This item was submitted to [Loughborough's Research Repository](#) by the author.
Items in Figshare are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved, unless otherwise indicated.

Handgrip strength, inflammatory markers and mortality

PLEASE CITE THE PUBLISHED VERSION

<https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.13433>

PUBLISHER

© Wiley

VERSION

AM (Accepted Manuscript)

PUBLISHER STATEMENT

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: SMITH, L., YANG, L. and HAMER, M., 2019. Handgrip strength, inflammatory markers and mortality. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*, 29 (8), pp.1190-1196, which has been published in final form at <https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.13433>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions.

LICENCE

CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

REPOSITORY RECORD

Smith, Lee, Lin Yang, and Mark Hamer. 2019. "Handgrip Strength, Inflammatory Markers and Mortality". figshare. <https://hdl.handle.net/2134/37593>.

Handgrip strength, inflammatory markers and mortality

Lee Smith,¹ PhD; Lin Yang,² PhD; Mark Hamer,^{3*} PhD

¹The Cambridge Centre for Sport and Exercise Sciences, Anglia Ruskin University, Cambridge; ²Department of Epidemiology, Medical University of Vienna, Austria; ³School Sport, Exercise Health Sciences, Loughborough University, Loughborough, UK

*Correspondence: Dr Lee Smith; ¹The Cambridge Centre for Sport and Exercise Sciences, Anglia Ruskin University, Cambridge, UK; lee.smith@anglia.ac.uk

Word count = 1,899

Running Head: handgrip strength and mortality

Disclosures: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Abstract

Purpose: To investigate the extent to which inflammatory markers explain the association between handgrip strength and mortality.

Methods: Analyses of data from The English Longitudinal Study of Ageing. Handgrip strength and inflammatory marker data (C-reactive protein and Fibrinogen) were collected at baseline (2004/5) and inflammatory marker data at follow up (2012/13). Participant data were linked with death records. General linear models were used to explore associations between handgrip strength and inflammatory markers at follow up. Cox proportional hazards regression models were used to examine associations between grip strength and risk of death. Models were estimated with the covariates age, sex, wealth, physical activity, smoking, depressive symptoms, long standing illness, adiposity.

Results: The sample comprised 5,240 participants (mean age 65.9 (SD 9.4) yrs; 53.8% female). Over an average 9.7 ± 1.4 yrs follow up there were 650 deaths. Inverse associations were evident between handgrip strength and change in inflammatory markers in women only. There was an association between grip strength and lower risk of mortality in women (hazard ratio = 0.85; 95% CI, 0.74, 0.98) after adjusting for age and wealth. The association was attenuated after adjustment for clinical and behavioural risk factors (0.92; 0.79, 1.07), and further attenuated after adjusting for inflammatory markers (0.95; 0.82, 1.11).

Conclusion: Higher grip strength is associated with lower levels of inflammation at 8-year follow-up, and inflammatory markers partly explained the association between handgrip strength and mortality.

Keywords: Handgrip strength, mortality, inflammatory markers, ELSA

INTRODUCTION

Physical activity promotes cardiorespiratory fitness and the maintenance of lean muscle mass with ageing.¹ The health benefits of cardiorespiratory fitness are well established² although less is known about muscle strength.^{3,4}

Handgrip strength is a valid measure of physical function/ performance that has been widely used in observational cohort studies and clinical settings.⁵⁻⁸ Several studies have shown that handgrip strength is inversely associated with risk of mortality⁹⁻¹¹ although the mechanisms linking muscle strength and disease outcomes is poorly understood. One possible explanation is systemic inflammation. Systemic inflammation has been shown to be associated with a plethora of noncommunicable diseases (e.g. see, Ridker et al¹² and Sin et al¹³).

