This item was submitted to [Loughborough's Research Repository](#) by the author.
Items in Figshare are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved, unless otherwise indicated.

Blunted sweating does not alter the rise in core temperature in people with multiple sclerosis exercising in the heat

PLEASE CITE THE PUBLISHED VERSION

<https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.00090.2020>

PUBLISHER

American Physiological Society

VERSION

AM (Accepted Manuscript)

PUBLISHER STATEMENT

This paper was accepted for publication in the journal American Journal of Physiology-Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology and the definitive published version is available at
<https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.00090.2020>

LICENCE

CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

REPOSITORY RECORD

Chaseling, Georgia K, Davide Filingeri, Dustin R Allen, Michael Barnett, Steve Vucic, Scott L Davis, and Ollie Jay. 2020. "Blunted Sweating Does Not Alter the Rise in Core Temperature in People with Multiple Sclerosis Exercising in the Heat". Loughborough University. <https://hdl.handle.net/2134/13373006.v1>.

Blunted sweating does not alter the rise in core temperature in people with multiple sclerosis exercising in the heat

Georgia K Chaseling¹, Davide Filingeri^{1,2}, Dustin Allen^{3,4}, Michael Barnett⁵, Steve Vucic⁶, Scott L Davis^{3,7}, Ollie Jay^{1,8}✉

¹The University of Sydney, Thermal Ergonomics Laboratory, Sydney School of Health Sciences, Faculty of Medicine and Health, NSW, AUSTRALIA

²THERMOSENSELAB, Environmental Ergonomics Research Centre, Loughborough University, Leicestershire, UNITED KINGDOM

³Applied Physiology & Wellness Southern Methodist University, Dallas, TX, UNITED STATES

⁴Boston University, Department of Health Sciences, Boston, MS, UNITED STATES

⁵The University of Sydney, Brain and Mind Centre, Camperdown, NSW, AUSTRALIA

⁶The University of Sydney Clinical School, Westmead Clinical School, Westmead, NSW, AUSTRALIA

⁷Neurology and Neurotherapeutics, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, UNITED STATES

⁸The University of Sydney, Charles Perkins Centre, Camperdown, NSW, AUSTRALIA

Running Head: Exercise and thermoregulation for people with MS

Address for correspondence:

Ollie Jay ✉

Thermal Ergonomics Laboratory,
Sydney School of Health Sciences,
Faculty of Medicine and Health,
The University of Sydney,
NSW, AUSTRALIA

Tel: +61 2 93519328

E-mail: ollie.jay@sydney.edu.au

39 **Abstract**

40 **Purpose:** To determine whether thermoregulatory capacity is altered by MS during exercise
41 in the heat.

42 **Methods:** Sixteen MS (EDSS: 2.9 ± 0.9 ; 47 ± 8 y; 77.6 ± 14.0 kg) and 14 healthy (CON) control
43 participants (43 ± 11 y; 78.6 ± 17.0 kg) cycled at a heat production of $4 \text{ W} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ for 60 minutes
44 at 30°C , 30%RH (WARM). A subset of 8 MS (EDSS: 2.6 ± 0.5 ; 44 ± 8 y; 82.3 ± 18.2 kg) and 8
45 CON (44 ± 12 y; 81.2 ± 21.1 kg) also exercised at 35°C , 30%RH (HOT). Rectal (T_{re}), mean
46 skin (T_{sk}) temperature, and local sweat rate on the upper-back (LSR_{back}) and forearm
47 (LSR_{arm}), were measured.

48 **Results:** All CON, yet only 9 of 16, and 7 of 8 MS participants completed 60 min of exercise
49 in WARM and HOT trials, respectively. All MS participants unable to complete exercise
50 stopped with ΔT_{re} between 0.2 - 0.5°C . The time to reach a ΔT_{re} of 0.2°C was similar
51 (MS: 28 ± 15 min, CON: 32 ± 18 min; $P=0.51$). For MS participants completing 60-min of
52 exercise in WARM, ΔT_{re} ($P=0.13$), ΔT_{sk} ($P=0.45$), LSR_{back} ($P=0.69$) and LSR_{arm} ($P=0.54$)
53 were similar to CON, but ΔT_{b} (MS: $0.16 \pm 0.13^\circ\text{C}$, CON: $0.07 \pm 0.06^\circ\text{C}$; $P=0.02$) and onset time
54 (MS: 16 ± 10 min, CON: 8 ± 5 min; $P=0.02$) for sweating were greater. Similarly, in HOT, ΔT_{re}
55 ($P=0.52$), ΔT_{sk} ($P=0.06$), LSR_{back} ($P=0.59$) and LSR_{arm} ($P=0.08$) were similar, but ΔT_{b} (MS:
56 $0.19 \pm 0.16^\circ\text{C}$, CON: $0.06 \pm 0.04^\circ\text{C}$; $P=0.04$) and onset time (MS: 13 ± 7 min, CON: 6 ± 3 min;
57 $P=0.02$) for sweating were greater with MS.

58 **Conclusion:** Even at 35°C , a delayed sweating onset didn't alter heat loss to sufficiently
59 affect exercise-induced rises in core temperature. Heat intolerance with MS does not seem
60 attributable to thermoregulatory impairments.

61 **Keywords:** Uhthoff's Phenomenon, autonomic dysfunction, heat sensitivity,
62 thermoregulation

63 **Introduction**

64 Multiple sclerosis (MS) is an autoimmune, inflammatory demyelinating disease of the
65 central nervous system (CNS). Up to 80% of people with MS have an intolerance to the heat
66 (36), also known as Uhthoff's phenomenon (37), which describes a transient worsening of
67 MS symptoms with exposure to a hot environment and/or during physical activity. An
68 increase in core temperature from rest as small as 0.2 to 0.5°C has been reported to induce
69 Uhthoff's phenomenon, likely due to altered conduction in temperature sensitive neurons (14,
70 32). In some patients, this heat intolerance subsequently reduces the capacity to work and
71 perform household tasks (35), and increases postural sway, which potentially leads to an
72 increased risk of falling (30). Indeed, ~30% of people with MS will leave their job, with an
73 additional ~40% admitting their job is at risk due to heat intolerance and associated
74 symptoms (35).

75 A contributing factor to the rapid onset of heat intolerance may be a disproportionate
76 rise in core temperature for a given metabolic heat load, by virtue of an impaired
77 thermoregulatory response. A sufficient sweating and skin blood flow response is critical for
78 regulating core body temperature during exercise and/or heat exposure. The onset of these
79 effector responses and the rate at which sweat output and skin blood flow increases for a
80 given rise in body temperature dictates the rate at which heat is stored and distributed within
81 the body (26).

