleles have been revealed by *HaeIII* digestion of PCR-amplified alleles. Subsequently, a technically much simpler PCR-based mapping system (MVR-PCR) was invented [17] (see also a detailed review [18]). This assay reveals the internal variation of the same sites by using an MVR primer specific to one or other type of variant repeat plus a primer at a fixed site in the DNA flanking the minisatellite. In the PCR reaction, the MVR-specific primers at low concentration will bind to just one of their complementary repeat units; thus, MVR locations will be represented as an array of sequentially sized amplified DNA fragments. Using a single allele and two different MVR primers corresponding to the two different types of repeat, MVR-PCR will generate two complementary ladders of amplified products corresponding to the length between the flanking primer and the location of one or other repeat type within the minisatellite repeat array. Progressive shortening of PCR products by internal priming of the MVR-specific primers is prevented by the use of 'tagged' amplification which uncouples MVR detection from subsequent amplification.

MVR-PCR products are separated by electrophoresis on an agarose gel and detected by Southern blotting and hybridization to an isotope-labeled minisatellite probe. An important point is that the reaction for each of the two MVR-specific primers is carried

out in a separate tube, and they are loaded in adjacent lanes in an agarose gel. Some work has been done on labeling the variant primers with different colored fluorescent tags and amplifying both sets of MVR products in a single reaction, to facilitate comparison of the complementary MVR profiles [19, 20]. MVR-PCR has revealed enormous levels of allelic variation at several human hypervariable minisatellites; MS32 (*DIS8*) [17, 21-23], MS31A (*D7S21*) [24, 25], MS205 (*D16S309*) [26, 27], CEB1 (*D2S90*) [28], g3 (*D7S22*) [29], YNH24 (*D2S44*) [30], B6.7 [31, 32] and insulin minisatellite [33].

MVR-PCR is the best approach for exploiting the potential of hypervariable minisatellite loci because of the unambiguous nature of MVR mapping and the generation of digital MVR codes suitable for computer analysis. Code generation does not require standardization of electrophoretic systems, is immune to gel distortions and band shifts, does not involve error-prone DNA fragment length measurement, and does not require side-by-side comparisons of DNA samples on the same gel.

A highly informative locus for MVR-PCR has to conform to certain criteria. It must be polymorphic, preferably with an allele length heterozygosity greater than 95% to ensure that most or all alleles are rare. Repeat unit heterogeneity must not be too extensive, and base substitutional sites of MVR variation must be suitably positioned to allow the design of repeat unit specific primers. At unusual loci such as MS32, where almost all repeat units are of the same length, a diploid MVR map of the interspersed patterns of repeats from two alleles superimposed can be generated from total genomic DNA and encoded as a digital diploid code [17]. At other loci only single allele coding is possible, since different length repeats will cause the MVR maps of each allele to drift out of register, making diploid coding ladders uninterpretable. Both single allele and diploid codes are highly suitable for computer databasing and analysis.

Allele-specific MVR-PCR methods [34] have been developed to map single alleles from total genomic DNA using allele-specific PCR primers directed to polymorphic SNP

sites in the DNA flanking the minisatellite. These SNP primer pairs are identical, except for a 3' terminal mismatch that corresponds to the variant flanking base. This method is very convenient since it does not entail the time-consuming separation of the alleles by agarose gel electrophoresis. Allele-specific MVR-PCR can also recover individual-specific typing data from DNA mixtures [35].

MVR-PCR is sufficiently robust to be of substantial use in forensic analysis. Potential forensic applications have been shown by obtaining authentic diploid MVR coding ladders from only 1ng genomic DNA from bloodstains, saliva stains, seminal stains and plucked hair roots [36], by determining the source of saliva on a used postage stamp [37], by making MVR coding ladders quickly without any need for blotting and hybridization [38] and by maternal identification from remains of an infant and placenta [35]. Even though forensic samples often contain partially degraded DNA, MVR-PCR does not require intact minisatellite alleles. Such DNA samples yield truncated codes due to disappearance of longer PCR products, but these codes are still compatible with the original intact allele information. Reliable codes can be obtained even down to 100 pg genomic DNA by MVR-PCR at MS32 although replicate runs on the same sample and reading consensus codes are needed [17].