Three well studied inflammatory biomarkers include Interleukin 6 (IL-6), C-reactive protein (CRP), and Fibrinogen. Briefly, IL-6 is a pleiotropic cytokine that is secreted by T cells and macrophages to activate immune responses during infection or after trauma (tissue injury).¹⁴ CRP is produced in the liver and it is an acute phase reactant thus levels will rise when inflammation is present.¹⁵ Finally, Fibrinogen is a blood plasma protein that is made in the liver. It is also an acute phase reactant and levels rise when inflammation is present.¹⁶ Unfavourable levels of these three inflammatory biomarkers have been found to be associated with several physical and mental health conditions.¹⁷⁻¹⁹

Inflammatory processes have also been linked with muscle atrophy (and thus weaker grip strength),²⁰ and muscle tissue expresses various transcriptional co-activators that may promote systemic anti-inflammatory effects.²¹ We have previously shown cross-sectional associations between systematic inflammation and muscle strength,²² consistent with others.²³ In another study, higher levels of IL-6 and CRP were associated with a two- to three-fold greater risk of losing more than 40% of grip strength over 3 years follow-up.²⁴ The current

literature therefore suggests that inflammation is associated with muscle strength although the direction of the association is not clear.

We hypothesized that inflammatory processes might in part explain the association between handgrip strength and mortality. The primary aim of the present study was to investigate the longitudinal association between handgrip strength and inflammatory markers, and examine the extent to which inflammatory markers explain the association between handgrip strength and mortality.

METHODS

Study sample and procedures

The English Longitudinal Study of Ageing (ELSA) is a cohort study of older adults previously described.²⁵ The sample was drawn from participants in the Health Survey for England (HSE), an annual cross-sectional survey that is designed to monitor the health of the general population. For wave 1, participants were recruited from the HSE in 1998, 1999 and 2001. Eligibility criteria included, membership of a participating household from HSE in which at least one person had agreed to follow-up, born before 1 March 1952 and living in a private household in England at the time of the first wave of fieldwork. Data on grip strength and inflammatory markers was first collected at wave 2 (2004/5), and was thus used as the baseline for the present analyses. Inflammatory marker data collection were repeated at wave 6 (2012/13) in survivors. Participants gave full informed consent to participate in the study. Ethical approval was obtained from the London Multi-center Research Ethics Committee, compliant with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Clinical assessments

Anthropometric data (weight, waist, hip), grip strength and blood samples were collected by trained nurses. Participants were excluded from providing blood if they reported clotting and bleeding disorders, or taking anti-coagulant medication, and excluded from hand grip tests if they had swelling or inflammation, severe pain, or a recent injury or surgery to the hand in the preceding 6 months. Handgrip strength (kg) of the dominant hand was assessed using the Smedley hand-held dynamometer (Stoelting Co, IL, USA), using the average of three measurements. Participants were required to hold the device at a right angle to their body and exert maximum force for a couple of seconds when instructed. Successive trials were alternated between dominant and non-dominant hands. Body weight was measured without shoes and in light clothing using Tanita electronic scales. Waist circumference was recorded twice midway between the iliac crest and lower rib and hip circumference around the widest portion of the buttocks using measuring tape. Central obesity was defined using waist to hip ratio (WHR) World Health Organization criteria ($WHR \geq 0.85$ in women and $WHR \geq 0.90$ in men). Blood samples were analyzed for high sensitivity C-reactive protein (CRP) and fibrinogen, described elsewhere.²⁶

Mortality

The individual participant data were linked with death records from National Health Service registries for all consenting respondents (96.5% of the sample) up to February 2012.

Covariates

Trained interviewers asked questions on cigarette smoking (current, ex-smoker or non-smoker), frequency of vigorous, moderate- and low-intensity physical activity (> once a week, once a week, 1 – 3 times a month, and hardly ever/never), chronic illnesses (at least one vs. none), and depressive symptoms (using a score >3 on the 8-item Centre of

Epidemiological Studies Depression scale).²⁷ Wealth was self-reported, comprising of the total value of the participant's home (excluding mortgage), financial assets such as savings, business assets, and physical wealth such as artwork or jewelry, which has been shown to best capture the material resources available to older adults.²⁸ Wealth was grouped into quintiles relative to the ELSA sample.

