This item was submitted to [Loughborough's Research Repository](#) by the author.
Items in Figshare are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved, unless otherwise indicated.

Disentangling within-person changes and individual differences among fundamental need satisfaction, attainment of acquisitive desires, and psychological health

PLEASE CITE THE PUBLISHED VERSION

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2012.06.002>

PUBLISHER

© Elsevier

VERSION

AM (Accepted Manuscript)

LICENCE

CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

REPOSITORY RECORD

Taylor, Ian M., and Juliette Stebbings. 2019. "Disentangling Within-person Changes and Individual Differences Among Fundamental Need Satisfaction, Attainment of Acquisitive Desires, and Psychological Health".
figshare. <https://hdl.handle.net/2134/10617>.

This item was submitted to Loughborough's Institutional Repository (<https://dspace.lboro.ac.uk/>) by the author and is made available under the following Creative Commons Licence conditions.

For the full text of this licence, please go to:
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/>

Disentangling within-person changes and individual differences among fundamental need
satisfaction, attainment of acquisitive desires, and psychological health

Manuscript submitted: January, 12th, 2012

Manuscript resubmitted: May 24th, 2012

Word count: 2940

Abstract

We explored within-person and individual difference associations among basic psychological need satisfaction (autonomy, competence, and relatedness), attainment of acquisitive desires (wealth and popularity) and indicators of well- and ill-being. Participants were 198 undergraduates (51% male) who completed an inventory multiple times over a university semester. Analyses revealed that increased satisfaction of all the needs and desires beyond participants' normal levels, with the exception of relatedness, were associated with greater psychological welfare. Nonetheless, individual differences in well-being were only predicted by psychological need satisfaction, and not by the attainment of acquisitive desires. Hence, the realization of acquisitive desires may elicit within-person increases in psychological welfare; however, satisfying innate needs may be a better bet for long term psychological health.

Keywords: Money, social recognition, psychological needs, well-being, self-determination

Disentangling within-person changes and individual differences among fundamental need satisfaction, attainment of acquisitive desires, and psychological health

1. Introduction

Whether gratification of one's desires for riches and status leads to psychological well-being or satisfaction of other fundamental psychological needs plays a more significant role has been widely debated in the literature (e.g., Sheldon, Elliot, Kim, & Kasser, 2001). The early work of Murray (1938) implied that any motive that drives action and is associated with satisfying experiences when met may be considered a need. Contrastingly, supporters of self-determination theory (SDT) advocate that humans are innately driven to pursue autonomy, competence, and relatedness, and only the satisfaction of these psychological nutrients are essential to experience well-being (e.g., Vansteenkiste, Niemiec, & Soenens, 2010). We wished to extend current knowledge by extricating individual differences and within-person changes among need satisfaction, attainment of desires, and psychological health to help elucidate differences between fundamental needs and acquisitive desires.

A fundamental psychological need must meet several criteria, including the elicitation of positive consequences when met, as well as ill effects when thwarted (Baumeister & Leary, 1995). Satisfying the desire for wealth may have evolutionary benefits for one's health and, therefore, may represent a psychological need (e.g., Kanazawa, 2003). Similarly, popularity has been discussed as a human need by socio-analytical psychologists, who propose that individuals are motivated to accumulate status so one can psychologically thrive (e.g., Hogan, 1996). Alternatively, evidence exists that suggests the satisfaction of the yearning to be wealthy and popular is unconnected to psychological health (e.g., Niemiec, Ryan, & Deci, 2009), irrespective of the value placed on

68 fulfilling these goals (Vansteenkiste et al., 2010). These longings are, therefore, merely *desires*, rather
 69 than *needs*.

70 Rather than focusing on satisfying one's desire for social status and material prosperity, self-
 71 determination theorists (e.g., Vansteenkiste et al., 2010) advocate that humans are inherently driven to
 72 pursue competence (effectiveness within one's environment), relatedness (engagement in meaningful
 73 social relationships), and autonomy (self-initiation of one's behavior). Using SDT as a framework,
 74 studies have shown that the fulfilment of autonomy, competence, and relatedness is positively
 75 associated with well-being and negatively related to ill-being (e.g., Reis, Sheldon, Gable, Roscoe, &
 76 Ryan, 2000). This research also suggests that the relations among the three needs and indicators of
 77 well- and ill-being may differ according to the exploration of within-person changes or individual
 78 differences. However, a comparison between fulfilment of specific psychological needs advocated by
 79 self-determination theorists and acquisitive desires was not conducted and is, therefore, the focus of
 80 the present study.