MVR-PCR at MS32 and at minisatellite MS31A has been also applied to paternity testing. The potential for establishing paternity in a case lacking a mother was demonstrated by the huge contribution to the paternity index made by the very rare paternal alleles at these two loci [39]. Similarly, these rare alleles proved important for confirming the relationship between a boy and his alleged grandparents even though the allele derived from his father at one STR locus was inconsistent with the grandparents (*i.e.* a mutant allele) [40]. However, these hypervariable loci do show significant germline mutation rates to new length alleles [41] which will generate false paternal exclusions in about 1.8 % of paternity cases. In such cases, allele length measurement does not allow distinction of non-paternity from mutation. In contrast,

detailed knowledge of mutation processes coupled with MVR analysis of allele structure can help distinguish mutation from non-paternity. This was tested at MS32 using both real and simulated allele data [42]. Since MVR-PCR allows information to be recovered from at least 40 repeat units, a mutant paternal allele will usually show extensive structural identity with the progenitor paternal allele except over the first few repeats; most germline mutation events altering repeat array structure are targeted to this region, most likely due to its proximity to a flanking recombination hot spot that appears to drive repeat instability (reviewed in [43]). Thus, a mutant paternal allele in a child will tend to be more similar to one of the father's alleles than to most other alleles in the population. This approach is unlikely to work at extremely hypervariable minisatellite loci such as CEB1 and B6.7 given their very high rate of germline instability coupled with complex germline mutation events that can radically alter allele structure in a single mutation event [44, 31].

MVR-PCR reveals enormous levels of variation, far in excess of any other typing system. At MS32, almost all alleles in several ethnic populations surveyed were different. However, different alleles can show significant similarities in repeat organization [17]. Heuristic dot-matrix algorithms have been developed to identify significant allele alignments and have shown that 74.8% of alleles mapped to date can be grouped into 98 sets of alignable alleles, indicating relatively ancient groups of related alleles present in diverse populations [45] (Fig.1). Some small groups of alleles show a strong tendency to be population-specific, consistent with recent divergence from a common ancestral allele (Fig.1a). In most groups, the 5' ends of the aligned MVR maps show most variability due to the existence of the flanking recombination hotspot. Therefore, MVR allele analysis at MS32 can act as a tool not only for individual identification but also for giving clues about ethnic background (Fig.1b)[45]. MS205 is another locus which successfully gave a clear and detailed view of allelic divergence between African and non-African populations [47]. A restricted set of allele

families was found in non-African populations and formed a subset of the much greater diversity seen in Africans, which supports a recent African origin for modern human diversity at this locus. Very similar findings emerged from MVR analyses of the insulin minisatellite, again pointing to a major bottleneck in the “Out of Africa” founding of non-African populations [48].

Finally, MVR-PCR has been developed at the Y chromosome-specific variable minisatellite *DYF155S1* (MSY1), with potential for extracting male-specific information from mixed male/female samples [49]. This marker is also useful for paternity exclusion and, if adequate population data are available and the allele is rare, also for individual identification and paternity inclusion. Forensic application of Y-chromosomal microsatellites has also become a very powerful tool, as discussed elsewhere in this volume.

It is unfortunate that MVR-PCR has been little used in forensic analysis despite the simplicity of the method and the fact that it reveals enormous levels of polymorphism and has considerable discriminating power.

Microsatellites

As with minisatellites, microsatellites are also tandemly repeated DNA sequences and are also known as simple sequence repeats or short tandem repeats (STRs). They consist of repeat units of 1-5 bp repeated typically 5-30 times. Most microsatellite loci can be efficiently amplified by standard PCR since the repeat regions are shorter than 100 bp. Microsatellites can show substantial polymorphism (though are far less variable than the most variable minisatellites), and are abundant throughout the human genome. Microsatellites are particularly suitable for analyzing forensic specimens containing degraded and/or limited amount of DNA. The first forensic application was microsatellite typing from skeletal remains of a murder victim [50], followed by the identification of Josef Mengele, the Auschwitz ‘Angel of Death’ [51].

Small PCR products can be sized with precision by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) although the spurious shadow or stutter bands often observed at dinucleotide repeat loci can make interpretation difficult; for this reason, current typing systems use microsatellites with repeat units 4 bp long, to reduce the incidence of stuttering. The STR approach allows very high throughput via multiplex PCR (single-tube PCR reactions that amplify multiple loci) and fluorescent detection systems have been developed to allow substantial automation of gel electrophoresis and DNA profile interpretation [52].