Statistical analysis

Handgrip was standardised for body mass (as the two variables were moderately correlated, $r > 0.40$) and treated as a continuous variable using the standardised score. Inflammatory markers were examined for normality and log transformed where appropriate. In all analysis we ran models separately for men and women as handgrip strength was greater in men. We used general linear models to explore longitudinal associations between handgrip strength and change in inflammatory markers. Models were adjusted for age, sex, levels of inflammatory marker at baseline, wealth, physical activity, smoking, depressive symptoms, long standing illness, WHR.

Cox proportional hazards regression models were employed to examine associations between grip strength and death. Years were the time scale for the follow-up calculated from age at death, and for participants with no record of an event, the data were censored at February 2012. Plots of the Nelson-Aalen cumulative hazard estimates were examined to assess the proportional hazards assumption. We estimated models that initially contained the covariates age, sex, wealth (model 1), with further adjustment for physical activity, smoking, depressive symptoms, long standing illness, WHR (model 2). These covariates were considered as confounders and selected a priori based on previous literature.²⁹⁻³¹ We then added inflammatory markers (log CRP, fibrinogen) as continuous variables to theoretically test for mediation. In these mediation analyses we primarily focused on the change in effect estimate

and confidence intervals as “statistical significance” is less relevant.³³ In sensitivity analyses we removed participants with activity limiting illnesses to examine if reverse causation was driving the results. All analyses were conducted using SPSS version 22 (SPSS, Chicago, IL).

RESULTS

At baseline 5,812 participants provided a blood sample and data on hand grip strength. After removing participants not consenting to mortality linkage (n=138) and those with missing covariates (n=434) the analytic sample for survival analyses comprised 5,240 participants (mean age 65.9 (SD 9.4) yrs; 53.8% female). In the longitudinal analyses that modelled change in inflammatory markers we further excluded participants that died through follow up (n=650) and those that did not provide blood samples at 8 years follow up (n=1,885), leaving an analytic sample of 2,705 participants (age 63.3±7.8 yrs; 55.2% female).

At baseline the mean handgrip strength of dominant hand was 38.4±9.7 kg (men) and 22.5±6.6 kg (women); normalised for body mass 0.47±0.12 (men) and 0.33±.10 (women). Participants in the highest hand grip strength tertiles were younger, more vigorously active, more affluent, reported less illness and depressive symptoms, and recorded lower prevalence of central obesity (Table 1).

In longitudinal analyses that examined baseline grip strength and change in inflammatory markers over 8 years follow up inverse associations were evident with both fibrinogen and CRP in women only (Table 2). This was the case in fully adjusted analyses and also among the pooled sample of men and women. In men, the inverse associations between grip strength and change in inflammatory markers were evident in age adjusted models but did not persist in models adjusted for all covariates.

Over an average 9.7 ± 1.4 yrs follow up there were 650 deaths. There was an association between baseline grip strength and lower risk of mortality in women (hazard ratio [per SD increase in grip strength] = 0.85; 95% CI, 0.74, 0.98) after adjusting for age and wealth; no associations were seen in men (0.94; 0.83, 1.06) (Table 3). The association was somewhat attenuated after adjustment for clinical and behavioural risk factors, and further attenuated after adjusting for inflammatory markers. In mutually adjusted models the covariates associated with mortality included longstanding illness, physical inactivity, smoking, and CRP (Table S1, SDC, association between clinical and behavioural covariates with mortality).

In sensitivity analyses we repeated the survival models after removal of participants who reported that their illness/disability limited their activity ($n=1,409$). The effect estimates remained largely unchanged albeit confidence intervals became wider as a result of reduced numbers (Table S2, SDC, the extent to which baseline clinical and biological factors explain associations between handgrip and mortality (excluding participants with activity limiting illnesses at baseline)).

DISCUSSION

In this population-based sample of older English adults, those with higher levels of grip strength were significantly more likely to have lower levels of systemic inflammatory markers, CRP and Fibrinogen, at follow-up. This association was seen in females, only. Grip strength was inversely associated with risk of mortality in females only. However, this association was attenuated to the null after adjustment for clinical and behavioural risk factors, and further attenuated after adjusting for inflammatory markers.