82 It has recently been reported that people with relapsing-remitting MS demonstrate a
83 blunted sudomotor, but not vasomotor response, during moderate exercise at a fixed heat
84 production in a temperate (25°C) environment (1). While this blunted sudomotor response
85 did not result in larger rises in core temperature compared to healthy controls (1), exercise in
86 hotter conditions that approach skin temperature (i.e. 30 to 35°C) may elicit a net thermal
87 load that exceeds the thermoregulatory capacity of people with MS sufficiently to cause

88 greater rises in body temperature for a given activity level. During passive heating in an
89 encapsulated environment with a 48°C water perfused suit to a similar rise in core
90 temperature (0.8°C), Allen et al (2) reported an average local sweat rate that is 0.24 mg·cm⁻²·min⁻¹
91 lower in people with MS relative to healthy control participants. If this difference in
92 sweating is extended across the entire body surface, the parallel difference in evaporative
93 potential in a non-encapsulated environment should be sufficient to elicit (assuming 50%
94 evaporation) up to a ~0.8°C greater rise in core temperature in a 70-kg MS participant after
95 60-min of exercise. Whether these observations translate directly to a hot non-encapsulated
96 environment though, remains unknown.

97 To assess any differences in time-dependent changes in core temperature and
98 sweating between MS and healthy populations, any differences in body size must be
99 accounted for in the experimental design. It has been recently demonstrated that prescribing
100 exercise intensity to elicit a fixed metabolic heat production per unit total body mass (i.e.
101 W·kg⁻¹), irrespective of relative exercise intensity (i.e. percentage of maximum oxygen
102 consumption; %VO₂max) eliminates any systematic differences in the exercise-induced rise
103 of core temperature due to biophysical factors (13, 21). Similarly, if participant groups are
104 matched for body size, such an approach will also elicit a similar evaporative requirement for
105 heat balance (E_{req}) per unit surface area, which has been shown to determine steady-state
106 local sweat rates, again irrespective of %VO₂max (13).

107 The aims of this study were to assess whether, 1) people with MS demonstrate a
108 disproportionate rise in core temperature relative to healthy controls during moderate exercise
109 in a warm (30°C, 30% RH) and hot (35°C, 30% RH) environment due to impaired local
110 sweating; and 2) any impaired thermoregulatory response with MS will be sufficient to
111 reduce exercise tolerance in both warm and hot environments compared to age-matched
112 health controls. We hypothesized that 1) people with MS will demonstrate a greater rise in

core temperature by virtue of a blunted sweat response in both the warm and hot environments, and 2) the greater rise in core temperature in MS participants will decrease exercise time to exhaustion at a fixed heat production in both the warm and hot environments compared to age-matched healthy controls.

Methods

Participants

Sixteen relapsing-remitting MS patients and 14 control participants, with a similar age and body size, were recruited to cycle in a 30°C, 30% RH (WARM) environment (Table 1). A subset of 8 relapsing-remitting MS participants and 8 control participants with a similar age and body size completed the same exercise bout in a 35°C, 30% RH (HOT) environment (Table 1). For the WARM trials, 9 participants were required based on a power calculation (Heinrich-Heine-Universität, Dusseldorf, Germany) using an α of 0.05 and a β of 0.7 and an effect size of 1.0 for the main outcome variable of differences in sweat rate in an encapsulated heat stress environment between MS and CON participants (2). For the HOT trials, a convenience sample was used with only 8 MS and 8 CON participants returning to complete this trial. Eligible participants were free of any cardiovascular or metabolic disorders and were not prescribed medication that contained a muscarinic antagonist agent. MS participants were excluded if they had experienced a relapse six months prior to commencing the study. Disease modifying treatments (DMT) used by MS participants in this study were as follows: Tysabri (natalizumab), $n = 4$; Copaxone (glatiramer acetate), $n = 1$; Avonex (interferon beta-1a), $n = 1$; Tecfidera (dimethyl fumarate), $n = 2$; Lemtrada (alemtuzumab), $n = 1$; Fampridine (fampyra), $n = 1$; Lioresal (Baclofen), $n = 1$; no DMT reported, $n = 5$. All participants were informed of any risks involved with the study and provided their written informed consent. This study was approved by the University of Sydney Human Research Ethics Committee (HREC: 2015/125).

138 *Study design*

139 All trials were performed in the Thermal Ergonomics Laboratory at the University of
140 Sydney, Australia. All participants attended one preliminary trial, 8 MS and CON
141 participants completed two experimental trials (WARM and HOT), while 8 MS and 6 CON
142 participants completed one experimental trial (WARM ONLY). During the experimental
143 trials, participants cycled on a semi-recumbent ergometer at a fixed metabolic heat
144 production (H_{prod}) of $4 \text{ W} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ for 60 minutes. Participants completed their trials in either a
145 30°C , 30% RH (16 MS, 14 CON) or 35°C , 30% RH (8 MS, 8 CON) environment. All
146 participants were instructed to abstain from alcohol and avoided strenuous exercise up to 24 h
147 before their trial.

148 *Preliminary trial*

149 During the preliminary session, height and weight were recorded followed by a
150 submaximal aerobic test on a semi-recumbent cycle ergometer. A submaximal test was used
151 to determine the relationship between external work rate and oxygen consumption (VO_2) and
152 thus H_{prod} (12). The submaximal test protocol started with a 5-min warm-up period followed
153 by 5-min of rest, after which the participant was fitted with a face mask attached to a
154 metabolic cart. Participants began cycling at a resistance of 20 W below the predicted
155 workload to elicit an individualized H_{prod} of $4 \text{ W} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$, at a cadence of 60 rpm. The external
156 workload of the bike was then increased by 20 W every three minutes for 4 separate stages or
157 until volitional exhaustion (25). A least square regression equation was employed using
158 submaximal HR and oxygen consumption at the end of each stage and extrapolated to the
159 maximal age-predicted HR ($220 - \text{age}$) (5) to determine $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$ using the Young Men's
160 Christian Association (YMCA) protocol (16).

161 *Experimental trials*

During each experimental trial, participants cycled on a semi-recumbent ergometer for 60 minutes in a climate chamber regulated at 30°C, 30% RH (WARM) or 35°C, 30% RH (HOT). Participants were instrumented, and baseline data was collected for 15 minutes, after which they began to cycle at a H_{prod} of 4 W·kg⁻¹. At the cessation of exercise, ambient temperature was decreased to 20°C, 30% RH and participants sat quietly during a 30-minute recovery period.