STR analysis is more sensitive than other methods and can recover information even at the level of a single cell. The unambiguous assignment of alleles makes the method suitable for the development of databases. In the United Kingdom, 10 autosomal STR loci plus the amelogenin sex test, typed using the 'second-generation multiplex' (SGM) Plus system, are used in forensic practice (Table 1). The SGM Plus loci generate random match probabilities of typically 10^{-11} between unrelated two individuals in the three UK racial groups (Caucasian, Afro-Caribbean, and Asian) [53]. The US FBI CODIS (Combined DNA Index System) uses 13 STRs plus amelogenin. These loci produce extremely low random match probabilities. For example, around 60% of Japanese individuals show match probabilities of 10^{-14} - 10^{-17} (estimated from [54, 55]). The Japanese Police Agency introduced in 2003 a 9 STR locus system, which uses some of the CODIS loci (the AmpFLSTR® Profiler® kit), for analysing forensic specimens. From allele frequencies at these loci [54], around 60% of Japanese individuals have match probabilities of 10^{-9} - 10^{-11} . This system also detects the XY-homologous amelogenin genes to reveal the sex of a sample. Recently, new multiplexes that amplify 16 loci in a single reaction, including amelogenin, have been commercially developed (AmpFLSTR® Identifiler® PCR Amplification Kit, PowerPlex® 16 System). This system produces even lower match probabilities without losing sensitivity, and it is likely that such systems will remain standard for analysing

forensic specimens and in paternity testing. Detailed information of forensic STR can be obtained at STRBase [56].

In the future, single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) might provide an alternative typing platform; while large numbers of loci (~100) would need to be typed to obtain the discrimination power of current STR systems, they do offer the potential for DNA typing without electrophoresis, conceivably using miniaturised devices ("lab on a chip") that could greatly accelerate typing and offer the potential for DNA analysis at the scene of crime.

References

- [1] International Human Genome Sequencing Consortium. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. *Nature* 2001; 409: 860–921.
- [2] Royle NJ, Clarkson RE, Wong Z, Jeffreys AJ. Clustering of hypervariable minisatellites in the proterminal regions of human autosomes. *Genomics* 1988; 3: 352–60.
- [3] Jeffreys AJ, Wilson V, Thein SL. Hypervariable ‘minisatellite’ regions in human DNA *Nature* 1985; 314: 67-73.
- [4] Jeffreys AJ, Wilson V, Thein SL. Individual-specific ‘fingerprints’ of human DNA. *Nature* 1985; 316: 76-9.
- [5] Jeffreys AJ, Turner M, Debenham P. The efficiency of multilocus DNA fingerprint probes for individualization and establishment of family relationships, determined from extensive casework. *Am J Hum Genet* 1991; 48: 824-40.
- [6] Jeffreys AJ, Brookfield JF, Semeonoff R. Positive identification of an immigration test-case using human DNA fingerprints. *Nature* 1985; 317: 818-9.
- [7] Gill P, Werrett DJ. Exclusion of a man charged with murder by DNA fingerprinting. *Forensic Sci Int* 1987; 35: 145-8.
- [8] Herrin G Jr. A comparison of models used for calculation of RFLP pattern frequencies. *J Forensic Sci* 1992; 37: 1640-51.
- [9] National Research Council. DNA technology in forensic science. Washington, D. C.: National Academy Press, 1992.
- [10] National Research Council. The evaluation of forensic DNA evidence. Washington, D. C.: National Academy Press, 1996.
- [11] Nakamura Y, Carlson M, Krapcho K, White R. Isolation and mapping of a polymorphic DNA sequence (pMCT118) on chromosome 1p [D1S80]. *Nucleic Acids Res* 1988; 16: 9364.
- [12] Kasai K, Nakamura Y, White R. Amplification of a variable number of tandem