81 We aimed to fill this gap by exploring the extent to which satisfaction of five needs and
 82 desires (i.e., autonomy, competence, relatedness, wealth, and popularity,) predicted various indicators
 83 of well- (i.e., positive affect and vitality) and ill-being (i.e., negative affect, sleep disturbance, and
 84 frequency of headaches). Regarding popularity, we replicated Sheldon and colleagues' (2001)
 85 attempts to stay faithful to the 'American dream' lay perspective of popularity; although we
 86 acknowledge that this may have some conceptual overlap with individuals' influence over others.
 87 Sheldon et al. found consistently found stronger relationships among the three SDT-advocated needs
 88 and affect, compared to wealth and popularity. The present study attempted to build on this work by
 89 examining the study variables over a three-month time period, which allowed us to explore whether
 90 a) individual differences in the satisfaction of the needs and desires were associated with well- and ill-

91 being, and b) within-person fluctuations were related to well- and ill-being. This disentanglement is
 92 significant because the two levels of relationships are conceptually and statistically distinct. Fulfilling
 93 a desire may be associated with positive consequences at the within-person level but not individual
 94 differences in well-being. Satisfaction of a need may also be related to within-person changes in well-
 95 being, however, individuals whose needs are met should also report greater levels of well-being,
 96 compared to individuals whose needs are not met (Vansteenkiste et al., 2010). In other words, it is the
 97 satisfaction of fundamental needs, and not acquisitive desires, which separate those individuals who
 98 are psychologically thriving from those who are not.

99 We aligned with SDT by suggesting that autonomy, competence, and relatedness are
 100 fundamental to human thriving and represent a psychological *need*, whereas, wealth and popularity
 101 are psychological *desires* which are not fundamental to optimal functioning. As such, we
 102 hypothesized that satisfaction of all five needs and desires would be related to positive consequences
 103 (i.e., higher positive affect and subjective vitality, and lower negative affect) at the within-person
 104 level. In contrast, only individual differences in the satisfaction of three SDT-based needs would be
 105 related to positive psychological consequences. No individual difference relationships were expected
 106 among satisfaction of the desires for popularity and wealth and psychological consequences.

107 Our hypotheses were less clear with regard to physical symptoms of ill-being (i.e., sleep
 108 disturbance and frequency of headaches), given that previous research has offered mixed support for
 109 the relationship between psychological need satisfaction, in particular relatedness, and poor somatic
 110 health (e.g., Reis et al., 2000). Therefore, we proposed that at both levels of analysis low satisfaction
 111 of autonomy and competence would be related to increased somatic symptoms of ill-health, whereas
 112 unsatisfied desires (i.e., wealth and popularity) and relatedness would not.

113 2. Method

114 2.1. Participants and Procedure

115 Participants were 198 undergraduates aged between 19 and 30 years ($M = 19.82$, $SD =$
 116 1.47 , 51% male) who were based in England. Forty students completed the inventory four times,
 117 81 completed it three times, 54 completed it twice, and 23 completed it once. Following ethical
 118 procedures, participants completed an inventory containing all study variables during the second,
 119 third, fifth, eighth, and tenth week of a university semester (some participants were given the
 120 questionnaire in different weeks).

121 2.2. Measures

122 **2.2.1. Attainment of Psychological Needs and Desires** was measured by asking
 123 participants to respond to the stem “during the past week, I felt” followed by 15 items (three
 124 items for each need or desire) previously used and validated by Sheldon et al. (2001). All items
 125 were responded to on a 5-point scale ranging from 1 (*not at all*) to 5 (*very much*).

126 **2.2.2. Vitality** was measured using the validated seven item version of the Subjective
 127 Vitality Scale (Ryan & Frederick, 1997). Participants read the stem “during the past week”
 128 followed by the items and responded using a 7-point scale, anchored by 1 (*not at all true*) and 7
 129 (*very true*).

130 **2.2.3. Positive and Negative Affect** was assessed using the previously validated Positive
 131 And Negative Affect Scale (Watson, Clark, & Tellegen, 1988). Participants were asked to rate
 132 how much they have experienced ten positive and ten negative emotions during the past week on
 133 a 5-point scale ranging from 1 (*very slightly or not at all*) to 5 (*extremely*).

134 **2.2.4. Sleep Disturbance and Frequency of Headaches** were measured using the four
 135 and three items that comprise the respective subscales of the Physical Health Questionnaire

136 (PHQ; Schat, Kelloway, & Desmarais, 2005). Participants were asked to consider the last week
 137 and respond using a scale ranging from 1 (*not at all*) to 7 (*all the time*).