Instrumentation

Partitional calorimetry: Breath-by-breath metabolic energy expenditure (M) was calculated using indirect calorimetry via a metabolic cart (Quark CPET, Cosmed, Asia Pacific PTY, NSW, Australia). Minute-averaged values were calculated using the following equation (28):

$$M = \text{VO}_2 \cdot \frac{\left(\left(\frac{\text{RER}-0.7}{0.3}\right) \cdot E_c\right) + \left(\left(\frac{1-\text{RER}}{0.3}\right) \cdot E_f\right)}{60} \cdot 1000 \text{ [W]}$$

Where: VO_2 is the rate of oxygen consumption (L·min⁻¹); RER is the respiratory exchange ratio; E_c and E_f are the energetic equivalents of carbohydrate (21.13 kJ·L⁻¹ of O₂) and fat (19.62 kJ·L⁻¹ of O₂) respectively. External workload was regulated using a semi-recumbent cycle ergometer (Corival Recumbent, Lode B.V., Groningen, Netherlands). The rate of heat production (H_{prod}) was subsequently calculated as the difference between M and external workload (W) and then converted into W·kg⁻¹ by dividing by total body mass.

The evaporative requirement (E_{req}) for heat loss was determined as described by Cramer and Jay (12) and expressed as W·m⁻².

Core Temperature: Rectal (T_{re}) temperature was measured using general-purpose paediatric thermistor (TM400, Covidien, Massachusetts, USA) self-inserted to a depth of ~15 cm past the anal sphincter (23).

Skin Temperature: was measured at four sites across the left side of the body using T-type thermocouples (Concept Engineering, Connecticut, USA), secured to the skin using

surgical tape. Mean skin temperature (T_{sk}) was expressed as a weighted average in accordance with Ramanathan (31): chest 30%, shoulder 30%, thigh 20%, and calf 20%. All thermometric measurements were sampled every 5 seconds (NI cDAQ-91722 module, National Instruments, Texas, USA) and displayed in real-time using LabView (v7.0, National Instruments).

Local sweat rates (LSR): were measured using 4.1-cm² ventilated sweat capsules, secured to the skin using surgical tape (Transpore®, 3M, Ontario, Canada). Capsules were placed on the left upper back ~5 cm above the scapular spine over the trapezium and mid-forearm ~5 cm distal to the antecubital fossa. Anhydrous air was passed through each capsule at a constant flow rate of 750 mL·min⁻¹ (Omega FMA-A2307, Omega Engineering, Connecticut, USA) and the temperature and humidity of outflowing air were measured every 5 s using factory-calibrated capacitance hygrometers (HMT333, Vaisala, Vantaa, Finland). LSR measures were calculated as the product of change in absolute humidity across the capsule and flow rate and expressed relative to the area under the capsule in mg·cm⁻²·min⁻¹.

Electrocardiograph: A wireless 6-lead ECG system recorded measures of heart rate (Quark ECG stress system, Cosmed, NSW, Australia).

Thermoeffector responses: LSR onset thresholds and thermosensitivity of the forearm and upper back were determined as a function of meant body temperature (T_b). It is well established that core temperature has approximately a nine to ten times greater the influence on thermoeffector responses than skin temperature (18, 27). Therefore, T_b was calculated using a weighting of $0.9 \times T_{re}$ and $0.1 \times T_{sk}$ and ΔT_b was calculated as the 30-sec average change from baseline. Thermosensitivities for each participant were determined separately for LSR of the forearm and back, using a simple linear regression for the period of linear increase in LSR plotted against the ΔT_b .

Statistical Analysis

All data are expressed as a mean with standard deviation ($\pm$). For both the WARM and HOT experimental trials, an independent-samples two-tailed t-test was used to compare absolute baseline and changes from baseline of T_{re} , T_{sk} at the 30th and 60th minute of exercise and absolute measures of HR, LSR at the forearm and upper back at the 30th and 60th minute of exercise between the MS and CON groups. Furthermore, an independent-samples two-tailed t-test was used to compare the time taken (in minutes) to reach a rise in T_{re} of 0.2°C between the MS and CON groups and the evaporative requirement of heat loss. Workload was determined by averaging work in watts (W), $W \cdot m^{-2}$ and $W \cdot kg^{-1}$ from the tenth minute of exercise until the end of the trial for each individual participant. An independent-samples two-tailed t-test was then used to assess the difference between MS and CON groups. An independent-samples two-tailed t-test was then used to assess ΔT_b onset thresholds, time at response of onset, and thermosensitivity of LSR on the forearm and upper back. Thermosensitivities for each participant were determined separately for LSR of the forearm and back, using a simple linear regression for the period of linear increase in LSR plotted against the ΔT_b . The level of significance for all analyses employed an α of 0.05. All multiple comparison p-values were adjusted using a Bonferroni correction. Statistical analyses were performed, and all data were graphed using GraphPad Prism (Version 7 La Jolla, CA, USA).

Results

Sample sizes for statistical analyses reflect the number of MS patients that completed 30 and 60 min of exercise, respectively, in the WARM and HOT trials. Absolute rectal temperature, heart rate and the absolute and relative workloads for both the WARM and HOT trials were not different between the MS and CON groups (Table 1). Furthermore, the evaporative requirement for heat loss was similar between the MS and CON groups for both the WARM and HOT trials (Table 1).

Exercise tolerance

237 WARM Trial: All control participants were able to complete 60 min of exercise.
238 However, it was observed that 14 out of 16 and 9 out of 16 MS participants were able to
239 complete 30 and 60 min of exercise, respectively. MS participants who were unable to sustain
240 60 min of cycling, exercised for 33 ± 11 min (range: 15 - 45 min) before volitional
241 exhaustion.

242 HOT Trial: All control participants were able to complete 60 min of exercise.
243 However, it was observed that 7 out of 8 MS participants were able to complete exercise for
244 60 min, the remaining 1 participant completed 20 min of exercise before volitional
245 exhaustion.

246 *Core and skin temperatures*

247 WARM Trial: The change in T_{re} after 30 min (MS: $n = 14$; CON: $n = 14$; $P = 0.75$)
248 and 60 min of exercise (MS: $n = 9$; CON: $n = 14$; $P = 0.13$) were not different between
249 groups (Figure 1A). The change in T_{sk} following 30 min (MS: $n = 14$; CON: $n = 14$; $P = 0.13$)
250 and 60 min of exercise (MS: $n = 9$; CON: $n = 14$; $P = 0.30$) were not different between
251 groups (Figure 1B). The time taken to reach a rise in T_{re} of 0.2°C , often considered as lower
252 limit of Uhthoff's threshold, (Figure 1C) was not different between MS and CON groups ($P =$
253 0.51). The end of exercise change in T_{re} for the MS and CON groups are displayed in figure
254 1D-E. For all MS participants who were unable to complete 60 min of exercise, the end of
255 exercise change in T_{re} was within the $0.2\text{-}0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ Uhthoff's phenomenon threshold except for
256 one participant who stopped exercise after 15 minutes with a rise in T_{re} of 0.10°C .