- repeats (VNTR) locus (pMCT118) by the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and its application to forensic science. *J Forensic Sci* 1990; 35: 1196-200.
- [13] Member of TWGFDM. Reassessment on frequency distribution of MCT118 and HLADQ α alleles and genotypes in Japanese population along with the comparison with other population data (in Japanese). *Kakeiken Houkoku* 1995; 48: 171-85.
- [14] Peterson BL, Su B, Chakraborty R, Budowle B, Gaensslen RE. World population data for the HLA-DQA1, PM and D1S80 loci with least and most common profile frequencies for combinations of loci estimated following NRC II guidelines. *J Forensic Sci* 2000; 45: 118-46.
- [15] Wong Z, Wilson V, Patel I, Povey S, Jeffreys AJ. Characterization of a panel of highly variable minisatellites cloned from human DNA. *Ann Hum Genet* 1987; 51: 269-88.
- [16] Jeffreys AJ, Neumann R, Wilson V. Repeat unit sequence variation in minisatellites: a novel source of DNA polymorphism for studying variation and mutation by single molecule analysis. *Cell* 1990; 60: 473-85.
- [17] Jeffreys AJ, MacLeod A, Tamaki K, Neil DL, Monckton DG. Minisatellite repeat coding as a digital approach to DNA typing. *Nature* 1991; 354: 204-9.
- [18] Jeffreys AJ, Monckton DG, Tamaki K, Neil DL, Armour JAL, MacLeod A, A. Collick A, Allen M, Jobling A. Minisatellite variant repeat mapping: application to DNA typing and mutation analysis. In: Pena SDJ et al. editors. *DNA fingerprinting, State of the Science*. Basel: Birkhäuser-Verlag, 1993. pp.125-139.
- [19] Rodriguez-Calvo MS, Bellas S, Soto JL, Barros F, Carracedo A. Comparison of different electrophoretic methods for digital typing of the MS32 (D1S8) locus. *Electrophoresis* 1996; 17: 1294-8.
- [20] Hau P, Watson N. Sequencing and four-state minisatellite variant repeat mapping of the D1S7 locus (MS1) by fluorescence detection. *Electrophoresis* 2000; 21: 1478-83.

- [21] Tamaki K, Monckton DG, MacLeod A, Neil DL, Allen M, Jeffreys AJ. Minisatellite variant repeat (MVR) mapping: analysis of 'null' repeat units at D1S8. *Hum Mol Genet* 1992; 1: 401-6. Erratum: *Hum Mol Genet* 1992; 1: 558.
- [22] Tamaki K, Monckton DG, MacLeod A, Allen M, Jeffreys AJ. Four-state MVR-PCR: increased discrimination of digital DNA typing by simultaneous analysis of two polymorphic sites within minisatellite variant repeats at D1S8. *Hum Mol Genet* 1993; 2: 1629-32.
- [23] Tamaki K, Yamamoto T, Uchihi R, Kojima T, Katsumata Y, Jeffreys AJ. DNA typing and analysis of the D1S8 (MS 32) allele in the Japanese population by the minisatellite variant repeat (MVR) mapping by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay. *Nippon Hoigaku Zasshi* 1992; 46: 474-82.
- [24] Neil DL, Jeffreys AJ. Digital DNA typing at a second hypervariable locus by minisatellite variant repeat mapping. *Hum Mol Genet* 1993; 2: 1129-35.
- [25] Huang XL, Tamaki K, Yamamoto T, Suzuki K, Nozawa H, Uchihi R, Katsumata Y, Neil DL. Analysis of allelic structures at the D7S21 (MS31A) locus in the Japanese, using minisatellite variant repeat mapping by PCR (MVR-PCR). *Ann Hum Genet* 1996; 60: 271-9.
- [26] Armour JA, Harris PC, Jeffreys AJ. Allelic diversity at minisatellite MS205 (D16S309): evidence for polarized variability. *Hum Mol Genet* 1993; 2: 1137-45.
- [27] May CA, Jeffreys AJ, Armour JA. Mutation rate heterogeneity and the generation of allele diversity at the human minisatellite MS205 (D16S309). *Hum Mol Genet* 1996; 5: 1823-33.
- [28] Buard J, Vergnaud G. Complex recombination events at the hypermutable minisatellite CEB1 (D2S90). *EMBO J* 1994; 13: 3203-10.
- [29] Andreassen R, Olaisen B. De novo mutations and allelic diversity at minisatellite locus D7S22 investigated by allele-specific four-state MVR-PCR analysis. *Hum Mol Genet* 1998; 7: 2113-20.