Our findings support previous research on the association between grip strength and inflammatory markers^{34,35} and add to this body of work by showing that longitudinal

186 associations persist when controlling for a range of clinical and behavioural risk factors
187 including measures of central adiposity.

188 One plausible explanation for the association between grip strength and inflammation is that
189 muscle mass is a predictor of greater grip strength and those who partake in regular exercise
190 tend to have greater muscle mass. Exercise using large muscle groups produces a short-term,
191 inflammatory response, and both cross-sectional comparisons and longitudinal exercise
192 training studies demonstrate a long-term “anti-inflammatory” effect.³⁶ Models in the present
193 study controlled for levels of physical activity, though the types of activities (aerobics vs.
194 resistance training) were not clearly separated. One other possible explanation is that high
195 levels of circulating inflammatory markers and/or cytokines are associated with low muscle
196 mass per se in older and obese people thus suggesting that muscle itself may play a role in
197 regulating inflammatory markers.³⁷⁻³⁹

198 It is feasible that inflammatory markers could be exercising their effect through a
199 combination of lifestyle and behavioural factors; indeed adding inflammatory markers
200 directly to Model 1, (Table 3) in women closely mimicked (HR=0.91; 95% CI, 0.79 - 1.05)
201 the effect of adding lifestyle / behavioural covariates. The association of grip strength with
202 inflammation and mortality was more robust in females, which is consistent with other
203 findings.^{22,40}). Recent meta-analytic data showed grip strength was associated with reduced
204 mortality in both genders, although the association was stronger in women.⁴¹ Our sex specific
205 findings are unremarkable as the aging process particularly affects women, such that women
206 are more susceptible to sarcopenia than men.^{42,43} In our own sample, the proportion of men
207 having grip strength above the gender specific sarcopenia diagnosis cut-off is higher
208 (90.5% $\geq$ 26kg) than that of women (84.8% $\geq$ 16kg).⁴⁴ Furthermore, male and female
209 hormones modulate the immune system differently, thus resulting in differential age-related

pathologies.⁴⁵ Therefore, muscle strength might be particularly important for women in regulating chronic inflammation.⁴⁶

Clear strengths of the present study include the large population-based sample of older English adults, the longitudinal design, the objective measure of both the exposure and the outcome, and repeated measure of inflammatory biomarkers. However, the data must be interpreted considering the following limitations. Data on skeletal muscle mass were not available in the present cohort and we relied on measurements of muscle strength alone. While lean mass and strength (muscle quality) may not decline at the same rate, loss of lean mass is strongly associated with strength decline in both men and women.⁴⁷ In our study, grip strength was standardized for body weight in the analyses. This approach allows us to account for muscle strength driven by body composition. There are ongoing discussions on how to standardize the procedure and utilization of grip strength measures in clinical and epidemiological studies, particularly in the ageing population.⁴⁸ Although a unified method is yet to be developed, our approach of body weight standardized grip strength has shown to be a superior technique in the aging population.^{49,50} We were unable to account for underlying disease that were not detected through our measures; however, the results were largely unchanged after excluding participants with activity limiting illnesses suggesting reverse causation is an unlikely interpretation of our data. Participants retained in our analyses were generally healthier than the overall sample (driven by our exclusion criteria for blood and handgrip tests), thus our results are likely to reflect a conservative estimate of the true associations. Since the data are observational we cannot exclude the possibility of residual confounding. Nevertheless, recent evidence using Mendelian randomisation approaches suggested genetic correlations of grip strength with cardiometabolic traits and markers of frailty.⁵¹ Our study provides evidence on plausible biological pathways linking strength and mortality thus further strengthening causal inferences.