257 HOT Trial: The change in T_{re} after 30 min (MS: $n = 7$; CON: $n = 8$; $P = 0.48$) and 60
258 min of exercise (MS: $n = 7$; CON: $n = 8$; $P = 0.65$) were again not different between groups
259 (Figure 2A). The change in T_{sk} following 30 min (MS: $n = 7$; CON: $n = 8$; $P = 0.14$) and 60
260 min of exercise (MS: $n = 7$; CON: $n = 8$; $P = 0.06$) was not statistically different (Figure 2B).
261 The time taken to reach a rise in T_{re} of 0.2°C (Figure 2C) was not different between the MS

and CON participants ($P = 0.19$). The end of exercise change in T_{re} for the MS and CON groups are displayed in figure 2D-E. For the MS participant who was unable to complete 60 min of cycling, the end change in T_{re} was within the $0.2\text{--}0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ threshold of Uthoff's phenomenon.

Sweat rates and onset thresholds

WARM Trial: Data for upper back local sweat rate was not obtained for 1 MS participant. Local sweat rates of the upper back after 30 min ($P = 0.19$) and 60 min of exercise ($P = 0.69$) were not different between groups (Table 2). Similarly, local sweat rates of the forearm after 30 min ($P = 0.19$) and 60 min of exercise ($P = 0.54$) were not different between groups (Table 2). The change in mean body temperature onset threshold for the forearm and upper back LSR and the subsequent thermosensitivity are displayed in Figure 3. Onset and thermosensitivity calculations for local sweat rate were performed on 15 and 14 MS participants for the forearm and upper back local sweat rate respectively and 13 CON participants for the forearm and upper back because either no sweat rate data was collected ($n = 1$) or we were unable to define the period of linear increase in LSR plotted against the ΔT_b . The change in mean body temperature for the onset of forearm sweating was greater ($P = 0.04$) in the MS compared to the CON group but this difference was not observed for upper back sweating ($P = 0.27$). The time at onset was greater in the MS group for forearm (MS: 15 ± 10 min; CON: 8 ± 5 min; $P = 0.03$) but not the upper back (MS: 14 ± 12 min; CON: 10 ± 9 min; $P = 0.26$). The thermosensitivity was not different between groups for either the forearm (MS: $0.76 \pm 0.72 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}\cdot^{\circ}\text{C}$; CON: $1.18 \pm 0.59 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}\cdot^{\circ}\text{C}$; $P = 0.34$) or the upper back (MS: $0.78 \pm 0.77 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}\cdot^{\circ}\text{C}$; CON: $1.23 \pm 0.85 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}\cdot^{\circ}\text{C}$; $P = 0.34$).

HOT Trial: Local sweat rates for the upper back and forearm were not obtained for one participant, and another had an exercise time under 30 min, therefore, data were analysed

for 6 out of 8 MS participants. Local sweat rates of the upper back after 30 min ($P = 0.78$) and 60 min of exercise ($P = 0.59$) were not different between groups (Table 2). Similarly, local sweat rates of the forearm after 30 min ($P = 0.06$) and 60 min of exercise ($P = 0.08$) were not different between groups (Table 2). The change in mean body temperature onset threshold for the forearm and upper back LSR and the subsequent thermosensitivity are displayed in Figure 3. Onset and thermosensitivity calculations for local sweat rate were performed on 7 out of 8 MS participants for both the forearm and upper back local sweat rate because local sweat rate data was not collected on 1 participant. The change in mean body temperature for the onset of forearm sweating was greater ($P = 0.04$) in the MS compared to the CON group but this difference was not observed for upper back sweating ($P = 0.12$). The time at onset was greater in the MS group for forearm (MS: 13 ± 7 min; CON: 6 ± 3 min; $P = 0.02$) but not the upper back (MS: 10 ± 7 min; CON: 7 ± 4 min; $P = 0.29$). The thermosensitivity were not different between groups for either the forearm (MS: 1.01 ± 0.43 $\text{mg} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2} \cdot ^\circ\text{C}$; CON: 1.59 ± 1.24 $\text{mg} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2} \cdot ^\circ\text{C}$; $P = 0.26$) or the upper back (MS: 1.37 ± 1.66 $\text{mg} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2} \cdot ^\circ\text{C}$; CON: 1.06 ± 0.69 $\text{mg} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2} \cdot ^\circ\text{C}$; $P = 0.63$).

Heart rate

30°C trial: Heart rate was not different between groups after 30 min (MS: 99 ± 14 bpm, $n = 14$; CON: 106 ± 26 bpm, $n = 14$; $P = 0.37$) and 60 min of exercise (MS: 101 ± 18 bpm, $n = 9$; CON: 102 ± 35 bpm, $n = 14$; $P = 0.91$).

35°C trial: Heart rate was not different between groups after 30 min (MS: 101 ± 6 bpm, $n = 7$; CON: 103 ± 15 bpm, $n = 8$; $P = 0.62$) or 60 minutes of exercise (MS: 102 ± 8 bpm, $n = 7$; CON: 101 ± 23 bpm, $n = 8$; $P = 0.89$).

Discussion

Our collaborative group has recently shown that compared to healthy controls, people with MS demonstrate a blunted sudomotor, but not vasomotor response during moderate

intensity exercise at a fixed metabolic H_{prod} ($4.5 \text{ W} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$) in a temperate climate (25°C , 30% RH) (1). However, the blunted sweat response with MS was not large enough to alter the rise in rectal and esophageal temperature in that study, possibly due to the relatively cool conditions tested (1). The findings of the present study extend our previous investigations to much hotter conditions (up to $T_a=35^{\circ}\text{C}$). The ability of people with MS to complete exercise at a fixed heat production in warm (30°C , 30% RH) or hot (35°C , 30% RH) environments in this study was compromised compared to healthy controls. Furthermore, onset of sweating in people with MS was delayed in both a warm (30°C) and hot (35°C) environment, however decrements were only observed on the forearm, but not the upper back. Nevertheless, similar rises in rectal temperature were observed between the MS and CON group throughout 60 minutes of exercise in both the WARM and HOT trials, showing that at high air temperatures, thermoregulatory impairments in people with relapsing-remitting MS are not sufficient to accelerate body heating during exercise. Even for those participants who could not complete 60 minutes of exercise, the time required to reach a rise in rectal temperature of 0.2°C , which is the theoretical lower limit at which Uhthoff's phenomenon is observed, was the same compared to the CON participants in both the WARM and HOT trials. Taken together, these findings suggest that temperature-induced MS symptoms (i.e., Uhthoff's phenomenon) during exercise in warm and hot environments may occur prior to potential impairments in thermoregulatory function in MS can be observed.