- [30] Holmlund G, Lindblom B. Different ancestor alleles: a reason for the bimodal fragment size distribution in the minisatellite D2S44 (YNH24). *Eur J Hum Genet* 1998; 6: 597-602.
- [31] Tamaki K, May CA, Dubrova YE, Jeffreys AJ. Extremely complex repeat shuffling during germline mutation at human minisatellite B6.7. *Hum Mol Genet* 1999; 8: 879-88.
- [32] Mizukoshi T, Tamaki K, Azumi J, Matsumoto H, Imai K, Jeffreys AJ. Allelic structures at hypervariable minisatellite B6.7 in Japanese show population specificity. *J Hum Genet* 2002; 47: 232-8.
- [33] Stead JD, Jeffreys AJ. Allele diversity and germline mutation at the insulin minisatellite. *Hum Mol Genet* 2000; 9: 713-23.
- [34] Monckton DG, Tamaki K, MacLeod A, Neil DL, Jeffreys AJ. Allele-specific MVR-PCR analysis at minisatellite D1S8. *Hum Mol Genet* 1993; 2: 513-9.
- [35] Tamaki K, Huang XL, Yamamoto T, Uchihi R, Nozawa H, Katsumata Y. Applications of minisatellite variant repeat (MVR) mapping for maternal identification from remains of an infant and placenta. *J Forensic Sci* 1995; 40: 695-700.
- [36] Yamamoto T, Tamaki K, Kojima T, Uchihi R, Katsumata Y. Potential forensic applications of minisatellite variant repeat (MVR) mapping using the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) at D1S8. *J Forensic Sci* 1994; 39: 743-50.
- [37] Hopkins B, Williams NJ, Webb MB, Debenham PC, Jeffreys AJ. The use of minisatellite variant repeat-polymerase chain reaction (MVR-PCR) to determine the source of saliva on a used postage stamp. *J Forensic Sci* 1994; 39: 526-31.
- [38] Yamamoto T, Tamaki K, Kojima T, Uchihi R, Katsumata Y, Jeffreys AJ. DNA typing of the D1S8 (MS32) locus by rapid detection minisatellite variant repeat (MVR) mapping using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay. *Forensic Sci Int* 1994; 66: 69-75.

- [39] Huang XL, Tamaki K, Yamamoto T, Yoshimoto T, Mizutani M, Leong YK, Tanaka M, Nozawa H, Uchihi R, Katsumata Y. Evaluation of the paternity probability on an application of minisatellite variant repeat mapping using polymerase chain reaction (MVR-PCR) to paternity testing. *Leg Med* 1999; 1: 37-43.
- [40] Yamamoto T, Tamaki K, Huang XL, Yoshimoto T, Mizutani M, Uchihi R, Katsumata Y, Jeffreys AJ. The application of minisatellite variant repeat mapping by PCR (MVR-PCR) in a paternity case showing false exclusion due to STR mutation. *J Forensic Sci* 2001; 46: 374-8.
- [41] Jeffreys AJ, Royle NJ, Wilson V, Wong Z. Spontaneous mutation rates to new length alleles at tandem-repetitive hypervariable loci in human DNA. *Nature* 1988; 332: 278-81.
- [42] Tamaki K, Brenner CH, Jeffreys AJ. Distinguishing minisatellite mutation from non-paternity by MVR-PCR. *Forensic Sci Int* 2000; 113: 55-62.
- [43] Jeffreys AJ, Barber R, Bois P, Buard J, Dubrova YE, Grant G, Hollies CR, May CA, Neumann R, Panayi M, Ritchie AE, Shone AC, Signer E, Stead JD, Tamaki K. Human minisatellites, repeat DNA instability and meiotic recombination. *Electrophoresis*. 1999; 20: 1665-75.
- [44] Buard J, Bourdet A, Yardley J, Dubrova Y, Jeffreys AJ. Influences of array size and homogeneity on minisatellite mutation. *EMBO J* 1998; 17: 3495-502.
- [45] Tamaki K, Huang XL, Yamamoto T, Uchihi R, Nozawa H, Katsumata Y, Jeffreys AJ. Characterisation of MS32 alleles in the Japanese population by MVR-PCR analysis (in Japanese). In: Sawaguchi A. Nakamura S. editors. *DNA polymorphism*, vol.3. Tokyo: Toyo Shoten, 1995. pp. 137-43.
- [46] Monckton DG, Neumann R, Guram T, Fretwell N, Tamaki K, MacLeod A, Jeffreys AJ. Minisatellite mutation rate variation associated with a flanking DNA sequence polymorphism. *Nat Genet* 1994; 8: 162-70.
- [47] Armour JA, Anttinen T, May CA, Vega EE, Sajantila A, Kidd JR, Kidd KK,