Perspective

The present longitudinal analyses suggest that higher grip strength is associated with lower levels of inflammation at 8-year follow-up, and inflammatory markers partly explain the association between handgrip and mortality. Interventions designed to prevent a decline in physical functioning in females, especially, may improve inflammatory profiles and health. Such interventions may want to focus on functional task exercises as opposed to resistance exercises as functional task exercises have been shown to be more effective at improving functional task performance. Moreover, other literature suggests that functional task exercises may have an important role in helping maintain an independent lifestyle.⁵²

Authors contribution Statement

Hamer is the guarantor; he conceptualized and designed the study, performed statistical analyses, and approved the final manuscript as submitted. Smith conceptualized and designed the study, drafted the initial manuscript, and approved the final manuscript as submitted; Yang conceptualized and designed the study, provided critical revision of the manuscript and approved the final manuscript as submitted.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest. The results of the present study do not constitute endorsement by ACSM and are presented clearly, honestly, and without fabrication, falsification, or inappropriate data manipulation

References

1. McPhee JS, French DP, Jackson D, Nazroo J, Pendleton N, Degens H. Physical activity in older age: perspectives for healthy ageing and frailty. *Biogerontology* 2016;17:567-80.
2. Kodama S, Saito K, Tanaka S, et al. Cardiorespiratory fitness as a quantitative predictor of all-cause mortality and cardiovascular events in healthy men and women: a meta-analysis. *Jama* 2009;301:2024-35.
3. Stamatakis E, Lee IM, Bennie J, et al. Does Strength-Promoting Exercise Confer Unique Health Benefits? A Pooled Analysis of Data on 11 Population Cohorts With All-Cause, Cancer, and Cardiovascular Mortality Endpoints. *American journal of epidemiology* 2018;187:1102-12.
4. Bakker EA, Lee DC, Sui X, et al. Association of Resistance Exercise With the Incidence of Hypercholesterolemia in Men. *Mayo Clinic proceedings* 2018;93:419-28.
5. Giampaoli S, Ferrucci L, Cecchi F, et al. Hand-grip strength predicts incident disability in non-disabled older men. *Age and ageing* 1999;28:283-8.
6. Rantanen T, Guralnik JM, Foley D, et al. Midlife hand grip strength as a predictor of old age disability. *Jama* 1999;281:558-60.
7. Onder G, Penninx BW, Ferrucci L, Fried LP, Guralnik JM, Pahor M. Measures of physical performance and risk for progressive and catastrophic disability: results from the Women's Health and Aging Study. *The journals of gerontology Series A, Biological sciences and medical sciences* 2005;60:74-9.
8. Rantanen T, Avlund K, Suominen H, Schroll M, Frandin K, Pertti E. Muscle strength as a predictor of onset of ADL dependence in people aged 75 years. *Aging clinical and experimental research* 2002;14:10-5.

- 283 9. Wu Y, Wang W, Liu T, Zhang D. Association of Grip Strength With Risk of All-
284 Cause Mortality, Cardiovascular Diseases, and Cancer in Community-Dwelling Populations:
285 A Meta-analysis of Prospective Cohort Studies. *Journal of the American Medical Directors*
286 Association 2017;18:551.e17-.e35.
- 287 10. Oksuzyan A, Demakakos P, Shkolnikova M, et al. Handgrip strength and its
288 prognostic value for mortality in Moscow, Denmark, and England. *PloS one*
289 2017;12:e0182684.
- 290 11. Celis-Morales CA, Welsh P, Lyall DM, et al. Associations of grip strength with
291 cardiovascular, respiratory, and cancer outcomes and all cause mortality: prospective cohort
292 study of half a million UK Biobank participants. *BMJ (Clinical research ed)* 2018;361:k1651.
- 293 12. Ridker PM, Rifai N, Cook NR, Bradwin G, Buring JE. Non-HDL cholesterol,
294 apolipoproteins A-I and B100, standard lipid measures, lipid ratios, and CRP as risk factors
295 for cardiovascular disease in women. *Jama* 2005;294:326-33.
- 296 13. Sin DD, Man SF. Why are patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease at
297 increased risk of cardiovascular diseases? The potential role of systemic inflammation in
298 chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Circulation* 2003;107:1514-9.
- 299 14. Tanaka T, Narazaki M, Kishimoto T. IL-6 in inflammation, immunity, and disease.
300 *Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology* 2014;6:a016295.
- 301 15. Du Clos TW. Function of C-reactive protein. *Annals of medicine* 2000;32:274-8.
- 302 16. Lowe GD. Fibrinogen assays for cardiovascular risk assessment. *Clinical chemistry*
303 2010;56:693-5.
- 304 17. Ernst E, Resch KL. Fibrinogen as a cardiovascular risk factor: a meta-analysis and
305 review of the literature. *Annals of internal medicine* 1993;118:956-63.
- 306 18. Kaptoge S, White IR, Thompson SG, et al. Associations of plasma fibrinogen levels
307 with established cardiovascular disease risk factors, inflammatory markers, and other