138 **3. Results**

139 All subscales demonstrated adequate internal consistency ($\alpha \geq .60$) and correlations
 140 between the study variables suggested that multicollinearity was not an issue (specific details can
 141 be obtained upon request from the first author).

142 Using MLwin 2.10 software (Rasbash, Steele, Browne, & Goldstein, 2009), we estimated
 143 level 1 (i.e., within-person) and level 2 (i.e., individual differences) relationships simultaneously.
 144 All predictor variables were explored as random effects; however, they were entered as fixed
 145 effects in the models if the level 2 slope variance was not significant or the deviance scores did
 146 not indicate better model fit. To examine within-person relations the five psychological needs and
 147 desires were group mean centred and entered as predictors in the level 1 equation of the
 148 respective models. To examine individual difference relationships, participants' mean scores of
 149 the five needs and desires were centered on the sample mean across all time points and entered
 150 into the level 2 equation. To further investigate potential differences between individual
 151 differences in need and desire attainment, we also constructed a series of models in which the
 152 slope of one psychological need was constrained to be equal with the slope of one desire. If these
 153 models showed worse fit to the data than an unconstrained model the respective slopes (i.e.,
 154 relationships) were assumed to be different. Results can be seen in Table 1 and are summarized
 155 for each outcome variable below.

156 **3.2.1. Vitality.** Increases in attainment of all the needs and desires beyond one's normal
 157 levels were associated with greater vitality, with the exception of relatedness. Moreover,
 158 participants who had their needs for competence, autonomy, and relatedness satisfied generally

159 reported higher vitality, compared to participants who did not have these needs satisfied. No
 160 individual difference relationships were found for wealth and popularity. Comparison of
 161 constrained and unconstrained models revealed that significant differences existed between the
 162 level 2 slope coefficients of the needs and the desires (range of $\Delta\chi^2 = 4.12 - 11.14$, $df = 1$, all $p <$
 163 $.05$), with the exception of relatedness and money ($\Delta\chi^2 = 3.06$, $df = 1$, $p > .05$).

164 **3.2.2. Positive affect.** Increases in attainment of competence, autonomy, and popularity
 165 beyond one's normal levels were related to higher positive affect. The relationship between
 166 competence and positive affect significantly varied across participants. In addition, participants
 167 who had their needs for competence, autonomy, and relatedness satisfied generally reported
 168 greater positive affect, compared to participants who did not have these needs satisfied. No
 169 individual difference relationships were found for wealth and popularity. Comparison of
 170 constrained and unconstrained models revealed that significant differences existed between the
 171 level 2 slope coefficients of the needs and the desires (range of $\Delta\chi^2 = 4.93 - 10.36$, $df = 1$, all $p <$
 172 $.05$), with the exception of relatedness and popularity ($\Delta\chi^2 = 2.08$, $df = 1$, $p > .05$).

173 **3.2.3. Negative affect.** Increases in attainment of the desires or needs were not associated
 174 with negative affect, however, the relationships among increases in competence, relatedness and
 175 negative affect varied significantly across participants. Further, participants who had their needs
 176 for competence and autonomy satisfied generally reported feeling less negative affect, compared
 177 to participants who did not have these needs satisfied. No individual difference effects were
 178 found for relatedness, wealth, and popularity (however, the relationship between popularity and
 179 negative affect varied across participants). Comparison of constrained and unconstrained models
 180 revealed that significant differences existed between the level 2 slope coefficients of the two
 181 significantly related needs (i.e., autonomy and competence. Relatedness was not tested as it did

not significantly predict negative affect) and the desires (range of $\Delta\chi^2 = 8.11 - 16.14$, $df = 1$, all $p < .05$).

3.2.4. Sleep disturbance. Increases in attainment of competence and wealth above one's normal levels were associated with less sleep disturbance. However, there were no significant relationships between individual differences in the attainment of needs and desires and sleep disturbance.

3.2.5. Headaches. Increases in attainment of the desires or needs were not associated with frequency of headaches, however, the relationship between increases in popularity and headache occurrence significantly varied across participants. Again, there were no significant relationships between individual differences in the needs and desires and headache occurrence.

4. Discussion

Findings within the present study suggested that increased attainment beyond one's normal levels in two of the three psychological needs advocated by SDT (i.e., autonomy and competence), as well as popularity and wealth are associated with positive affective responses and vitalized functioning. However, differences between SDT-based needs and acquisitive desires were found at the individual difference level. Participants who satisfied their desire to be wealthy and popular did not report enhanced well-being, compared to participants who were unsatisfied. Contrastingly, individuals who felt autonomous, competent, and related reported greater psychological health, compared to individuals with lower levels of psychological need satisfaction. Results were less conclusive regarding indicators of ill-being.