- Bertranpetit J, Paabo S, Jeffreys AJ. Minisatellite diversity supports a recent African origin for modern humans. *Nat Genet* 1996; 13: 154-60.
- [48] Stead JD, Jeffreys AJ. Structural analysis of insulin minisatellite alleles reveals unusually large differences in diversity between Africans and non-Africans. *Am J Hum Genet* 2002; 71: 1273-84.
- [49] Jobling MA, Bouzekri N, Taylor PG. Hypervariable digital DNA codes for human paternal lineages: MVR-PCR at the Y-specific minisatellite, MSY1 (DYF155S1). *Hum Mol Genet* 1998; 7: 643-53.Aa
- [50] Hagelberg E, Gray IC, Jeffreys AJ. Identification of the skeletal remains of a murder victim by DNA analysis. *Nature* 1991; 352: 427-9.
- [51] Jeffreys AJ, Allen MJ, Hagelberg E, Sonnberg A. Identification of the skeletal remains of Josef Mengele by DNA analysis. *Forensic Sci Int* 1992; 56: 65-76.
- [52] Edwards A, Civitello A, Hammond HA, Caskey CT. DNA typing and genetic mapping with trimeric and tetrameric tandem repeats. *Am J Hum Genet* 1991; 49: 746-56.
- [53] Foreman LA, Evett IW. Statistical analyses to support forensic interpretation for a new ten-locus STR profiling system. *Int J Legal Med* 2001; 114: 147-55.
- [54] Yoshida K, Mizuno N, Fujii K, Senju H, Sekiguchi K, Kasai K, Sato H. Japanese population database for nine STR loci of the AmpFI STR Profiler kit. *Forensic Sci Int* 2003; 132: 166-7
- [55] Hashiyada M, Itakura Y, Nagashima T, Nata M, Funayama M. Polymorphism of 17 STRs by multiplex analysis in Japanese population. *Forensic Sci Int* 2003; 133: 250-3.
- [56] Ruitberg CM, Reeder DJ, Butler JM. STRBase: a short tandem repeat DNA database for the human identity testing community. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2001; 29: 320-2.

Legend for figure

Fig. 1a.

Examples of alignable MS32 alleles, taken from [45]. The ethnic origin (R: j, Japanese; b, Bangladeshi; png, Papua New Guinean) and MVR code of **a**- and **t**-type repeats are shown for each allele. Gaps (-) have been introduced to improve alignments. Some alleles show uncertain positions (?) and the unknown haplotype of long alleles beyond the mapped region are indicated by (...)

Fig. 1b.

Population origin of groups of alignable MS32 alleles. For each group, the number of alleles derived from Japanese, Caucasian, African and other individuals is shown (from [45]). MVR haplotypes of aligned alleles in the group marked **a** are shown in Fig. 1a. "No. of groups" indicates the number of different groups found of a given size and ethnic composition. In each ethnic population, 75.5% (240/318, Japanese), 75.2% (321/427, Caucasian) and 75.1% (190/253, African) of alleles fell into groups of alignable alleles; remaining alleles failed to show significant alignments with any other allele from any population.

* Most of these alleles are identical and are associated with the O1C variant [46] which appears to suppress germline mutation.

** All alleles are homogeneous for **a**-type repeats over the region mapped by MVR-PCR.

Table 1. Properties of STR loci used in SGM plus and FBI CODIS

locus	SGM plus™	FBI CODIS	chromosome	genomic position (Kb)	repeat motif	no.alleles in Japanese ^a	PD in Japanese ^a
<i>TPOX</i>	-	+	2	1470	AATG	7	0.814
<i>D2S1338</i>	+	-	2	218705	TTCC	14	0.971
<i>D3S1358</i>	+	+	3	45557	TCTR	10	0.852
<i>HUMFIBRA/FGA</i>	+	+	4	155865	CTTT	17	0.962
<i>D5S818</i>	-	+	5	123139	AGAT	9	0.919
<i>CSF1PO</i>	-	+	5	149436	AGAT	10	0.886
<i>D7S820</i>	-	+	7	82751	AGAT	12	0.908
<i>D8S1179</i>	+	+	8	125976	TCTA	9	0.952
<i>HUMTH01</i>	+	+	11	2149	AATG	7	0.876
<i>HUMVWA</i>	+	+	12	5963	AGAT	10	0.921
<i>D13S317</i>	-	+	13	81620	AGAT	9	0.934
<i>D16S539</i>	+	+	16	84944	AGAT	8	0.908
<i>D18S51</i>	+	+	18	59100	AGAA	17	0.965
<i>D19S433</i>	+	-	19	35109	AAGG	13	0.907
<i>D21S11</i>	+	+	21	19476	TCTA	14	0.928