Previously our lab has demonstrated rectal temperature is not different between MS and CON groups following 60 min of exercise in a thermoneutral (25°C) environment (1). However, despite participants exercising in a cooler environment than in the current study, the rise in rectal temperature for the MS participants was greater ($\sim 0.8^{\circ}\text{C}$) in the thermoneutral environment compared to the 30°C ($\sim 0.45^{\circ}\text{C}$ rise in rectal temperature) and 35°C (0.59°C rise in rectal temperature) environment. It is likely that the lower change in

337 rectal temperature observed in the current study is due to the lower workload. It is possible
338 that a greater change in core temperature between the MS and CON groups may have been
339 observed if participants were working at a greater external workload. However, the fact that
340 even when working at $4 \text{ W} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ over 40% of MS participants could not complete exercise in
341 the 30°C trial despite no difference in core temperature between groups, further confirms for
342 some people with MS, heat-related fatigue reflects an inherent sensitivity to smaller rises in
343 core temperature prior to any initiation of thermoregulatory response that may be impaired.
344 Sweat rates are predominantly determined by the amount of evaporation required to maintain
345 heat balance (6), which by design was fixed between the MS and CON groups in the present
346 study (Table 1). A rise in deep and peripheral tissue temperature during exercise in the heat
347 activates local temperature-sensitive neurons that relay afferent information to the preoptic
348 area of the anterior hypothalamus (33). Neuronal firing rates are subsequently altered to elicit
349 an efferent response such as an increase in sudomotor output. The present observation of a
350 delayed onset of sweating in the MS group indicates that pathophysiological events within
351 the CNS with MS may in some way impair this process. Indeed, it is known that injured
352 neurons cause a reduction in conduction velocity and depress the efficiency of a postsynaptic
353 response relative to a presynaptic stimulus (4, 11, 15). Noronha (29) and Andersen (3)
354 reported qualitative evidence of sudomotor impairments in MS patients during a bout of
355 passive heating using the quinizarin powder test method. According to both studies (3, 29)
356 intravenous pilocarpine (a sympathetic cholinergic agonist) adequately increased whole body
357 sweating rate in patients who previously demonstrated a blunted sweating response to passive
358 heating. They postulated that a likely cause of sudomotor dysfunction for people with MS is
359 the damage to neurons within the descending sudomotor pathway. Despite a delayed onset of
360 sweating on the forearm, MS participants were still able to achieve the required steady state
361 sweat rate after 30 and 60 minutes of exercise which is consistent with previous work by our

laboratory (1). As such, demyelination of neurons potentially only transiently weakens the temporal sudomotor response to mild exercise in 30°C and 35°C environments.

It is widely acknowledged that local sweat rate can vary considerably across different regions of the body in healthy individuals (19, 22, 34), however, it is unclear why a delayed onset of sweating was observed on the forearm only and not the upper back in people with MS. Demyelination and scarring within the CNS is highly variable in terms of location and severity (29). While we can only speculate, it is possible that a blunted sudomotor response on the forearm is due to a) demyelination specific regions within the CNS and/or b) local sweat gland atrophy. Although a delayed onset of sweating was observed on the forearm in the MS compared to CON group, there were no differences in the onset of sweating between the forearm and upper back within the MS group for both the WARM and HOT trial. Therefore, these data may not necessarily indicate regional specificity in LSR differences in people with MS. Notably, findings from our previous work regarding the LSR onset and thermosensitivities are not consistent with the current study. For example, our previous work demonstrated that LSR thermosensitivity was blunted in people with MS, whereas findings from the current study demonstrate a delayed change in mean body temperature and onset time for LSR, with no differences in thermosensitivities between the MS and CON participants. This is possibly because in the current study, we investigated the onset and thermosensitivities of individual sites (i.e. forearm and upper back) separately, whereas in our previous work, LSR of the forearm and upper back were combined, potentially diluting any regional-specific differences in sweating onset and thermosensitivities (1). Collectively, these findings suggest that people with MS do demonstrate some sudomotor impairments, however, due to the heterogeneity of MS, these impairments may present differently. Nevertheless, irrespective of the underlying cause, MS participants were still able to achieve similar steady state sweat rates compared to the CON group in both the current study and

from our previous investigations (1). Given the rise in core temperature was similar between MS and CON participants, any differences in evaporative heat loss due to a delayed onset of sweating at the forearm seems to be minimal and/or possibly compensated for greater sweating/evaporation at other body regions that were not measured.

In both the WARM and HOT trials, it was observed that only 9 out of 16 and 7 out of 8 MS participants were able to complete 60 minutes of cycling, respectively. It has previously been reported that people with MS have been unable to complete 60 min of exercise in a 25°C (1) and 30°C (9) environment, possibly due to heat-related fatigue. All MS participants stopped exercise due to volitional exhaustion. Although the reason for this exhaustion is unclear, given the similar aerobic capacity between the MS (2.4 L·min⁻¹) and CON (2.8 L·min⁻¹) groups it is possible that the high dropout rate for the MS participants is the result of a reduced exercise capacity due to heat sensitivity, independently of increases in core temperature. For those who could not complete 60 minutes of cycling in both the WARM and HOT trials, the final change in core temperature was within the threshold of Uhthoff's phenomenon (0.2-0.5°C), irrespective of exercise time (Figure 1 and 2). However the time it took to reach a rise in core temperature of 0.2°C was not different between the MS and CON groups, nor was the final change in core temperature following 30 and 60 minutes of cycling in both the WARM and HOT trials. Early research investigating Uhthoff's phenomenon *ex vivo* and in animal models, suggested that increases in temperature of ~0.5°C of a demyelinated nerve reduces its conduction velocity by a greater amount compared to a myelinated nerve (14) potentially contributing to heat-related symptoms. More recently, White et al (38) reported an increase in core temperature of 0.6°C in people with MS reduced conduction velocity, increased fatigue perception and impairments in force production to a greater extent compared to healthy controls for the same rise in core temperature. On the other hand, White et al (39) also demonstrated for the same rise in core temperature (~0.5°C)