308 characteristics: individual participant meta-analysis of 154,211 adults in 31 prospective
 309 studies: the fibrinogen studies collaboration. *American journal of epidemiology*
 310 2007;166:867-79.

311 19. Howren MB, Lamkin DM, Suls J. Associations of depression with C-reactive protein,
 312 IL-1, and IL-6: a meta-analysis. *Psychosomatic medicine* 2009;71:171-86.

313 20. Londhe P, Guttridge DC. Inflammation induced loss of skeletal muscle. *Bone*
 314 2015;80:131-42.

315 21. Pedersen BK. Exercise-induced myokines and their role in chronic diseases. *Brain,*
 316 *behavior, and immunity* 2011;25:811-6.

317 22. Hamer M, Molloy GJ. Association of C-reactive protein and muscle strength in the
 318 English Longitudinal Study of Ageing. *Age (Dordrecht, Netherlands)* 2009;31:171-7.

319 23. Taaffe DR, Harris TB, Ferrucci L, Rowe J, Seeman TE. Cross-sectional and
 320 prospective relationships of interleukin-6 and C-reactive protein with physical performance in
 321 elderly persons: MacArthur studies of successful aging. *The journals of gerontology Series A,*
 322 *Biological sciences and medical sciences* 2000;55:M709-15.

323 24. Schaap LA, Pluijm SM, Deeg DJ, Visser M. Inflammatory markers and loss of
 324 muscle mass (sarcopenia) and strength. *The American journal of medicine* 2006;119:526.e9-
 325 17.

326 25. Steptoe A, Breeze E, Banks J, Nazroo J. Cohort profile: the English longitudinal study
 327 of ageing. *International journal of epidemiology* 2013;42:1640-8.

328 26. Craig R, Deverill C, Pickering K. Quality control of blood, saliva and urine analytes.
 329 Health survey for England 2004, Methodology and documentation Vol 2. London: The
 330 Information Centre; 2006:34-41.

- 331 27. Irwin M, Artin KH, Oxman MN. Screening for depression in the older adult: criterion
332 validity of the 10-item Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D).
333 Archives of internal medicine 1999;159:1701-4.
- 334 28. Banks JA, Karlsen S, Oldfield Z. Socio-economic position. In: Marmot M, Banks JA,
335 Blundell R, Lessof C, Nazroo J,. In: Marmot M, Banks JA, Blundell R, Lessof C, Nazroo J,
336 eds. Health, wealth and lifestyles of the older population in England: The 2002 English
337 Longitudinal Study of Ageing. London: Institute of Fiscal Studies; 2003.
- 338 29. Syddall HE, Westbury LD, Shaw SC, Dennison EM, Cooper C, Gale CR. Correlates
339 of Level and Loss of Grip Strength in Later Life: Findings from the English Longitudinal
340 Study of Ageing and the Hertfordshire Cohort Study. Calcified tissue international
341 2018;102:53-63.
- 342 30. Quan S, Jeong JY, Kim DH. The Relationship between Smoking, Socioeconomic
343 Status and Grip Strength among Community-dwelling Elderly Men in Korea: Hallym Aging
344 Study. Epidemiology and health 2013;35:e2013001.
- 345 31. Smith L, White S, Stubbs B, et al. Depressive symptoms, handgrip strength, and
346 weight status in US older adults. Journal of affective disorders 2018;238:305-10.
- 347 32. Mora S, Cook N, Buring JE, Ridker PM, Lee IM. Physical activity and reduced risk of
348 cardiovascular events: potential mediating mechanisms. Circulation 2007;116:2110-8.
- 349 33. Sterne JA, Davey Smith G. Sifting the evidence-what's wrong with significance tests?
350 BMJ (Clinical research ed) 2001;322:226-31.
- 351 34. Granic A, Davies K, Martin-Ruiz C, et al. Grip strength and inflammatory biomarker
352 profiles in very old adults. Age and ageing 2017;46:976-82.
- 353 35. Sousa AC, Zunzunegui MV, Li A, Phillips SP, Guralnik JM, Guerra RO. Association
354 between C-reactive protein and physical performance in older populations: results from the
355 International Mobility in Aging Study (IMIAS). Age and ageing 2016;45:274-80.