R = A or G

^a *italic* values in No. of alleles and PD in Japanese are from [55] (n = 526) and others from [54] (n =1200).

PD: the power of discrimination

a

No.	R	MVR
1	j	aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaataaaaaaaaa-aaaaaaaaataaaataaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaatttatattattttaatt0ataaaatatt.....
2	j	aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaataaaaaaaaa-aaaaaaaaataaaataaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaatttatattatttta.....
3	j	aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaataaaaaaaaa-aaaaaaaaataaaa-aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaatttatatta.....
4	j	aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaataaaaaaaaa-aaaaaaaaa?aaaataaaaaaaaa.....
5	j	aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaataaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaataaaataaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaatttatattattttaatt0ataaaatattataat.....
6	j	aaaaaaaaaaaaataaaaaaaaa-aaaaaaaaataaaataaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaatttatattattttaatt.....
7	j	aaaaaaaaataaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa-aaaaaaaaataaaataaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaatttatatt0tt.....
8	j	attattaataaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaataaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaatttatatttt.....
9	j	?aaaaaaaaataaaaataaaaataaaaaa-aaaaaaaaatttatattattttaatttaataa-aata.....
10	j	aaaaaaataaaaataaaaaa-aaaaaaaaatttatattattttaatttaataa-aataataaaattaaaaataaaaattttaaaaaa.....
11	j	aaaaaaaaataaaaataaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaatttatattattttaatttaataa-aataataaaattaaaat.....
12	j	aaaaaaaaataaaaataaaaaaaaaaaaaa---atattattttaatttaataa-aataataaaattaaaaataaa.....
13	j	taaaaaatttttaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaatttaaaaaaaaaatttatattattttaaa-aataa-aataataaaattaaaaa.....
14	j	aaataattttaatttaataa-aataataaaattaaaaaat.....
15	j	aataaaaaataaaaaattttattattttaatttaataa-aataataaaattaaaaataaaaaatttttaa.....
16	j	aaaaattttaaaaaaaaaattttattattttaatttaataa-0ataataaaattaaaaataaaaaatttttaaat.....
17	j	aaaaaaaaattttattattttaatttaataa-Uataataaaattaaaaataaaaaattt.....
18	j	aaaaaaaattttattattttaatttaataa-0ataataaaattaaaaataaaaaatttttaataaaaa.....
19	j	aaaaaaaa0aataaaaataaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaatttatattattttaataataaaaaataataaaatttaa---taaaatttaaaa.....
20	j	aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaatttatattattttaataataa---aat-aaattaaaataataaaatttaaaataaaaaa.....
21	j	taaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaattttaatatattttaataataa---aataaaattaaaataataaaatttaaaataaaaaaaa.....
22	j	taaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaatttatattattttaataataa---aataaaattaaaataataaaattttaaaataaaaaaaaa0aat0attaattt.....
23	b	??aaattattttaaaaaaatataaaaaataaaattaaaataataaaatttaaaataaaaaaaaaaaaa.....
24	j	aaatttttttaatttaataa-aataataaaattaaaataataaaatttaaaataaaaaaaa0aat0attaatttaattat.....
25	j	tt0aaataa-aataataaaattaaaataataaaatttaaaataaaaaaaaa0aat0attaatttaattat.....
26	png	ttaaaaaattttattatttttaatttaataaaatattattttaatttaataa-aataataaaattaa-ataata.....
27	png	aaatttatataaaaatttatattattttaatttaataa-aataataaaattaaaataataataaaaaaaa.....
28	png	?ataaaaatttatattattttaatta-taa-aataataaaattaaaataataataaaaaaaaataaa.....
29	png	aataataaaattaaaataataataaaaaaaa-aaaaaaatttttaatttatataaaaaaataat..

b