work output in people with MS was greater following a bout of whole-body cooling (baseline core temperature = 36.4°C) compared to no cooling (baseline temperature = 37.0°C). Furthermore, Chaseling et al (9) also demonstrated a 30% increase in exercise time in people with MS with ingestion of cold water (1.5°C), despite no difference in the rise of core temperature compared to ingestion of thermoneutral water (37°C). Collectively these studies suggest that a definitive rise in core temperature of 0.2-0.5°C may not exclusively influence heat-related symptoms for people with MS, and perhaps anticipatory or psychological factors may also play a role (24). For example, it is possible that some participants stopped exercise prematurely in their first trial in anticipation of the onset of any heat-related symptoms, which may explain why some MS participants were able to complete exercise in the HOT compared to WARM trial. It is also possible given the heterogeneity of the disease (lesion location and severity), some participants may be less sensitive to the heat which could explain why some MS participants were able to complete 60 minutes of exercise while others could not. Lastly, it is possible in the current study, people with MS stopped exercising at a core temperature of 0.2-0.5°C due to a decreased conduction velocity, thereby increasing perceptions of fatigue and reductions in force output. However, further research is warranted to completely understand this.

Limitations

It is unclear if whole body sweat losses were different between the MS and CON group as this was not measured in the present study. However, the primary concern for people with MS during heat exposure is heat-related fatigue, which is presently believed to be tied to the rise in core temperature (7). It follows that irrespective of whether whole-body sweating was different in the MS group or not, any MS-related reductions in sudomotor output due to a delayed onset of sweating were apparently insufficient to alter core temperature. Measures of skin blood flow were not reported in this study, and therefore, it is

unclear whether similarities in core temperature were due to differences in baseline skin blood flow as indicated by higher baseline skin temperature in the MS group. However it has previously been documented (2, 8) that people with MS do not demonstrate an impairment in vasomotor function. For example, Allen et al (2) reported differences in sweat output, but not cutaneous vascular conductance in MS compared to CON groups following a bout of whole body heating in a 48°C water perfused suit. It therefore seems that pathways within the sympathetic nervous system that are impacted by MS are restricted to the sudomotor apparatus (20).

The sample size of this study is small because of the high rate of dropouts during exercise within the MS group, which is an inherent limitation within the MS population. Nevertheless, according to Cohen's d (10) the magnitude of differences for the change in T_{re} in the 30°C ($d = 0.25$) and 35°C ($d = 0.24$) further demonstrate a small difference between the MS and CON group means. Another possible limitation is the use of rectal instead of esophageal temperature to assess thermoeffector control. Obtaining esophageal temperature within this specific population proved difficult. Esophageal temperature measures were only obtained in 8 MS and 6 CON participants in the 30°C trials and in no MS or CON participants in the 35°C trials. As such, an insufficient number of esophageal temperature values were attained to conduct an appropriately powered thermoeffector analysis. Furthermore, while all 16 MS participants who participated in the WARM trial were invited to return for the HOT trial, only 8 of these participants accepted. Only 5 of the 9 MS participants who completed 60 minutes of exercise in the WARM trial returned to participate in the HOT trials. While 1 participant was unable to complete 60 minutes of exercise in both the WARM and HOT trials, 2 participants were able to complete 60 minutes of exercise in the HOT but not the WARM trial. While it is possible that there was some self-selection bias among the participants who participated in both the WARM and HOT trials, it is also

possible that there was a learning effect given that all WARM trials were conducted before the HOT trials.

Lastly, the results from this study are only limited to people with relapsing remitting MS (RRMS). While people with RRMS make up for 80% of people diagnosed with MS, it is unclear whether the results of the current study would translate to people with secondary and/or primary progressive MS.

Conclusion

The ability of people with MS to complete exercise at a fixed heat production in a warm (30°C, 30% RH) or hot (35°C, 30% RH) environment in this study was compromised compared to healthy controls despite similar increases in core temperature. Furthermore, despite a delayed onset of sweating on the forearm in the MS group, local sweat rates and the change in core temperature were similar between the MS and CON group. These findings suggest that temperature-induced MS symptoms (i.e. Uhthoff's phenomenon) that occur during exercise in warm and hot environments are not likely the result of a disproportionately greater rise in core temperature due to thermoregulatory impairments. Given these findings, future research should focus on practical and economical cooling strategies to overcome heat-related fatigue and MS symptom onset during physical activity and/or heat exposure in warm and hot environments. Future research is also needed to identify whether these findings translate to people with a greater MS disease severity.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by a Multiple Sclerosis Research Australia Project Grant (Grant holders: Jay, Davis, Barnett; grant number #17-227); and a Multiple Sclerosis Research Australia Postgraduate Fellowship (Grant holders: Chaseling; grant number #15-087) and by an Australian Government Endeavour Post-Doctoral Research Fellowship (Grant holder: Filingeri).

487

488 **Conflicts of Interest**

489 The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

490 **References**

- 491 **1.Allen DR, Huang M, Morris NB, Chaseling GK, Jay O, and Davis SL.** Impaired
492 Thermoregulatory Function during Dynamic Exercise in Multiple Sclerosis. *Medicine &*
493 *Science in Sports & Exercise* 51: 395-404, 2018.
- 494 **2.Allen DR, Huang M, Parupia IM, Dubelko AR, Frohman EM, and Davis SL.** Impaired
495 sweating responses to a passive whole-body heat stress in individuals with multiple sclerosis.
496 *Journal of Neurophysiology* 118: 7-14, 2017.
- 497 **3.Andersen EB, and Nordenbo AM.** Sympathetic vasoconstrictor responses in multiple
498 sclerosis with thermoregulatory dysfunction. *Clinical Autonomic Research* 7: 13-16, 1997.
- 499 **4.Armstrong LE, and Stoppani J.** Central nervous system control of heat acclimation
500 adaptations: an emerging paradigm. *Reviews in the Neurosciences* 13: 271-285, 2002.
- 501 **5.Astrand P, and Rodahl K.** Evaluation of physical work capacity on the basis of tests. In:
502 *Textbook of Work Physiology: Physiological Basis of Exercise.* Champaign (IL): 1977, p.
503 333-365.
- 504 **6.Bain AR, Deren TM, and Jay O.** Describing individual variation in local sweating during
505 exercise in a temperate environment. *European Journal of Applied Physiology* 111: 1599-
506 1607, 2011.
- 507 **7.Bol Y, Smolders J, Duits A, Lange IMJ, Romberg - Camps M, and Hupperts R.**
508 Fatigue and heat sensitivity in patients with multiple sclerosis. *Acta Neurologica*
509 *Scandinavica* 126: 384-389, 2012.
- 510 **8.Cartlidge NE.** Autonomic function in multiple sclerosis. *Brain* 95: 661-664, 1972.
- 511 **9.Chaseling GK, Filingeri D, Barnett M, Hoang P, Davis SL, and Jay O.** Cold water
512 ingestion improves exercise tolerance of heat-sensitive people with MS. *Medicine & Science*
513 *in Sports & Exercise* 50: 643-648, 2018.
- 514 **10.Cohen J.** Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences. 2nd. Hillsdale, NJ:
515 erlbaum, 1988.
- 516 **11.Conte A, Li Voti P, Pontecorvo S, Quartuccio ME, Baione V, Rocchi L, Cortese A,**
517 **Bologna M, Francia A, and Berardelli A.** Attention-related changes in short-term cortical
518 plasticity help to explain fatigue in multiple sclerosis. *Multiple Sclerosis Journal* 22: 1359-
519 1366, 2016.
- 520 **12.Cramer MN, and Jay O.** Partitional calorimetry. *Journal of Applied Physiology* 126:
521 267-277, 2018.
- 522 **13.Cramer MN, and Jay O.** Selecting the correct exercise intensity for unbiased
523 comparisons of thermoregulatory responses between groups of different mass and surface
524 area. *Journal of Applied Physiology* 116: 1123-1132, 2014.