Our results imply that an individual who experiences an increase in his or her satisfaction of competence (e.g., feeling proficient at work after a successful project), autonomy (e.g., having more time to participate in activities of one's choosing than normal), popularity

(e.g., a sudden increase in attention on social networking websites), and wealth (e.g., an unexpected pay rise) is likely to function with more energy and vitality during these changes. Further, greater feelings of competence, autonomy, and popularity compared to usual are likely to be associated with higher positive affect. The immediate positive correlates associated with elevated attainment of popularity and wealth may explain why these two desires are so sought after (Bandura and Locke, 2003). Similarly, the proposition that increased agency and effectiveness will correspond with energized functioning and increased positive affect has robust support. In contrast to Reis et al. (2000), however, we did not find any significant relationships between increases in the satisfaction of relatedness beyond one's normal levels and positive affect or vitality. These equivocal findings imply that in certain contexts, fluctuations in relatedness satisfaction may play a lesser role in healthy psychological functioning, compared to autonomy and competence. As we discuss later, however, this isolation should not become the typical experience for any given individual.

Limited support was established for our proposal that decreases in the satisfaction of the psychological needs and acquisitive desires beyond one's normal levels would lead to higher reported ill-being. Decreased feelings of competence and attainment of wealth compared to normal were associated with disturbed sleep. Financial concerns have been shown to be a significant potential stressor in undergraduate samples (Ross, Cleland, & MacLeod, 2006), which may explain why a participant would experience troubled sleep if he or she does not feel as financially satisfied as normal. Generally speaking, fluctuations in the SDT-based psychological needs did not impact upon individuals' ill-being. We based this hypothesis on Deci and Ryan's (2000) proposal that thwarting individuals' psychological needs will result in diminished functioning, as well as work proposing more specific links (e.g., social isolation and reduced

228 sleep quality; Hawkey, Preacher, & Cacioppo, 2011). However, research has often found similar
229 non-significant relationships among psychological need satisfaction and indicators of ill-being
230 (e.g., Reis et al., 2000). Recent work by Bartholomew, Ntoumanis, Ryan, Bosch, & Thørgesen-
231 Ntoumani (2011) proposed that a lack of need satisfaction (i.e., what we measured in the present
232 study) is conceptually distinct from overt thwarting of psychological needs and this distinction
233 may explain why non-significant relationships between psychological need satisfaction and
234 maladaptive consequences are often found.

235 As expected, a clear distinction can be seen between psychological needs and desires
236 when examining individual differences in the fulfilment of these constructs and their associations
237 with well-being. Individuals who reported high satisfaction of the desire for wealth and
238 popularity did not report higher psychological well-being, compared to individuals who reported
239 low satisfaction. In contrast, participants whose psychological needs for autonomy, competence,
240 and relatedness are generally fulfilled in life reported greater psychological well-being, compared
241 to individuals who experience less psychological need gratification. These results were
242 supplemented by the finding that participants who reported lower autonomy and competence
243 satisfaction reported higher negative affect, compared to participants who reported greater felt
244 autonomy and competence. Thus, our results suggest that individuals who feel a sense of self-
245 initiation and effectiveness in their activities, as well as a connectedness with important others are
246 likely to experience a fulfilling life. Those individuals who gratify their need for status and riches
247 may not be guaranteed such a positive existence, despite some immediate benefits of attaining
248 social recognition and wealth beyond one's normal levels.

249 It is worth noting that, in some instances, the relationships associated with relatedness
250 need satisfaction were not found to be different than those of wealth and popularity attainment.

251 Even so, although increases in participants' sense of relatedness satisfaction beyond one's normal
252 levels did not correspond to enhanced levels of well-being, individuals who were generally higher
253 in feelings of relatedness satisfaction reported more vitality and positive affect than their
254 unrelated counterparts. Thus, it seems that chronic satisfaction of relatedness has a key role in
255 psychological well-being, but fluctuations in relatedness may not be so impactful.

