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## Suplementary material

Highly Preferred: 570 (95.477\%)

Preferred observations: 23 (3.853\%) Questionable observations: 4 (0.670\%)


ProSA Web - Structural analysis


Figure S1: Tridimensional structure model assessment through Ramachandran Plot and ProsaWeb analysis for each chain (local quality and overall model quality). The Ramachandran plot shows the
torsional dihedral angles along with the backbone structure. The local model quality trendline represents the average energy of the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ residue +9 residues (Window size 10) and the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ residue +39 residues (Window size 40). The overall model quality demonstrates the reconstructed model as a black dot among all the determined experimentally protein structures (dark blue (NMR) and light blue (Xray)).

Prodomain: 2D PCA and modevector


Catalytic and CHR domains: 2D PCA and modevector


Figure S2: The Principal Component Analysis data per domain generated by Gromacs 2022 (gmx_covar \& gmx_anaieg). The 2D plots of PC1 and PC2 are prodomain (A) and catalytic \& CHR domains ( $\mathbf{C}$ ). The modevectors (yellow arrows) represent the total collective motion along all simulations on the prodomain (B) and catalytic \& CHR (D).

## TAH Prodomain (31-34) - CHRD Interactions



Figure S3: Interaction data of interdomain TAH Prodomain (31-34) - CHRD among simulations. The bonding prevalence (ratio of interacting frames by the total frames) of (A) hydrogen bonding and (B) water-bridge for CHRD residues.


Figure S4: Interaction and flexibility behavior between PCSK9 and EGF(A). (A) Residues from $\operatorname{EGF}(\mathrm{A})$ that interact with PCSK9, blue indicate interaction and white indicates non-interaction. (B) Hydrogen bond count between PCSK9 and EGF(A) (C) Distance of PCSK9 interaction surface and EGF(A) residues calculated by the center of mass. (D) Root Mean Square Fluctuation for EGF(A) residues. The vertical dotted line marks the residues of the N -terminal (323-332) that interacted only in the variant simulations.


Figure S5: Full Perturbation Response Scanning (PRS) matrix for WT and variants simulations

## Prodomain



Figure S6: The secondary structure index for PCSK9 residues.