- 525 14.**Davis FA, and Jacobson S.** Altered thermal sensitivity in injured and demyelinated nerve
526 A possible model of temperature effects in multiple sclerosis. *Journal of Neurology,*
527 *Neurosurgery & Psychiatry* 34: 551-561, 1971.
- 528 15.**Davis SL, Wilson TE, Vener JM, Crandall CG, Petajan JH, and White AT.**
529 Pilocarpine-induced sweat gland function in individuals with multiple sclerosis. *Journal of*
530 *Applied Physiology* 98: 1740-1744, 2005.
- 531 16.**Fitchett M.** Predictability of VO₂ max from submaximal cycle ergometer and bench
532 stepping tests. *British Journal of Sports Medicine* 19: 85-88, 1985.
- 533 17.**Frohman TC, Davis SL, Beh S, Greenberg BM, Remington G, and Frohman EM.**
534 Uhthoff's phenomena in MS—clinical features and pathophysiology. *Nature Reviews*
535 *Neurology* 9: 535-540, 2013.
- 536 18.**Gisolfi CV, and Wenger CB.** Temperature regulation during exercise: old concepts, new
537 ideas. *Exercise and Sport Sciences Reviews* 12: 399-416, 1984.
- 538 19.**Inoue Y, Nakao M, Araki T, and Murakami H.** Regional differences in the sweating
539 responses of older and younger men. *Journal of Applied Physiology* 71: 2453-2459, 1991.
- 540 20.**Jänig W, and McLachlan EM.** Characteristics of function-specific pathways in the
541 sympathetic nervous system. *Trends in Neurosciences* 15: 475-481, 1992.
- 542 21.**Jay O, Bain AR, Deren TM, Sacheli M, and Cramer MN.** Large differences in peak
543 oxygen uptake do not independently alter changes in core temperature and sweating during
544 exercise. *American Journal of Physiology - Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative*
545 *Physiology* 301: R832-R841, 2011.
- 546 22.**Kondo, Takano, Aoki, Shibasaki, Tominaga, Inoue, and Kondo N.** Regional
547 differences in the effect of exercise intensity on thermoregulatory sweating and cutaneous
548 vasodilation. *Acta Physiologica Scandinavica* 164: 71-78, 1998.
- 549 23.**Lee J-Y, Wakabayashi H, Wijayanto T, and Tochihara Y.** Differences in rectal
550 temperatures measured at depths of 4–19 cm from the anal sphincter during exercise and rest.
551 *European Journal of Applied Physiology* 109: 73-80, 2010.
- 552 24.**Marino FE.** Heat reactions in multiple sclerosis: an overlooked paradigm in the study of
553 comparative fatigue. *International Journal of Hyperthermia* 25: 34-40, 2009.
- 554 25.**Maritz J, Morrison J, Peter J, Strydom N, and Wyndham C.** A practical method of
555 estimating an individual's maximal oxygen intake. *Ergonomics* 4: 97-122, 1961.
- 556 26.**Mekjavic IB, and Eiken O.** Contribution of thermal and nonthermal factors to the
557 regulation of body temperature in humans. *Journal of Applied Physiology* 100: 2065-2072,
558 2006.
- 559 27.**Nadel ER, Bullard RW, and Stolwijk J.** Importance of skin temperature in the
560 regulation of sweating. *Journal of Applied Physiology* 31: 80-87, 1971.
- 561 28.**Nishi Y.** Measurement of thermal balance in man. *Bioengineering, Thermal Physiology*
562 *and Comfort* 29-39, 1981.

- 563 29.**Noronha M, Vas C, and Aziz H.** Autonomic dysfunction (sweating responses) in
564 multiple sclerosis. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry* 31: 19-22, 1968.
- 565 30.**Poh PY, Adams AN, Huang M, Allen DR, Davis SL, Tseng AS, and Crandall CG.**
566 Increased postural sway in persons with multiple sclerosis during short-term exposure to
567 warm ambient temperatures. *Gait & Posture* 53: 230-235, 2017.
- 568 31.**Ramanathan N.** A new weighting system for mean surface temperature of the human
569 body. *Journal of Applied Physiology* 19: 531-533, 1964.
- 570 32.**Rasminsky M.** The effects of temperature on conduction in demyelinated single nerve
571 fibers. *Archives of Neurology* 28: 287-292, 1973.
- 572 33.**Shibasaki M, Wilson TE, and Crandall CG.** Neural control and mechanisms of eccrine
573 sweating during heat stress and exercise. *Journal of Applied Physiology* 100: 1692-1701,
574 2006.
- 575 34.**Smith CJ, and Havenith G.** Body mapping of sweating patterns in male athletes in mild
576 exercise-induced hyperthermia. *European Journal of Applied Physiology* 111: 1391-1404,
577 2011.
- 578 35.**Summers MP, Simmons RD, and Verikios G.** Keeping cool: Use of air conditioning by
579 Australians with multiple sclerosis. *Multiple Sclerosis International* 2012: 1-6, 2012.
- 580 36.**Syndulko K, Jafari M, Woldanski A, Baumhefner RW, and Tourtellotte WW.** Effects
581 of temperature in multiple sclerosis: a review of the literature. *Journal of Neurologic*
582 *Rehabilitation* 10: 23-34, 1996.
- 583 37.**Uhthoff W.** Studies on the occurring in multiple sclerosis stove eye disorders. Arch. f.
584 Psychiatrie u. *Nervenheilk Bd XXI* 1889.
- 585 38.**White AT, VanHaitsma TA, Vener J, and Davis SL.** Effect of passive whole body
586 heating on central conduction and cortical excitability in multiple sclerosis patients and
587 healthy controls. *Journal of Applied Physiology* 114: 1697-1704, 2013.
- 588 39.**White AT, Wilson TE, Davis SL, and Petajan JH.** Effect of precooling on physical
589 performance in multiple sclerosis. *Multiple Sclerosis Journal* 6: 176-180, 2000.