36. Kasapis C, Thompson PD. The effects of physical activity on serum C-reactive protein and inflammatory markers: a systematic review. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* 2005;45:1563-9.
37. Visser M, Pluijm SM, Stel VS, Bosscher RJ, Deeg DJ. Physical activity as a determinant of change in mobility performance: the Longitudinal Aging Study Amsterdam. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 2002;50:1774-81.
38. Schragger MA, Metter EJ, Simonsick E, et al. Sarcopenic obesity and inflammation in the InCHIANTI study. *Journal of applied physiology (Bethesda, Md : 1985)* 2007;102:919-25.
39. Pedersen BK, Febbraio MA. Muscles, exercise and obesity: skeletal muscle as a secretory organ. *Nature reviews Endocrinology* 2012;8:457-65.
40. Visser M, Pahor M, Taaffe DR, et al. Relationship of interleukin-6 and tumor necrosis factor-alpha with muscle mass and muscle strength in elderly men and women: the Health ABC Study. *The journals of gerontology Series A, Biological sciences and medical sciences* 2002;57:M326-32.
41. Garcia-Hermoso A, Cavero-Redondo I, Ramirez-Velez R, et al. Muscular Strength as a Predictor of All-Cause Mortality in an Apparently Healthy Population: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Data From Approximately 2 Million Men and Women. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation* 2018.
42. Perrig-Chiello P, Hutchison S. Health and well-being in old age: the pertinence of a gender mainstreaming approach in research. *Gerontology* 2010;56:208-13.
43. Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Baeyens JP, Bauer JM, et al. Sarcopenia: European consensus on definition and diagnosis: Report of the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People. *Age and ageing* 2010;39:412-23.

- 380 44. Studenski SA, Peters KW, Alley DE, et al. The FNIH sarcopenia project: rationale,
381 study description, conference recommendations, and final estimates. The journals of
382 gerontology Series A, Biological sciences and medical sciences 2014;69:547-58.
- 383 45. Berghella AM, Contasta I, Marulli G, et al. Ageing gender-specific "Biomarkers of
384 Homeostasis", to protect ourselves against the diseases of the old age. Immunity & ageing : I
385 & A 2014;11:3.
- 386 46. Chupel MU, Direito F, Furtado GE, et al. Strength Training Decreases Inflammation
387 and Increases Cognition and Physical Fitness in Older Women with Cognitive Impairment.
388 Frontiers in physiology 2017;8:377.
- 389 47. Goodpaster BH, Park SW, Harris TB, et al. The loss of skeletal muscle strength, mass,
390 and quality in older adults: the health, aging and body composition study. The journals of
391 gerontology Series A, Biological sciences and medical sciences 2006;61:1059-64.
- 392 48. Roberts HC, Denison HJ, Martin HJ, et al. A review of the measurement of grip
393 strength in clinical and epidemiological studies: towards a standardised approach. Age and
394 ageing 2011;40:423-9.
- 395 49. Lawman HG, Troiano RP, Perna FM, Wang CY, Fryar CD, Ogden CL. Associations
396 of Relative Handgrip Strength and Cardiovascular Disease Biomarkers in U.S. Adults, 2011-
397 2012. American journal of preventive medicine 2016;50:677-83.
- 398 50. Whitney DG, Peterson MD. The Association Between Differing Grip Strength
399 Measures and Mortality and Cerebrovascular Event in Older Adults: National Health and
400 Aging Trends Study. Frontiers in physiology 2018;9:1871.
- 401 51. Tikkanen E, Gustafsson S, Amar D, et al. Biological Insights Into Muscular Strength:
402 Genetic Findings in the UK Biobank. Scientific reports 2018;8:6451.
- 403 52. de Vreede PL, Samson MM, van Meeteren NL, Duursma SA, Verhaar HJ.
404 Functional-task exercise versus resistance strength exercise to improve daily function in older