256 **4.1. Limitations, Future Directions and Conclusions**

257 Despite providing a detailed picture of the varying consequences of fulfilling
258 psychological needs and desires, it is worth noting that all the constructs were measured via self-
259 report in a relatively homogenous sample of Caucasian undergraduate students. Hence, the use of
260 alternative markers and samples is recommended. In addition, the analysis we employed cannot
261 provide information on the causal direction of relationships, particular at the individual difference
262 level where alternative trait variables may explain the relationships. Potential moderators of the
263 relationships examined in this study could also be scrutinized to help facilitate understanding of
264 why some of the relationships found varied across participants. Notwithstanding these limitations,
265 however, the study offers new insights into the varying potential consequences of fulfilling
266 psychological needs advocated within the SDT framework and acquisitive desires. In particular,
267 disentangling within-person and individual difference relationships seems to help distinguish
268 between needs and desires and their impact upon well-being and affective ill-being, but not
269 somatic ill-being.

270

271

272

273

5. References

- Bandura, A. & Locke, E. (2003). Negative self-efficacy and goal effects revisited. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88, 87-99. doi:10.1037/0021-9010.88.1.87
- Bartholomew, K., Ntoumanis, N., Ryan, R., Bosch, J., & Thogersen-Ntoumani, C. (2011). Self-determination theory and diminished functioning: The role of interpersonal control and psychological need thwarting. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 37, 1459–1473.
- Baumeister, R., & Leary, M. R. (1995). The need to belong: Desire for interpersonal attachments as a fundamental human motivation. *Psychological Bulletin*, 117, 497-529. doi:10.1037//0033-2909.117.3.497
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The “what” and “why” of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11, 227-268. doi:10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01
- Hawkley, L., Preacher, K., & Cacioppo, J. (2011). As we said, loneliness (not living alone) predicts individual differences in sleep quality: A reply. *Health Psychology*, 30, 136. doi: 10.1037/a0022366
- Hogan, R. (1996). A socioanalytic perspective on the five-factor model. In J. S. Wiggins (Ed.), *The five-factor model of personality* (pp. 163—179). New York: Guilford Press.
- Kanazawa, S. (2003). Can evolutionary psychology explain reproductive behavior in the contemporary United States? *Sociological Quarterly*, 44, 291-302.
- Murray, H. A. (1938). *Explorations in Personality*. New York: Oxford University Press
- Niemiec, C. P., Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2009). The path taken: Consequences of attaining intrinsic and extrinsic aspirations in post-college life. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 34, 75–91. doi:10.1016/j.jrp.2008.09.001

- 297 Rasbash, J., Steele, F., Browne, W. J., & Goldstein, H. (2009). *A user's guide to MLwiN (Version*
 298 *2.10)*. Bristol, U.K.: University of Bristol.
- 299 Reis, H. T., Sheldon, K. M., Gable, S. L., Roscoe, R., & Ryan, R. (2000). Daily well-being: The
 300 role of autonomy, competence, and relatedness. *Personality and Social Psychology*
 301 *Bulletin*, 26, 419–435. doi:10.1177/0146167200266002
- 302 Ross, S., Cleland, J. A., & MacLeod, M. J. (2006). Stress, debt and undergraduate medical student
 303 performance. *Medical Education*, 40, 84–589. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2929.2006.02448.x
- 304 Ryan, R. M. & Frederick, C. M. (1997). On energy, personality, and health: Subjective vitality as
 305 a dynamic reflection of well-being. *Journal of Personality*, 65, 529–565.
 306 doi:10.1111/j.1467-6494.1997.tb00326.x
- 307 Schat, A., Kelloway, E. K., & Desmarais, S. (2005). The Physical Health Questionnaire (PHQ):
 308 Construct validation of a self-report scale of somatic symptoms. *Journal of Occupational*
 309 *Health Psychology*, 10, 363–381. doi:10.1037/1076-8998.10.4.363
- 310 Sheldon, K. M., Elliot, A. J., Kim, Y., & Kasser, T. (2001). What is satisfying about satisfying
 311 events? Testing 10 candidate psychological needs. *Journal of Personality and Social*
 312 *Psychology*, 80, 325–339. doi:10.1037//0022-3514.80.2.325
- 313 Vansteenkiste, M., Niemiec, C. P., & Soenens, B. (2010). The development of the five mini-
 314 theories of self-determination theory: An historical overview, emerging trends, and future
 315 directions. In T. Urdan & S. Karabenick (Eds.), *Advances in Motivation and Achievement*,
 316 *vol. 16: The Decade Ahead* (pp. 105–166), UK: Emerald Publishing.
- 317 Watson, D., Clark, L., & Tellegen, A. (1988). Development and validation of brief measures of
 318 positive and negative affect: The PANAS scales. *Journal of Personality and Social*
 319 *Psychology*, 54, 1063–1070. doi:10.1037//0022-3514.54.6.1063