590

Figure Legends

Figure 1A-E. The upper panel shows individual values for the change in rectal (A) and skin (B) temperature for the MS (dark grey circles) and CON (light grey circles) group following 30 (MS: $n = 14$; CON: $n = 14$) and 60 (MS: $n = 9$; CON: $n = 14$) minutes of exercise in the WARM trial. The lower panel shows the time taken to reach a rise in rectal temperature of 0.2°C (C) in the WARM trial for the MS (dark grey circles) and CON (light grey circles). The change in rectal temperature at the end of exercise in the WARM trial for MS group who completed exercise (D; dark grey circles), who could not complete 60 minutes of exercise (white circles) and for the CON group (E; light grey circles), all of which completed 60 minutes of exercise. The grey shading on panel D demonstrates the change in rectal temperature at which Uhthoff's phenomenon is reportedly induced (17).

Figure 2 A-E. The upper panel shows individual values for the change in rectal (A) and skin (B) temperature for the MS (white diamonds) and CON (black diamonds) group following 30 (MS: $n = 7$; CON: $n = 8$) and 60 (MS: $n = 7$; CON: $n = 8$) minutes of exercise in the HOT trial. The lower panel shows the time taken to reach a rise in rectal temperature of 0.2°C (C) in the HOT trial for the MS (black diamonds) and CON (white diamonds). The change in rectal temperature at the end of exercise in the HOT trial for MS group who completed exercise (D; black diamonds), who could not complete 60 minutes of exercise (D; light grey diamond) and for the CON group (E; white diamonds), all of who completed 60 minutes of exercise. The grey shading on panel D demonstrates the change in rectal temperature at which Uhthoff's phenomenon is reportedly induced (17).

Figure 3A-D. Mean and 95% confidence intervals for the change in mean body temperature in the WARM trial (circles) plotted against the rise in upper back (A) and forearm (B) local sweat rate (LSR) during the and HOT trial (diamonds) for the upper back (C) and forearm (D). Asterisk denotes $P < 0.05$.

30°C Trial

35°C Trial

Table 1. Participant characteristics and workloads

	WARM			HOT		
	<i>MS (n=16)</i>	<i>CON (n=14)</i>	<i>P value</i>	<i>MS (n=8)</i>	<i>CON (n=8)</i>	<i>P value</i>
Sex	8F / 8M	8F / 6M		3F / 5M	4F / 4M	
Age (y)	47 ± 8	43 ± 11	0.31	44 ± 7	44 ± 12	0.92
Weight (kg)	77.6 ± 14.0	78.6 ± 17.0	0.86	82.3 ± 17	81.2 ± 21.1	0.91
Height (m)	1.7 ± 0.1	1.7 ± 0.1	0.91	1.7 ± 0.1	1.7 ± 0.1	0.98
VO_{2max} (ml·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹)	30.1 ± 11.1	35.6 ± 8.4	0.19	37.0 ± 9.8	33.8 ± 7.1	0.97
EDSS	2.7 ± 0.8	-	-	2.7 ± 0.4	-	-
Disease duration (y)	11 ± 10 y	-	-	8 ± 8 y	-	-
Baseline <i>T_{re}</i> (°C)	36.89 ± 0.35	37.03 ± 0.39	0.39	36.80 ± 0.44	36.85 ± 0.28	0.83
Baseline HR (bpm)	73 ± 11	77 ± 21	0.64	73 ± 11	76 ± 10	0.71
E_{req} (W·m⁻²)	115 ± 21	128 ± 23	0.12	169 ± 48	169 ± 44	0.99

External Workloads						
	<i>MS (n=16)</i>	<i>CON (n=14)</i>	<i>P value</i>	<i>MS (n=8)</i>	<i>CON (n=8)</i>	<i>P value</i>
W	49 ± 15	55 ± 21	0.32	52 ± 19	58 ± 21	0.60
W·m⁻²	151 ± 29	166 ± 23	0.14	184 ± 58	171 ± 35	0.61
W·kg⁻¹	3.7 ± 0.7	4.1 ± 0.6	0.11	4.4 ± 1.4	4.2 ± 0.7	0.69

MS: multiple sclerosis; CON: control; *n*: number of participants; y: year; kg: kilograms; m: meters; ml: millilitres; m⁻²: per meter squared; *T_{re}*: rectal temperature; HR: heart rate; E_{req}: evaporative requirement

Table 2. Sweat rates for the MS and CON groups in both the WARM and HOT trials.

	WARM			HOT		
	MS	CON	<i>P</i> <i>value</i>	MS	CON	<i>P</i> <i>value</i>
<i>Forearm</i>						
30-min LSR (mg·min⁻¹·cm⁻²)	0.35 ± 0.18 (<i>n</i> =14)	0.44 ± 0.19 (<i>n</i> =14)	0.19	0.50 ± 0.15 (<i>n</i> =6)	0.68 ± 0.16 (<i>n</i> =8)	0.06
60-min LSR (mg·min⁻¹·cm⁻²)	0.45 ± 0.17 (<i>n</i> =9)	0.48 ± 0.18 (<i>n</i> =14)	0.69	0.55 ± 0.11 (<i>n</i> =6)	0.68 ± 0.13 (<i>n</i> =8)	0.08
<i>Upper back</i>						
30-min LSR (mg·min⁻¹·cm⁻²)	0.38 ± 0.29 (<i>n</i> =13)	0.48 ± 0.27 (<i>n</i> =14)	0.32	0.63 ± 0.33 (<i>n</i> =6)	0.58 ± 0.25 (<i>n</i> =8)	0.78
60-min LSR (mg·min⁻¹·cm⁻²)	0.47 ± 0.32 (<i>n</i> =8)	0.55 ± 0.25 (<i>n</i> =14)	0.54	0.72 ± 0.35 (<i>n</i> =6)	0.64 ± 0.24 (<i>n</i> =8)	0.59

MS: multiple sclerosis; CON: control; *n*: number of participants; LSR: local sweat rate; mg: milligrams; m⁻²: per meter squared.