405 women: a randomized, controlled trial. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society 2005;53:2-
406 10.

407

408

409 **Table 1.** Baseline descriptive characteristics by sex specific handgrip tertiles.

Baseline variable	Sex specific handgrip tertile‡		
	Low	Middle	High
Age (yrs; mean, SD)	70.6 ± 10.3	65.8 ± 9.0	62.3 ± 7.8
Smoking (%)	14.2	14.4	18.6
Vigorous physical activity (%)	18.8	29.3	41.2
Highest wealth quintile (%)	17.4	24.2	28.5
Longstanding illness (%)	66.0	49.9	41.7
Depressive symptoms (%)	18.8	11.9	10.2
Central obesity (%)	68.0	56.9	23.7
C-reactive protein (mg/L)†	2.8 (4.3)	2.0 (3.0)	1.3 (2.2)
Fibrinogen (g/L)†	3.3 (0.9)	3.2 (0.9)	3.0 (0.8)

410 ‡ grip strength standardised for body weight values; lowest tertile (<0.42 men, <0.28 women),

411 middle (0.42 – 0.52 men, 0.28 – 0.37 women), highest (>0.52 men, >0.37 women).

412 † data are median (interquartile range)

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

Table 2. Longitudinal association between grip strength and inflammatory markers over 8 years follow up: English Longitudinal Study Ageing

	Log C-reactive protein	
	Model 1	Model 2
Whole sample (n=2705)	-0.060 (-0.092, -0.028)	-0.049 (-0.083, -0.015)
Men (n=1211)	-0.046 (-0.087, -0.006)	-0.038 (-0.082, 0.006)
Women (n=1494)	-0.068 (-0.11, -0.030)	-0.055 (-0.095, -0.015)
	Fibrinogen	
	Model 1	Model 2
Whole sample (n=2624)	-0.053 (-0.077, -0.029)	-0.044 (-0.070, -0.019)
Men (n=1168)	-0.041 (-0.073, -0.009)	-0.026 (-0.060, 0.008)
Women (n=1456)	-0.053 (-0.081, -0.025)	-0.046 (-0.075, -0.016)

B (95% CI) coefficients per SD increase in grip strength standardised for body weight

Model 1 adjusted for age, sex and CRP or fibrinogen at baseline (sex adjustment excluded for sex specific models).

Model 2 adjusted for age, sex, CRP or fibrinogen at baseline, waist-hip-ratio, smoking, physical activity, depressive symptoms, chronic illness, wealth.

Table 3. The extent to which baseline clinical and biological factors explain associations between handgrip and mortality

	Model 1 HR (95% CI)	Model 2 HR (95% CI)	Model 3 HR (95% CI)
Whole sample (n=5240; 650 events)	0.87 (0.78, 0.97)	0.90 (0.80, 1.00)	0.93 (0.82, 1.04)
Men (n=2422; 355 events)	0.94 (0.83, 1.06)	0.93 (0.82, 1.06)	0.95 (0.84, 1.08)
Women (n=2818; 295 events)	0.85 (0.74, 0.98)	0.92 (0.79, 1.07)	0.95 (0.82, 1.11)

Hazard ratio, HR, (95% CI) for risk of mortality per SD increase in grip strength standardised for body weight.

Model 1: adjusted for age, sex, wealth (sex adjustment excluded for sex specific models);

Model 2: further adjusted for clinical and behavioural covariates; smoking, physical activity, chronic illness, central obesity, depressive symptoms

Model 3: further adjusted for C-reactive protein, fibrinogen